BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for staff- DOCKET NO. 050862-WU assisted rate case in Marion County by County-Wide Utility Co., Inc.

PETITION FOR EMERGENCY RATES

Applicant, COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY Co., INC. ("Applicant" or "County-Wide" or "Utility"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, and pursuant to Section 367.081, Florida Statutes hereby requests that the Public Service Commission grant the Utility Emergency Rates during the pendency of the Staff Assisted Rate Case currently being processed in this docket and in support thereof states as follows:

1. The Utility has had to upgrade its water service in order to provide fire flow and to comply with changes in the land development code for Marion County.

2. After analysis of the various alternatives available for meeting the new fire flow requirements, the Utility determined that interconnection of its existing system and retirement of its wells, tanks and treatment facilities, was the most economically feasible way in which to accomplish compliance with these requirements.

3. In order to avoid some of the rapidly increasing costs of interconnection and to provide the benefits of fire flow capability, the Utility immediately began negotiation with the City of Ocala for interconnection and for purchase of bulk water. The COCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 01729 FEB 28 g

FPSC-COMMISSION CLEPH

Utility has now constructed an interconnection between its existing system and the City of Ocala and has begun purchasing water from the City.

4. The Utility has incurred approximately \$808,000 in interconnection costs and is incurring interest on the required debt financing; increased tangible and intangible taxes; and the cost of the purchased water from the County.

5. While there have been some decreases in costs as a result of the interconnection, overall the increases in costs have been substantial.

6. All of the customers of the Utility are currently benefitting from the change over to the purchase of water from the City of Ocala, rather than operation of the Utility's prior treatment and pumping facilities, in the form of increased reliability of service, fire flow capability, and resulting decreases in property insurance rates.

7. All of the customers of the Utility are also benefitting from the Utility immediately beginning construction of these facilities because of the dramatic increase in construction material costs that have occurred between the time the Utility did construct those facilities and the present costs.

8. Because of recently discovered deterioration in the existing pressure tank, it has become clear that continued utilization of that tank was not feasible from a safety and reliability standpoint. Therefore, immediate activation of the

interconnection was the only prudent course of action for the Utility to continue to provide safe, efficient and sufficient service.

9. The substantial increased costs of the interconnect and the beginning of purchasing water from the City of Ocala has placed a tremendous financial burden on the Utility that ultimately should be borne by its customers receiving those benefits.

10. The Utility has no method by which to recover these costs, except through the Staff Assisted Rate Case currently pending before the Commission.

11. While that proceeding is pending, the Utility is incurring substantial costs solely for the benefit of its customers and has no choice at this time but to continue to incur those costs.

12. The Utility's request for interim rate relief was denied based upon the Commission's implementation of its statutory authority for interim rates in the Staff Assisted Rate Case which would not authorize interim increases in cases of this nature.

13. While the Commission denied interim rate relief, the Commissioners encouraged the staff to work with the Utility to develop a request for emergency rate relief in order to cover these costs which were and continue to be incurred in the public interest and in the interest of the Utility's existing customers during the pendency of the Staff Assisted Rate Case.

14. The Utility has prepared the attached group of schedules

demonstrating the actual costs that the Utility is incurring in receiving bulk service and providing the benefits of that bulk service to its customers on a daily basis. The Utility has developed the rates necessary to allow the Utility to recover such costs on an emergency basis, while the Staff Assisted Rate Case is pending. These schedules are attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"**.

.

15. The new rates as developed in Exhibit "A" represent a slight change in the Utility's rate structure. The existing rate structure involves minimum charges and involves vacation rates that are only a small fraction of the current base or minimum charges. Changes in rate structure will have to be made by the Commission in this proceeding, not only to comport with current Commission policy and standards, but also to provide fair and equitable rates to all of the customers receiving service from the Utility. In addition, the bulk contract with the City of Ocala requires, at least implicitly, implementation of a conservation rate structure by the Utility. Based upon these facts, the Utility has prepared proposed rates on an emergency basis which will more equitably distribute the increased costs. These proposed rates will allocate costs on a much more equitable basis than existing rates, and should approach the appropriate allocation of burden, which will ultimately be borne out by the final rates after full investigation by the Commission.

