

ORIGINAL

LAW OFFICES  
Messer, Caparello & Self  
A Professional Association

Post Office Box 1876  
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876  
Internet: www.lawfla.com

October 21, 2005

RECEIVED - FPSC  
05 OCT 21 PM 4:02  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

**BY HAND DELIVERY**

Ms. Blanca Bayó, Director  
Commission Clerk and Administrative Services  
Room 110, Easley Building  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 050419-TP

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC are an original and fifteen copies of the following documents:

1. Direct Testimony of Gregory J. Darnell;
2. Direct Testimony of Michael J. Lehmkuhl;
3. Direct Testimony of Sherry Lichtenberg; and
4. Direct Testimony of Dennis L. Ricca.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely yours,

Floyd R. Self

Ricca  
DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
10279 OCT 21 05

Lichtenberg  
DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
10278 OCT 21 05

Lehmkuhl  
DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
10277 OCT 21 05

Darnell  
DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10276 OCT 21 05

RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

AP  
DM 3  
FR org  
DR  
CL 1  
MPC  
ICA  
SCR  
SGA  
SEC 1  
OTH

FRS/amb  
Enclosures  
cc: Parties of Record

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served on the following parties by U. S. Mail this 21<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2005.

Jason Rojas  
Office of General Counsel  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

James Meza, III  
c/o Nancy H. Sims  
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.  
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400  
Tallahassee, FL 32301



Floyd R. Self

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of: )  
)  
Petition of MCImetro Access )  
Transmission Services, LLC for )  
Arbitration of Interconnection )  
Agreement with BellSouth )  
Telecommunications, Inc. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Docket No. 050419-TP

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GREGORY J. DARNELL**

**On Behalf Of**

**MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC  
(MCI)**

**OCTOBER 21, 2005**

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
10276 OCT 21 05  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Greg Darnell, and my business address is 6 Concourse Parkway,  
3 Atlanta, Georgia, 30328.

4

5 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

6 A. I am employed by MCI, Inc. as Executive Staff Member – Regulatory Economics.

7

8 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED?**

9 A. Yes. I have testified in proceedings before regulatory commissions in California,  
10 Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Jersey, North Carolina,  
11 Pennsylvania, South Carolina and Tennessee, as well as before the Florida Public  
12 Service Commission (“Commission”), and on numerous occasions have filed  
13 comments with the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”).

14

15 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL**  
16 **BACKGROUND?**

17 A. I have more than 22 years experience in telecommunications, with about half of  
18 that time in the area of public policy. For the past 10 years, my job  
19 responsibilities at MCI have focused on issues relating to opening local  
20 telecommunications markets to competition. I have testified on a wide range of  
21 issues related to interconnection agreements between MCI and incumbent local  
22 exchange carriers and in numerous Unbundled Network Element (“UNE”) rate

1 making proceedings. My responsibilities require that I work closely with many  
2 different organizations in the company, including the personnel responsible for  
3 the design and operation of the company's network, as well as those who sell  
4 services to customers across all market segments. I have a B.A.B.S.S. in  
5 Economics from the University of Maryland and an M.S. in Telecommunication  
6 Management from the University of Maryland University College. My  
7 qualifications are detailed in Exhibit GJD-1 to this testimony.

8  
9 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

10 A. The purpose of my testimony is to support MCI's position regarding issues 1, 2,  
11 3, 9(a) 12, 27, 29, 32, 33 and 34.

12  
13 **GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

14  
15 **ISSUE 1**

16  
17 *What language should be included in the Parties' Agreement to limit or*  
18 *eliminate (a) liability in general; (b) liability arising from tariffs or*  
19 *contracts with End Users; or (c) liability for indirect, incidental or*  
20 *consequential damages? (General Terms and Conditions, Sections 5.2,*  
21 *5.3, 5.5.)*

22  
23 **MCI Position:** No such language should be included. The Commission  
24 should not impose limitations of liability not agreed to by  
25 the parties. BellSouth, as MCI's sole supplier and its  
26 competitor, is in a position to inflict substantial business  
27 harm and should not be allowed to absolve itself from  
28 liability when the parties have not so agreed.

29  
30 **BST Position:** The industry standard limitation of liability of bill credits  
31 should apply between the parties. Further, consistent with  
32 industry standards, neither party should be responsible for  
33 indirect, incidental or consequential damages to the other.  
34 If a CLEC elects not to limit its liability to its End Users  
35 in its tariffs or contracts, the CLEC and not BellSouth

1 should bear the risk of loss arising from that business  
2 decision.  
3

4 **Q. WHAT IS THE PROPOSED LANGUAGE IN DISPUTE?**

5  
6 A. BellSouth's proposed language in the General Terms and Conditions attachment  
7 provides:

8 5.2. Except for any indemnification obligations of the Parties hereunder, and  
9 except in cases of the provisioning Party's gross negligence or willful  
10 misconduct, each Party's liability to the other for any loss, cost, claim,  
11 injury, liability or expense, including reasonable attorneys' fees relating  
12 to or arising out of any negligent act or omission in its performance of  
13 this Agreement, whether in contract or in tort, shall be limited to a credit  
14 for the actual cost of the services or functions not performed or  
15 improperly performed.

16 5.3. Limitations in Tariffs. A Party may, in its sole discretion, provide in its  
17 tariffs and contracts with its End Users and third parties that relate to any  
18 service, product or function provided or contemplated under this  
19 Agreement, that to the maximum extent permitted by Applicable Law,  
20 such Party shall not be liable to the End User or third party for (i) any  
21 loss relating to or arising out of this Agreement, whether in contract, tort  
22 or otherwise, that exceeds the amount such Party would have charged  
23 that applicable person for the service, product or function that gave rise  
24 to such loss and (ii) consequential damages. To the extent that a Party  
25 elects not to place in its tariffs or contracts such limitations of liability,  
26 and the other Party incurs a loss as a result thereof, such Party shall  
27 indemnify and reimburse the other Party for that portion of the loss that  
28 would have been limited had the first Party included in its tariffs and  
29 contracts the limitations of liability that such other Party included in its  
30 own tariffs at the time of such loss.

31 5.5 Under no circumstance shall a Party be responsible or liable for indirect,  
32 incidental, or consequential damages, including, but not limited to,  
33 economic loss or lost business or profits, damages arising from the use or  
34 performance of equipment or software, or the loss of use of software or  
35 equipment, or accessories attached thereto, delay, error, or loss of data.  
36 In connection with this limitation of liability, each Party recognizes that  
37 the other Party may, from time to time, provide advice, make  
38 recommendations, or supply other analyses related to the services or  
39 facilities described in this Agreement, and, while each Party shall use

1 diligent efforts in this regard, the Parties acknowledge and agree that this  
2 limitation of liability shall apply to provision of such advice,  
3 recommendations, and analyses.  
4

5  
6 **Q. WHAT IS MCI'S DISAGREEMENT WITH THE LANGUAGE**  
7 **PROPOSED BY BELL SOUTH FOR LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY?**

8 A. There are two main points of disagreement.

9 First, parties should be permitted to maintain legal rights to recover  
10 damages if they are the victims of wrongs – either from torts or from breaches of  
11 contract. If either party commits a wrong for which a remedy is recognized by  
12 the law, the other party should not be compelled to abandon rights under law.

13 Second, it is inappropriate that MCI indemnify or hold harmless  
14 BellSouth for certain actions. For example:

15 a) In Section 5.2 the proposed language states “except in cases of the  
16 provisioning Party’s gross negligence or willful misconduct, each Party’s  
17 liability to the other....shall be limited....” The exception for gross negligence  
18 or willful misconduct should apply to both the provisioning party and the non-  
19 provisioning party.

20 b) The parties may agree to negotiate concerning indemnification regarding  
21 their own actions, or, perhaps, the actions of entities over which they have  
22 ownership or control. Neither party to the Agreement, however, has any  
23 ownership or control concerning the actions of end users, particularly regarding

1 intentional torts or other wrongdoing. Thus MCI should not have to indemnify  
2 BellSouth for “any loss to or arising from this agreement whether in contract,  
3 tort or otherwise” caused by end users or third parties. Indeed, it would be  
4 particularly inappropriate to require such language, where the effects of  
5 indemnification are uncertain as to amount, and would be borne, ultimately, by  
6 the customer base of the parties.

7 c) In Section 5.5, BellSouth proposes that “under no circumstances” shall a  
8 party be liable for damages arising from the use of performance of equipment or  
9 software.... It is inappropriate for the agreement to attempt to absolve a party  
10 from all circumstances that may occur. As such, this language should not be  
11 included in the agreement.

12 Given these problems, MCI submits that *none* of the language proposed  
13 by BellSouth should be adopted by the Commission.

14

15 **Q. WHY SHOULD NONE OF BELLSOUTH’S PROPOSED LANGUAGE BE**  
16 **ADOPTED?**

17 A. The Commission should not impose limitation of liability provisions that are not  
18 agreed upon by the parties for a number of reasons. In this context, where  
19 BellSouth is MCI’s wholesale supplier and a major competitor, BellSouth may  
20 be aware of deficiencies in its ordering and provisioning systems that negatively  
21 affect MCI’s ability to fulfill customer orders, or, problems with BellSouth’s  
22 maintenance procedures that negatively affect the service that its wholesale



1 customers like MCI to provide to their end user customers. BellSouth is fully  
2 aware of its service quality performance plans and enforcement mechanisms and  
3 they may only not compensate, or only partially compensate, MCI for the actual  
4 harm it experiences in the marketplace on account of BellSouth's acts or  
5 omissions. Indeed, BellSouth might rationally decide that it stands to gain more  
6 from retail sales than it would pay in credits or other service quality plan  
7 payments, and thus choose not to improve its wholesale provisioning  
8 performance to MCI. Under BellSouth's proposed language, MCI would not be  
9 able to recover lost profits from BellSouth under any circumstances. In light of  
10 BellSouth's role as both MCI's wholesale supplier and its competitor, the  
11 Agreement should not limit BellSouth's liability when the parties have not  
12 reached terms on such limitations. Further, the Commission should not be put  
13 in the position of deciding which party should be "protected" and which party  
14 (and its end users) should be stripped of its legal rights or defenses.

15  
16 **Q. WHAT DOES MCI PROPOSE INSTEAD FOR THE**  
17 **INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT REGARDING THIS ISSUE?**

18 **A.** MCI proposes that the ICA be silent on this matter. Taking this approach will  
19 mean that the Commission will not have to choose which party should be  
20 protected and which party should be stripped of its legal rights or defenses.

21  
22  
23  
24

**ISSUE 2**

1           *What terms or conditions, if any, should be included in the Agreement*  
2           *regarding the appropriate forum to address disputes? (General Terms*  
3           *and Conditions, Section 8.)*

4  
5           **MCI Position:**           The parties should not be required to relinquish their right  
6   to bring disputes to a court or other forum that has  
7   jurisdiction to hear the case.

8  
9           **BST Position:**           This Commission or the FCC should resolve disputes  
10    between the parties for matters that are within the  
11    Authority's or the FCC's expertise or jurisdiction. For  
12    matters that lie outside such expertise or jurisdiction, the  
13    parties should be able to bring disputes to a court of law.

14  
15  
16  
17   **Q.    WHAT IS THE CONTRACT LANGUAGE IN DISPUTE UNDER ISSUE**  
18   **2?**

19  
20   A.    BellSouth proposes that the parties agree that any dispute that arises as to the  
21           interpretation of any provision of this Agreement or as to the proper  
22           implementation of this Agreement, "shall" be taken to the Commission for  
23           resolution. Instead, MCI proposes that the parties agree that any dispute that  
24           arises as to the interpretation of any provision of this Agreement or as to the  
25           proper implementation of this Agreement, "may" be taken to the Commission  
26           for resolution.

27  
28  
29   **Q.    WHY DOES MCI DISPUTE BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSED**  
30   **INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT LANGUAGE?**

31   A.    BellSouth's proposed use of the word "shall" in this context may eliminate  
32           appropriate legal alternatives for MCI. BellSouth's proposal would foreclose  
33           access to state and federal courts to resolve disputes under the Agreement that

1 may be appropriate for resolution of certain disputes in the first instance. To the  
2 extent that the courts have such jurisdiction, it arises under federal and state  
3 constitutions and statutes. Although parties might agree not to litigate disputes  
4 in the courts, it would not be proper for a state public service commission to  
5 attempt to limit the courts' jurisdiction. The Commission should reject  
6 BellSouth's position to foreclose MCI's rights to enforce this Agreement in  
7 court or any other forum that has jurisdiction if it chooses to do so.

8

9

### ISSUE 3

10

11 *What rates, terms, and conditions for the disputed rate elements in*  
12 *Attachment 2 should be incorporated into the Agreement? (Attachment*  
13 *2, Exhibit B and Pricing Attachment)*

14

15

**MCI position:**

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

BellSouth proposes rates for UNE loop to special access switch as-is conversions that are not compliant with FCC TELRIC rules or the just and reasonable requirements of the Act. The rates proposed by BellSouth are approximately five (5) times greater than the rates for conversion of EELs to special access. At the same time, BellSouth has not proposed any rates for the conversion of special access to UNEs. Those rates should be set at zero until the final rates are determined. Final rates should be set no higher than the just and reasonable rates for the conversion of EELs to special access. BellSouth also proposes rates that are not compliant with TELRIC rules and are not just and reasonable with regard to service and facility rearrangements. Also, BellSouth has proposed, as part of Exhibit B to Attachment 2, that HDSL-capable loops in non-impaired wire centers should be subject, post- March 10, 2005, to the same treatment as DS1 loops; however, HDSL-capable loops, per the Triennial Review Remand Order, should continue to be available to

1 CLECs in the event DS1 loops are no longer  
2 available as UNEs.  
3

4 **BST position:** MCI understands BellSouth's position to be as  
5 follows: BellSouth's proposed UNE loop to  
6 special access switch-as-is conversion rate and  
7 service rearrangement charges are TELRIC-based.  
8 MCI has not been informed by BellSouth of why  
9 it has failed to propose a rate or the ability for  
10 MCI to order conversion of special access to  
11 UNEs. BellSouth's rationale for including  
12 HDSL-capable loops or other Voice Grade, DS0  
13 and ISDN loop elements in the transition for  
14 unimpaired wire centers is unknown.  
15

16 **Q. WHAT UNE RATE (I.E. ATTACHMENT 2, EXHIBITS A AND B)**  
17 **ISSUES REMAIN IS DISPUTE IN THIS ARBITRATION?**

18  
19 **A.** The disputes that exist between MCI and BellSouth regarding the  
20 appropriate UNE rates as set forth on Exhibit GJD-2 are as follows:

- 21
- 22 a. Rates for DADS.
  - 23 b. Service rearrangement charges for change in Channel Facility  
24 Assignment ("CFA").
  - 25 c. UNE Loop to Special Access loop switch-as-is nonrecurring  
26 charges.
  - 27 d. Special Access loop to UNE Loop switch-as-is nonrecurring  
28 charges and ordering codes.
  - 29 e. The appropriate elements to be included in the transition plan for  
30 wire centers where CLEC impairment is deemed not to exist (i.e.

1 Attachment 2, Exhibit B elements).

2 f. Miscellaneous disputes concerning the appropriate DS1 and DS3  
3 multiplexing, DS0 and DS1 line cards, Loop Testing and Line  
4 Splitting rates.

5 g. The mechanism and cost recovery for record changes resulting  
6 from transfer of ownership.

7

8 **A. RATES FOR DADS**

9 **Q. WHAT IS THE DISPUTE BETWEEN MCI AND BELLSOUTH**  
10 **CONCERNING THE RATES FOR DADS?**

11 A. MCI's dispute with BellSouth concerning its proposed rates for  
12 Directory Assistance Database Service, Issue 31, is addressed by MCI  
13 witness Michael Lehmkuhl.

14

15 **B. SERVICE REARRANGEMENT CHARGES**

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE DISPUTE BETWEEN MCI AND BELLSOUTH**  
17 **CONCERNING SERVICE REARRANGEMENT CHARGES?**

18 A. BellSouth has proposed that new nonrecurring charges should apply  
19 should MCI request a service rearrangement that requires a change a  
20 circuit's channel facilities assignment ("CFA"). BellSouth has proposed  
21 that it be permitted to charge MCI a nonrecurring rate of \$270.08 for  
22 each first loop or transport circuit and \$47.13 for each additional circuit

1 that is rearranged; and an additional \$1.28 per circuit charge if the  
2 rearrangement is project managed.

3

4 **Q. HOW WOULD THESE CHARGES AFFECT MCI?**

5 A. These charges could greatly increase MCI's cost to "groom" the facilities  
6 it purchases from BellSouth. Grooming is a term used in the network  
7 operations to describe the process where facilities are rearranged into a  
8 more efficient configuration.

9 For example, assume that MCI has one DS3 and three DS1s in a  
10 BellSouth wire center. A DS3 has a maximum capacity of 672 DS0  
11 circuits and a DS1 has a maximum capacity of 24 DS0 circuits. The  
12 percentage of use on a multi-channel facility is referred to as "fill".  
13 Assume further that the DS3 is operating at 100 percent fill (i.e. 100  
14 percent times 672 circuits equals 672 active circuits on the DS3), two  
15 DS1s are operating at 100 percent fill and the third DS1 is operating at  
16 50 percent fill (i.e., 100 percent times 24 circuits times 2 DS1 equals 48  
17 active circuits on the first 2 DS1s, and 50 percent times 24 circuits equals  
18 12 active circuits on the third DS1) in this BellSouth wire center. This  
19 pattern of facility fill would be consistent with a company that is  
20 growing and gaining new customers.

21 Next, further assume that MCI loses 10 percent of its business  
22 overall and therefore the fill of its DS3 falls off to 90 percent fill (i.e. 672

1 times 90 percent equals 605 active circuits) and the DS1s are also  
2 operating 10% less efficiently (i.e., 24 times 90 percent equals 22 active  
3 circuits on the first and second DS1s and 12 times 90 percent or 11  
4 active circuits on the third DS1). In this situation, because the active  
5 circuits on the three DS1s (i.e.  $22 + 22 + 11 = 55$ ) are less than the  
6 available capacity on the DS3 (i.e.  $672 - 605 = 67$ ), MCI could “groom”  
7 or rearrange its facilities by disconnecting all three DS1s and reassigning  
8 the active circuits from the three DS1s to the excess capacity on the DS3.  
9 Grooming would permit MCI to use facilities in the most efficient  
10 manner and to reduce its costs by eliminating the cost of the separate  
11 DS1s.

12

13 **Q. IN YOUR EXAMPLE, WHAT WOULD BELLSOUTH CHARGE**  
14 **MCI TO REARRANGE ITS FACILITIES?**

15 A. MCI does not know exactly how BellSouth plans to apply its rates but  
16 believes BellSouth’s charges would be assessed one of two ways. It  
17 could be that BellSouth would charge MCI \$270.08 for the first circuit  
18 and \$47.13 for each additional circuit, or \$2,815.10 (i.e.  $\$270.08 + (54$   
19  $\text{times } \$47.13)$ ). It is also possible that BellSouth may intend to assess its  
20 proposed charges on a facility basis so that the higher \$270.08 “First”  
21 charge would apply on the first circuit in each DS1 being rearranged. If  
22 BellSouth assesses its proposed charges in this manner, then the amount

1           assessed in my example would be \$3,261.00(\$270.08 + \$270.08 +  
2           \$270.08 + (52 times \$47.13)). In both cases, any attempt for MCI to  
3           more efficiently configure the facilities it purchases from BellSouth  
4           could result in a significant expense to MCI, particularly if the grooming  
5           project is done on a regional or national scale.

6

7   **Q.   IS IT MCI'S POSITION THAT BELLSOUTH SHOULD BE**  
8   **REQUIRED TO PROVIDE SERVICE REARRANGMENTS TO**  
9   **MCI FOR NO ADDITIONAL CHARGE UNTIL SUCH TIME**  
10  **THAT A TELRIC COMPLIANT RATE IS DETERMINED FOR**  
11  **THESE ACTIVITIES?**

12  A.   Yes.   Service rearrangements increase the efficiency of how facilities  
13       are used and therefore financially benefit both MCI and BellSouth.

14

15  **Q.   SETTING ASIDE THE REVENUE THAT BELLSOUTH'S**  
16  **SERVICE REARRANGEMENT CHARGES WOULD GENERATE**  
17  **FOR BELLSOUTH, HOW DO SERVICE REARRANGEMENTS**  
18  **FINANCIALLY BENEFIT BELLSOUTH?**

19  A.   When a CLEC rearranges facilities it purchases from BellSouth into a  
20       more efficient configuration, facilities become available for BellSouth to  
21       use or to sell to other carriers. My above example demonstrates a



1 situation where a service rearrangement would provide BellSouth with  
2 access to three additional DS1s.

3

4 **Q. IS IT MCI'S POSITION THAT THE FCC RULES REQUIRE**  
5 **BELLSOUTH TO PROVIDE THESE SERVICES FOR NO**  
6 **ADDITIONAL CHARGE UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT A TELRIC**  
7 **COMPLIANT RATE CAN BE DETERMINED?**

8 A. Yes. The FCC's TELRIC rules require that the total revenue generated  
9 by UNE recurring and nonrecurring rates to equal total element long run  
10 incremental cost.<sup>1</sup> Stated mathematically, this means,  $A * B = C$ , where  
11 A is each UNE rate, B is the demand for each UNE and C is Total  
12 Element Long Run Incremental Cost ("TELRIC"). The Commission  
13 determined BellSouth's TELRIC for all loop facilities in Docket  
14 990649A. As such, the creation of a new loop rate, without a  
15 commensurate offsetting reduction to the rates for other UNEs, would  
16 mathematically violate the FCC rules because the additional revenue  
17 generated by new service rearrangement charges would cause the total  
18 revenue BellSouth receives from loop UNEs to exceed the TELRIC  
19 determined by the Commission for loop UNEs. Stated mathematically,  
20 the equation after the creation of the new UNE rates would be  $(A$   
21  $[Commission approved rates] * B [Commission approved demand]) +$

---

<sup>1</sup> See, 47 CFR 51.511(a).

1 (new rates \* demand for new rates) > C [Commission approved  
2 TELRIC]. This would be a direct violation of 47 CFR 51.511(a), which  
3 states:

4 The forward-looking economic cost per unit of an  
5 element equals the forward-looking economic cost of the  
6 element, as defined in § 51.505, divided by a reasonable  
7 projection of the sum of the total number of units of the  
8 element that the incumbent LEC is likely to provide to  
9 requesting telecommunications carriers and the total  
10 number of units of the element that the incumbent LEC is  
11 likely to use in offering its own services, during a  
12 reasonable measuring period.  
13

14 Mathematically, the FCC rules do not permit BellSouth to create **any**  
15 new UNE rates without either an offsetting reduction to existing UNE  
16 rates, or a determination that the activity in question was not part of the  
17 Commission calculation of TELRIC and new cost case to reset TELRIC.

18

19 **Q. WERE SERVICE REARRANGEMENT COSTS PART OF THE**  
20 **COMMISSION'S CALCULATION OF TELRIC IN DOCKET**  
21 **990649A?**

22 A. Yes.

23

24 **Q. DOES BELL SOUTH ALREADY RECOVER THE FORWARD**  
25 **LOOKING COST FOR SERVICE REARRANGEMENT IN ITS**  
26 **EXISTING UNE RATES?**

1 A. Yes. In each UNE rate case in each BellSouth state, shared and common  
2 cost factors were applied to direct cost during the development of  
3 TELRIC and the development of UNE rates. These shared and common  
4 cost factors were created using BellSouth's embedded cost information.  
5 While some adjustments were made to the embedded data to make it  
6 compliant with each Commission's determination of TELRIC, the  
7 historical cost BellSouth incurred for service rearrangements was not  
8 removed from the shared and common cost factor calculations in any  
9 BellSouth UNE rate case.

10

11 **Q. WHY IS IT IMPORTANT THAT BELLSOUTH'S HISTORICAL**  
12 **SERVICE REARRANGEMENT COST WAS NOT REMOVED**  
13 **FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE SHARED AND COMMON**  
14 **COST FACTORS THAT WERE APPLIED IN THE**  
15 **DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMISSION-APPROVED UNE**  
16 **RATES?**

17 A. This is an important question because it means BellSouth already  
18 recovers the forward looking cost of service rearrangements through its  
19 current UNE rates. Further, it means that BellSouth's proposed new  
20 service rearrangement charges double recover forward-looking cost.  
21 BellSouth has always incurred service rearrangement costs on its own  
22 facilities and the facilities it sells to other carriers on a wholesale basis.

1 As such, service rearrangement costs are included in the embedded costs  
2 used to develop the loading factors that were applied to the investment  
3 and expense that created the Commission-approved UNE rates.

4 It would not be reasonable to permit BellSouth to create a  
5 separate service rearrangement charge and double recover service  
6 rearrangement costs. As stated above, BellSouth's new service  
7 rearrangement charges would discourage MCI from grooming facilities  
8 and encourage MCI to maintain inefficient network configurations if its  
9 business were to decline. In essence, BellSouth's proposed service  
10 rearrangement charges provide it with an additional means to profit  
11 should MCI's business decline.

12

13 **Q. DOES MCI NECESSARILY OPPOSE THE CREATION OF**  
14 **CHARGES FOR SERVICE REARRANGEMENTS?**

15 A. No. Separate nonrecurring charges for service rearrangements would  
16 create an additional incentive to carefully plan service rearrangements  
17 and to avoid unnecessarily rearranging facilities. However, BellSouth  
18 should not be permitted to double recover service rearrangement costs.

19

20 **Q. IS THERE MUCH RISK THAT MCI WOULD UNNECESSARILY**  
21 **REARRANGE FACILITIES?**

1 A. No. MCI incurs internal network engineering costs to plan a “groom” or  
2 service rearrangement. As such, there is very little risk that MCI will  
3 unnecessarily rearrange facilities, even without separate service  
4 rearrangement charges.

5

6 **Q. WHAT COULD BE DONE TO ESTABLISH SERVICE**  
7 **REARRANGEMENT CHARGES THAT DO NOT VIOLATE FCC**  
8 **RULES AND THE COMMISSION’S PRIOR DETERMINATION**  
9 **OF TELRIC?**

10 A. To comply with FCC TELRIC rules and the Commission’s prior  
11 determination of TELRIC, the Commission would have to do at least  
12 three things to eliminate double cost recovery. First, the Commission  
13 would have to determine if the rates being proposed reflect the forward-  
14 looking nonrecurring cost incurred for the activity. Second, the  
15 Commission would have to calculate the amount of revenue that would  
16 be generated by whatever is determined to be the appropriate service  
17 rearrangement nonrecurring charges. Third, the Commission would need  
18 to reduce existing UNE loop recurring rates to offset the additional  
19 revenue created by the new service rearrangement nonrecurring rates.  
20 Failure to do at least these three things would cause the revenue  
21 BellSouth obtains from network elements to exceed the TELRIC

1 determined by the Commission and would violate 47 C.F.R. Section  
2 51.511(a).

3

4 **Q. IS THE ABOVE ACTIVITY NECESSARY?**

5 A. No. As I stated above, both parties benefit from service rearrangements  
6 and there is little risk that MCI will unnecessarily request service  
7 rearrangements. Further, BellSouth recovers the Commission's prior  
8 determination of forward-looking service rearrangement costs through  
9 existing recurring and nonrecurring rates, and no apparent harm is  
10 caused by not having separate UNE nonrecurring charges for service  
11 rearrangement. As such, it would be reasonable to simply set  
12 BellSouth's service rearrangement nonrecurring rates (i.e. USOCs  
13 URETD and URETB) at zero. This is what MCI recommends in its  
14 attached UNE rate proposal (i.e. Attachment 2, Exhibit A).

15

16 **C. UNE LOOP TO SPECIAL ACCESS LOOP SWITCH-AS-IS**

17

18

19

20 **Q. WHAT IS MCI'S DISPUTE WITH BELLSOUTH CONCERNING ITS**  
21 **PROPOSED UNE LOOP TO SPECIAL ACCESS LOOP SWITCH-AS-IS?**

22 A. It is evident that the rates BellSouth has proposed for UNE loop to Special  
23 Access switch-as-is exceed the Commission's determination of TELRIC.

24

1    **Q.    HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT THE BELL SOUTH PROPOSED RATES**  
2           **FOR UNE LOOP TO SPECIAL ACCESS SWITCH-AS-IS EXCEED THE**  
3           **COMMISSION'S DETERMINATION OF TELRIC?**

4    A.    The Commission's ordered TELRIC rates for UNE loop transport combination  
5           switch-as-is is \$8.98 for the first DS0. BellSouth's proposed rate for UNE loop  
6           switch-as-is is \$22.00 for the first DS0. As such, BellSouth's proposed rate for  
7           stand-a-lone loop switch-as-is is higher than the Commission's ordered rate for  
8           loop/transport combination switch-as-is. It cannot cost more to migrate first  
9           stand-a-lone loops than it does to migrate first loop/transport combinations. It  
10          must cost less to migrate stand-alone loops than it does to migrate loop/transport  
11          combinations because more is involved in migrating loop/transport  
12          combinations than is involved in migrating stand-alone loop. Thus, BellSouth's  
13          proposed UNE loop switch-as-is rate for first DS0s exceeds the Commission's  
14          determination of TELRIC.

15

16   **Q.    WHAT CHARGES SHOULD APPLY FOR UNE LOOP TO SPECIAL**  
17           **ACCESS SWITCH-AS-IS?**

18   A.    In no event should the charge to migrate the first UNE loop to Special Access be  
19           higher than the Commission ordered \$8.98 first rate for currently combined  
20           UNE Loop/Transport Combination switch-as-is.

21

22

1 **Q. IS FLORIDA EVALUATING THE APPROPRIATE NONRECURRING**  
2 **CHARGE FOR UNE LOOP SWITCH-AS-IS IN ANOTHER CASE?**

3 A. Yes. The Commission in Docket 041269-TP (i.e. the TRRO and TRO Change  
4 of Law case) is involved in an evaluation of these charges.

5

6 **Q. WILL MCI ACCEPT THE DECISION OF THE COMMISSION IN**  
7 **DOCKET 041269-TP IN ITS ICA WITH BELLSOUTH FOR UNES IN**  
8 **FLORIDA?**

9 A. Yes.

10

11 **Q. PENDING THE RESOLUTION OF THIS ISSUE IN THE CHANGE OF**  
12 **LAW CASE, WHAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE RESOLUTION TO**  
13 **THIS ARBITRATION DISPUTE?**

14

15 A. As shown on MCI's proposed Attachment 2 Exhibit A (i.e. Exhibit GJD-2), the  
16 first DS0 migration rate for Loop Switch-As-Is (i.e. USOCs, URESL and  
17 URESP) should be set on an interim basis no higher than \$8.98 (i.e. the  
18 Commission's ordered rates for UNE Loop/Transport Combination switch-as-  
19 is). Also as shown on Exhibit GJD-2, MCI will accept BellSouth's proposed  
20 \$3.16 rate for additional DS0 loop migration and \$4.58 "spreadsheet" migration  
21 rate on an interim basis since these rates are appropriately less than the  
22 Commission's approved loop/transport migration rates.

23



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**D. SPECIAL ACCESS LOOP TO UNE LOOP SWITCH-AS-IS**

**Q. WHAT IS MCI'S DISPUTE CONCERNING SPECIAL ACCESS LOOP TO UNE LOOP SWITCH-AS-IS?**

A. Although MCI and BellSouth have agreed to contract language that would permit special access loops to be migrated to UNE loops,<sup>2</sup> BellSouth has not provided MCI with a means (i.e. ordering codes or USOCs) to accomplish this task.

**Q. WHAT SHOULD BE THE RESOLUTION OF THIS DISPUTE?**

A. USOC(s) should be created so that MCI can order this activity from BellSouth. In addition, whatever rates are determined to be applicable to UNE Loop to Special access switch-as-is should be applicable to Special Access to UNE Loop switch-as-is. This is reasonable because the direction of the migration (i.e. UNE to Special Access versus Special Access to UNE) should have little or no effect on the cost of the migration.

**E. ATTACHMENT 2 EXHIBIT B RATES**

**Q. WHAT IS ATTACHMENT 2 EXHIBIT B OF THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT?**

A. As set forth in the agreement upon contract language (see, Attachment 2, Section 2.1.7) Attachment 2 Exhibit B should contain the rates that will apply

---

<sup>2</sup> See ICA, Attachment 2, Section 1.6.

1 during the transition period set forth by 47 CFR 51.319(a)(4), (5) and (6) and 47  
2 CFR 51.319(e)(ii)(C), (iii)(C) and (iv)(C) to DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber Loops  
3 and Transport in wire centers where it is deemed that CLECs are not impaired  
4 without access to UNEs.

5  
6 **Q. WHAT DOES BELLSOUTH SEEK TO INCLUDE IN ATTACHMENT 2**  
7 **EXHIBIT B?**

8 **A.** BellSouth seeks to include DS1 and DS3 Loops and Transport, 2-wire and 4-  
9 wire HDSL capable loops, DS1 to DS0 multiplexing, and DS0 line card rates in  
10 Attachment 2 Exhibit B.

11  
12 **Q. DOES THIS COMPLY WITH THE AGREED UPON LANGUAGE IN**  
13 **ATTACHMENT 2, SECTION 2.1.7?**

14 **A.** No. 2-wire HDSL-compatible loops, 4-wire HDSL compatible loops, DS1 to  
15 DS0 multiplexing and DS0 line cards are not DS1s, DS3s or Dark Fiber and  
16 therefore rates for these elements should not be in Attachment 2, Exhibit B.

