Susan D. Ritenour

Secretary and Treasurer and Regulatory Manager One Energy Place Pensacola, Florida 32520-0781

Tel 850.444.6231 Fax 850.444.6026 SDRITENO@southernco.com



August 11, 2005

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0870

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for official filing in Docket No. 050001-El are an original and fifteen copies of the following:

- 1. Prepared direct testimony of H. R. Ball.
- 2. Prepared direct testimony and exhibit of T. A. Davis.

Sincerely,

Susan D. Reierour

db

Enclosures cc: Beggs and Lane Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire

DOCUMENT NUMBER (CATE

07842 AUG 128

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERA

IN RE: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor

Docket No. 050001-EI

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing was furnished by hand delivery or the U. S. Mail this 114 day of August 2005 on the following:

Robert Vandiver, Esquire Patricia Ann Christensen, Esquire Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Suite 812 Tallahassee FL 32399-1400

James McGee, Esquire Progress Energy Service Co., LLC P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg FL 33733-4042

John T. Butler, Esquire Steel, Hector & Davis LLP 200 S. Biscayne Blvd, Ste 4000 Miami FL 33131-2398

Norman H. Horton, Jr., Esquire Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee FL 32302-1876

Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esquire The Perkins House 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Gary V. Perko, Esquire Hopping Green & Sams, P.A. P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314 Timothy J. Perry, Esq. McWhirter Reeves 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee FL 32301

Lee L. Willis, Esquire James D. Beasley, Esquire Ausley & McMullen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee FL 32302

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. McWhirter Reeves 400 N Tampa St Suite 2450 Tampa FL 33602

Adrienne Vining, Esquire FL Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0863

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esquire John Thomas LaVia, III, Esquire 310 West College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301

UN Bus

JEFFREY A. STONE Florida Bar No. 325953 RUSSELL A. BADDERS Florida Bar No. 0007455 STEVEN R. GRIFFIN Florida Bar No. 0627569 BEGGS & LANE P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola FL 32591-2950 (850) 432-2451 Attorneys for Gulf Power Company

GULF POWER COMPANY

Before the Florida Public Service Commission Prepared Direct Testimony H. R. Ball Docket No. 050001-EI Date of Filing: August 12, 2005



07842 AUG 12 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission
3		Prepared Direct Testimony of
4		H. R. Ball
5		Docket No. 050001-EI
6		Date of Filing: August 12, 2005
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	Α.	My name is H. R. Ball. My business address is One Energy Place,
9		Pensacola, Florida 32520-0335. I am the Fuel Manager for Gulf Power
10		Company.
11		
12	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational background and business
13		experience.
14	Α.	I graduated from the University of Southern Mississippi in Hattiesburg,
15		Mississippi in 1978 with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Chemistry and
16		graduated from the University of Southern Mississippi in Long Beach,
17		Mississippi in 1988 with a Masters of Business Administration. My
18		employment with the Southern Company began in 1978 at Mississippi
19		Power's (MPC) Plant Daniel as a Plant Chemist. In 1982, I transferred to
20		MPC's Fuel Department as a Fuel Business Analyst. I was promoted in
21		1987 to Supervisor of Chemistry and Regulatory Compliance at Plant
22		Daniel. I was promoted to Supervisor of Coal Logistics with Southern
23		Company Fuel Services in Birmingham, Alabama in 1998. My
24		responsibilities included administering coal supply and transportation
25		agreements and managing the coal inventory program for the Southern

Ì

Electric System. I transferred to my current position as Fuel Manager for Gulf Power Company in 2003.

Q. What are your duties as Fuel Manager for Gulf Power Company? 4 Α. 5 I manage the Company's fuel procurement, inventory, transportation, budgeting, contract administration, and quality assurance programs to 6 7 ensure that the generating plants operated by Gulf Power are supplied 8 with an adequate quantity of fuel in a timely manner and at the lowest practical cost. I also have responsibility for the administration of Gulf's 9 10 Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC).

11

1

2

3

12 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?

