

Matilda Sanders

Sent:Thursday, August 11, 2005 3:26 PMTo:Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Subject: Sprint's Revised RCC for document #'s 05193-05 & 05998-05

Attachments: Revised RCC doc nos. 05193-05 and 05998-05.pdf

Filed on behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton

Attorney

Law/External Affairs Sprint 1313 Blairstone Rd. Tallahassee, FL 32301 M/S FLTLHO0103 Voice (850)-599-1560 Fax (850)-878-0777 susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com


Docket No. 041144-TP

Title of filing: Sprint's Revised RCC for document #'s 05193-05 & 05998-05

Filed on behalf of: Sprint

No. of pages: 8

Description: Sprint's Revised RCC for document #'s 05193-05 & 05998-05



DOCUMENT NUMBER - CATE 07829 AUG II 18 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERE

ORIGINAL



Susan S. Masterton Attorney

Law/External Affairs

FLTLHO0107 Post Office Box 2214 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee. FL 32316-2214 Voice 850 599 1560 Fax 850 878 0777 susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

August 11, 2005

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 041144-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Sprint-Florida, Incorporated is Sprint's Revised Request for Confidential Classification for Document Nos. 05193-05 and 05998-05.

Copies are being served on the parties in this docket pursuant to the attached certificate of service.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 850/599-1560.

Sincerely,

Show 5. mrtin

Susan S. Masterton

Enclosure

07829 AUG II B

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 041144-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic and U.S. mail this 11th day of August, 2005 to the following:

Division of Legal Services Lee Fordham/ Beth Keating Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Nancy Pruitt/Ann Marsh Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

KMC Data LLC/KMC Telecom III LLC/KMC Telecom V, Inc. Marva B. Johnson/Mike Duke 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043-8119

Kelley Drye & Warren LLP Chip Yorkgitis / Barbara Miller 1200 19th Street, N.W., Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036

Floyd Self, Esq. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 701 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Subar S. halan

Susan S. Masterton

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complaint of Sprint-Florida, Incorporated Against KMC Telecom III LLC, KMC Telecom V, Inc. and KMC Data LLC, for failure to pay intrastate Access charges pursuant to its interconnection Agreement and Sprint's tariffs and for violation of Section 364.16(3)(a), Florida Statutes. Docket No. 041144-TP

Filed: August 11, 2005

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated's REVISED Request for Confidential Classification Pursuant to Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated (hereinafter, "Sprint") hereby request that the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") classify certain documents and/or records identified herein as confidential, exempt from public disclosure under Chapter 119, Florida Statutes and issue any appropriate protective order reflecting such a decision. 1. The information that is the subject of this request is confidential and proprietary as set forth in paragraph 3. Sprint previously filed a Claim of Confidentiality related to this information and is filing this request pursuant to Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C. The following documents or excerpts from documents are the subject of this request:

Sprint's 4th Supplemental Response to KMC's 1st Interrogatories No. 15 (attachment on CD only) Document No. 05193-05 (entire CD)

Highlighted information contained in pages 2 & 3 of Sprint's Supplemental Response to KMC's 2nd Interrogatories. Document No. 05998-05

2. Two redacted copies of the information are attached to this request. Unredacted copies of the information were filed under separate cover on May 27, 2005 (Document No. 05193-05) and June 23, 2005 (Document No. 05998-05).

3. Document No. 05193-05 was inadvertently omitted from Sprint's previously filed Request for Confidential Classification filed on August 2, 2005. Although this Request is outside the 21 day time-frame set forth in Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C, Sprint believes that the request should nevertheless be granted, since the information for which confidentiality is requested is customer account information related to KMC. KMC's competitively sensitive confidential proprietary business information should not be compromised by Sprint's inadvertent oversight.

4. The information for which the Request is submitted contains KMC or other CLEC customer account information that Sprint is required by law (s. 364.24, F.S.) and/or contract (Sprint's interconnection agreements) to keep confidential.

