# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	)	
Petition to Establish Generic Docket to Consider	)	Docket No. 041269-TP
Amendments to Interconnection	)	Filed: August 25, 2005
Agreements Resulting from Changes of Law	)	
	_)	

## REQUEST FOR OFFICIAL RECOGNITION

The Competitive Carriers of the South, Inc. (CompSouth), through its undersigned counsel, pursuant to rule 90.202, Florida Rules of Evidence, and section 120.569(2)(i), Florida Statutes, requests Official Recognition of the *Order on Motions for Summary Judgment or Declaratory Ruling*, issued by the Georgia Public Service Commission in Docket No. 19341-U, In Re: Generic Proceeding to Examine Issues Related to BellSouth's Obligations to Provide Unbundled Network Elements, on August 23, 2005.

## s/ Vicki Gordon Kaufman

Bill Magness CASEY, GENTZ & MAGNESS, L.L.P. 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Ste. 1400 Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: 512/480-9900 Fax: 512/480-9200

bmagness@phonelaw.com

Vicki Gordon Kaufman MOYLE FLANIGAN KATZ RAYMOND & SHEEHAN, PA 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: 850/681-3828

Fax: 850/681-8788

vkaufman@moylelaw.com

Attorneys for CompSouth

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Request for Official

Recognition was served by electronic mail and U.S. Mail this 25<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2005 to the following:

Adam Teitzman
Michael Barrett
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee FL 32399-0850
ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us
mbarrett@psc.state.fl.us

Michael A. Gross
Florida Cable Telecommunications
Assoc., Inc.
246 E. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 100
Tallahassee FL 32303
mgross@fcta.com

Meredith E. Mays
c/o Nancy Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee FL 32301
Meredith.Mays@BellSouth.com
Nancy.sims@bellsouth.com
Nancy.white@bellsouth.com

Norman H. Horton, Jr.
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701
P.O. Box 1876
Tallahassee FL 32302-1876
<a href="mailto:nhorton@lawfla.com">nhorton@lawfla.com</a>

John Heitmann
Garret R. Hargrave
Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP
1200 19<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington DC 20036
jheitmann@kelleydrye.com
ghargrave@kelleydrye.com

Kenneth A. Hoffman Martin P. McDonnell Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee FL 32302 ken@reuphlaw.com marty@reuphlaw.com

Gene Watkins
Covad Communications Company
1230 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 1900
Atlanta GA 30309
gwatkins@covad.com

Dana Shaffer
XO Communications, Inc.
105 Molloy Street, Suite 300
Nashville TN 37201
Dana.Shaffer@xo.com

Wanda Montano
Terry Romine
US LEC Corp.
6801 Morrison Blvd.
Charlotte NC 28211
wmontano@uslec.com

Tracy W. Hatch
Senior Attorney
AT&T
101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700
Tallahassee FL 32301
thatch@att.com

Sonia Daniels
Docket Manager
AT&T
1230 Peachtree Street, N.E., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor
Atlanta GA 30309
sdaniels@att.com

Donna Canzano McNulty MCI 1203 Governors Square Blvd. Suite 201 Tallahassee FL 32301 donna.menulty@mei.com

De O'Roark MCI 6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 600 Atlanta GA 30328 De.oroark@mci.com

Floyd Self Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701 P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee FL 32302-1876 fself@lawfla.com

Steven B. Chaiken
Supra Telecommunications and
Info. Systems, Inc.
General Counsel
2901 S.W. 149<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 300
Miramar FL 33027
steve.chaiken@stis.com

Matthew Feil FDN Communications 2301 Lucien Way, Suite 200 Maitland FL 32751 mfeil@mail.fdn.com

Nanette Edwards ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. 7037 Old Madison Pike, Suite 400 Huntsville AL 35806 nedwards@itcdeltacom.com

Susan Masterton
Sprint Communications Company
Limited Partnership
P.O. Box 2214
Tallahassee FL 32316-2214
susan.masterton@mail.sprint.com