Α. The purpose of my testimony is to compare Gulf Power Company's 13 14 original projected fuel and net power transaction expense and purchased 15 power capacity costs with current estimated/actual costs for the period January, 2005 through December, 2005 and to summarize any 16 noteworthy developments at Gulf in these areas. The current 17 estimated/actual costs consist of actual expenses for the period January, 18 2005 through June, 2005 and newly projected costs for July, 2005 through 19 20 December, 2005. It is also my intent to be available to answer questions that may arise among the parties to this docket concerning Gulf Power 21 Company's fuel and net power transaction expenses and purchased 22 power capacity costs. 23

24 25

- Q. During the period January, 2005 through December, 2005 how will Gulf
 Power Company's recoverable total fuel and net power transactions cost
 compare with the original cost projection?
- Α. Gulf's currently projected recoverable total fuel and net power transactions 4 cost for the period is \$317,766,038 which is \$24,233,227 or 8.26% above 5 the original projected amount of \$293,532,811. The resulting average fuel 6 cost is projected to be 2.6411 cents per KWH or 9.28% above the original 7 projected amount of 2.4169 cents per KWH. The higher total fuel expense 8 9 and average per unit fuel cost is attributed to higher than projected coal and 10 natural gas prices for the period which are reflected in both the fuel cost of generation and the cost of purchased power. Gulf also is projecting that a 11 12 greater portion of its energy needs will come from higher cost purchased power and less from lower cost system net generation. This current 13 projection of fuel and net purchase power transaction cost is captured in the 14 exhibit to Witness Davis's testimony. Schedule E-1 B-1, Line 20. 15
- 16
- Q. During the period January, 2005 through December, 2005 how will Gulf
 Power Company's recoverable fuel cost of System Net Generation compare
 with the original projection of fuel cost?
- A. Gulf's currently projected recoverable fuel cost of System Net Generation for the period is \$423,810,655 which is 30,367,887 or 7.72% above the original projected amount of \$393,442,768. Total net system generation is expected to be 15,552,348 MWH compared to the original projected generation of 15,728,660 MWH or 1.12% below projections. The resulting average fuel cost is expected to be 2.7251 cents per KWH or 8.94% above

the original projected amount of 2.5014 cents per KWH. This current 1 projection of fuel cost of system net generation is captured in the exhibit to 2 Witness Davis's testimony, Schedule E-1 B-1, Line 1. 3 4 Q. What are the reasons for the difference between Gulf's original projection of 5 the fuel cost of System Net Generation and the current projection? 6 The higher total fuel expense and average per unit fuel cost is attributed to Α. 7 higher than projected delivered coal and natural gas prices for the period. 8 9 How did the total projected fuel cost of system net generation compare to 10 Q the actual cost for the first six months of 2005? 11 The total fuel cost of system net generation was \$186,155,636 which is Α. 12 \$600,369 or 0.32% lower than the projection of \$186,756,005. On a fuel 13 cost per KWH basis, the actual cost was 2.5671 cents per KWH which is 14 5.54% higher than the projection of 2.4323 cents per KWH. This higher 15 cost of system generation on a cent per KWH basis is due to fuel cost in 16 \$/MMBTU being 2.37% higher than projected and heat rate (BTU/KWH) of 17 the generating units operating being 3.01% higher than projected. This 18 information is found on Schedule A-1, Period to Date and Schedule A-3 of 19 the June, 2005 Monthly Fuel Filing. 20 21 How did the total projected cost of coal burned compare to the actual cost 22 Q. for the first six months of 2005? 23 The total cost of coal burned (including boiler lighter) was \$122,179,371 Α. 24 which is \$4,150,953 or 3.52% greater than our projection of \$118,028,418. 25

On a fuel cost per KWH basis, the actual cost was 1.99 cents per KWH which is 6.99% greater than the projected cost of 1.86 cents per KWH. The higher than projected cost of coal burned and cost of coal fired generation is due to coal prices being 5.52% higher than projected on a \$/MMBTU basis. This information is found on Schedule A-3 of the June, 2005 Monthly Fuel Filing.

