

LAW OFFICES  
**ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP**  
2548 BLAIRSTONE PINES DRIVE  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

(850) 877-6535  
Fax (850) 636-4029  
www.rsbattorneys.com

REPLY TO CENTRAL FLORIDA OFFICE

CENTRAL FLORIDA OFFICE  
SANLANDO CENTER  
2180 W. STATE ROAD 434, SUITE 2118  
LONGWOOD, FLORIDA 32779  
(407) 830-6331  
FAX (407) 830-8522

MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN, P.A.  
VALENTI L. LORD  
BRIAN J. STREET

FRIEDBERG L. ASCHAUER, JR.  
CHRIS H. BENTLEY, P.A.  
ROBERT C. BRANNAN  
DAVID F. CUESTER  
F. MARSHALL DETERDING  
JOHN R. JENKINS, P.A.  
STEVEN T. MINDJIAN, P.A.  
CHRISTY H. O'STEEN  
DAREN L. SHIPPY  
WILLIAM E. SUNDSTROM, P.A.  
DIANE D. TREMOR, P.A.  
JOHN L. WHARTON  
ROBERT M. C. ROSE, *Of Counsel*  
WAYNE E. SCHEFFELBERG, *Of Counsel*

February 6, 2006

VIA E-MAILING

Ms. Blanca Bayo  
Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Director  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Re: Docket No. 040384-WS; Sanlando Utilities Corporation's Application for Amendment  
to Certificates of Authorization  
Our File No.: 30057.61

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket is Sanlando Utilities Corporation's  
Response to Motion For Continuance.

Should you have any questions regarding this filing, please do not hesitate to give me  
a call.

Very truly yours,

s/Martin S. Friedman

MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN  
For the Firm

MSF/mp  
Attachment

cc: Jennifer Brubaker, Esquire (w/attachment) (via U. S. Mail & Facsimile)  
Richard S. Taylor, Esquire (w/attachment) (via U. S. Mail & Facsimile)  
Susan Dietrich, Esquire (w/attachment) (via U. S. Mail & Facsimile)  
Patrick C. Flynn, Regional Director (w/attachment) (via Facsimile)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Application of  
SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION  
for amendment of water and wastewater  
certificates in Seminole County

Docket No. 040384-WS

---

RESPONSE TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Applicant, SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION (“Sanlando”), by and through its undersigned attorneys and pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, files this response to the Motion for Continuance filed by the City of Longwood (“City”). The City asserts the following two reasons for requesting a continuance: (1) its desire to retain co-counsel with PSC experience and (2) to allow time for mediation. The City’s Motion must be denied for the following reasons:

1. The City has failed to comply with Rule 28-106.204 (3), Florida Administrative Code, which requires a Motion for Continuance to include a statement that the movant has conferred with all other parties and shall state as to each party whether the party has any objection to the Motion. The City never consulted with Sanlando’s attorneys regarding this Motion, and the required statement is omitted from the Motion.
2. Sanlando filed its Application in April of 2004, almost two (2) years ago, in order to clear up errors in its territory description that had existed for over thirty (30) years. On May 10, 2004, the City filed an objection to Sanlando’s Application. It is unfathomable that it took almost two years for the City to determine that experienced PSC co-counsel was needed. This matter has been set for hearing since October, 2005.
3. If the City believes it needs co-counsel, it certainly should have been able to

make that determination at an earlier date. The Order Establishing Procedure was issued on October 17, 2005, which would have alerted the City's attorneys to the procedures utilized by the Commission. There is no explanation as to why it took the City three and one-half (3 ½) months to reach the decision that it needed co-counsel. Raising this issue one month before trial is untimely. Based on the present schedule, a final decision will not be entered until June, 2006. Any further delay will prejudice Sanlando.

4. The City's argument that it is now agreeable to mediation, and thus, the final hearing should be continued is disingenuous. Several months ago the attorneys for Sanlando suggested mediation as a possible option, however, the City ignored that suggestion -- at least until it could use it to its advantage in requesting a continuance. Sanlando is still willing to mediate so long as it does not result in a continuance of the current final hearing. Frankly, if the City is truly interested in mediation, there is plenty of time to accomplish it within the current schedule.

5. The City's assertion is that mediation could provide a "global" settlement of "other disputes that exist" between the City and Sanlando. In fact, there are no other disputes between Sanlando and the City. Admittedly, there is a dispute between Utilities, Inc. of Longwood and the City by virtue of the City using its permitting powers to require businesses in Utilities, Inc. of Longwood's exclusive service area to connect to the City's wastewater system instead of that of Utilities, Inc. of Longwood. Sanlando is not involved in that dispute.

6. Sanlando, as well as the Staff, has devoted substantial time and expense in preparing Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits. This case is virtually ready for trial. To allow

a continuance and to change the schedule will require Sanlando and the Staff, and presumably the City, to redo all of that effort, which would have been for naught.

7. The only purpose for bringing in co-counsel would be to make new argument and take a new position which the City had heretofore not raised, setting this case back to the beginning. That results in substantial prejudice to Sanlando. If the City wants to bring in co-counsel to “take the case as he or she finds it” there is still plenty of time within which to do so.

WHEREFORE, Sanlando Utilities Corporation requests this Commission deny the City’s Motion for Continuance.

Respectfully submitted this 6<sup>th</sup> day of  
February, 2006, by:

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP  
2180 W. State Road 434, Suite 2118  
Sanlando Center  
Longwood, Florida 32779  
(407) 830-6331  
(407) 830-8522 Fax

BY:           s/Martin S. Friedman            
MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN  
VALERIE L. LORD  
For the Firm

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  
Docket No. 040384-WS

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished

by Facsimile and U.S. Mail on this 6<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2006, to:

Jennifer Brubaker, Esquire  
Division of Legal Services  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Richard S. Taylor, Esquire  
531 Dog Track Road  
Longwood, FL 32752-1117

Susan Dietrich, Assistant County Attorney  
Seminole County, Florida  
1101 East First Street  
Sanford, FL 32771-1468

BY: s/Martin S. Friedman  
MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN