VOTE SHEET

JUNE 21, 2005

RE: Docket No. 050028-WU - Application for amendment of Certificate No. 539-W to extend territory in Lake County by Raintree Utilities, Inc., and for modification of service availability policy.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve Raintree Utilities, Inc.'s application to amend Certificate No. 539-W? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The Commission should approve Raintree Utilities, Inc.'s amendment application to add the Bentwood subdivision. The proposed territory is described in Attachment A of staff's June 9, 2005 memorandum. The utility should file an executed and recorded copy of the warranty deed for the land for the water facilities within 30 days of the issuance date of the Order granting the amendment. The utility should charge the customers in the territory added herein the monthly service rates contained in its current tariff until authorized to change by the Commission. The appropriate service availability policy and charges are discussed in Issue 2.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
ha Elen	
Muly bradley	
Mantinez	
Jean Land	

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

VOTE SHEET

JUNE 21, 2005

Docket No. 050028-WU - Application for amendment of Certificate No. 539-W to extend territory in Lake County by Raintree Utilities, Inc., and for modification of service availability policy.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the tariff filing to modify the service availability policy by Raintree Utilities, Inc. be approved? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. Staff recommends the utility's proposed \$800 plant capacity charge, meter installation charge of \$125, and revised service availability policy requiring donated on-site and off-site lines should be approved. The tariffs should become effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date of the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(2), Florida Administrative Code. In the event a timely protest is filed by a substantially affected person, the tariff should remain in effect and any increased charges collected should be held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. This docket should be closed after issuance of a consummating order, if no timely protests are filed by a substantially affected person to the utility's revised service availability tariff. If a protest is filed, the docket should remain open pending resolution of the protest.

APPROVED