17  
18 **Q. WHAT ARE A 2-WIRE AND 4-WIRE HDSL CAPABLE OR**  
19 **COMPATIBLE LOOPS?**

20 **A.** According to BellSouth's description of these UNEs in Docket 990649A,  
21 HDSL-compatible loops are non-loaded copper facilities provisioned according  
22 to Carrier Serving Area guidelines that can extend up to 12,000 feet in length  
23 and extend from the main distributing frame (MDF) connection in the end office

1 to a demarcation point at the customer's premises (i.e. the NID). In other  
2 words, an HDSL-compatible loop is an insulated copper wire between the MDF  
3 and the NID at the customer premise that is no more than 12,000 feet long.

4  
5 **Q. HOW DO HDSL-COMPATIBLE LOOPS COMPARE TO DS1 OR DS3**  
6 **LOOPS?**

7 A. A DS1 loop is a copper wire that has electronics on both ends that can produce a  
8 DS1 signal. A DS3 loop is a copper wire or a fiber optic cable that has  
9 electronics on both ends that can produce a DS3 signal. An HDSL-compatible  
10 loop does not have electronics on either end and does not produce or carry any  
11 signal. As such, an HDSL-compatible loop is not a DS1 or a DS3.

12  
13 **Q. HOW DO HDSL-COMPATIBLE LOOPS COMPARE TO A DARK**  
14 **FIBER LOOPS?**

15 A. A Dark Fiber loop contains optical fiber material, but an HDSL-compatible loop  
16 contains a copper wire. As such, HDSL-compatible loops are not Dark Fiber  
17 loops.

18  
19 **Q. DID THE FCC'S TRRO AND BELLSOUTH'S EX PARTE**  
20 **PRESENTATIONS DURING TRRO EXPRESSLY STATE THAT HDSL-**  
21 **COMPATIBLE UNE LOOPS WOULD REMAIN AVAILABLE IN WIRE**  
22 **CENTERS WHERE IMPAIRMENT WAS DEEMED NOT TO EXIST AS**  
23 **AN ALTERNATIVE TO DS1 LOOPS?**

1 A. Yes. BellSouth held out the existence and availability of its HDSL-compatible  
2 loops at TELRIC rates as a reason why the FCC should find that CLECs were  
3 not impaired without access to DS1 loops.<sup>3</sup> It is disingenuous for BellSouth to  
4 now argue that the rates for HDSL-compatible loops should be bumped up by  
5 115% as part of the “unimpairment” transition.

6  
7 **Q. BELLSOUTH PROPOSES TO APPLY THE FCC’S 115% MARK-UP TO**  
8 **DS1 TO DS0 MULTIPLEXING AND DS0 LINE CARDS PURCHASED IN**  
9 **“UNIMPAIRED” WIRE CENTERS. DOES THE FCC INDIRECTLY**  
10 **ADDRESS THIS ISSUE?**

11 A. Yes. The FCC’s DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber loop and transport impairment  
12 decision was based on its perceived economics of providing service to enterprise  
13 customers. The FCC’s analysis of DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber loop and transport  
14 impairment included the perceived revenue CLECs could obtain from enterprise  
15 customers as well as the cost economies of providing multiple channels to single  
16 locations.<sup>4</sup>

17 When DS1 to DS0 multiplexing and DS0 line cards are purchased, the  
18 revenue associated with enterprise customers and the cost economies of  
19 providing multiple channels to a single location assumed in the FCC  
20 unimpairment decision are not present.

---

<sup>3</sup> See, In the Matter of Unbundled Access to Network Elements, Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, FCC 04-290, WC Docket No. 04-290, CC Docket No. 01-338, Order on Remand, February 4, 2005 (“TRRO”), footnote 454.

<sup>4</sup> See, TRRO pages 155 through 194.

1

2 **Q. WHAT DO DS1 TO DS0 MULTIPLEXING AND DS0 LINE CARDS**  
3 **PROVIDE?**

4 A. These elements are used in combination with each other and provide the ability  
5 for DS0 loops to be connected to DS1 transport.

6

7 **Q. WHAT DISTINGUISHES DS1 TO DS0 MULTIPLEXING AND DS0 LINE**  
8 **CARDS FROM ENTERPRISE SERVICE?**

9 A. It is important to recognize that the DS1 to DS0 multiplexer and DS0 line cards  
10 being addressed in BellSouth's Attachment 2, Exhibit B rate proposal are  
11 physically located in the BellSouth wire center or remote terminal and to  
12 connect with customers, DS0 loops must be connected to the DS0 line cards that  
13 are placed in DS1 multiplexers. The DS1 to DS0 multiplexing and DS0 line  
14 cards being addressed by BellSouth's Attachment 2 Exhibit B are worthless, and  
15 would not be purchased, without accompanying DS0 loops. Therefore, the  
16 customers being served with the DS1 to DS0 multiplexers and DS0 line cards  
17 are not enterprise customers. The customers being served with the DS1 to DS0  
18 multiplexers and DS0 line cards are DS0 customers, primarily residential and  
19 small business customers.

20 The fact that DS0 line cards and DS1 to DS0 multiplexing are connected  
21 to various residential and small business end users using DS0 loops  
22 distinguishes this configuration from the FCC's "unimpairment" transition

1 because it removes the cost economies of scale and the enterprise revenue  
2 opportunities from the analysis. Accordingly, it would be unreasonable to  
3 conclude that DS1 to DS0 multiplexing and DS0 lines cards should be included  
4 in “unimpairment” transition and it is unreasonable to apply the 115% mark up  
5 to the DS1 to DS0 multiplexing and DS0 line cards as BellSouth has proposed  
6

7 **Q. WHAT SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN ATTACHMENT 2, EXHIBIT B?**

8 A. The only elements that should exist in Attachment 2, Exhibit B are DS1, DS3  
9 and Dark Fiber Loops and Interoffice Transport. In contrast to the Attachment  
10 2 Exhibit B proposal that MCI received from BellSouth, MCI’s proposal  
11 contains the rates for DS1, DS3 and Dark Fiber loops and transport marked up  
12 by 115% over the Commission’s ordered levels and no other rates marked up by  
13 115%.<sup>5</sup>  
14

15 **F. MISCELLANEOUS RATE DISPUTES**

16  
17 **Q. WHAT DOES MCI REQUEST CONCERNING THE**  
18 **APPROPRIATE DS1 AND DS3 MULTIPLEXING, DS1 AND DS3**  
19 **LINE CARDS, LOOP TESTING AND LINE SPLITTER RATES**  
20 **FOR IMPAIRED WIRE CENTERS (I.E. ATTACHMENT 2,**  
21 **EXHIBIT A)?**

---

<sup>5</sup> See, Exhibit GJD-2.

1 A. As noted on Exhibit GJD-2, MCI requests that the rates ordered by the  
2 Commission in Docket 990649A apply for these elements.

3

4 **Q. HAS BELLSOUTH AGREED TO INCLUDE THE RATES**  
5 **ORDERED BY THE COMMISSION IN DOCKET 990649A IN**  
6 **THIS AGREEMENT?**

7 A. Yes. BellSouth has also offered MCI calculated rates for DS1 and DS3  
8 multiplexing and line cards sold in extended loop/transport combinations  
9 in all of its nine states. MCI is continuing to evaluate this proposal and  
10 will decide if it desires the calculated rates proposed by BellSouth or  
11 Commission ordered rates for DS1 and DS3 multiplexing in Florida. As  
12 such, MCI is hopeful that these miscellaneous rate issues can be resolved  
13 by the parties through continued negotiations.

14

15 **G. TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP**

16

17 **Q. HAS MCI PROPOSED ORDERING CODES FOR RECORD**  
18 **CHANGES THAT MAY BE REQUIRED AS A RESULT OF**  
19 **TRANSFERS OF OWNERSHIP?**

20 A. Yes. MCI has included in its proposed Attachment 2, Exhibit A ordering  
21 codes for record changes that may be required as a result of transfers of  
22 ownership.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

**Q. HOW WERE THESE ORDERING CODES DEVELOPED?**

A. At one time during MCI's negotiations with BellSouth, BellSouth had proposed ordering codes for transfer of ownership to MCI. BellSouth has since removed this from its proposal. The ordering codes included in MCI's UNE proposal are the same as those originally proposed by BellSouth. MCI seeks to have these ordering codes available should it need to request record changes such as corporate name changes or changes to other LEC identifiers, such as the OCN, CC, CIC or ACNA. This matter is further addressed under issue 32 in the following.

**ISSUE 9**

*A. What rate should be applicable for the Bulk Migration process?*

*B. Should BellSouth be required to offer the Bulk Migration process for migrations of MCI customers to third-party provided switching?*

*(Attachment 2, Section 2.1.12.1.)*

**MCI Position:** (A) BellSouth must establish discounted rates for the Bulk Migration process to reflect the increased efficiencies of conducting migrations on a bulk basis and comply with the "cost-based" UNE pricing requirements.

(B) Yes. The physical process in such migrations is identical to migrations of MCI customers to MCI-provided switching.

**BST Position:** (A) MCI believes BellSouth's position to be that the Commission's Order rates for Individual Hot Cuts should apply to Bulk Migrations.



1 (B) No. Any involvement of another party, in addition to  
2 BellSouth and MCI, is clearly not "identical" to  
3 migrations that involve only BellSouth and MCI.  
4

5 **Q. WHICH MATTER DO YOU ADDRESS IN THIS ISSUE?**

6 A. My testimony addresses issue 9(a). This issue concerns what rate should apply  
7 for bulk migrations. The testimony of Ms. Sherry Lichtenberg addresses Issue  
8 9(b).  
9

10 **Q. WHAT IS MCI'S UNDERSTANDING OF ISSUE 9(a)?**

11 A. Issue 9(a) concerns the appropriate rate that should be assessed to physically or  
12 electronically "migrate" the "A" location of the loop (i.e. the location that is  
13 within the BellSouth wire center) to a different facility as part of a bulk project.  
14

15 **Q. WHAT IS MCI'S POSITION REGARDING THE RULES APPLICABLE**  
16 **TO THE APPROPRIATE RATE FOR BULK MIGRATIONS?**

17  
18 A. FCC TELRIC rules are applicable to UNE loop bulk migration rates.<sup>6</sup> Thus, the  
19 Commission is required to establish TELRIC-compliant rates for UNE loop bulk  
20 migrations.  
21

22 **Q. SHORT OF REQUIRING A FULL UNE COST CASE, CAN TELRIC**  
23 **COMPLIANT RATES BE DETERMINED FOR BULK LOOP**  
24 **MIGRATIONS?**

---

<sup>6</sup> 47 C.F.R. §51.501, 503, 505, 507, 509, and 511.

1 A. Yes. A “bulk migration” of loops to alternative facilities is comparable to the  
2 individual loop installation process that is the foundation for the Commission  
3 approved UNE Loop installation rates. The primary difference between a “bulk  
4 migration” of UNE loops and an individual installation of UNE loops is  
5 efficiencies are gained by migrating loops as part of a coordinated project  
6 instead of installing loops on an individual case basis.

7

8 **Q. DID BELL SOUTH PREVIOUSLY CONCEDE THAT LOOP BULK HOT**  
9 **CUT RATES SHOULD BE LESS THAN THE NCUC’S ORDERED**  
10 **RATES FOR INDIVIDUAL LOOP INSTALLATION RATES?**

11 A. Yes. As stated in the TRRO, “[r]egion-wide, BellSouth offers a batch hot cut  
12 process at a ten percent discount off of the applicable state-established hot cut  
13 NRC to account for the efficiencies gained by using a batch process.”<sup>7</sup>

14

15 **Q. HAS ANY STATE IN BELL SOUTH’S REGION DECIDED THIS ISSUE?**

16 A. No state in the BellSouth region has completed a cost case concerning the bulk  
17 migration rate for unbundled loops. Florida has a pending docket regarding this  
18 issue, and MCI would be willing to accept the results of the Florida Public  
19 Service Commission and apply its decision in that generic case to MCI’s ICA  
20 with BellSouth. (Docket No. 041338-TP) However, in no event should the

---

<sup>7</sup> TRRO, ¶ 213.

1 Florida bulk hot cut rates be more than 90 percent of the Commission's ordered  
2 rates for individual hot cuts.

3  
4  
5

## ISSUE 12

6  
7  
8  
9

*Should MCI be required to indemnify BellSouth for BellSouth's own negligent act committed in conjunction with BellSouth's provision of PBX Locate Service? (Attachment 2, Section 7.4.2.2.)*

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

**MCI Position:** No. BellSouth should be responsible for its own torts and the parties already have agreed to comprehensive indemnification language in the General Terms and Conditions section.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**BST Position:** In conjunction with its obligation to provide 911 service to MCI as a UNE, BellSouth voluntarily makes available to MCI its PBX Locate Service, which is identical to BellSouth's retail product, Pinpoint. The Pinpoint product allows BellSouth's retail customers to identify for emergency personnel the locale of an incoming 911 call in a campus/hotel/hospital environment. Because this is a retail offering that BellSouth provides to its wholesale customers through PBX Locate, MCI may purchase the product but only at the same terms and conditions that apply to BellSouth's retail customers.

28

**Q. WHAT IS THE DISPUTE BETWEEN MCI AND BELLSOUTH THAT**

29

**GAVE RISE TO ISSUE 12?**

30

A. BellSouth has agreed to offer its 911 PBX Locate Database Capability to MCI.

31

MCI's end user or end user's database management agent will provide the end

32

user's PBX station numbers and corresponding address and location data to

33

BellSouth's 911 database vendor, who will maintain it in BellSouth's database.

34

BellSouth's proposed agreement language requests that MCI indemnify

1 BellSouth for BellSouth's own negligence committed in conjunction with the  
2 provision of this service. This is unreasonable, because BellSouth should be  
3 responsible for its own torts. The parties have already agreed to comprehensive  
4 indemnification language in Section 5 of the General Terms and Conditions of  
5 the Agreement, and there is no reason for a special carve-out for this service.  
6 Further, the Commission should not impose an indemnification obligation on  
7 MCI that MCI is not willing to undertake. As such, BellSouth's proposed  
8 language for Attachment 2, Section 7.4.2.2 should be rejected and MCI's  
9 proposed language should be adopted.

10  
11 **ISSUE 27**

12 *What terms and conditions apply when one party interferes with or impairs the*  
13 *other party's ability to provide service? (Attachment 4, Sections 5.18, 5.18.1*  
14 *and Attachment 2, Sections 2.11.1, 2.11.1.2, 2.11.1.3, 2.11.2.)*

15  
16 **MCI position:** *BellSouth has proposed language that would give it*  
17 *nearly unbridled authority to disconnect MCI's collocated*  
18 *equipment and facilities. Electronic transmissions*  
19 *necessarily cause some degree of interference and it is*  
20 *therefore inappropriate for BellSouth to have unlimited*  
21 *discretion as to how much interference will be allowed.*  
22 *So long as MCI's collocated equipment and facilities*  
23 *operate within explicit national standards or applicable*  
24 *law, disconnection should not be authorized, except in the*  
25 *event of a threat of loss of life or damage to property.*

26  
27 *MCI's language appropriately and fairly requires that*  
28 *BellSouth shall not knowingly deploy or maintain*  
29 *facilities or equipment that, in excess of that permitted by*  
30 *national standards or law, interferes with or impairs*  
31 *service over MCI's facilities, or which causes damage to*  
32 *MCI's plant. Nor should BellSouth disconnect, remove or*  
33 *attempt to repair MCI's facilities, without its consent.*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5

*MCI's proposed language, moreover, unlike BellSouth's collocation language, requires each party to reasonably notify the other of situations that may result in service problems.*

6  
7  
8  
9

**BST Position:**

The parties have already agreed that BellSouth will not knowingly interfere with or impair MCI's ability to provide service. MCI should be subject to this same obligation.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

MCI should not be permitted to use any product or service provided under this Agreement that interferes with or impairs BellSouth's or another carrier's ability to provide service. If BellSouth reasonably determines that any equipment or facilities of MCI violates the provisions of this paragraph, BellSouth shall provide written notice to MCI and request that MCI cure the violation 48 hours or, if such cure is not feasible, to commence curative measures within twenty-four (24) hours and exercise reasonable diligence to complete such measures as soon as possible thereafter. If MCI fails to do either, or if the violation is of a character that poses an immediate and substantial threat of damage to property or injury or death to any person, or any other significant degradation, interference or impairment of BellSouth's or another entity's service, then and only in that event, BellSouth may take such action as it deems necessary to eliminate such threat including, without limitation, the interruption of electrical power to MCI's equipment and/or facilities.