16. Attached as **Exhibit "B"** are tariff sheets reflecting the change in rates proposed by the Utility in Exhibit "A".

17. The Utility proposes that the Commission authorize collection of these emergency rates contained in Exhibits A and B. The Utility will deposit all additional revenues into an escrow account, and withdraw only those funds necessary for direct payment of the costs outlined in those schedules.

18. The Utility would propose that the Utility automatically be authorized to withdraw those funds for payment of reserved water capacity charges and consumption charges from the City of Ocala; payment of interest on the debt incurred in order to construct the capital facilities necessary for the interconnection; and for payment of all tangible and intangible taxes related to the new facilities. The Utility would propose that any other proposed withdrawals from the escrow account be submitted for approval by the Utility as a prerequisite to the Utility's authorization to make such withdrawals, and that the staff of the Commission be authorized to review and approve such requests administratively.

WHEREFORE, COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY CO., INC. requests that the Public Service Commission immediately authorize the collection of Emergency Rates during the pendency of the Staff Assisted Rate Case being processed in this docket, based on the figures contained in Exhibits A and B hereof and authorize collection of such rates until the Final Order is issued in the above-referenced case; and authorize the withdrawal of monies from the escrow account into which such excess revenues are deposited for the purposes of payment of the expenses directly related to such interconnect and bulk water purchased.

Respectfully submitted this 28 H day of February, 2006, by:

F. MARSHALL DETERDING

FL BAR ID NO. 515876 ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 877-6555 (850) 656-4029 FAX •

Proposed Emergency Rate Increase Summary

Line No.		
1	Water Interconnect Cost	807,823
2	Weighted Cost of Capital ***	9.37%
3	Average Number of Residential Customers (Schedule "C" Line 19)	472

2005 Annualized Revenue at Current Rates (Schedule "F")	Total	Fixed	Variable	Percentage of Revenu
Residential Base Facility Charge	73,751	73,751		66.5%
Tier 1 Gallonage Charge	27,232	-	27,232	24.5%
Tier 2 Gallonage Charge	1,702	-	1,702	1.5%
General Service Base Facility Charge	2,380	2,380		2.1%
Gallonage Charge	2,742	-	2,742	2.5%
Private Fire Service	926	926	-,	0.8%
Residential Standby Rate (\$3 per month)	429	429	-	0.4%
Misc Service Fees	1,780	1,780	-	1.6%
Total	110,941	79,265	31,676	100.0%
New Costs related solely to Interconnect and Purchased Water				
Purchased Water Costs (Schedule "B" Line 33)	36,537	-	36,537	
Less Water Production Costs (Schedule "B" Line 17)	(16,784)	-	(16,784)	
Return on New Required Investment (Line 1 times Line 2)	75,693	75,693	-	
Increased O&M	14,850	14,850	-	
Tangible Taxes (Schedule "A" Line 22)	13,495	13,495	-	
Testing (Schedule "A" Line 36)	1,355	1,355	-	
Depreciation (Schedule "A" Line 10)	22,727	22,727	-	
Total	147,872	128,119	19,753	
Less Estimated Revenue from cancellation of Standby Rate (Line 65)	(4,552)	(4,552)	-	
	143,320	123,567	19,753	
Divide by Expansion Factor for Regulatory Assessment Fees	0.955	0.955	0.955	
Required Increase in Revenue	150,073	129,390	20,684	
Divide by 2005 Annualized Revenue (Line 13 less Line 12)	109,161	77,485	31,676	
Percentage Increase in Rates	135%	163%	65%	
Existing Residential 5/8"x3/4"	Total	Fixed	Variable	
BFC (includes 3,750 gals)		13.23		
Useage Rate Tier 1		10.20	1.89	
Useage Rate Tier 2			1.03	
			1.02	
Average Bill (Sum of Lines 5-7 divided by Line 3)	18.15	13.23	4.92	
	.0.10		7.74	
Proposed Residential 5/8"x3/4"	Totai	Fixed	Variable	
BFC (includes 3,750 gals) *		34.83		
Useage Rate Tier 1		04.00	3.12	
Useage Rate Tier 2			1.69	
-				
Anticipated Average Bill with Proposed Rates	44.67	34.83	9.85	
Estimated Savings per Month on Home Fire Insurance (Sched. E Line 9)	(22.30)	(22.30)	-	
Less Existing Rate for Average Bill	(18.15)	(13.23)	(4.92)	
Average Effective Increase/(Decrease) **	4.22	(0.70)	4.93	
Effective Rate Increase/(Decrease)	23%	(3.10)	4.00	
** While the cost of purchased water increases the average bill by \$4.93 ("\ anticipated savings on fire insurance reduces the average effective increase column of Line 49) or 23%.	/ariable" colu	mn of Line month ("Te	49), the otal"	
*Equivalent BFC with Zero Gallons>		23.11		
Estimated Revenue from Cancellation of Standby Rat	e			
Standby Revenue		429		

56Standby Revenue42957divided by Standby Rate per month3.0058equals Standby Rate Customer-Months14359times Proposed BFC34.8360Estimated Revenue from cancellation of Standby Rate4,552

61 62

*** Taken from Final Audit Report issued on February 20, 2006 and subject to review by Utility.



County-Wide Utility Co., Inc.

Estimated Additional Operations Costs

Tangible Taxes

I	ine		

, **.**

-

Line	-	Original					
No.	Plant Additions	Cost	FMV	L	_ife	Rate	Depreciation
1	304 - Land and Land Rights	2,815				0.00%	-
2	331 - Transmission and Distribution Mains	750,649			38	2.63%	19,754
3	334 - Meters and Meter Installations	1,987			17	5.88%	117
4	335 - Hydrants	25,763			40	2.50%	644
5	336 - Backflow Prevention Devices	17,631			10	10.00%	1,763
6	339 - Other Plant and Misc Equipment	8,977			20	5.00%	449
7		807,823	807,823				22,727
8		times	<u>1.68%</u>				
9	Additional Tangible Taxes		13,561				
10		Original					
	Diant Definements	-					
11	Plant Retirements	Cost	FMV				
12							
13	1/1/05 Tangible Tax Return	70.000	~~~~				
14	Total Plant	76,280	33,094				
15	Tangible Taxes	divided by	556				
16	Effective Tax Rate		1.68%				
17							
18	Retired Plant	23,138	3,976				
	In 2005, all plant was retired except for						
19	distribution mains and water meters.	11	4.000/				
20	Deduction of Tangible Tax	times	<u>1.68%</u> 67				
21	Reduction of Tangible Tax		67				
22	Estimated Net Increase in Tangible Taxes			13,495			
23							
24	—						
25	Testing						
26							
27	Water Sampling						
28	2005 Test Year Routine Sampling		2,230				
29	Estimated Costs as Consecutive System		2,585				
30	Net Increase in Water Sampling		355				
31							
32	Annual Hydrant Maintenace Fee						
33							
34	Etimated Net Increase in Testing Costs						
35	10 Hydrants	100 ea	1,000				
36				1,355			
37							
38	Net Increase in Operations Costs			14,850			
30	Net melease in Operations 00315			17,000			

1/15/2006

Water Production vs. Purchase Cost Comparison 2005 Test Year

Line No.

•

.

1	Annual Gallons Sold (000's)	33,550	Schedule "C" Line 19			
2	Estimated Loss	3,355	10% Estimated Loss Rate			
3	Estimated Annual Gallons Pumped (000's)	36,905				
4						
5	Water Plant Operations Cost	Annual	Monthly			
6						
7	Acct No. Account Name					
8	615 Purchased Power	5 009	492 *			
	616 Fuel for Power Prod	5,908				
9		51	4			
10		642	53 * 155 - Seberbile "D" Line 5			
11	630.03 Operation	1,860	155 Schedule "D" Line 5			
12	630.06 R&M	1,248	104 Schedule "D" Line 14			
13	630.10 DEP Req Water Testing	568	47 Schedule "D" Line 24			
14	640 Rents	4,858	405			
15	650 Plant Landscape Maintenance	1,517	126			
16	655 Insurance	132	11			
17	Total	\$ 16,784	\$ 1,399			
18	divided by	33,550	thousand gals sold			
19	Water Plant Operations Cost	\$ 0.50	per thousand gals sold			
20						
21	Purchased Water Cost					
22						
23	Reserved Water Capacity Rate	\$ 0.0058	per gallon per month			
24	times	175,000	galions for peak day			
25		\$ 1,015	3 to point and			
26	times	\$ 1,013 12	months			
			montais			
27	Annual Reserved Water Capacity Charge	\$ 12,180				
28	Outra mating Data		and the second second			
29	Consumption Rate	0.66	per thousand gallons			
30	times	36,905	thousand gals purchased			
31	Annual Consumption Charge	\$ 24,357				
32						
33	Annual Purchased Water Charge	\$ 36,537				
34	divided by	33,550	thousand gals sold			
35	Purchased Water Rate	\$ 1.09	per thousand gals sold			
36						
37	Increased Water Cost					
38						
	Annual Durch and Mater Channel	20 527				
39	Annual Purchased Water Charge	36,537				
40	Annual Water Plant Operations Cost	16,784				
41	<u>.</u>	\$ 19,753				
42	divided by	12	months			
43	divided by	472	avg customers			
44		\$ 3.49	avg cost per customer per month			
45			-			
46	Purchased Water Rate	1.09				
47	Water Plant Operations Cost	0.50				
48		\$ 0.59	per thousand gallons			
-						

* Annualized due to water plant shut down in November

Water Production Cost Comparison (2005 Test Year) Purchased Water Estimate by Month

Line No.

.

.

1	Reserved Water Capacity Rate	\$ 0.0058	per gallon per month
2	Reservation Amount		gallons for peak day
3	Consumption Rate	\$ 0.66	per thousand gallons
4	Loss Percentage	10%	•

			Estimated						Estimated Monthly		
		Metered	Pumped	Re	servation	Со	nsumption	Total	Average	Customers	
6	Month	Useage	Water	(Charge		Charge	Charge	Daily Flow	Billed	
7	Jan	2,709,300	2,980,230	\$	1,015	\$	1,967	2,982	97,980	480	
8	Feb	2,951,300	3,246,430	\$	1,015	\$	2,143	3,158	106,732	480	
9	Mar	2,671,400	2,938,540	\$	1,015	\$	1,939	2,954	96,610	484	
10	Apr	3,012,000	3,313,200	\$	1,015	\$	2,187	3,202	108,927	478	
11	May	3,100,500	3,410,550	\$	1,015	\$	2,251	3,266	112,128	469	
12	June	2,872,800	3,160,080	\$	1,015	\$	2,086	3,101	103,893	466	
13	July	2,312,700	2,543,970	\$	1,015	\$	1,679	2,694	83,637	465	
14	Aug	2,655,400	2,920,940	\$	1,015	\$	1,928	2,943	96,031	466	
15	Sep	3,322,000	3,654,200	\$	1,015	\$	2,412	3,427	120,138	460	
16	Oct	2,295,700	2,525,270	\$	1,015	\$	1,667	2,682	83,023	465	
17	Nov	3,150,500	3,465,550	\$	1,015	\$	2,287	3,302	113,936	470	
18	Dec	2,496,300	2,745,930	\$	1,015	\$	1,812	2,827	90,277	475	
19	Total	33,549,900	36,904,890	\$	12,180	\$	24,357	\$ 36,537	101,109	472	Avera

Water Production Cost (2005 Test Year) Details

Line No.

•

1	630.03	Plant Operation		
2		Total Operations	265.00	
3		Line Sampling	110.00	
4		Net Plant Operations	155.00	per month
5		•		P
6				
7	630.06	Plant R&M	_	
8	5/25/2005	Replace air line	392.20	
9	5/1/2005	replace compressor	264.15	
10	6/14/2005	replace pressure switch	145.00	
11		u .	55.00	
12			112.50	
13		"	278.85	
14			1,247.70	
15				
16	<u>630.10</u>	DEP Required Water Testing		
17	2003		1,385.00	
18	2004		890.00	
19	2005		405.00	
20		Total	2,680.00	
21		divided by	3	
22		Average	893.33	
23		Less Lead and Copper	325.00	
24		Avg Plant Testing	568.33	

			Without		
Line	Туре	Home	Fire	With Fire	
No.	Home	Value	Hydrants	Hydrants	Savings
1	Frame				
2		100,000	1,055	747	308
3		200,000	1,932	1,317	615
4	Block				
5		100,000	759	621	138
6		200,000	1,341	1,064	277

Annual Insurance Cost Estimate

County-Wide Utility Co., Inc.

Line	i ypc	T IOITIC	1110	vviu i i i e		
No.	Home	Value	Hydrants	Hydrants	Savings	
1	Frame					
2		100,000	1,055	747	308	
3		200,000	1,932	1,317	615	
4	Block					
5		100,000	759	621	138	
6		200,000	1,341	1,064	277	
7			Annua	al Average	335	
8				divided by	12	months
9			Month	ly Savings	27.88	
10	Homes cu	rrently withir	n 1,000 feet	of hydrant	<u>80%</u>	
11			Averag	e Savings	22.30	

Rates are based on quotes from American Strategic Insurance for a 7 year old home through Lossing Insurance Agency, Ocala, FL on 2/14/06.

1 1	ne No.																
	1 1		old (Gallons)		Total 33,605,900	Jan 2,710,500	Feb 2,951,300	Mar 2,722,400	Apr 3,014,900	May 3,100,500	Jun 2,872,800	Jul 2,312,700	Aug 2,655,400	Sep 3,322,000	Oct 2,295,700	Nov 3,151,400	Dec 2,496,300
	2		Service Water Sold (Gallons)		1,165,300	47,400	47,400	56,300	64,000	70,200	69,400	53,400	50,100	49,200	66,800	310,600	280,500
:	3		lial Water Sold (Gallons)		32,440,600	2,663,100	2,903,900	2,666,100	2,950,900	3,030,300	2,803,400	2,259,300	2,605,300	3,272,800	2,228,900	2,840,800	2,215,800
	4 5		ales (System Totals)		104,264	8,480	8,747	8,428	9,034	9,105	8,704	7,877	8,649	9,607	7,928	9,325	8,380
1	6		Service Sales (Billing Registers)		2,811	127	118	134	173		173	161	156	151	172	641	625
	7 8	Resident	ial Sales		101,453	8,353	8,629	8,294	8,861	8,925	8,531	7,716	8,493	9,456	7,756	8,684	7,755
	9 9		ales (System Totals) ents (Codes 0 & 9)		104,264 (273)				(70)	(17)	(12)	(26)	(28)	40		(20)	(104)
1			Total Water Sales		103,991	8,353	8,629	8,294	8,791	8,908	(13) 8,518	<u>(26)</u> 7,690	<u>(28)</u> 8,465	<u>13</u> 9,469	7,756	<u>(28)</u> 8,656	(104) 7,651
1	2		tered Revenue (G/L)		103,992	-,	0,0	-,	0,101	0,000	0,010	1,000	0,100	0,100	1,100	0,000	1,001
	3 4	Differenc	Ce		(1)												
	4 5	Billing R	egister														
	6	-	Total Accounts		5,963	497	496	496	495	491	494	495	500	498	500	502	499
METERS 005 11 2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1			Less General Service Accounts		35	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4
ະ 1 ມູດ 1	8 9		Less Inactive Accounts Active Residential Accounts		<u>314</u> 5,649	<u>13</u> 484	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>18</u> 477	<u>23</u> 468	<u>29</u> 465	31 464	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>33</u> 469	<u>25</u> 474
2 00 2	0																
JE FOR 5/8" X 3/4" ME DECEMBER 31, 2005 D 72 75 75 75 75 15	2	Invoiced	No. of Bills (A/P)		5,675	481	481	486	480	470	468	467	466	460	464	474	478
1981 1981	3	Water Sales Accounts (System Tot) Less General Service Accounts Residential Bills Printed Prorated Accounts (Billing Register)			5,645	480	480	483	477	468	465	464	463	459	463	469	474
2 0K 2 0EWE 2					35 5,610	2 478	<u>2</u> 478	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>3</u> 465	462	<u>3</u> 461	<u>3</u> 460	456	<u> </u>	465	470
<u>т Ц 2</u>	26				71	5	5	5	4	405	402		<u> </u>	430	400	<u> </u>	470
REVENUE FOR ENDED DECEN		Full Mon	th Residential Accounts		5,539	473	473	476	470	463	455	452	453	451	452	454	467
	9	Prorated	Total Amount (Billing Register)		503.24	45.31	36.05	32.69	28.88	10.37	50.58	56.37	57.33	31.40	57.42	75.41	21.43
ឌុសិ្ទ	0 1	Excentio	nal Useage Report														
[₹ ⊒ 3:	2	Under	Under 3,750	46.5%													
a <u>∓</u> 3			Accounts		2,930	252	236	251	220	199	215	273	258	217	297	226	286
- OR - 3		Over	Gallons 3,750	51.2%	5,117,000	502,700	459,900	494,500	407,900	325,700	357,200	446,900	384,900	324,000	508,800	379,500	525,000
<u>⊇</u> 3	6		Bills	U1.270	2,999	243	260	243	271	289	276	219	239	278	200	272	209
			Gailons		27,323,600	2,160,400	2,444,000	2,171,600	2,543,000	2,704,600	2,446,200	1,812,400	2,220,400	2,948,800	1,720,100	2,461,300	1,690,800
⊄ 3¦ 3!			Gallons included in Base Gallons Charged		11,246,250 14,408,450	911,250 1,087,150	975,000 1,154,600	911,250 990,650	1,016,250 1,422,550	1,083,750 1,515,550	1,035,000 1,373,300	821,250 948,950	896,250 1,262,850	1,042,500 1,741,800	750,000 854,900	1,020,000 1,317,500	783,750 738,650
4	0	Over	22,500	2.3%	,,	.,,		220,000	.,	1,010,000		0.0,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	44414 4 4	1011000	, 55,000
4			Bills		129	8	13	427 200	14	15	7	4	14	19	6 350-200	16	6
4: 4:			Gallons Gallons included in Base		4,571,400 2,902,500	342,000 180,000	606,900 292,500	427,200 157,500	419,200 315,000	442,800 337,500	195,400 157,500	132,200 90,000	376,300 315,000	592,000 427,500	250,200 135,000	483,800 360,000	303,400 135,000
4	4		Gallons Charged		1,668,900	162,000	314,400	269,700	104,200	105,300	37,900	42,200	61,300	164,500	115,200	123,800	168,400
4: 41		Total	Gallons	100.0%	32,440,600	2,663,100	2,903,900	2,666,100	2,950,900	3,030,300	2,803,400	2,259,300	2,605,300	3,272,800	2,228,900	2,840,800	2,215,800
4	7						_,,	_,	_,,	-,,000	2,222,100	_,,		-,	_,,	-11	_,
41		Gallon D	ifference	0.000%			-	-									
	-														Customer-		
													Current BFC/	Fire		Current BFC	Total
50	0	Resident	ial Base Facility Charge	5,539	13.23	73,281		2005 Genera	al Service Rev	enues	2,811		1" meters		24	33.05	793
5	1	Prorated	BFC	71	6.62	470		Less BFC/Fi	re		1,212		1.5" Meters		4	66.10	264
5		Tier	3,750 - 22,500 Over 22,500	14,408,450 1,668,900	1.89 1.02	27,232		Gallonage R	evenues	divided by	1,599				28		1,058
5: 54		Annua	lized Residential Revenue	1,000,900	1.02	<u>1,702</u> 102,685		Averan	e 2005 Gallon	•	<u>28</u> 57		Private Fire		2	77.18	154
5	5	nnua	WEAR INSTRUCTION INSTRUCT			102,003		And an	5 2000 GailUli	times	4	meters			2	11.10	104
56										equals times	228 12	per month months	Annualized Bl	FC/Fire			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						2,742	monuls	1" meters		24	33.05	793				
51 58	59					1.5" Meters		24	66.10	1,586							
58	•												A	250	48		2,380
58 59 60	0												Annualized E	5FC	40		2,000
58 59	0												Annualized F		40	77.18	926

Schedule "F"

2/14/2006

COUNTY-WIDE UTILITY CO., INC.

•

-

Proposed Tariff Sheets

EXHIBIT B

THIRD REVISED SHEET NO. 12.0 CANCELS SECOND REVISED SHEET NO. 12.0

NAME OF COMPANY <u>County-Wide Utility Co., Inc.</u> WATER TARIFF BAHIA OAKS - MARION COUNTY

GENERAL SERVICE

RATE SCHEDULE (GS)

<u>AVAILABILITY</u> - Available throughout the area served by the company.

<u>APPLICABILITY</u> - For water service to any customer for which no other schedule applies.

<u>LIMITATIONS</u> - Subject to all the Rules and Regulations of this Tariff and the General Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

- BILLING PERIOD Monthly
- RATEMeter SizeBase Facility Charge5/8" X 3/4"\$34.83

 Gallonage Charge

 3,751 Gals.-22,500 Gals.
 \$ 3.12

 (per 1,000 gals.)
 \$ 1.69

 (per 1,000 gals.)
 \$ 1.69

 Base Facility Charge

 1"
 \$86.92

 Gallonage Charge

 9,400 Gals.-46,800 Gals.
 \$3.12

 (per 1,000 gals.)
 \$1.69

 (per 1,000 gals.)
 \$1.69

<u>TERMS OF PAYMENT</u> - Bills are due and payable when rendered and become delinquent if not paid within (20) twenty days. After five (5) working days, written notice is mailed to the customer separate and apart from any other bill, service may then be discontinued.

Dirk J. Leeward ISSUING OFFICER

TYPE OF FILING: Emergency Rate Increase

President_____ TITLE

THIRD REVISED SHEET NO. 13.0 CANCELS SECOND REVISED SHEET NO. 13.0

NAME OF COMPANY <u>County-Wide Utility Co., Inc.</u> WATER TARIFF BAHIA OAKS - MARION COUNTY

RESIDENTIAL SERVICE

RATE SCHEDULE (RS)

<u>AVAILABILITY</u> - Available throughout the area served by the company.

<u>APPLICABILITY</u> - For water service for all purposes in private residences and individually metered apartment units.

- <u>LIMITATIONS</u> Subject to all the Rules and Regulations of this Tariff and the General Rules and Regulations of the Commission.
- BILLING PERIOD Monthly
- RATE

Meter Size Base Facility Charge 5/8 x 3/4" (0-3,750 Gallons) \$34.83

<u>Gallonage Charge</u> 3,751 Gals.-22,500 Gals \$ 3.12 (per 1,000 gals.)

Over 22,500 gallons \$ 1.69 (per 1000 gals.)

MINIMUM CHARGE - \$34.83

TERMS OF PAYMENT - Bills are due and payable when rendered and become delinquent if not paid within (20) twenty days. After five (5) working days, written notice is mailed to the customer separate and apart from any other bill, service may then be discontinued.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

Dirk J. Leeward ISSUING OFFICER

TYPE	OF	FILING:	Emergency	Rate	Increase	President
						TITLE