- 5. Section 364.183(3), F.S., provides:
 - (3) The term "proprietary confidential business information" means information, regardless of form or characteristics, which is owned or controlled by the person or company, is intended to be and is treated by the person or company as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations, and has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or private agreement that provides that the information will not be released to the public. The term includes, but is not limited to:
 - (a) Trade Secrets.
 - (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors.
 - (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures.
 - (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the company or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.
 - (e) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information.
 - (f) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities.

- Section 364.24, Florida Statutes, prohibits a telecommunications company from intentionally disclosing customer account records, except as authorized by the customer of allowed by law.
- 7. The subject information has not been publicly released by Sprint.

Based on the forgoing, Sprint respectfully requests that the Commission grant the Request for Confidential Classification, exempt the information from disclosure under Chapter 119, Florida Statutes and issue any appropriate protective order, protecting the information from disclosure while it is maintained at the Commission.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of August, 2005.

son S. hots

Susan S. Masterton Post Office Box 2214 Tallahassee, Florida 32316-2214 850/599-1560 850/878-0777 susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT

Docket No. 041144-TP Sprint's 4th Supplemental Response to KMC's 1st Pod's May 27, 2005 Attachment to Interrogatory 15- KMC Revenue Impacts

CONFIDENTIAL

ON CD ONLY

(b) (i) (ii) (ii) Sprint sent a letter demanding payment on August 10, 2004 (iii) the basis for the claim was failure to pay access charges for access traffic improperly terminated over local interconnection trunks (iv-v) the parties have been and are continuing to engage in discussions to resolve the dispute

(c) See Response to POD 25.

Response provided by: Terry McCulloch, Field Sales Manager

Supplemental Response: In its Interrogatory KMC requested information related to LEC's terminating traffic in the Tallahassee and Ft. Myers service areas only. Sprint's Response identified the only LEC against which Sprint has made a claim in those specified service areas. However, in the interests of complying with the Commission's Order No. PSC-05-0650-PCO-TP, involving LECs against which Sprint has made claims who terminate traffic to Sprint in Florida, but not in Sprint's Tallahassee or Ft. Myers service areas.

(a) Yes.

(b) (i) (ii) Sprint sent a letter demanding payment on April 30, 2004 (iii) the basis for the claim was failure to pay access charges for access traffic improperly terminated over local interconnection trunks (iv-v) the parties have been and are continuing to engage in discussions to resolve the dispute

(i) Sprint sent a letter demanding payment on May 19, 2003 (iii) the basis for the claim was failure to pay access charges for access traffic improperly terminated over local interconnection trunks (iv-v) Sprint has been billing access charges for access traffic improperly terminating over local interconnection trunks in Florida since August 2002 through current and

2

this billing.

(c) See Response to POD 25.

RESPONSE TO PODS

25) Please provide copies of all of the documents identified in response to Interrogatories 25-31 and 32-40

Sprint has e-mails and other documents relating to the claims made against another CLEC, identified in response to Interrogatory No. 36. However, these documents contain highly confidential, competitively sensitive information regarding this CLEC which Sprint is required by law and its interconnection agreement with the CLEC to protect, including providing notice to the CLEC when Sprint has received a lawful request to produce otherwise confidential information. Sprint recognizes that KMC and Sprint have entered into a protective agreement to govern confidential information provided in discovery in this proceeding. In order to comply with KMC's discovery request, while still protecting the confidentiality of Sprint's customer information to the greatest extent possible, Sprint will make the documents that are responsive to this request available for viewing by counsel for KMC at Sprint's offices at a mutually agreeable time, upon notice to the CLEC that Sprint intends to allow KMC access to this information.

Please, also, refer to Sprint's objections filed March 17, 2005.

Supplemental Response: The documents responsive in Sprint's Supplemental Response to Interrogatory No. 36 contain highly confidential, competitively sensitive information regarding the CLECs which Sprint is required by law and its interconnection agreement with the CLEC to protect, including providing notice to the CLEC when Sprint has received a lawful request to

3