Alan C. Gold
Gables One Tower
1320 South Dixie Highway, Suite 870
Coral Gables FL 33146
sgold@kcl.net

Raymond O. Manasco, Jr. Gainesville Regional "Utilities P.O. Box 147117 Station A-138 Gainesville Fl 32614-7117 manascoro@gru.com

Charles A. Guyton
Steel Hector & Davis LLP
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee FL 32301-1804
cguyton@steelhector.com

Herb Bornack, CEO Orlando Telephone Systems, Inc. 4558 S.W. 35<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 100 Orlando FL 32811 jerry@orlandotelco.net

Adam Kupetsky
Regulatory Counsel
WilTel Communications, LLC
One Technology Center (TC-15)
100 South Cincinnati
Tulsa OK 74103
adam.kupetsky@wiltel.com

Jonathan S. Marashlian
The Helein Law Group, LLP
8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 700
McLean VA 22102
jsm@thlglaw.com

s/ Vicki Gordon Kaufman Vicki Gordon Kaufman COMMISSIONERS: ANGELA ELIZABETH SPEIR, CHAIRMAN ROBERT B. BAKER, JR. DAVID L. BURGESS H. DOUG EVERETT STAN WISE

AUG 2 4 2005 Georgia Public Serbice Comacission

DEBORAH K. FLANNAGAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

REECE MCALISTER **EXECUTIVE SECRETARY** 

(404) 656-4501 (800) 282-8813 244 WASHINGTON STREET, 8.W. ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30334-5701

DOCKET# 19341 DOCUMENT# 85350

DOCKET NO. 19341

In Re:

Generic Proceeding to Examine Issues Related to BellSouth's Obligations to

Provide Unbundled Network Elements

### ORDER ON MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR DECLARATORY RULING

This matter comes before the Georgia Public Service Commission ("Commission") on a Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative Motion for Declaratory Ruling filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment or Declaratory Ruling filed by Competitive Carriers of the South ("CompSouth"), Southeastern Competitive Carriers Association ("SECCA"), and XO Communications Services, Inc. ("XO") (collectively, "Joint CLECs").

#### Background

The Commission initiated this docket on August 24, 2004 in response to separately filed petitions for declaratory ruling. In its Order Initiating Docket, the Commission directed the parties to file Issues Lists for the Commission to consider in identifying what matters were appropriate to resolve in this proceeding. On October 25, 2004, numerous parties filed with the Commission proposed Issues Lists. Since the filing of the Issues Lists, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") released its Triennial Review Remand Order ("TRRO").

CompSouth members include Access Integrated Networks, Inc., Access Point, Inc., AT&T., Birch Telecom, Cinergy Communications Company, DIECA Communications, Inc., d/b/a Covad Communications Company, IDS Telecom, LLC, InLine, ITC DeltaCom, KMC Telecom, LecStar Telecom, Inc., MCI, Momentum Telecom, Inc., Navigator Communications, LLC, Network Telephone Corp., NuVox Communications, Inc., Supra Telecom, Talk America, Trinsic Communications, Inc., Xspedius Communications, LLC.

The TRRO likely will have had an impact on the issues that the parties wish to place before the Commission.

On May 23, 2005, CompSouth and BellSouth (collectively the "Parties") filed a Joint Motion to Adopt Schedule in this Proceeding. On June 1, 2005, BellSouth filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative Motion for Declaratory Ruling. BellSouth's position is that a majority of the outstanding issues can be grouped into two categories: those that are purely legal and those that have mixed questions of law and fact (Motion, p. 6). For those issues that are purely legal matters, BellSouth requests that the Commission issue a summary judgment; for those issues that have mixed questions of law and fact, BellSouth requests that the Commission rule on what the law is either by granting partial summary judgment or issuing a declaratory ruling. According to BellSouth, this course of action would allow the factual disputes to be resolved in the proper context.