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

**Q. WHAT IS THE BACKGROUND TO THIS ISSUE?**

A. Section 5.18 of Attachment 4 and its subparts concern interference or impairment to BellSouth's or a third party's services caused by a collocator's equipment or services. It is important to understand, as a preliminary matter, that *all* electronic equipment to some degree interferes with, degrades or impairs the transmissions and signals of nearby electronic equipment. Thus BellSouth's

1 central office equipment interferes with CLECs' collocated equipment, and vice  
2 versa. The North Carolina Utilities Commission so recognized in approving  
3 generic collocation language that permits neither party to a collocation  
4 agreement to interfere with impair service in excess of that explicitly  
5 permitted by applicable law or national standards. See §5.1.1, Standard  
6 Offering, May 14, 2004 (revised in other respects, March 10, 2005), In re:  
7 Generic Collocation, Docket No. P-100, Sub 133j. Given the rights of CLECs  
8 to collocate, the first question is how much electronic interference or impairment  
9 is appropriate.

10

11 **Q. IS THERE LANGUAGE IN ATTACHMENT 4 (COLLOCATION)**  
12 **THAT ADDRESSES THIS QUESTION?**

13 A. Yes, and MCI and BellSouth have agreed on the answer to this first question.  
14 Section 5.18 states that MCI shall not use any service or equipment that  
15 significantly degrades, interferes with or impairs BellSouth's or another's  
16 service in excess of what is explicitly permitted under law or national standards,  
17 or that endangers or damages BellSouth's or another's facilities, or that  
18 compromises the privacy of communications, unless authorized by tariff or law,  
19 or that creates an unreasonable risk of injury or death. MCI and BellSouth have  
20 also agreed that BellSouth may provide notice directing MCI to cure any such  
21 violations, and, in Section 5.18.1, that, except in some circumstances (which are  
22 described in agreed-upon language in Section 5.18.2), if the violation is of such

1 character as to pose an immediate and substantial threat of damage to property  
2 or injury or death to any person, then and only in that event, BellSouth may take  
3 such action as it deems necessary to eliminate such threat including, without  
4 limitation, the interruption of electrical power to MCI's equipment or facilities.

5

6 **Q. WHAT, THEN, IS THE ISSUE WITH INTERFERENCE OR**  
7 **IMPAIRMENT REGARDING COLLOCATION?**

8 A. The remedies available to BellSouth are potentially drastic in their application.  
9 The issue is the circumstances under which BellSouth may take such actions,  
10 and what, if any, notice of such actions BellSouth needs to provide MCI.  
11 BellSouth's proposed language would give BellSouth virtually unlimited  
12 authority to disconnect MCI's equipment, and without notice.

13

14 **Q. WHAT IS THE DISPUTED LANGUAGE CONCERNING THIS ISSUE IN**  
15 **ATTACHMENT 4?**

16 A. BellSouth first proposes that it may take any action as it deems necessary,  
17 including the interruption of power, not only in those instances in which there is  
18 an immediate and substantial threat of damage, injury or death, but also in any  
19 circumstance in which any service or equipment significantly degrades,  
20 interferes with or impairs BellSouth's or another's service (whether or not in  
21 excess of what is explicitly permitted under law or national standards), or  
22 endangers or damages BellSouth's or another's facilities, or compromises the

1 privacy of communications (unless authorized by tariff or law), or creates an  
2 unreasonable risk of injury or death, and MCI fails to cure the purported  
3 violation within a limited window.

4 Second, although BellSouth agrees that it will attempt to provide notice  
5 to MCI prior to the taking of action, it disavows any requirement to provide  
6 prior notice, as well as any liability for any damages to MCI resulting from such  
7 action, except for willful misconduct.

8

9 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO BELLSOUTH'S LANGUAGE?**

10 A. Only in the event of a threat of injury, loss of life or damage to property should  
11 BellSouth be permitted to exercise its power to "pull the plug" on MCI's  
12 equipment. Moreover, MCI does not propose that BellSouth necessarily *must*  
13 contact it in every conceivable situation; however, BellSouth should have to  
14 *attempt* to contact MCI, and it is unreasonable for BellSouth to propose  
15 otherwise. MCI has communicated to BellSouth that MCI would accept any  
16 reasonable network interference language as long as such language is mutual  
17 and that it applies throughout the agreement. BellSouth insists on having  
18 separate network interference language in the collocation attachment. While  
19 MCI is willing to accommodate Bellsouth on this matter, MCI continues to  
20 believe that the protections afforded to each party should be symmetric.  
21 BellSouth has refused even this.



1           Finally, in Section 5.18.1 BellSouth also proposes that the term  
2           “advanced service” should be “as described in Section 5.18.2,” when what is  
3           described in that section, which consists of agreed-upon language only, is  
4           “advanced service which significantly degrades the performance of other  
5           advanced services or traditional voice band services.” Thus BellSouth’s  
6           introduction of the dependent phrase, “as described in Section 5.18.2,” to  
7           somehow further define “advanced service,” is redundant and confusing.

8

9   **Q.   WHAT IS THE DISPUTED LANGUAGE IN ATTACHMENT 2**  
10 **(NETWORK ELEMENTS AND OTHER SERVICES) CONCERNING**  
11 **THIS ISSUE?**

12   A.   It is important to remember that Attachment 2 concerns the obligation of  
13       BellSouth pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §251(c)(3) to provide unbundled network  
14       elements to MCI. BellSouth, having rejected network interference language  
15       that would apply throughout the interconnection agreement, and instead having  
16       insisted on language in Attachment 4 that *unilaterally* protects it from network  
17       interference, now insists that language regarding the provision by it of UNEs be  
18       *reciprocally* applied, so that, once again, CLECs are obligated to protect  
19       BellSouth’s network. Thus, in the section headed “Network Interface,” and its  
20       subparts (collectively, Section 2.11.1), BellSouth wants to require MCI to  
21       refrain from the knowing deployment or maintenance of circuits, facilities or

1 equipment that interfere with or impair service of the other party or a third party,  
2 or that cause damage to BellSouth's plant.

3

4 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE?**

5 A. Not only is the proposed extension of this language to CLECs redundant, given  
6 the unilateral protections of BellSouth in the network interference language in  
7 Attachment 4, but BellSouth cannot have it both ways: the language in  
8 Attachment 4 cannot be unilateral, while the language in Attachment 2 is  
9 reciprocal. Either the network interference language (to the extent it is  
10 reasonable) in both sections is reciprocal, or, if (reasonable) language is to be  
11 unilaterally applied against MCI in Attachment 4, as MCI concedes, then such  
12 language should be unilaterally applied against BellSouth in Attachment 2.

13

14 **Q. WHY HAS BELLSOUTH OBJECTED TO MCI'S PROPOSAL TO**  
15 **MAKE THE LANGUAGE IN ATTACHMENT 4 SYMMETRIC?**

16 A. I understand that BellSouth says that collocation is a special case, and that MCI  
17 cannot have the same rights within a BellSouth central office that BellSouth has.  
18 For instance, BellSouth says that MCI can not be allowed to access BellSouth's  
19 equipment or the equipment of third parties in order to "pull the plug" on it.

20

21 **Q. HOW DO YOU RESPOND?**

1 A. MCI concedes this subtle difference, but MCI has suggested a solution.  
2 BellSouth proposes that BellSouth should be allowed to disable MCI's  
3 equipment to protect the network of BellSouth or a third party from interference  
4 caused by MCI's equipment. MCI would be willing to accept this, if BellSouth  
5 were obligated to use the same procedures to protect MCI's network. That is,  
6 BellSouth should be obligated to disable BellSouth's own equipment, or the  
7 equipment of a third party, to protect MCI's network from interference caused  
8 by the equipment of BellSouth or a third party. This suggestion addresses  
9 BellSouth's concern about MCI's access to BellSouth's office, while providing  
10 MCI nondiscriminatory protection against network interference.

11

12

#### ISSUE 29

13

*What are the appropriate rates for collocation, including:*

14

15

*(a) for conversion of virtual to physical collocation;*

16

17

*(Attachment 4, Pricing Attachment.)*

18

19

20

**MCI Position:** BellSouth's per circuit conversion charges have not been approved by the Commission and are unreasonable and discriminatory.

21

22

23

24

25

**BST Position:** BellSouth proposed charges for the conversion from virtual to physical collocation are TELRIC based.

26

27

28

29

30

**Q. WHAT DOES BELLSOUTH PROPOSE FOR VIRTUAL TO PHYSICAL**

31

**COLLOCATION RELOCATION OF CIRCUITS?**

1 A. BellSouth proposes that it be permitted to charge \$33 for each DS0 and \$52 for  
2 each DS1 or DS3 it may relocate should MCI request to change a virtual  
3 collocation to a physical collocation.

4  
5 **Q. WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A PHYSICAL**  
6 **COLLOCATION AND A VIRTUAL COLLOCATION?**

7  
8 A. The major difference between a physical and virtual collocation is that with  
9 virtual collocation, BellSouth leases MCI's equipment, and the equipment is  
10 located in BellSouth's equipment line up. With physical collocation, MCI's  
11 equipment is located in MCI's collocation room, cage or area.

12  
13 **Q. WHAT TASKS WOULD BELLSOUTH HAVE TO PERFORM TO**  
14 **RELOCATE CIRCUITS TO CHANGE FROM VIRTUAL TO PHYSICAL**  
15 **COLLOCATION?**

16 A. BellSouth would have to do the following tasks: plan the removal of MCI's  
17 equipment from its line up; remove MCI's equipment and give it to MCI; and,  
18 change the identifiers for MCI's facilities in its systems (e.g. TIRKS) from  
19 virtual to physical. This system change is necessary so that BellSouth can bill  
20 MCI its corresponding collocations rates.

21 MCI's collocated equipment, whether virtually or physically collocated,  
22 interface with BellSouth's equipment through a meet point of some kind. As  
23 such, no changes are necessary to facilities on BellSouth's side of the meet  
24 point.

1  
2 **Q. HOW LONG SHOULD IT TAKE BELLSOUTH TO PLAN THE**  
3 **REMOVAL OF MCI'S EQUIPMENT AND REMOVE MCI'S**  
4 **EQUIPMENT FROM ITS LINE UP?**

5 **A.** The amount of time it would take BellSouth to plan and remove MCI's  
6 equipment from its line up would depend on the type of equipment and how  
7 much equipment needs to be removed. From a cost recovery and pricing  
8 perspective, what is important in this case is that primary cost driver is not the  
9 number of circuits. The primary cost drivers are the amount of equipment to be  
10 relocated and the relocation request in general. BellSouth's cost in this regard  
11 does not vary significantly depending on the number of circuits. As such, most  
12 of virtual to physical relocation costs should be recovered through non-variable  
13 charge(s).

14  
15 **Q. DOES BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSED VIRTUAL TO PHYSICAL**  
16 **RELOCATION RATE STRUCTURE COMPLY WITH HOW ITS COSTS**  
17 **ARE INCURRED?**

18 **A.** No. BellSouth proposes a per circuit rate for virtual to physical relocation that  
19 does not vary by volume. As such, BellSouth proposed per circuit rate structure  
20 for virtual to physical relocation fails to comply with how its costs are incurred.

21  
22 **Q. HOW MUCH TIME SHOULD IT TAKE BELLSOUTH TO MAKE**  
23 **RECORD CHANGES IN ITS SYSTEMS?**

1 A. If BellSouth had to change the record for each circuit individually, because  
2 MCI is only relocating some of its facilities, it should take BellSouth no more  
3 than 30 seconds per circuit. However, a typical virtual to physical relocation  
4 would be a situation where MCI would be relocating all of its collocated  
5 facilities. Therefore, BellSouth should be able to make global changes to its  
6 system(s) so that all facilities on each piece of MCI equipment are re-identified  
7 with one system entry. As such, on a per circuit basis, BellSouth's labor time to  
8 change the records in its systems for virtual to physical collocation relocation  
9 should be much, much less than 30 seconds, because the amount of time to make  
10 an individual record change (e.g. 30 seconds) should be divided by the number  
11 of circuits on each piece of equipment.

12  
13

14 **Q. WHAT DOES MCI BELIEVE SHOULD BE A REASONABLE INTERIM  
15 PER CIRCUIT RELOCATION RATE?**

16 A. Assuming a \$40 hourly labor rate and 30 seconds to make a record change, it  
17 costs \$0.33 per DS0, DS1 or DS3 to re-identify circuits. As such, a charge of  
18 no more than \$0.33 per DS0, DS1 or DS3 circuit would be a reasonable interim  
19 relocation rate until a full cost analysis can be completed.

20

21 **Q. WOULD THIS \$0.33 CHARGE RECOVER BELLSOUTH'S COST TO  
22 PLAN THE RELOCATION AND REMOVE MCI'S EQUIPMENT FROM  
23 ITS LINE UP?**

1 A. No. Separate charge(s) may have to be developed for this.

2

3 **Q. IS IT POSSIBLE THAT BELLSOUTH'S OVERHEAD COSTS TO PLAN**  
4 **COLLOCATIONS ARE RECOVERED THROUGH EXISTING UNE**  
5 **RATES?**

6 A. Yes. As such, it is possible that absent offsetting reductions to other rates, no  
7 new charges for planning virtual to physical collocation would be warranted. It  
8 is important to keep in mind that UNE ratemaking for individual rate elements  
9 must take into account costs allocated and recovered by the rates for all other  
10 elements.

11

12 **Q. HAS BELLSOUTH PROVIDED MCI WITH ITS COST SUPPORT FOR**  
13 **ITS PROPOSED RATES?**

14 A. No. As such, MCI cannot provide a more accurate analysis at this time. MCI  
15 only knows for sure that BellSouth's proposed rate structure is not correct and is  
16 unreasonable.

17

18

## **BILLING**

19

### **ISSUE 32**

20 *What charges, if any, should be imposed for records changes made by*  
21 *the parties to reflect changes in corporate names or other LEC*  
22 *identifiers such as OCN, CC, CIC and ACNA?(Attachment 7, Section*  
23 *1.14.1; Pricing Attachment.)*

24

25 **MCI Position:** Each party must make a number of changes (e.g., to the  
26 LERG, and to the CLLI) when merger activity occurs.

1 Each party benefits from these changes, and thus each  
2 party should bear its own expenses.  
3

4 **BST Position:** This issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this  
5 proceeding because it involves a request by MCI that is  
6 not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant  
7 to § 251 of the Act. BellSouth's Merger and Acquisition  
8 process available on its interconnection website explains  
9 the process for obtaining rates for records changes  
10 associated with merger and acquisition activity. Requests  
11 of this type are initiated based on a business decision  
12 made by MCI, consequently the associated charges to  
13 perform this work should be borne by MCI.  
14  
15

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE DISPUTED CONTRACT LANGUAGE THAT THIS**  
17 **ISSUE CONCERNS?**

18 A. The disputed contract language is as follows with agreed upon language in  
19 normal type, MCI's proposed language in bold italic type and BellSouth's  
20 proposed language in bold underline type:

21  
22 1.14.1 ***If a Party MCI*** needs to change, ***add to, eliminate or convert*** any of its  
23 ***OCN(s), ACNA(s) or other*** identifying codes or numbers ***(collectively***  
24 ***"Company Identifiers")*** under which it ***operates when MCI has***  
25 ***already been conducts conducting*** business ***utilizing those Company***  
26 ***Identifiers,*** in addition to complying with any ***industry*** requirements for  
27 changing the code or number, it shall also give the other Party notice in  
28 order to allow it to update its records without disrupting service. ***Both***  
29 ***Parties MCI*** shall ***bear pay all their own costs charges as a result of***  
30 ***such change, addition, elimination or conversion to the new***  
31 ***Company Identifiers. Such charges include, but or not limited to, all***  
32 ***time required to make system updates to all of MCI's End User***  
33 ***records and any other changes to BellSouth systems or MCI records,***  
34 ***and will be handled in a separately negotiated agreement or as***  
35 ***otherwise required by BellSouth when making such administrative***  
36 ***changes.***  
37  
38



1 **Q. AS WOULD BE REQUIRED BY BELLSOUTH'S PROPOSED**  
2 **AGREEMENT LANGUAGE, IS IT APPROPRIATE FOR MCI TO BE**  
3 **REQUIRED TO PAY BELLSOUTH FOR COSTS INCURRED FOR**  
4 **ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES AND BELLSOUTH NOT BE**  
5 **REQUIRED TO PAY MCI FOR COSTS INCURRED AS A RESULT OF**  
6 **ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES?**

7  
8 A. No. If any such separate charges are warranted, the contract provisions should  
9 be reciprocal and BellSouth should likewise be required to pay MCI for costs it  
10 may incur as a result of administrative changes.

11  
12 **Q. ARE THE COSTS THAT BELLSOUTH INCURS TO CHANGE**  
13 **BILLING IDENTIFIERS CAPTURED IN THE COMMON COST THAT**  
14 **WAS APPLIED TO ALL RECURRING AND NONRECURRING UNE**  
15 **RATES?**

16 A. Yes. Again, similar to the case of service rearrangement charges that I  
17 have already discussed, changing billing identifiers is an activity the  
18 BellSouth has done for decades and BellSouth's historical cost  
19 associated with changing billing identifiers for customers was not  
20 removed from the development of the factors used to create the currently  
21 effective UNE rates.

22 **Q. SINCE BELLSOUTH RECOVERS THE FORWARD-LOOKING**  
23 **COST OF CHANGING BILLING IDENTIFIERS THROUGH ITS**

1           **EXISTING RECURRING AND NONRECURRING UNE RATES,**  
2           **SHOULD NEW SEPARATE NONRECURRING CHARGES FOR**  
3           **RECORD CHANGES BE CREATED?**

4    A.    No.  As I discussed above regarding BellSouth's proposed service  
5           rearrangement charges, the FCC UNE pricing rules<sup>8</sup> do not permit  
6           BellSouth to create **any** new UNE rates without either an offsetting  
7           reduction to existing UNE rates, or a determination that the activity in  
8           question was not part of the Commission calculation of TELRIC and  
9           new cost case to reset TELRIC.

10

11   **Q.    WHAT COULD BE DONE TO ESTABLISH RECORD CHANGE**  
12           **CHARGES THAT DO NOT VIOLATE FCC UNE PRICING**  
13           **RULES?**

14    A.    To comply with FCC UNE pricing rules and the Commission's prior  
15           determination of TELRIC, the Commission would have to do three  
16           things to eliminate double cost recovery.  First, the Commission would  
17           have to determine if the rates being proposed reflect the forward-looking  
18           nonrecurring cost incurred for the activity.  Second, the Commission  
19           would have to calculate the amount of revenue that would be generated  
20           by whatever is determined to be the appropriate record change  
21           nonrecurring charges.  Third, the Commission would need to reduce

---

<sup>8</sup> 47 CFR 51.511(a).

1 existing UNE loop recurring rates to offset the additional revenue created  
2 by the new record change nonrecurring rates.

3

4 **Q. IS THE ABOVE ACTIVITY NECESSARY?**

5 A. No. There is no reason for BellSouth to create a separate charge to be  
6 assessed upon MCI for record changes. This activity should continue to  
7 be considered to be a normal and administrative cost of doing business  
8 and any costs caused by this activity should continue to be recovered by  
9 BellSouth through the factors applied to all recurring and nonrecurring  
10 UNE rates. As such, it would be reasonable to create ordering codes for  
11 this activity and set the rates for this activity at zero. This is what MCI  
12 proposes in its Attachment 2, Exhibit A (See, Exhibit GJD-2, USOCs  
13 URETE and URETC).

14

15 **Q. SHOULD ANY CHARGES FOR RECORD CHANGES BE PERMITTED?**

16 A. No. Not only would BellSouth's language require MCI, and MCI only, to pay  
17 in the event that LEC identifiers are changed, BellSouth would require that MCI  
18 pay charges that BellSouth has separately and unilaterally determined and that  
19 BellSouth failed to disclose throughout the parties' negotiations. The recently  
20 concluded bankruptcy proceedings in the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern  
21 District of New York involving MCI and its corporate parent and affiliates  
22 expressly authorized the reorganization of those companies, including the  
23 mergers of MCI and affiliated local exchange carriers, and transfers of local

1 exchange-related assets to MCI from other affiliated carriers. MCI's Plan of  
2 Reorganization in the bankruptcy case precludes carriers, including BellSouth,  
3 from assessing charges on MCI for the consolidation of entities carried out  
4 pursuant to the Plan. The bankruptcy court entered an order approving the Plan.  
5 BellSouth was a party to the bankruptcy cases and is therefore bound by them.  
6 Thus, to the extent that BellSouth seeks recovery of costs relating to such  
7 mergers and transfers, it is foreclosed by the orders of the bankruptcy court and  
8 BellSouth risks violations of the orders in effect from that court.

9  
10 **ISSUE 33**

11 *How should the rate for the calculation of late payments be determined?*  
12 *(Attachment 7, Section 1.17.)*

13  
14 **MCI Position:** The late payment rate should be included in the  
15 agreement and capped by applicable law.  
16

17 **BST Position:** BellSouth is willing to agree to language requiring  
18 it to comply with applicable law regarding late  
19 payment charges. It is unnecessary to include a  
20 late payment pricing table.  
21

22 **Q. WHAT IS THE DISPUTE BETWEEN THE PARTIES IN ISSUE 33?**

23 A. MCI does not believe its interconnection agreement with BellSouth should  
24 incorporate by reference any provisions of any BellSouth tariff.  
25

26 **Q. IS BELLSOUTH'S REQUEST TO HAVE UNILATERAL CONTROL**  
27 **OVER THE RATE FOR LATE PAYMENT FEES REASONABLE?**

1 A. No. Neither party should have unilateral control over any rate charged under the  
2 agreement. BellSouth's request in this regard is completely unreasonable and  
3 should be rejected.

4

5 **Q. WHAT IS MCI'S PROPOSAL IN THIS REGARD?**

6

7 A. MCI proposes that the late payment rate be included in the Agreement and  
8 capped by applicable law would be subject to change only by agreement or the  
9 change of law process. MCI's language is reasonable, complies with the Act  
10 and should be adopted.

11

#### ISSUE 34

12

*What terms and conditions apply to:*

13

14

*(A) nonpayment of past due billings and additional amounts that  
become past due during any suspension?*

15

16

*(B) Nonpayment of a requested deposit?*

17

18

19

**MCI Position:**

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The process proposed by MCI should be used. This process is similar to the process currently in place. BellSouth proposes a process that would enable it, in the event of any payment that is not on time on an account, and regardless whether payment is disputed, to discontinue service and take other actions unilaterally and broadly, which is inappropriate. BellSouth should be required to go through the dispute resolution process before discontinuing service.

29

**BST Position:**

30

31

32

33

Based on MCI's prior financial history, including the filing of bankruptcy, MCI should pay all billings and then dispute. Accordingly, BellSouth should have the ability to suspend, discontinue, or terminate service for nonpayment of billings.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

In addition, MCI should be required to pay any additional, undisputed amounts that become past due during any suspension or cure period.

Regarding deposits, there is no dispute that BellSouth can request a deposit. Thus, BellSouth should have the right to suspend, discontinue, or terminate for nonpayment of a deposit request.

**Q. WHAT IS THE DISPUTE BETWEEN THE PARTIES WITH ISSUE 34?**

A. BellSouth seeks to change the existing process so that it would be able to suspend and disconnect all services to MCI, even when bills are in dispute. BellSouth thus proposes to resort to self-help that would have dire consequences for consumers and businesses alike.

**Q. IS MCI'S PROPOSED DISPUTE RESOLUTION LANGUAGE CONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF ITS EXISITING ICA WITH BELLSOUTH?**

A. Yes. MCI proposes a process consistent with that contained in the parties' current interconnection agreement. For non-disputed amounts owed, MCI's language would enable BellSouth to take action to suspend and disconnect services to MCI. For disputed amounts, BellSouth would be required to go through the dispute resolution process before taking any action to suspend and disconnect services. In either case, the services to be suspended or disconnected would be those related to the accounts on which payment is past due.

1

2 **Q. WOULD IT BE REASONABLE TO PERMIT BELLSOUTH TO**  
3 **SUSPEND AND DISCONNECT MCI SERVICES WHEN BILLS FOR**  
4 **SUCH SERVICES ARE IN DISPUTE?**

5 A. No. This provision would permit BellSouth to render inaccurate bills to MCI,  
6 and disconnect MCI's service if MCI were to dispute the inaccurate bills. This  
7 is not reasonable and BellSouth proposed agreement language should be  
8 rejected.

9

10 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

11 A. Yes.

**GREGORY J. DARNELL**  
**PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

7/1/05 – Date EXECUTIVE STAFF MEMBER, MCI, REGULATORY ECONOMICS

Responsibilities: Define public policy and ensure effective advocacy.

4/20/04 – 6/30/05 SENIOR MANAGER, MCI, REGULATORY ECONOMICS

Responsibilities: Define public policy and ensure effective advocacy.

6/21/96 – 4/20/04 REGIONAL SENIOR MANAGER, MCI WORLDCOM, INC., PUBLIC POLICY.

Responsibilities: Define public policy and ensure effective advocacy throughout BellSouth Region.

9/1/95 - 6/21/96 SENIOR STAFF SPECIALIST III, MCI, NATIONAL ACCESS POLICY.

Responsibilities: Define MCI's national access policies and educate field personnel. Present MCI's access policy positions to Executive Management and obtain concordance.

9/1/94 - 9/1/95 SENIOR STAFF SPECIALIST III, MCI, CARRIER RELATIONS.

Responsibilities: Manage MCI's business relationship with ALLTEL.

1/1/93 - 9/1/94 SENIOR STAFF SPECIALIST II, MCI, SOUTHERN CARRIER MANAGEMENT.

Responsibilities: Chief of Staff.

9/1/91 - 1/1/93 MANAGER, MCI, ECONOMIC ANALYSIS.

Responsibilities: Testify before state utility commissions on access issues. Write tariff and rulemaking pleadings before the FCC. Serve as MCI's expert on Local Exchange Carrier revenue requirements, demand forecasts and access rate structures.

1/1/90 - 9/1/91 SENIOR STAFF SPECIALIST I, MCI, FEDERAL REGULATORY.

Responsibilities: Direct FCC tariff and rulemaking analysis. Provide access cost input to MCI's Business Plan. Write and file petitions against annual tariff filings and requests for rulemaking. Train State Utility Commissions on the use and design of financial databases.



*1/1/89 - 1/1/90 STAFF SPECIALIST III, MCI, FEDERAL REGULATORY.*

*Responsibilities: Track and monitor tariff transmittals for Ameritech, BellSouth, SWBT and U S West. Author petitions opposing RBOC tariff filings. Represent MCI at National Ordering and Billing Forum.*

***Exhibit GJD-1 (CONT)***

*10/9/87 - 1/1/89 SUPERVISOR, MCI, TELCO COST ANALYSIS*

*Responsibilities: Supervise team of analysts in their review of interstate access tariff changes. Coordinate updates to Special Access billing system.*

*1/1/86 - 10/9/87 FINANCIAL ANALYST III, MCI, TELCO COST.*

*Responsibilities: Analyze MCI's access costs and produce forecasts.*

*6/1/85 - 1/1/86 STAFF ADMINISTRATOR II, MCI, LITIGATION SUPPORT.*

*Responsibilities: Support MCI's antitrust counsel in taking depositions, preparing interrogatories and document requests.*

*1/1/84 - 6/1/85 PRODUCTION ANALYST, MCI, LITIGATION SUPPORT.*

*Responsibilities: Review and abstract MCI and AT&T documents obtained in MCI's antitrust litigation.*

*8/1/82 - 1/1/84 LEGAL ASSISTANT, GARDNER, CARTON AND DOUGLAS.*

*Responsibilities: Research and obtain information from the FCC, FERC and SEC.*

**EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCE**

*9/1/00 – 12/15/04 UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND UNIVERSITY COLLEGE,  
M.S. TELECOMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT*

*Studies: Network & Internet Engineering, MIS Integration, Management Accounting, International Public Policy, Strategic and Organizational Management of Technology, and IT Acquisition.*

*9/1/91 - 1/1/93 GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY,*

*GRADUATE SCHOOL OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS.*

*Studies: Public Policy, Electrical Engineering and Economics.*

*9/1/78 - 6/1/82 UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, B.A.B.S.S., ECONOMICS.*

*Studies: Macro and Micro Economics, Statistics, Calculus, Astronomy and Music.*





UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida										Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A				
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)					Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge Without Outside Dispatch (UCL-ND)	N		UEQ	UREWO		14.27	7.43								
	<b>2-WIRE ANALOG VOICE GRADE LOOP</b>															
	2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Service Level 2 w/Loop or Ground Start Signaling - Zone 1		1	UEA	UEAL2	12.24	135.75	82.47	63.53	12.01						
	2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Service Level 2 w/Loop or Ground Start Signaling - Zone 2		2	UEA	UEAL2	17.40	135.75	82.47	63.53	12.01						
	2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Service Level 2 w/Loop or Ground Start Signaling - Zone 3		3	UEA	UEAL2	30.87	135.75	82.47	63.53	12.01						
	2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Service Level 2 w/Reverse Battery Signaling - Zone 1		1	UEA	UEAR2	12.24	135.75	82.47	63.53	12.01						
	2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Service Level 2 w/Reverse Battery Signaling - Zone 2		2	UEA	UEAR2	17.40	135.75	82.47	63.53	12.01						
	2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Service Level 2 w/Reverse Battery Signaling - Zone 3		3	UEA	UEAR2	30.87	135.75	82.47	63.53	12.01						
	Order Coordination for Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			UEA	OCOSL		23.02									
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Single LSR, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		24.97	3.52								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Spreadsheet, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		26.46	5.01								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Single LSR, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		<8.98	3.52								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Spreadsheet, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		<8.98	5.01								
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch	N		UEA	UREWO		87.71	36.35								
	Loop Tagging - Service Level 2 (SL2)			UEA	URET		11.21	1.10								
	<b>4-WIRE ANALOG VOICE GRADE LOOP</b>															
	4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 1		1	UEA	UEAL4	18.89	167.86	115.15	67.08	15.56						
	4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 2		2	UEA	UEAL4	26.84	167.86	115.15	67.08	15.56						
	4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 3		3	UEA	UEAL4	47.62	167.86	115.15	67.08	15.56						
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Single LSR, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		24.97	3.52								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Spreadsheet, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		26.46	5.01								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Single LSR, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		<8.98	3.52								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Spreadsheet, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		<8.98	5.01								
	Order Coordination for Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			UEA	OCOSL		23.02									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch	N		UEA	UREWO		87.71	36.35								
	<b>2-WIRE ISDN DIGITAL GRADE LOOP</b>															
	2-Wire ISDN Digital Grade Loop - Zone 1		1	UDN	U1L2X	19.28	147.69	94.41	62.23	10.71						
	2-Wire ISDN Digital Grade Loop - Zone 2		2	UDN	U1L2X	27.40	147.69	94.41	62.23	10.71						
	2-Wire ISDN Digital Grade Loop - Zone 3		3	UDN	U1L2X	48.62	147.69	94.41	62.23	10.71						
	Order Coordination For Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			UDN	OCOSL		23.02									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch	N		UDN	UREWO		91.61	44.15								
	<b>2-WIRE ASYMMETRICAL DIGITAL SUBSCRIBER LINE (ADSL) COMPATIBLE LOOP</b>															
	2 Wire Unbundled ADSL Loop including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UAL	UAL2X	8.30	149.53	103.85	75.05	15.63						
	2 Wire Unbundled ADSL Loop including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UAL	UAL2X	11.80	149.53	103.85	75.05	15.63						
	2 Wire Unbundled ADSL Loop including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UAL	UAL2X	20.94	149.53	103.85	75.05	15.63						
	2 Wire Unbundled ADSL Loop without manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UAL	UAL2W	8.30	124.83	71.12	60.64	9.12						
	2 Wire Unbundled ADSL Loop without manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UAL	UAL2W	11.80	124.83	71.12	60.64	9.12						
	2 Wire Unbundled ADSL Loop without manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UAL	UAL2W	20.94	124.83	71.12	60.64	9.12						
	Order Coordination for Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			UAL	OCOSL		23.02									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch	N		UAL	UREWO		86.19	40.39								
	<b>2-WIRE HIGH BIT RATE DIGITAL SUBSCRIBER LINE (HDSL) COMPATIBLE LOOP</b>															
	2 Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UHL	UHL2X	7.22	159.09	113.41	75.05	15.63						

