

Dorothy Menasco

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 12:53 PM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Margie Johnson; Dorothy Menasco
Subject: RE: adoption

RECEIVED-FPSC
 06 JAN 17 PM 3:02
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Yes, they would need to file a corrected letter.

We will open a docket with today's date pursuant to your e-mail.

Dorothy, please print this e-mail and use it to open a docket to handle the adoption. The letter will need to be moved to the new docket.

Thanks.

Kay

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 11:46 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Sally Simmons; Margie Johnson
Subject: RE: adoption

It was a pure adoption, so filing the underlying agreement wasn't necessary. If you would like, during the review process, I can ask one/both of the companies to file a corrected letter.

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 11:45 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Margie Johnson
Subject: RE: adoption

What about the attachment that's mentioned but wasn't included?

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 11:44 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Sally Simmons; Margie Johnson
Subject: RE: adoption

I just got off the phone with Nancy Schnitzer and she confirmed that Sprint did sign the letter. The letter can be docketed as an adoption (pure adoption).

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2006 10:08 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Dorothy Menasco
Subject: RE: adoption

Jeff, we left this filing in the undocketed. Should anything different be done with it?

1/17/2006

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00410 JAN 17 06

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Kay

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 4:18 PM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons
Subject: RE: adoption

ok

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 4:16 PM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Sally Simmons
Subject: RE: adoption

Let me come down there and take a look at it.

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2006 4:15 PM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Marguerite Lockard; Dorothy Menasco
Subject: adoption

Jeff, we got a letter today (dated 12/22/05) signed by John Sumpter of Pac-West Telecomm, Inc. and [illegible signature] of Sprint saying enclosed was a copy of an IURC agreement between Sprint and KMC Telecom V, and that the parties are adopting the agreement.

Nothing was attached. I checked to see if we had an open adoption docket on this, but couldn't find anything. I hesitate to docket this without the attachment and without a legible signature by Sprint.

Suggestions?

Kay