The Commission adopted a schedule for parties to respond to the Motion for Summary Judgment and for BellSouth to reply to any such responses. On July 1, 2005, the Joint CLECs filed their Response to BellSouth's Motion for Summary Judgment Or Declaratory Ruling ("Joint CLECs' Response") And Cross-Motion For Summary Judgment Or Declaratory Ruling ("Joint CLECs' Motion"). BellSouth filed its reply to the Joint CLECs' Motion on July 15, 2005 ("BellSouth Reply").

#### Responses to Motions

In its response to BellSouth's Motion, the Joint CLECs urge the Commission to deny BellSouth's Motion because granting it would "have the Commission rule on complex legal and policy issues raised by the TRO/TRRO in a vacuum — without consideration of the actual contractual disputes that give those issues substance in the real world." (Joint CLECs' Response, p. 3). The Joint CLECs further contend that the Commission's decision would be best informed if the Commission and its Staff have the opportunity to review the testimony of witnesses, consider responses to cross-examination, and ask questions of witnesses and counsel at hearing, because all of the issues involve mixed questions of policy, law, and fact. *Id.* The Joint CLECs note that they had not responded to BellSouth's Motion on Issues 7 ("High Capacity Loops and Transport — Changed Circumstances") and 14 ("DSL Over UNE-P"). *Id. at* 5. The Joint CLECs state that there is no dispute over those issues, and are therefore amenable to removing those issues from the Issues List prior to the filing of testimony. *Id.* 

In its Reply to the Joint CLECs' Response and Motion, BellSouth contends that its Motion was intended to allow efficient resolution of the issues before the Commission. (BellSouth Reply, p. 1.) They also urge the Commission to deny the Joint CLECs' Cross-Motion because of the Joint CLECs' own request that the Commission not resolve any issues until after the hearing. *Id.* at 1-2. The only issues that BellSouth addressed in detail in its Reply were Issue 8 (regarding Section 271) and Issue 17 (regarding line sharing).

XO filed a letter ("Letter") on July 25, 2005, in which it stated that it did not object to the removal of Issue No. 7 from the Issues List at this time. XO notified the Commission, however,

that Issue No. 7, among other issues, is the subject of a Petition for Reconsideration filed by a number of CLECs, including XO, before the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") in Unbundled Access to Network Elements/Review of Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, WC Docket No. 04-313/CC Docket No. 01-338. Additionally, Issue No. 7, among others, is subject of a Petition for Forbearance filed at the FCC. While XO does not object to the removal of Issue No. 7 from the Issues List under the current status of the law, it wishes to reserve any and all rights to bring this issue, and any other issues, back before the Commission in this docket in the event a subsequent FCC ruling results in a further change of law.

#### Discussion

The Commission hereby denies without prejudice both BellSouth's Motion for Summary Judgment and the Cross Motion filed by the Joint CLECs. Given the complexity of the issues, it is not clear at this point that the issues are purely legal. The Commission will likely be in a better position to resolve these issues after it has had the benefit of an evidentiary hearing. Moreover, given that the hearings will be held regardless of the Commission's decision on these motions, and given that one round of testimony has already been filed, any efficiencies in addressing a portion of the issues would not be substantial. The Commission emphasizes that this decision should not limit or presuppose in any way its ultimate consideration of these issues in this docket.

The Commission also hereby removes Issues 7 and 14 from the proceeding. The Joint CLECs' Response identified these issues as no longer being in dispute and no party to the proceeding has objected to that characterization.

\*\*\*\*

WHEREFORE IT IS ORDERED, that the Commission hereby denies the Motions of BellSouth and the Joint CLECs without merit.

ORDERED FURTHER, that the Commission hereby removes Issues 7 and 14 from the proceeding.

ORDERED FURTHER, that jurisdiction over this proceeding is expressly retained for the purpose of entering such further Order or Orders as this Commission may deem just and proper. ORDERED FURTHER, that a motion for reconsideration, rehearing, or oral argument or any other motion does not stay the effective date of this Order, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

The above action of the Commission in Administrative Session on the 16th day of August,

2005.

REECE MCALISTER
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

R. 23.05

DATE

ANGEL ELIZABETH SP

ארצת