## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint petition by TDS Telecom d/b/a DOCKET NO. 050119-TP TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone; ALLTEL Florida, Inc.; Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM; GTC, Inc. d/b/a GT Com; Smart City Telecommunications, LLC Telecom; d/b/a Smart City Telecommunications Systems, Inc.; and Frontier Communications of the South, LLC ["Joint Petitioners"] objecting requesting suspension and cancellation of proposed transit traffic service tariff filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

In re: Petition and complaint for suspension and cancellation of Transit Traffic Service Tariff No. FL2004-284 filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC.

DOCKET NO. 050125-TP ORDER NO. PSC-06-0151-PCO-TP ISSUED: February 28, 2006

## ORDER GRANTING COMMISSION STAFF'S MOTION TO LATE-FILE PREHEARING STATEMENT

On February 11, 2005, TDS Telecom d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone; ALLTEL Florida Inc.; Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM; GTC, Inc., d/b/a GT Com; Smart City Telecom; ITS Telecommunications Systems Inc.; and Frontier Communications of the South, LLC (Joint Petitioners) filed a joint petition that objects to and requests suspension and cancellation of BellSouth Telecommunication Inc.'s (BellSouth) General Subscriber Services Tariff A16.1, Transit Traffic Service. Docket No. 050119-TP was established in response to the petition filed by the Joint Petitioners. On February 17, 2005, AT&T Communications of the Southern States, LLC, (AT&T) also filed a petition and complaint for suspension and cancellation of Transit Traffic Tariff No. FL 2004-284 filed by BellSouth. Docket No. 050125-TP was subsequently established in response to AT&T's petition.

BellSouth filed an answer to the Joint Petitioners in Docket No. 050119-TP, on March 3, 2005, and on March 4, 2005, filed an answer and motion in Docket No. 050125-TP to consolidate Docket No. 050119-TP with Docket No. 050125-TP. By Order No. PSC-05-0623-PAA-TP this Commission consolidated the two dockets, but denied the requests for suspension of the Transit Traffic Tariff. However, we deemed it appropriate that revenues from the tariff be held by BellSouth subject to refund pending the outcome of the proceedings.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transit Traffic Tariff No. FL 2004-284 is also known as BellSouth's General Subscriber Tariff A16.1, Transit Traffic Tariff.

ORDER NO. PSC-06-0151-PCO-TP DOCKET NOS. 050119-TP, 050125-TP PAGE 2

Due to the numerous issues that arose from the parties' issue identification conference, Commission staff recommended that this matter might be more properly addressed by a generic proceeding involving the major incumbent local exchange companies. On August 26, 2005, the Joint Petitioners filed a petition for a generic investigation into third-party transit traffic. On November 10, 2005, we issued Order No. PSC-05-1133-PCO-TP denying the Joint Petitioners petition.

Transit traffic is traffic that originates on the network of one carrier, transits over BellSouth's network, then terminates on the network of a third carrier. BellSouth has filed a new tariff, General Subscriber Services Tariff § A.16.1, Transit Traffic Service, which sets forth certain rates, terms and conditions that apply when carriers receive transit service from BellSouth but have not entered into an agreement with BellSouth setting forth rates, terms and conditions for the provision of transit services. BellSouth's transit tariff does not apply to a party with whom BellSouth has an existing contractual relationship because the tariff, by its terms, applies as a default, only in the absence of an existing contractual agreement. Docket Nos. 050119-TP and 050125-TP involve a dispute over the appropriate rates, terms and conditions applicable to transit traffic. By Order No. PSC-05-1206-PCO-TP, issued December 6, 2005, the procedural schedule and hearing dates were established for this docket.

On February 21, 2006, Commission staff (staff) filed its Motion to Late-File Prehearing Statement along with its prehearing statement, which was filed one day late. In its motion, staff stated that due to an inadvertent oversight, staff missed the filing date for the prehearing statement. Staff further stated that the parties had been contacted and that they have no objection to staff's late-filed prehearing statement.

I find that the parties will not be prejudiced by the late filing of staff's prehearing statement. Therefore, I find it appropriate to grant the motion and accept staff's late-filed prehearing statement.

Based on the foregoing, it is,

ORDERED by Commissioner Katrina J. Tew, as Prehearing Officer, that Commission staff's Motion to Late-File Prehearing Statement is hereby granted, and staff's prehearing statement is accepted.

ORDER NO. PSC-06-0151-PCO-TP DOCKET NOS. 050119-TP, 050125-TP PAGE 3

By ORDER of Commissioner Katrina J. Tew, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>28th</u> day of <u>February</u>, <u>2006</u>.

Kattina J. Saw KATRINA J. TEW

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

KS

## NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.