VOTE SHEET

JUNE 21, 2005

RE: Docket No. 000694-WU - Petition by Water Management Services, Inc. for limited proceeding to increase water rates in Franklin County.

<u>Issue 1</u>: What is the appropriate final revenue requirement for this limited proceeding? <u>Recommendation</u>: The appropriate final revenue requirement for this limited proceeding is \$1,368,807.

DEFERRED

<u>Issue 2</u>: What true-up mechanism, if any, should be approved to adjust for differences between revenues collected and recoverable expenses incurred from the inception of this limited proceeding through the test year? <u>Recommendation</u>: WMSI's final rates should be decreased by 7.6 percent during the first twelve months that final rates approved in this proceeding are in effect in order to return to ratepayers revenues collected during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of this proceeding in excess of the actual incremental costs incurred by the utility.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

<u>MAJORITY</u>	<u>DISSENTING</u>

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

BOCCMENT NUMBER-BATE

05914 JUN 218

VOTE SHEET

JUNE 21, 2005

Docket No. 000694-WU - Petition by Water Management Services, Inc. for limited proceeding to increase water rates in Franklin County.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 6</u>: What are the appropriate rates for this utility?

Recommendation: The appropriate water monthly rates are shown on Schedule No. 1 of staff's June 9, 2005 memorandum. Excluding miscellaneous service revenues, the recommended water rates are designed to produce revenues of \$1,368,807. The utility should file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates. The approved rates should be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date of the revised tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the rates should not be implemented until staff has approved the proposed customer notice. The utility should provide proof of the date the notice was given no less than 10 days after the date of the notice.

<u>Issue 7</u>: What is the appropriate amount by which water rates should be reduced four years after the established effective date to reflect the removal of amortized rate case expense, as required by Section 367.0816, Florida Statutes?

Recommendation: The water rates should be reduced as shown on Schedule 1 of staff's memorandum to remove \$17,986 in rate case expense amortization, grossed up for regulatory assessment fees. The decrease in rates should become effective immediately following the expiration of the four-year rate case expense recovery period, pursuant to Section 367.0816, Florida Statutes. The utility should be required to file revised tariffs and a proposed customer notice setting forth the lower rates and the reason for the reduction no later than one month prior to the actual date of the required rate reduction.

Issue 8: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.