## **,**

STATE OF FLORIDA



TIMOTHY DEVLIN, DIRECTOR DIVISION OF ECONOMIC REGULATION (850) 413-6900

COMMISSIONERS: LISA POLAK EDGAR, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON ISILIO ARRIAGA MATTHEW M. CARTER II KATRINA J. TEW

## Hublic Service Commission

January 18, 2006

F. Marshall Deterding, Esquire ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301 COMMISSION COMMISSION

Re: Docket No. 010087-WS, Application of Sun Communities Finance, LLC d/b/a Water Oak Utility for approval of Reuse Project Plan and Increase for Wastewater Rates

Dear Mr. Deterding:

In order to make a recommendation on the Motion to Modify Order and Close Docket by Sun Communities Finance, LLC d/b/a Water Oak Utility (Water Oak or utility), staff needs additional information. It appears from your Motion that the utility does not intend to institute reuse in the near future. The utility stated in its pleading that:

Upon further investigation and after discussion between the Utility, its lawyers and engineers and issuance of a new Water Use Permit from the St. Johns River

MP _	Water Management District, the Utility has determined that the requirement for
	implementation of a reuse system is not eminent and therefore, the Utility has
CTR	decided to delay implementation of that expensive project until it is determined
	that such reuse project must be implemented immediately.
ECR_	In reviewing the February 10, 2005 Consumptive Use Technical Staff Report for
GCL_	Application No. 282 (Water Oak Utilities, Inc.), it appeared that the conclusions therein were
OPC .	based on a statement in the analysis that "Reclaimed water from the proposed reuse treatment
RCA .	plant upgrade will be supplied to the golf course (CUP #95654) for irrigation by the end of 2006." In addition, review of Consumptive Use Permit Number 282, Condition 17 required the
SCR	permittee to continue to implement the Water Conservation Plans submitted to the District on
SGA	September 14, 2004, in accordance with the schedules contained therein. It is my understanding that reuse was part of the Conservation Plan.
SEC	
OTH	I would appreciate your response to the following questions by February 15, 2006.
	1. Please explain why the utility does not believe it is required to institute reuse by the end of 2006.

## F. Marshall Deterding, Esquire Page 2 January 18, 2006

- 2. When does the utility expect the requirement for implementation of a reuse system to be eminent?
- 3. Does the utility believe that implementation of reuse is part of its Conservation Plan? If not, why not?

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6934.

12/10

Troy Rendell
Public Utilities Supervisor

TR:sm

cc: Division of Economic Regulation (Merta)

Office of the General Counsel (Jaeger)

Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services 010087-WS