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida											Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A			
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)					Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l
	2 Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UHL	UHL2X	10.26	159.09	113.41	75.05	15.63						
	2 Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UHL	UHL2X	18.21	159.09	113.41	75.05	15.63						
	2 Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UHL	UHL2W	7.22	134.40	80.69	60.64	9.12						
	2 Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UHL	UHL2W	10.26	134.40	80.69	60.64	9.12						
	2 Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UHL	UHL2W	18.21	134.40	80.69	60.64	9.12						
	Order Coordination for Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			UHL	OCOSL		23.02									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch	N		UHL	UREWO		86.12	40.39								
	<b>4-WIRE HIGH BIT RATE DIGITAL SUBSCRIBER LINE (HDSL) COMPATIBLE LOOP</b>															
	4 Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop including manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UHL	UHL4X	10.86	193.31	138.98	77.15	12.61						
	4-Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop including manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UHL	UHL4X	15.44	193.31	138.98	77.15	12.61						
	4-Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop including manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UHL	UHL4X	27.39	193.31	138.98	77.15	12.61						
	4-Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UHL	UHL4W	10.86	168.62	115.47	62.74	11.22						
	4-Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UHL	UHL4W	15.44	168.62	115.47	62.74	11.22						
	4-Wire Unbundled HDSL Loop without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UHL	UHL4W	27.39	168.62	115.47	62.74	11.22						
	Order Coordination for Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			UHL	OCOSL		23.02									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch	N		UHL	UREWO		86.12	40.39								
	<b>4-WIRE DS1 DIGITAL LOOP</b>															
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop - Zone 1		1	USL	USLXX	70.74	313.75	181.48	61.22	13.53						
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop - Zone 2		2	USL	USLXX	100.54	313.75	181.48	61.22	13.53						
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop - Zone 3		3	USL	USLXX	178.39	313.75	181.48	61.22	13.53						
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Single LSR, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		24.97	3.52								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Spreadsheet, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URESP		26.46	5.01								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Single LSR, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		<8.98	3.52								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Spreadsheet, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URESP		<8.98	5.01								
	Order Coordination for Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			USL	OCOSL		23.02									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch			USL	UREWO		101.07	43.04								
	<b>4-WIRE 19.2, 56 OR 64 KBPS DIGITAL GRADE LOOP</b>															
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital 19.2 Kbps		1	UDL	UDL19	22.20	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital 19.2 Kbps		2	UDL	UDL19	31.56	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital 19.2 Kbps		3	UDL	UDL19	55.99	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital Loop 56 Kbps - Zone 1		1	UDL	UDL56	22.20	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital Loop 56 Kbps - Zone 2		2	UDL	UDL56	31.56	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital Loop 56 Kbps - Zone 3		3	UDL	UDL56	55.99	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	Order Coordination for Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			UDL	OCOSL		23.02									
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital Loop 64 Kbps - Zone 1		1	UDL	UDL64	22.20	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital Loop 64 Kbps - Zone 2		2	UDL	UDL64	31.56	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	4 Wire Unbundled Digital Loop 64 Kbps - Zone 3		3	UDL	UDL64	55.99	161.56	108.85	67.08	15.56						
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Single LSR, (per DS0)	N		UDL, NTCUD	URES		24.97	3.52								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Spreadsheet, (per DS0)	N		UDL, NTCUD	URESP		26.46	5.01								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Single LSR, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URES		<8.98	3.52								
	Switch-As-Is Conversion rate per UNE Loop, Spreadsheet, (per DS0)	N		UEA, NTCVG	URESP		<8.98	5.01								
	Order Coordination for Specified Conversion Time (per LSR)			UDL	OCOSL		23.02									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch	N		UDL	UREWO		102.11	49.74								
	<b>2-WIRE Unbundled COPPER LOOP</b>															
	2-Wire Unbundled Copper Loop-Designed including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UCL	UCLPB	8.30	148.50	102.82	75.05	15.63						

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida										Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A					
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)					Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l	
	2-Wire Unbundled Copper Loop-Designed including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UCL	UCLPB	11.80	148.50	102.82	75.05	15.63							
	2 Wire Unbundled Copper Loop-Designed including manual service inquiry & facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UCL	UCLPB	20.94	148.50	102.82	75.05	15.63							
	Order Coordination for Unbundled Copper Loops (per loop)			UCL	UCLMC		9.00	9.00									
	2-Wire Unbundled Copper Loop-Designed without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UCL	UCLPW	8.30	123.81	70.09	60.64	9.12							
	2-Wire Unbundled Copper Loop-Designed without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UCL	UCLPW	11.80	123.81	70.09	60.64	9.12							
	2-Wire Unbundled Copper Loop-Designed without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UCL	UCLPW	20.94	123.81	70.09	60.64	9.12							
	Order Coordination for Unbundled Copper Loops (per loop)			UCL	UCLMC		9.00	9.00									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch (UCL -Des)	N		UCL	UREWO		97.21	42.47									
<b>4-WIRE COPPER LOOP</b>																	
	4-Wire Copper Loop-Designed including manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UCL	UCL4S	11.83	177.87	132.76	77.15	17.73							
	4-Wire Copper Loop-Designed including manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UCL	UCL4S	16.81	177.87	132.76	77.15	17.73							
	4-Wire Copper Loop-Designed including manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UCL	UCL4S	29.82	177.87	132.76	77.15	17.73							
	4-Wire Copper Loop-Designed without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 1		1	UCL	UCL4W	11.83	153.18	100.03	62.74	11.22							
	4-Wire Copper Loop-Designed without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 2		2	UCL	UCL4W	16.81	153.18	100.03	62.74	11.22							
	4-Wire Copper Loop-Designed without manual service inquiry and facility reservation - Zone 3		3	UCL	UCL4W	29.82	153.18	100.03	62.74	11.22							
	Order Coordination for Unbundled Copper Loops (per loop)			UCL	UCLMC		9.00	9.00									
	CLEC to CLEC Conversion Charge without outside dispatch	N		UCL	UREWO		97.21	42.47									
<b>LOOP MODIFICATION</b>																	
	Unbundled Loop Modification, Removal of Load Coils - 2 Wire pair less than or equal to 18k ft. per Unbundled Loop			UAL, UHL, UCL, UEQ, ULS, UEA, UEANL, UEPSR, UEPSB	ULM2L		0.00	0.00									
	Unbundled Loop Modification Removal of Load Coils - 4 Wire less than or equal to 18k ft. per Unbundled Loop			UHL, UCL, UEA	ULM4L		0.00	0.00									
	Unbundled Loop Modification Removal of Bridged Tap Removal, per unbundled loop			UAL, UHL, UCL, UEQ, ULS, UEA, UEANL, UEPSR, UEPSB	ULMBT		10.52	10.52									
<b>SUB-LOOPS</b>																	
<b>Sub-Loop Distribution</b>																	
	Sub-Loop - Per Cross Box Location - CLEC Feeder Facility Set-Up	I		UEANL	USBSA		487.23										
	Sub-Loop - Per Cross Box Location - Per 25 Pair Panel Set-Up	I		UEANL	USBSB		6.25										
	Sub-Loop - Per Building Equipment Room - CLEC Feeder Facility Set-Up	I		UEANL	USBSC		169.25										
	Sub-Loop - Per Building Equipment Room - Per 25 Pair Panel Set Up	I		UEANL	USBSD		38.65										
	Sub-Loop Distribution Per 2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 1		1	UEANL	USBN2	6.46	60.19	21.78	47.50	5.26							
	Sub-Loop Distribution Per 2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 2		2	UEANL	USBN2	9.18	60.19	21.78	47.50	5.26							
	Sub-Loop Distribution Per 2-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 3		3	UEANL	USBN2	16.29	60.19	21.78	47.50	5.26							
	Sub-Loop Distribution Per 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 1		1	UEANL	USBN4	7.37	68.83	30.42	49.71	6.60							
	Sub-Loop Distribution Per 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 2		2	UEANL	USBN4	10.47	68.83	30.42	49.71	6.60							
	Sub-Loop Distribution Per 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop - Zone 3		3	UEANL	USBN4	18.58	68.83	30.42	49.71	6.60							
	Sub-Loop 2-Wire IntraBuilding Network Cable (INC)	I		UEANL	USBR2	3.96	51.84	13.44	47.50	5.26							
	Sub-Loop 4-Wire IntraBuilding Network Cable (INC)	I		UEANL	USBR4	9.37	55.91	17.51	49.71	6.60							







UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida										Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A				
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)				Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l	
	Changes to TN Range or Customer Profile	N		9PBDC	9PBTN		182.14									
	Per Telephone Number (Monthly)	N		9PBDC	9PBMM	0.07										
	Change Company (Service Provider) ID	N		9PBDC	9PBPC		534.66									
	PBX Locate Service Support per CLEC (Monthlt)	N		9PBDC	9PBMR	178.80										
	Service Order Charge	N		9PBDC	9PBSC		11.90									
	<b>911 PBX LOCATE TRANSPORT COMPONENT</b>															
	See Att 3	N														
	<b>DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE SERVICES</b>															
	<b>DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE DATA BASE SERVICE (DADS)</b>															
	Directory Assistance Data Base Service Charge Per Listing						0.001									
	Directory Assistance Data Base Service, per month				DBSOF		100.00									
	<b>VIRTUAL COLLOCATION</b>															
	Virtual Collocation-2 Wire Cross Connects (Loop) for Line Splitting			UEPSR UEPSB	VE1LS	0.0502	11.57	11.57	0.00	0.00						
	<b>PHYSICAL COLLOCATION</b>															
	Physical Collocation-2 Wire Cross Connects (Loop) for Line Splitting			UEPSR UEPSB	PE1LS	0.0276	8.22	7.22	5.74	4.58						
	<b>ENHANCED EXTENDED LINK (EELS)</b>															
	NOTE: The monthly recurring and non-recurring charges below will apply and the Switch-As-Is Charge will not apply for UNE combinations provisioned as ' Ordinarily Combined' Network Elements.															
	NOTE: The monthly recurring and the Switch-As-Is Charge and not the non-recurring charges below will apply for UNE combinations provisioned as ' Currently Combined' Network Elements.															
	<b>EXTENDED 2-WIRE VOICE GRADE EXTENDED LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	First 2-Wire VG Loop (SL2) in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL2	12.24	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 2-Wire VG Loop (SL2) in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL2	17.40	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 2-Wire VG Loop (SL2) in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL2	30.87	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile per month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Facility Termination per month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	I/O Channelization System in combination Per Month			UNC1X	MQ1	146.77	101.42	71.62								
							127.59	60.54								
	Voice Grade COCI - Per Month			UNCVX	1D1VG	1.38	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Each Additional 2-Wire VG Loop (SL 2) in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL2	12.24	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Each Additional 2-Wire VG Loop (SL 2) in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL2	17.40	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Each Additional 2-Wire VG Loop (SL 2) in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL2	30.87	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Voice Grade COCI - Per Month			UNCVX	1D1VG	1.38	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE VOICE GRADE EXTENDED LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	First 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL4	18.89	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL4	26.84	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL4	47.62	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 - Facility Termination Per Month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	I/O Channel System in combination Per Month			UNC1X	MQ1	146.77	101.42	71.62								
							127.59	60.54								
	Voice Grade COCI in combination - per month			UNCVX	1D1VG	1.38	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Additional 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL4	18.89	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL4	26.84	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL4	47.62	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional Voice Grade COCI in combination - per month			UNCVX	1D1VG	1.38	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE 56 KBPS EXTENDED DIGITAL LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
			1	UNC0X	UDL56	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida										Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A				
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)				Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l	
	First 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL56	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL56	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 - combination Facility Termination Per Month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	1/0 Channel System in combination Per Month			UNC1X	MQ1	146.77	101.42	71.62								
							127.59	89.54								
	OCU-DP COCI (data) per month (2.4-64kbs)			UNCDX	1D1DD	2.10	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Additional 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL56	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL56	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL56	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional OCU-DP COCI (data) - in combination per month (2.4-64kbs)			UNCDX	1D1DD	2.10	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	EXTENDED 4-WIRE 64 KBPS EXTENDED DIGITAL LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT															
	First 4-Wire 64Kbps Digital Grade Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL64	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-Wire 64Kbps Digital Grade Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL64	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-Wire 64Kbps Digital Grade Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL64	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Facility Termination Per Month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	1/0 Channel System in combination Per Month			UNC1X	MQ1	146.77	101.42	71.62								
							127.59	60.54								
	OCU-DP COCI (data) - in combination - per month (2.4-64kbs)			UNCDX	1D1DD	2.10	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Additional 4-Wire 64Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL64	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire 64Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL64	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire 64Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL64	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional OCU-DP COCI (data) - in combination - per month (2.4-64kbs)			UNCDX	1D1DD	2.10	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	EXTENDED 4-WIRE DS1 DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT															
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNC1X	USLXX	70.74	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNC1X	USLXX	100.54	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNC1X	USLXX	178.39	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Facility Termination Per Month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	EXTENDED 4-WIRE DS1 DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS3 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT															
	First DS1 Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNC1X	USLXX	70.74	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	First DS1 Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNC1X	USLXX	100.54	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	First DS1 Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNC1X	USLXX	178.39	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS3 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNC3X	1L5XX	3.87										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS3 - Facility Termination per month			UNC3X	U1TF3	1,071.00	314.45	130.88	38.60	18.23						
	3/1 Channel System in combination per month			UNC3X	MQ3	211.19	199.28	118.64	40.34	39.07						
							115.60	59.93	5.45	0.00						
	DS1 COCI in combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida											Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A			
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)					Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l
	Additional DS1 Loop in DS3 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNC1X	USLXX	70.74	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	Additional DS1 Loop in DS3 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNC1X	USLXX	100.54	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	Additional DS1 Loop in DS3 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNC1X	USLXX	178.39	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	Additional DS1 COCI in combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
								12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84					
	<b>EXTENDED 2-WIRE VOICE GRADE EXTENDED LOOP/ 2 WIRE VOICE GRADE INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	2-Wire VG Loop in combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL2	12.24	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	2-Wire VG Loop in combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL2	17.40	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	2-Wire VG Loop in combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL2	30.87	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Interoffice Transport - 2-wire VG - Dedicated - Per Mile Per Month			UNCVX	1L5XX	0.0091										
	Interoffice Transport - 2-wire VG - Dedicated - Facility Termination per month			UNCVX	U1TV2	25.32	94.70	52.59	50.49	21.53						
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE VOICE GRADE EXTENDED LOOP/ 4 WIRE VOICE GRADE INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	4-Wire VG Loop in combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL4	18.89	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	4-Wire VG Loop in combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL4	26.84	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	4-Wire VG Loop in combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL4	47.62	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Interoffice Transport - 4-wire VG - Dedicated - Per Mile Per Month			UNCVX	1L5XX	0.0091										
	Interoffice Transport - 4-wire VG - Dedicated - Facility Termination per month			UNCVX	U1TV4	22.58	94.70	52.59	50.49	21.53						
	<b>EXTENDED DS3 DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS3 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	DS3 Local Loop in combination - per mile per month			UNC3X	1L5ND	10.92										
	DS3 Local Loop in combination - Facility Termination per month			UNC3X	UE3PX	386.88	249.97	162.05	67.10	26.82						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS3 - Per Mile per month			UNC3X	1L5XX	3.87										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS3 combination - Facility Termination per month			UNC3X	U1TF3	1,071.00	314.45	130.88	38.60	18.23						
	Nonrecurring Currently Combined Network Elements Switch -As-Its Charge			UNC3X	UNCCC		8.98	8.98	8.98	8.98						
	<b>EXTENDED STS-1 DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH DEDICATED STS-1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	STS-1 Local Loop in combination - per mile per month			UNC3X	1L5ND	10.92										
	STS-1 Local Loop in combination - Facility Termination per month			UNC3X	UDLS1	426.60	249.97	162.05	67.10	26.82						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - STS-1 combination - per mile per month			UNC3X	1L5XX	3.87										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - STS-1 combination - Facility Termination per month			UNC3X	U1TFS	1,056.00	314.45	130.88	38.60	18.23						
	<b>EXTENDED 2-WIRE ISDN EXTENDED LOOP WITH DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	First 2-Wire ISDN Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCNX	U1L2X	19.28	127.59	60.60	42.79	2.81						
	First 2-Wire ISDN Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCNX	U1L2X	27.40	127.59	60.60	42.79	2.81						
	First 2-Wire ISDN Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCNX	U1L2X	48.62	127.59	60.60	42.79	2.81						
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - per mile per month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Facility Termination per month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	1/0 Channel System in combination - per month			UNC1X	MQ1	146.77	101.42	71.62								
								127.59	60.54							
	2-wire ISDN COCI (BRITE) - in combination - per month			UNCNX	UC1CA	3.66	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
								12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84					
	Additional 2-wire ISDN Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCNX	U1L2X	19.28	127.59	60.60	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 2-wire ISDN Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCNX	U1L2X	27.40	127.59	60.60	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 2-wire ISDN Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCNX	U1L2X	48.62	127.59	60.60	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 2-wire ISDN COCI (BRITE) - in combination- per month			UNCNX	UC1CA	3.66	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
								12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84					
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE DS1 DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH DEDICATED STS-1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	First DS1 Loop Combination - Zone 1		1	UNC1X	USLXX	70.74	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	First DS1 Loop Combination - Zone 2		2	UNC1X	USLXX	100.54	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida											Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A				
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)					Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l	
	First DS1 Loop Combination - Zone 3		3	UNC1X	USLXX	178.39	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45							
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - STS-1 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNCSX	1L5XX	3.87											
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - STS-1 combination - Facility Termination per month			UNCSX	U1TF5	1,056.00	314.45	130.88	38.60	18.23							
	3/1 Channel System in combination per month			UNCSX	MQ3	211.19	115.60	59.93	5.45	0.00							
	DS1 COCI in combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84							
	Additional DS1 Loop in the same STS-1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNC1X	USLXX	70.74	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45							
	Additional DS1 Loop in the same STS-1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNC1X	USLXX	100.54	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45							
	Additional DS1 Loop in the same STS-1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNC1X	USLXX	178.39	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45							
	DS1 COCI in combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84							
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE 56 KBPS DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH 56 KBPS INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>																
	4-wire 56 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL56	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	4-wire 56 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL56	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	4-wire 56 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL56	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - 4-wire 56 kbps combination - Per Mile per month			UNCDX	1L5XX	0.0091											
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - 4-wire 56 kbps combination - Facility Termination per month			UNCDX	U1TD5	18.44	94.70	52.59	50.49	21.53							
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE 64 KBPS DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH 64 KBPS INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>																
	4-wire 64 kbps Local Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL64	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	4-wire 64 kbps Local Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL64	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	4-wire 64 kbps Local Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL64	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - 4-wire 64 kbps combination - Per Mile per month			UNCDX	1L5XX	0.0091											
	Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - 4-wire 64 kbps combination - Facility Termination per month			UNCDX	U1TD6	18.44	94.70	52.59	50.49	21.53							
	<b>EXTENDED 2-WIRE VOICE GRADE LOOP WITH DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT w/ 3/1 MUX</b>																
	First 2-wire VG Loop (SL2) in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL2	12.24	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	First 2-wire VG Loop (SL2) in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL2	17.40	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	First 2-wire VG Loop (SL2) in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL2	30.87	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	First Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856											
	First Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Facility Termination per month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95							
	Per each DS1 Channelization System Per Month			UNC1X	MQ1	146.77	101.42	71.62	127.59	60.54							
	Per each Voice Grade COCI - Per Month per month			UNCVX	1D1VG	1.38	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00							
	3/1 Channel System in combination per month			UNC3X	MQ3	211.19	12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84							
	Per each DS1 COCI in combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00							
	Each Additional 2-Wire VG Loop(SL2) in the same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL2	12.24	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	Each Additional 2-Wire VG Loop(SL2) in the same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL2	17.40	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	Each Additional 2-Wire VG Loop(SL2) in the same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL2	30.87	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	Each Additional Voice Grade COCI in combination - per month			UNCVX	1D1VG	1.38	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00							
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel per mile in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856											
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel Facility Termination in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95							
	Each Additional DS1 COCI combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	6.71	4.84							
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE VOICE GRADE LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT w/ 3/1 MUX</b>																
	First 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Local Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL4	18.89	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							
	First 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Local Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL4	26.84	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81							

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida											Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A			
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)					Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l
	First 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Local Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL4	47.62	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	First Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 - Facility Termination Per Month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	Per each 1/0 Channel System in combination Per Month			UNC1X	MQ1	146.77	101.42	71.62								
							127.59	60.54								
	Per each Voice Grade COCI in combination - per month			UNCVX	1D1VG	1.38	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	3/1 Channel System in combination per month			UNC3X	MQ3	211.19	199.28	118.64	40.34	39.07						
							115.60	59.93	5.45	0.00						
	Per each DS1 COCI in combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Additional 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCVX	UEAL4	18.89	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCVX	UEAL4	26.84	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire Analog Voice Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCVX	UEAL4	47.62	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel per mile in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel Facility Termination in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	Additional Voice Grade COCI - in combination - per month			UNCVX	1D1VG	1.38	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE 56 KBPS DIGITAL LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT w/ 3/1 MUX</b>															
	First 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Local Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL56	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Local Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL56	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Local Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL56	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	First Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 - combination Facility Termination Per Month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	Per each 1/0 Channel System in combination Per Month			UNC1X	MQ1	146.77	101.42	71.62								
							127.59	60.54								
	Per each OCU-DP COCI (data) COCI per month (2.4-64kbs)			UNCDX	1D1DD	2.10	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	3/1 Channel System in combination per month			UNC3X	MQ3	211.19	199.28	118.64	40.34	39.07						
							115.60	59.93	5.45	0.00						
	Per each DS1 COCI in combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Additional 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL56	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL56	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	Additional 4-Wire 56Kbps Digital Grade Loop in same DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL56	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	OCU-DP COCI (data) COCI in combination per month (2.4-64kbs)			UNCDX	1D1DD	2.10	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel per mile in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel Facility Termination in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	Each Additional DS1 COCI in the same 3/1 channel system combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE 64 KBPS DIGITAL LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT w/ 3/1 MUX</b>															
	First 4-Wire 64Kbps Digital Grade Loop in a DS1 Interoffice Transport Combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL64	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						



UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida										Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A				
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)				Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l	
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel Facility Termination in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	Each Additional DS1 COCI in the same 3/1 channel system combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE DS1 LOOP WITH DEDICATED DS1 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT w/ 3/1 MUX</b>															
	First 4-wire DS1 Digital Local Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNC1X	USLXX	70.74	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	First 4-wire DS1 Digital Local Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNC1X	USLXX	100.54	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	First 4-wire DS1 Digital Local Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNC1X	USLXX	178.39	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	First Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Per Mile Per Month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	First Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - DS1 combination - Facility Termination Per Month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	3/1 Channel System in combination per month			UNC3X	MQ3	211.19	199.28	118.64	40.34	39.07						
							115.60	59.93	5.45	0.00						
	Per each DS1 COCI combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel per mile in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	1L5XX	0.1856										
	Each Additional DS1 Interoffice Channel Facility Termination in same 3/1 Channel System per month			UNC1X	U1TF1	88.44	174.46	122.46	45.61	17.95						
	Each Additional DS1 COCI in the same 3/1 channel system combination per month			UNC1X	UC1D1	13.76	10.07	7.08	0.00	0.00						
							12.16	8.77	6.71	4.84						
	Additional 4-Wire DS1 Digital Local Loop in Combination - Zone 1		1	UNC1X	USLXX	70.74	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	Additional 4-Wire DS1 Digital Local Loop in Combination - Zone 2		2	UNC1X	USLXX	100.54	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	Additional 4-Wire DS1 Digital Local Loop in Combination - Zone 3		3	UNC1X	USLXX	178.39	217.75	121.62	51.44	14.45						
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE 56 KBPS DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH DS0 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	First 4-wire 56 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL56	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-wire 56 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL56	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-wire 56 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL56	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-wire 56 kbps Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - Per Mile per month			UNCDX	1L5XX	0.0091										
	First 4-wire 56 kbps Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - Facility Termination per month			UNCDX	U1TD5	18.44	94.70	52.59	50.49	21.53						
	<b>EXTENDED 4-WIRE 64 KBPS DIGITAL EXTENDED LOOP WITH DS0 INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>															
	First 4-wire 64 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 1		1	UNCDX	UDL64	22.20	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-wire 64 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 2		2	UNCDX	UDL64	31.56	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-wire 64 kbps Local Loop in combination - Zone 3		3	UNCDX	UDL64	55.99	127.59	60.54	42.79	2.81						
	First 4-wire 64 kbps Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - Per Mile per month			UNCDX	1L5XX	0.0091										
	First 4-wire 64 kbps Interoffice Transport - Dedicated - Facility Termination per month			UNCDX	U1TD6	18.44	94.70	52.59	50.49	21.53						
	<b>ADDITIONAL NETWORK ELEMENTS</b>															
	When used as a part of a currently combined facility, the non-recurring charges do not apply, but a Switch As Is charge does apply.															
	When used as ordinarily combined network elements in All States, the non-recurring charges apply and the Switch As Is Charge does not.															
	Nonrecurring Currently Combined Network Elements "Switch As Is" Charge (One applies to each combination)															
	Nonrecurring Currently Combined Network Elements Switch -As-Is Charge - 2 wire/4-Wire VG			UNCVX	UNCCC		8.98	8.98	8.98	8.98						
	Nonrecurring Currently Combined Network Elements Switch -As-Is Charge - 56/64 kbps			UNCDX	UNCCC		8.98	8.98	8.98	8.98						
	Nonrecurring Currently Combined Network Elements Switch -As-Is Charge - DS1			UNC1X	UNCCC		8.98	8.98	8.98	8.98						
	Nonrecurring Currently Combined Network Elements Switch -As-Is Charge - DS3			UNC3X	UNCCC		8.98	8.98	8.98	8.98						
	<b>ADDITIONAL NETWORK ELEMENTS</b>															
	When used as a part of a currently combined facility, the non-recurring charges do not apply, but a Switch As Is charge does apply.															
	When used as ordinarily combined network elements in All States, the non-recurring charges apply and the Switch As Is Charge does not.															
	Nonrecurring Currently Combined Network Elements "Switch As Is" Charge															
	Optional Features & Functions:															
	Clear Channel Capability Extended Frame Option - per DS1		1	U1TD1, ULDD1, UNC1X	CCOEF		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						



CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)	Svc Order Submitted per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Electronic-Order vs. Manual Svc Addtl	Attachment: 2	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Addtl	Incremental Charge - Electronic-Order vs. Manual Svc Addtl
	Clear Channel Capability (SR-ESF) Option - Subsequent Activity per DS1				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Clear Channel Capability Super Frame Option - per DS1				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Clear Channel Capability (SR-ESF) Option - Subsequent Activity per DS1				23.82	2.07	2.07	0.8			
	C-bit Parity Option - Subsequent Activity - per DS3				219.9	7.67	7.67	0.773			
	Wholesale to UNE, Switch-As-Conversion Charge				8.98	8.98	8.98	8.98			
	Unbundled Misc Rate Element, SNE SAI, Single Network (LSR)				40.28	13.52	13.52				
	Unbundled Misc Rate Element, SNE SAI, Single Network (Spreadsheet)				64.09	25.64	25.64				
	Unbundled Misc Rate Element, SNE SAI, Single Network (LSR)				<0.98	<0.98	<0.98				
	Unbundled Misc Rate Element, SNE SAI, Single Network (Spreadsheet)				<0.98	<0.98	<0.98				
	Unbundled Misc Rate Element, SNE SAI, Single Network (LSR)				<0.98	<0.98	<0.98				
	Unbundled Misc Rate Element, SNE SAI, Single Network (Spreadsheet)				<0.98	<0.98	<0.98				
	DS1 to DS0 Channel System - per month				146.77	127.59	60.54				
	OCU-DP COCI (data) - DS1 to DS0 Channel System - per month (2.4-6kbs) used for a Local Loop				2.10	7.08	7.08				
	OCU-DP COCI (data) - DS1 to DS0 Channel System - per month (2.4-6kbs) used for connection to a channelized DS1				2.10	12.16	12.16	4.84			
	Local Channel in the same SWC as collocation				2.10	12.16	12.16	4.84			
	2-wire ISDN COCI (BRI/E) - DS1 to DS0 Channel System - per month for a Local Loop				3.66	10.07	7.08				
	2-wire ISDN COCI (BRI/E) - DS1 to DS0 Channel System - per month used for connection to a channelized DS1 Local Channel in the same SWC as collocation				3.66	12.16	12.16	4.84			
	Voice Grade COCI - DS1 to DS0 Channel System - per month used for a Local Loop				1.38	10.07	7.08				
	Voice Grade COCI - DS1 to DS0 Channel System - per month used for connection to a channelized DS1 Local Channel in the same SWC as collocation				1.38	10.07	7.08	4.84			
	DS3 to DS1 Channel System per month				211.19	199.26	118.04	40.34			
	DS3 to DS1 Channel System per month				211.19	12.16	8.77	4.84			
	DS1 COCI used with Loop per month				13.76	10.07	7.08				
	DS1 COCI (used for connection to a channelized DS1 Local Channel in the same SWC as collocation) per month				13.76	12.16	12.16	4.84			
	DS1 COCI used with Interface Channel per month				13.76	10.07	7.08				
	DS3 Interface Unit (DS1 COCI) used with Local Channel per month				13.76	10.07	7.08				
	Access to DCS - Customer Reconfiguration (FlexServ)				1.63	1.63	1.63				
	Customer Reconfiguration Establishment				1.63	1.63	1.63				
	DS1 DSC Termination with DS1 Switching				11.70	25.07	23.58	13.05			
	DS1 DSC Termination with DS1 Switching				11.70	25.07	23.58	13.05			
	DS3 DSC Termination with DS1 Switching				146.81	32.89	32.89	16.96			

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida

Exhibit: A

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida										Attachment: 2		Exhibit: A			
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)				Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l
	Service Rearrangements			U1TVX, U1TDX, UEA, UDL, U1TUC, U1TUD, U1TUB, U1DVX, ULDDX, UNCVX, UNCDX	URETD										
	NRC - Change in Facility Assignment per circuit Service Rearrangement	N		U1TVX, U1TDX, UEA, UDL, U1TUC, U1TUD, U1TUB, U1DVX, ULDDX, UNCVX, UNCDX	URETD			270.08	47.13						
	NRC - Change in Facility Assignment per circuit Project Management (added to CFA per circuit if project managed)	N		U1TVX, U1TDX, UEA, UDL, U1TUC, U1TUD, U1TUB, U1DVX, ULDDX, UNCVX, UNCDX	URETB			1.28	1.28						
	NRC - Change in Facility Assignment per circuit Service Rearrangement	N		U1TVX, U1TDX, UEA, UDL, U1TUC, U1TUD, U1TUB, U1DVX, ULDDX, UNCVX, UNCDX	URETD			0.00	0.00						
	NRC - Change in Facility Assignment per circuit Project Management (added to CFA per circuit if project managed)	N		U1TVX, U1TDX, UEA, UDL, U1TUC, U1TUD, U1TUB, U1DVX, ULDDX, UNCVX, UNCDX	URETB			0.00	0.00						
	NRC - Transfer of Ownership per circuit Service Rearrangement (1-14 circuits)	I		U1TVX, U1TDX, UEA, UDL, U1TUC, U1TUD, U1TUB, U1DVX, ULDDX, UNCVX, UNCDX	URETE			0.00	0.00						
	NRC - Transfer of Ownership per circuit Project Management (15 + circuits)	I		U1TVX, U1TDX, UEA, UDL, U1TUC, U1TUD, U1TUB, U1DVX, ULDDX, UNCVX, UNCDX	URETC			0.00	0.00						
	Commingling Authorization			UNCVX, UNCDX, UNC1X, UNC3X, UNCSX, U1TD1, U1TD3, U1TS1, UE3, UDLSX, U1TVX, U1TDX, U1TUB	CMGAU		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
	Miscellaneous														
	NRC - Order Coordination Specific Time - Dedicated Transport	N		UNC1X	OCOSR			18.90	18.90						
	NOTE: Rates displaying an "N" in the interim column are agreed to by the Parties until such time as modified by Commission order and are not subject to true-up.														
	NOTE: Rates displaying an "I" in the interim column are Interim as a result of a Commission order.														

UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS - Florida							Attachment: 2		Exhibit: B		
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	MONTHLY RECURRING RATES (\$)					
<b>4-WIRE DS1 DIGITAL LOOP</b>											
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop - Zone 1		1	USL	USLXX	81.35					
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop - Zone 2		2	USL	USLXX	115.62					
	4-Wire DS1 Digital Loop - Zone 3		3	USL	USLXX	205.15					
<b>HIGH CAPACITY UNBUNDLED LOCAL LOOP</b>											
<b>NOTE: minimum billing period of three months for DS3/STS-1 Local Loop</b>											
	High Capacity Unbundled Local Loop - DS3 - Per Mile per month			UE3	1L5ND	12.56					
	High Capacity Unbundled Local Loop - DS3 - Facility Termination per month			UE3	UE3PX	444.91					
	High Capacity Unbundled Local Loop - STS-1 - Per Mile per month			UDLSX	1L5ND	12.56					
	High Capacity Unbundled Local Loop - STS-1 - Facility Termination per month			UDLSX	UDLS1	490.59					
<b>MULTIPLEXERS</b>											
	DS3 to DS1 Channel System per month			UNC3X	MQ3	242.87					
	STS-1 to DS1 Channel System per month			UNCSX	MQ3	242.87					
	DS1 COCI used with Loop per month			USL	UC1D1	15.82					
	DS1 COCI used with Interoffice Channel per month			U1TD1	UC1D1	15.82					
<b>DEDICATED INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT</b>											
	Interoffice Channel - Dedicated Channel - DS1 - Per Mile per month			U1TD1	1L5XX	0.2134					
	Interoffice Channel - Dedicated Transport - DS1 - Facility Termination			U1TD1	U1TF1	101.71					
	Interoffice Channel - Dedicated Transport - DS3 - Per Mile per month			U1TD3	1L5XX	4.45					
	Interoffice Channel - Dedicated Transport - DS3 - Facility Termination per month			U1TD3	U1TF3	1,231.65					
	Interoffice Channel - Dedicated Transport - STS-1 - Per Mile per month			U1TS1	1L5XX	4.45					
	Interoffice Channel - Dedicated Transport - STS-1 - Facility Termination			U1TS1	U1TFS	1,214.40					
<b>UNBUNDLED DARK FIBER</b>											
	Dark Fiber, Per Four Fiber Strands, Per Route Mile Or Fraction Thereof - Interoffice Transport			UDF, UDFCX	1L5DF	30.88					



COLLOCATION - Florida						Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Attachment: 4		Exhibit: B				
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC			Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l			
						Rec	Nonrecurring		Nonrecurring Disconnect		OSS Rates(\$)			
							First	Add'l	First	Add'l	SOME C	SOMAN	SOMAN	SOMAN
<b>PHYSICAL COLLOCATION</b>														
	<b>Application</b>													
	Physical Collocation - Initial Application Fee			CLO	PE1BA	2,785.00		1.20						
	Physical Collocation - Subsequent Application Fee			CLO	PE1CA	2,236.00		1.20						
	Physical Collocation - Co-Carrier Cross Connects/Direct Connect, Application Fee, per application			CLO	PE1DT	564.81								
	Physical Collocation - Power Reconfiguration Only, Application Fee			CLO	PE1PR	409.50								
	Physical Collocation Administrative Only - Application Fee			CLO	PE1BL	760.91		1.20						
	<b>Space Preparation</b>													
	Physical Collocation - Floor Space, per sq feet			CLO	PE1PJ	5.28	fiber							
	Physical Collocation - Space Enclosure, welded wire, first 50 square feet	N		CLO	PE1BX	171.12								
	Physical Collocation - Space enclosure, welded wire, first 100 square feet			CLO	PE1BW	189.73								
	Physical Collocation - Space enclosure, welded wire, each additional 50 square feet			CLO	PE1CW	18.61								
	Physical Collocation - Space Preparation - C.O. Modification per square ft.			CLO	PE1SK	2.38								
	Physical Collocation - Space Preparation, Common Systems Modifications-Cageless, per square foot			CLO	PE1SL	2.50								
	Physical Collocation - Space Preparation - Common Systems Modifications-Caged, per cage			CLO	PE1SM	84.93								
	Physical Collocation - Space Preparation - Firm Order Processing			CLO	PE1SJ	287.36								
	Physical Collocation - Space Availability Report, per Central Office Requested			CLO	PE1SR	572.66								
	<b>Power</b>													
	Physical Collocation - Power, -48V DC Power - per Fused Amp Requested			CLO	PE1PL	7.80								
	Physical Collocation - Power, 120V AC Power, Single Phase, per Breaker Amp			CLO	PE1FB	5.26								
	Physical Collocation - Power, 240V AC Power, Single Phase, per Breaker Amp			CLO	PE1FD	10.53								
	Physical Collocation - Power, 120V AC Power, Three Phase, per Breaker Amp			CLO	PE1FE	15.80								
	Physical Collocation - Power, 277V AC Power, Three Phase, per Breaker Amp			CLO	PE1FG	36.47								
	Physical Collocation - Power - DC power, per Used Amp			CLO	PE1FN	10.69								
	<b>Cross Connects (Cross Connects, Co-Carrier Cross Connects, and Ports)</b>													
	Physical Collocation - 2-wire cross-connect, loop, provisioning			UEANL,UEQ,UNCN X, UEA, UCL, UAL, UHL, UDN, UNCVX	PE1P2	0.0208	7.32	5.37	4.58	2.71				
	Physical Collocation - 4-wire cross-connect, loop, provisioning			UEA, UHL, UNCVX, UNCDX, UCL, UDL	PE1P4	0.0416	8.00	5.75	5.00	2.69				
	Physical Collocation -DS1 Cross-Connect for Physical Collocation, provisioning			WDS1L, WDS1S, UXTD1, ULDD1, USLEL, UNLD1, U1TD1, UNC1X, UEPSR, UEPSB, UEPSE, UEPSP, USL	PE1P1	0.3786	7.88	6.25	1.35	0.9899				
	Physical Collocation - DS3 Cross-Connect, provisioning			UE3, U1TD3, UXTD3, UXTS1, UNC3X, UNCSX, ULDD3, U1TS1, ULDS1, UNLD3, UEPEX, UEPEX, UEPSR, UEPSB, UEPSE, UEPSP	PE1P3	4.16	32.40	31.03	11.15	10.98				

COLLOCATION - Florida										Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Attachment: 4		Exhibit: B	
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)					Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l	
	Physical Collocation - 2-Fiber Cross-Connect			CLO, ULDO3, ULD12, ULD48, U1T03, U1T12, U1T48, UDLO3, UDL12, UDF	PE1F2	1.71	28.26	25.85	13.78	11.01					
	Physical Collocation - 4-Fiber Cross-Connect			ULD03, ULD12, ULD48, U1T03, U1T12, U1T48, UDLO3, UDL12, UDF, UDFCX	PE1F4	3.34	37.92	35.51	18.20	15.44					
	Physical Collocation - Co-Carrier Cross Connects/Direct Connect - Fiber Cable Support Structure, per linear foot, per cable.			CLO	PE1ES	0.0008									
	Physical Collocation - Co-Carrier Cross Connect/Direct Connect - Copper/Coax Cable Support Structure, per linear foot, per cable.			CLO	PE1DS	0.0012									
	Physical Collocation 2-Wire Cross Connect, Port			UEPSR, UEPSB, UEPSE, UEPSB, UEPSX, UEP2C	PE1R2	0.0208	7.32	5.37	4.58	2.71					
	Physical Collocation 4-Wire Cross Connect, Port			UEPEX, UEPPD	PE1R4	0.0416	8.00	5.75	5.00	2.69					
	<b>Security</b>														
	Physical Collocation - Security Escort for Basic Time - normally scheduled work, per half hour			CLO	PE1BT		33.65	22.05							
	Physical Collocation - Security Escort for Overtime - outside of normally scheduled working hours on a scheduled work day, per half hour			CLO	PE1OT		44.63	28.89							
	Physical Collocation - Security Escort for Premium Time - outside of scheduled work day, per half hour			CLO	PE1PT		55.62	35.73							
	Physical Collocation - Security Access System - Security System per Central Office, per Sq. Ft.			CLO	PE1AY	0.0101									
	Physical Collocation - Security Access System - New Card Activation, per Card Activation (First), per State			CLO	PE1A1		38.95								
	Physical Collocation - Security Access System - Administrative Change, existing Access Card, per Request, per State, per Card			CLO	PE1AA		8.84								
	Physical Collocation - Security Access System - Replace Lost or Stolen Card, per Card			CLO	PE1AR		28.78								
	Physical Collocation - Security Access - Initial Key, per Key			CLO	PE1AK		23.28								
	Physical Collocation - Security Access - Key, Replace Lost or Stolen Key, per Key			CLO	PE1AL		23.28								
	<b>CFA</b>														
	Physical Collocation - CFA Information Resend Request, per premises, per arrangement, per request			CLO	PE1C9		79.52								
	<b>Cable Records</b>														
	Physical Collocation - Cable Records, per request			CLO	PE1CR		1515.00	973.64	256.35						
	Physical Collocation, Cable Records, VG/DS0 Cable, per cable record (maximum 3600 records)			CLO	PE1CD		646.84		362.41						
	Physical Collocation, Cable Records, VG/DS0 Cable, per each 100 pair			CLO	PE1CO		9.11		10.80						
	Physical Collocation, Cable Records, DS1, per T1 TIE			CLO	PE1C1		4.52		5.35						
	Physical Collocation, Cable Records, DS3, per T3 TIE			CLO	PE1C3		15.81		18.73						
	Physical Collocation - Cable Records, Fiber Cable, per cable record (maximum 99 records)			CLO	PE1CB		169.96		149.97						
	<b>Virtual to Physical</b>														
	Physical Collocation - Virtual to Physical Collocation Relocation, per Voice Grade Circuit	N		CLO	PE1BV		33.00								
	Physical Collocation - Virtual to Physical Collocation Relocation, per DS0 Circuit	N		CLO	PE1BO		33.00								
	Physical Collocation - Virtual to Physical Collocation Relocation, per DS1 Circuit	N		CLO	PE1B1		52.00								
	Physical Collocation - Virtual to Physical Collocation Relocation, per DS3 Circuit	N		CLO	PE1B3		52.00								
	Physical Collocation - Virtual to Physical Collocation In-Place, Per Voice Grade Circuit	N		CLO	PE1BR		23.00								

COLLOCATION - Florida										Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Attachment: 4		Exhibit: B		
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)				Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l			
	Physical Collocation Virtual to Physical Collocation In-Place, Per DSO Circuit	N		CLO	PE1BP					23.00						
	Physical Collocation - Virtual to Physical Collocation In-Place, Per DS1 Circuit	N		CLO	PE1BS					33.00						
	Physical Collocation - Virtual to Physical Collocation In-Place, per DS3 Circuit	N		CLO	PE1BE					37.00						
	<b>Entrance Cable</b>															
	Physical Collocation - Fiber Cable Support Structure, per Entrance Cable			CLO	PE1PM	5.19										
	Copper Entrance Cable Support Structure, per each 100 pairs			CLO	PE1EE	0.1406										
	Copper Entrance Cable Installation, per 100 pairs			CLO	PE1EB					18.56						
	Physical Collocation - Fiber Entrance Cable per Cable (CO manhole to vault splice)			CLO	PE1EC					994.12			43.84			
	Physical Collocation - Copper Entrance Cable per Cable (CO manhole to vault splice)			CLO	PE1EA					1,195.00			43.84			
	Physical Collocation - Fiber Entrance Cable Installation, per Fiber			CLO	PE1ED					7.43						
	<b>Pot Bay</b>															
	2 Wire Pot Bay			UEANL,UEA,UDN,U DC,UAL,UHL,UCL,U EQ,CLO,UDL, UNCVX, UNCDX, UNCNX	PE1PE	0.03										
	4 Wire Pot Bay			UEANL,UEA,UDN,U DC,UAL,UHL,UCL,U EQ,CLO, USL, UNCVX, UNCDX	PE1PF	0.06										
	DS1 Pot Bay			UEANL,UEA,UDN,U DC,UAL,UHL,UCL,U EQ,CLO,WDS1L,W DS1S, USL, U1TD1, UXTD1, UNC1X, ULDD1, USLEL, UNLD1	PE1PG	0.4238										
	DS3 Pot Bay			UEANL,UEA,UDN,U DC,UAL,UHL,UCL,U EQ,CLO,UE3, U1TD3, UXTD3, UXTS1, UNC3X, UNC3X, ULDD3, U1TS1, ULDS1, UNLD3, UDL, UDLSX	PE1PH	3.78										
	2 Wire Fiber Pot Bay			UEANL,UEA,UDN,U DC,UAL,UHL,UCL,U EQ,CLO, ULD03, ULD12, ULD48, U1TO3, U1T12, U1T48, UDLO3, UDL12, UDF	PE1B2	12.89										
	4 Wire Fiber Pot Bay			UEANL,UEA,UDN,U DC,UAL,UHL,UCL,U EQ,CLO, ULD03, ULD12, ULD48, U1TO3, U1T12, U1T48, UDLO3, UDL12, UDF	PE1B4	17.39										
	Note: Existing point(s) of demarcation - MCI provided Pot Bay. BeltSouth will grandfather existing point(s) of demarcation established at a MCI provided Pot Bay pursuant to this contract. MCI shall order services using the existing terminations in the MCI provided Pot Bay.															





COLLOCATION - Florida										Attachment: 4	Exhibit: B					
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)				Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l	
	Virtual Collocation Cable Records - Fiber Cable, per 99 fiber records			AMTFS	VE1BF	169.96			149.97							
	<b>Security</b>															
	Virtual collocation - Security escort, basic time, normally scheduled work hours			AMTFS	SPTBX	33.65	22.05									
	Virtual collocation - Security escort, overtime, outside of normally scheduled work hours on a normal working day			AMTFS	SPTOX	44.63	28.89									
	Virtual collocation - Security escort, premium time, outside of a scheduled work day			AMTFS	SPTPX	55.62	35.73									
	<b>Maintenance</b>															
	Virtual collocation - Maintenance in CO - Basic, per half hour			AMTFS	CTRLX	54.05	22.05									
	Virtual collocation - Maintenance in CO - Overtime, per half hour			AMTFS	SPTOM	72.18	28.89									
	Virtual collocation - Maintenance in CO - Premium per half hour			AMTFS	SPTPM	90.31	35.73									
	<b>Entrance Cable</b>															
	Virtual Collocation - Cable Installation Charge, per cable			AMTFS	ESPCX	1,473.00	43.84									
	Virtual Collocation - Cable Support Structure, per cable			AMTFS	ESPSX	4.54										
	<b>COLLOCATION IN THE REMOTE SITE</b>															
	<b>Physical Remote Site Collocation</b>															
	Physical Collocation in the Remote Site - Application Fee			CLORS	PE1RA	612.23	270.35									
	Cabinet Space in the Remote Site per Bay/Rack			CLORS	PE1RB	154.59										
	Physical Collocation in the Remote Site - Security Access - Key			CLORS	PE1RD	23.28										
	Physical Collocation in the Remote Site - Space Availability Report per Premises Requested			CLORS	PE1SR	223.91										
	Physical Collocation in the Remote Site - Remote Site CLLI Code Request, per CLLI Code Requested			CLORS	PE1RE	73.39										
	Remote Site DLEC Data (BRSD), per Compact Disk, per CO			CLORS	PE1RR	208.02										
	Physical Collocation - Security Escort for Basic Time - normally scheduled work, per half hour			CLORS	PE1BT	33.65	22.05									
	Physical Collocation - Security Escort for Overtime - outside of normally scheduled working hours on a scheduled work day, per half hour			CLORS	PE1OT	44.63	28.89									
	Physical Collocation - Security Escort for Premium Time - outside of scheduled work day, per half hour			CLORS	PE1PT	55.62	35.73									
	<b>Adjacent Remote Site Collocation</b>															
	Remote Site-Adjacent Collocation-Application Fee	N		CLORS	PE1RU	755.62	755.62									
	Remote Site-Adjacent Collocation - Real Estate, per square foot	N		CLORS	PE1RT	0.134										
	Remote Site-Adjacent Collocation - AC Power, per breaker amp	N		CLORS	PE1RS	6.27										
	<b>Virtual Remote Site Collocation</b>															
	Virtual Collocation in the Remote Site - Application Fee			VE1RS	VE1RB	612.23	270.35									
	Virtual Collocation in the Remote Site - Per Bay/Rack of Space			VE1RS	VE1RC	154.59										
	Virtual Collocation in the Remote Site - Space Availability Report per Premises requested			VE1RS	VE1RR	223.91										
	Virtual Collocation in the Remote Site - Remote Site CLLI Code Request, per CLLI Code Requested			VE1RS	VE1RL	73.39										
	<b>ADJACENT COLLOCATION</b>															
	Adjacent Collocation - Space Charge per Sq. Ft.			CLOAC	PE1JA	0.1666										
	Adjacent Collocation - Electrical Facility Charge per Linear Ft.			CLOAC	PE1JC	4.62										
	Adjacent Collocation - 2-Wire Cross-Connects			UEANL,UEQ,UEA,U	CL,UAL,UHL,UDN	0.0194	7.32	5.37	4.58	2.71						
	Adjacent Collocation - 4-Wire Cross-Connects			UEA,UHL,UDL,UCL	PE1JF	0.0388	8.00	5.75	5.00	2.69						
	Adjacent Collocation - DS1 Cross-Connects			USL	PE1JG	0.3708	7.88	6.26	1.35	0.9915						
	Adjacent Collocation - DS3 Cross-Connects			UE3	PE1JH	4.14	32.40	31.03	11.15	10.98						
	Adjacent Collocation - 2-Fiber Cross-Connect			CLOAC	PE1JJ	1.70	28.26	25.85	13.78	11.01						
	Adjacent Collocation - 4-Fiber Cross-Connect			CLOAC	PE1JK	3.33	37.92	35.51	18.20	15.44						
	Adjacent Collocation - Application Fee			CLOAC	PE1JB	2,763.00			1.02							

COLLOCATION - Florida										Attachment: 4		Exhibit: B				
CATEGORY	RATE ELEMENTS	Interim	Zone	BCS	USOC	RATES (\$)				Svc Order Submitted Elec per LSR	Svc Order Submitted Manually per LSR	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Add'l	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc 1st	Incremental Charge - Manual Svc Order vs. Electronic-Disc Add'l	
	Adjacent Collocation - 120V, Single Phase Standby Power Rate per AC Breaker Amp			CLOAC	PE1JL	5.26										
	Adjacent Collocation - 240V, Single Phase Standby Power Rate per AC Breaker Amp			CLOAC	PE1JM	10.53										
	Adjacent Collocation - 120V, Three Phase Standby Power Rate per AC Breaker Amp			CLOAC	PE1JN	15.80										
	Adjacent Collocation - 277V, Three Phase Standby Power Rate per AC Breaker Amp			CLOAC	PE1JO	36.47										
	Adjacent Collocation - Cable Support Structure per Entrance Cable	N		CLOAC	PE1JP	5.19										
<b>MICROWAVE TRANSMISSION FACILITIES (IN CONJUNCTION WITH PHYSICAL COLLOCATION)</b>																
	Site Visit Request to determine Line-of-Sight for Microwave Transmission Facilities, per Visit, per CO	N		CLO	PE1SU	1,034.00						1.32				
	Site Visit Request - Structural Analysis for Microwave Transmission Facilities, per Visit, per Central Office	N		CLO	PE1SV	1,034.00						1.32				
	Initial Request for Microwave Transmission Facilities, per Central Office	N		CLO	PE1SW	4,364.00						1.32				
	Subsequent Request for Microwave Transmission Facilities, per Central Office	N		CLO	PE1SX	1,753.00						1.32				
<b>NOTE: Rates displaying an "N" in the Interim column are agreed to by the Parties until such time as modified by Commission order and are not subject to true-up.</b>																
<b>NOTE: Rates displaying an "I" in the Interim column are Interim as a result of a Commission order.</b>																