- Q. How did the total projected cost of natural gas burned compare to the actual
 cost during the first six months of 2005?
- Α. The total cost of natural gas burned for generation was \$63,967,699 which 10 is \$4,759,888 or 9.50% lower than our projection of \$68,727,587. On a cost 11 per unit basis, the actual cost was 5.70 cents per KWH which is 9.83% 12 13 greater than the projected cost of 5.19 cents per KWH. The total cost of 14 natural gas burned for generation is lower than projected due to lower than projected net generation from gas fired units. The cost per KWH for gas 15 fired generation is greater than projected due to higher natural gas prices. 16 Natural gas prices were 6.15% higher than projected on a \$/MMBTU basis. 17 This information is found on Schedule A-3 of the June, 2005 Monthly Fuel 18 Filing. 19
- 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. For the period in question, what volume of natural gas was actually hedged
 using a fixed price contract or instrument?
- A. Gulf Power hedged 4,300,000 MMBTU of natural gas, for the period
 January, 2005 through June, 2005 using fixed price financial swaps.
- 25

1	Q.	What types of hedging instruments were used by Gulf Power Company
2		and what type and volume of fuel was hedged by each type of
3		instrument?
4	Α.	Natural gas was hedged using financial swaps that fixed the price of gas
5		to a certain price. These swaps settled against either a NYMEX Last Day
6		price or Gas Daily price. The entire amount (4,300,000 MMBTU) of gas
7		hedged was hedged using these financial instruments.
8		
9	Q.	What was the actual total cost (e.g., fees, commission, option premiums,
10		futures gains and losses, swap settlements) associated with each type of
11		hedging instrument?
12	Α.	No fees, commission, or option premiums were paid. Gulf's gas hedging
13		program has resulted in a net financial gain of \$1,133,511 for the period
14		January through June, 2005 (hedging settlement less support costs).
15		
16	Q.	Are Gulf Power's actual and projected operation and maintenance
17		expenses for 2005 for its non-speculative financial hedging programs to
18		mitigate fuel and purchased power price volatility reasonable for cost
19		recovery purposes?
20	Α.	Yes, the O&M costs associated with managing the fuel hedging programs
21		are a small percentage of the total benefit received from these programs.
22		As an example, the projected recoverable O&M cost of managing the gas
23		hedging program for the period January through December, 2005 is
24		\$27,985 while the total financial gain credited to fuel expense from the
25		gas hedging program through June 2005 was \$1,144,952.

Q. During the period January, 2005 through December, 2005 how will Gulf
 Power Company's recoverable fuel cost of power sold compare with the
 original cost projection?

Α. Gulf's currently projected recoverable fuel cost of power sold for the period 4 is (\$139,488,492) or 14.76% above the original projected amount of 5 \$(121,543,000). Total megawatt hours of power sales is expected to be 6 4,444,075,588 KWH compared to the original projection of 4,221,182,000 7 8 KWH or 5.28% above projections. The resulting average fuel cost of power sold is expected to be 3.1388 cents per KWH or 9.01% above the original 9 projected amount of 2.8794 cents per KWH. This current projection of fuel 10 cost of power sold is captured in the exhibit to Witness Davis's testimony, 11 Schedule E-1 B-1, Line 18. 12

13

Q. What are the reasons for the difference between Gulf's original projection of
 the fuel cost of power sold and the current projection?

A. The higher total credit to fuel expense from power sales is attributed to
 higher replacement fuel costs than projected as a result of higher coal and
 natural gas prices for the period increasing the fuel reimbursement rate
 (\$/MWH) for power sales. Also, there is a total increase in the number of
 MWH being sold due to the favorable economic position of Gulf's
 generating resources in Southern Company's power pool dispatch.

22

Q. How did the total projected fuel cost of power sold compare to the actual
 cost for the first six months of 2005?

A. The total fuel cost of power sold was (\$59,361,492) which is \$465,508 or

0.78% less than our projection of (\$59,827,000). On a fuel cost per KWH basis, the actual cost was 2.801 cents per KWH which is 0.21% greater than the projected cost of 2.795 cents per KWH. This information is found on Schedule A-1, Period to Date of the June, 2005 Monthly Fuel Filing.

- Q. During the period January, 2005 through December, 2005 how will Gulf
 Power Company's recoverable fuel cost of purchased power compare with
 the original cost projection?
- Α. 9 Gulf's currently projected recoverable fuel cost of purchased power for the period is \$32,563,183 or 73.17% above the original projected amount of 10 \$18,804,000. Total megawatt hours of purchased power is expected to be 11 852,513,455 KWH compared to the original projection of 536,336,000 KWH 12 or 58.95% above projections. The resulting average fuel cost of purchased 13 power is expected to be 3.8197 cents per KWH or 8.95% above the original 14 projected amount of 3.5060 cents per KWH. This current projection of fuel 15 cost of purchased power is captured in the exhibit to Witness Davis's 16 testimony, Schedule E-1 B-1, Line 12. 17
- 18

1

2

3

4

5

- Q. What are the reasons for the difference between Gulf's original projection of
 the fuel cost of purchased power and the current projection?
- A. The higher total fuel cost of purchased power is attributed to higher
 replacement fuel costs than projected as a result of higher projected coal
 and natural gas market prices for the period. These higher fuel prices
 have increased the fuel reimbursement rate for purchased power. Gulf is
 also purchasing a greater amount of MWH to supplement its own

generation to meet load demands.

1

2

Q. How did the total projected fuel cost of purchased power compare to the 3 actual cost for the first six months of 2005? 4 5 Α. The total fuel cost of purchased power was \$15,346,791 which is \$5,637,791 or 58.07% greater than our projection of \$9,709,000. On a fuel 6 cost per KWH basis, the actual cost was 2.982 cents per KWH which is 7 11.14% lower than the projected cost of 3.355 cents per KWH. The higher 8 than anticipated purchased power expense is due actual KWH purchases 9 10 being 78% above the projected amount during the first six months of the year. This information is found on Schedule A-1, Period to Date of the 11 12 June, 2005 Monthly Fuel Filing. 13 Q. Were there any other significant developments in Gulf's fuel procurement 14 15 program during the period? Α. No. 16 17 Were Gulf Power's actions through June 30, 2005 to mitigate fuel and Q. 18 purchased power price volatility through implementation of its non-19 speculative financial and/or physical hedging programs prudent? 20 Α. Yes, Gulf's physical and financial fuel hedging programs have resulted in 21 more stable fuel prices and lower fuel costs than would have otherwise 22 occurred if these programs had not been utilized. 23 24 25

Q. Should Gulf's fuel and net power transactions cost for the period be
 accepted as reasonable and prudent?

Α. 3 Yes, Gulf's coal supply program is based on a mixture of long term 4 contracts and spot purchases at market prices. Coal suppliers are 5 selected using procedures that assure reliable coal supply, consistent quality, and competitive delivered pricing. The terms and conditions of 6 7 coal supply agreements have been administered appropriately. Natural gas is purchased using agreements that tie price to published market 8 9 index schedules and is transported using a combination of firm and interruptible gas transportation agreements. Natural gas storage is 10 11 utilized to assure that supply is available during times when gas supply is curtailed or unavailable. Gulf's fuel oil purchases were made from 12 gualified vendors using an open bid process to assure competitive pricing 13 and reliable supply. Gulf makes sales of power when available and gets 14 reimbursed at the marginal cost of replacement fuel. This fuel 15 16 reimbursement is credited back to the fuel cost recovery account so that lower cost fuel purchases made on behalf of Gulf's customers remain to 17 the benefit of those customers. Gulf purchases power when necessary to 18 meet customer load requirements and when the cost of purchased power 19 is expected to be less than the cost of system generation. The fuel cost 20 21 of purchased power is the lowest cost available in the market at the time of purchase to meet Gulf's load requirements. 22

23

24 25 Q. During the period January 2005 through December 2005, what is Gulf's projection of actual / estimated net purchased power capacity transactions

1		and how does it compare with the company's original projection of net
2		capacity transactions?
3	Α.	As shown on Line 5 of Schedule CCE-1b in the exhibit to Witness Davis's
4		testimony, Gulf's total current net capacity payment projection for the
5		January 2005 through December 2005 recovery period is \$23,023,668.
6		Gulf's original projection for the period was \$24,009,955 and is shown on
7		Line 4 of Schedule CCE-1 filed in September, 2004. The difference
8		between these projections is \$986,287 or a 4.11% decrease in the original
9		projection of net capacity payments and represents the difference
10		between actual capacity payments year to date and the original projection
11		for this period.
12		
	~	
13	Q.	Mr. Ball, does this complete your testimony?
13 14	Q. A.	Mr. Ball, does this complete your testimony? Yes.
14		
14 15		
14 15 16		
14 15 16 17		
14 15 16 17 18		
14 15 16 17 18 19		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)

Docket No. 050001-EI

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared H. R. Ball, who being first duly sworn, deposes, and says that he is the Fuel Manager at Gulf Power Company, a Maine corporation, and that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief. He is personally known to me.

H.R. Ball

Fuel Manager

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 12th day of August, 2005

Notary Public, State of Florida at Large

Commission Number:

Commission Expires:

