FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2

3

4

1

PROPOSED STRATEGY REGARDING FUTURE LIFELINE AND LINK-UP INITIATIVES.

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

PROCEEDINGS:

BEFORE:

PLACE:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.

INTERNAL AFFAIRS

AGENDA ITEM NO. 5

CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR

COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER ISILIO ARRIAGA

COMMISSIONER MATTHEW M. CARTER, II

COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. TEW

DATE: Monday, February 27, 2006

Betty Easley Conference Center

Room 148

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, RPR, CCR

Official Hearings Reporter

FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and

Administrative Services

(850) 413-6734

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DA

01927 MAR-6 8

1	PARTICIPATING:
2	BOB CASEY, BEV DEMELLO, CURTIS WILLIAMS AND CHERYL
3	BULECZA-BANKS, representing the Commission staff.
4	DR. MARK A. JAMISON, representing PURC.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: I'll ask our staff to go ahead and present Item 5 to us.

MR. CASEY: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Bob Casey on behalf of Commission staff.

At the December 20th, 2005, Internal Affairs staff presented the annual draft Lifeline and Link-up Report for your approval. At that meeting staff conveyed to Commissioners that it would analyze data collected from the simplified certification initiative, along with findings from the recent PURC study, and present recommendations to Commissioners for future action.

Staff believes it is now time to take action to develop a formal strategy to improve the success of the Lifeline and Link-Up programs. Staff recommends that a Commission or staff workshop be conducted immediately, or, in lieu of a workshop, direct staff to meet with all interested parties to develop ideas and input and subsequently present recommendations to the Commissioners at an Internal Affairs or Agenda Conference as soon as possible. And staff is available for any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Casey.

Commissioners? Commissioner Arriaga.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Mr. Casey.

MR. CASEY: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: And at the same time Dr.

Jamison. We have been talking -- well, I have known about

Lifeline since about a year and a half ago when I first

intended to be a Commissioner, and from there on I just kept

looking and listening and studying the issue.

I have heard back and forth from you, the staff, from the companies that everybody is doing everything that they can. But time goes by and we don't see any real improvement. There comes a point that I start feeling frustrated.

And, Dr. Jamison, even though you have come with a lot of findings and given us some good ideas as to where we should market and target these things, the real issue as to why this isn't working nobody knows.

What are you intending to accomplish in the workshop you're recommending? What is it you're going to do different to what we have done so far that would make a difference?

MR. CASEY: This would be open to all parties, interested parties, and that's basically the questions we're going to ask. Why isn't your enrollment up? Why is it only 12 percent? We've confirmed now that both PURC and staff came up with a 12 percent participation rate, and we need to know why they can't up that. And we're going to flat out ask the utilities, What can you do to increase enrollment? Is there a barrier there that we need to bring down?

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Isn't this the same kind of

questions we have been asking for the last year? I mean, haven't you asked these questions to industry before?

MR. CASEY: We have asked that.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: I have asked them myself and I have no answer, and Dr. Jamison and I have spoken about it.

And what, what is different now?

MR. CASEY: Well, one of the big things is

Dr. Jamison's PURC study showing exactly why the

participation -- well, the participation rates are the same as

staff's, and why he believes that participation is low, and

should we target those things that he's saying, would that

increase -- I'm sorry.

DR. JAMISON: If I could chime in a bit.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Dr. Jamison, please.

DR. JAMISON: The -- you know, from what we were able to determine, it was generally a lack of awareness on people's parts. They just simply didn't know about the program. There are a few that once they know about it won't participate, but for the most part they just don't know. And understanding from the research results that it's often times the social agencies and social workers who are the most effective people for informing the low income households, the eligible households about the program, it might, you might consider for the workshop talking about ways that they might become more informed, more effective, participate to a greater extent in

doing that marketing.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: May I follow up?

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Arriaga.

conference, I think it was Dr. Mayo who made a comment that one of the reasons people will reject Lifeline assistance is because they couldn't have it while at the same time having broadband or other kind of service like call forwarding or something like that. I think in Dr. Mayo's study also it was indicated that the President of the United States has said that his goal is to have broadband accessible to everybody, like a universal service. Is there a dichotomy, is there a difference between having Lifeline and having broadband? Is broadband a luxury or something that the United States is looking forward to accomplish as a universal service?

DR. JAMISON: That's a good question, and I don't have the answer to that. I mean, that's a political question, whether or not we want to pursue that as a country.

What Dr. Mayo's study was showing was that if a person is restricted from subscribing to call forwarding, call waiting, some other type of vertical service if they took Lifeline, then they were much less likely to take the Lifeline. And from our study we didn't find that to be an issue for Florida. It is an issue nationwide, but it didn't appear to be an issue for Florida.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Thank you, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chairman.

1.9

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: I was looking at, Dr. Jamison, looking at your presentation and listening too. And in the context of the credibility factor, I'm using my own words to interpret what I thought you said, is that it has a tremendous impact on whether or not people participate. And that is to say the source from where the people get the information about the Lifeline, and I think you said it was social service agencies. That's correct.

Have you been able to ascertain or either anecdotally or in a substantive manner whether or not the social service agencies have done any type of marketing to the service groups or these individuals that they service about the information about Lifeline, or is it just one of those things that they just happened to mention? It's like my wife when she goes shopping. On the way out the door she says, well, I better buy him something since I spent a lot of money. Do you think it's kind of important?

DR. JAMISON: Well, that's a good question. And your staff could probably give you a lot more details on it than I can. So let me just kind of clarify what we've found in our research, and then maybe I should defer to them on the rest of it.

The research did show us that the participation of the social agencies, the social workers, the telecommunications companies, et cetera, in marketing was really very important. We didn't know that that was going to be the finding before we engaged in the research, so we did not get into any of the details of how they might do that work or to what extent they're really involved. So that -- so in December when we found out, okay, this is the result of the research, we now concluded, okay, the next step is to get into the details, but we hadn't had a chance to do that yet.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chair.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Follow-up with staff, same question. I mean, it seems to me that the predominant driving force in the participation in Lifeline happens to be these social service agencies.

Have you been able to ascertain whether or not there's been any kind of a systemic marketing process with these agencies as they go about with the Lifeline program to their service constituents?

MR. CASEY: I would defer to Mr. Williams over here, our expert in Lifeline, and also Ms. DeMello.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, DCF, as a part of their process of enrolling their clients for services such as food stamps and Medicaid, they've actually incorporated the Lifeline program as

a part of that process. So it's not just -- you're correct,

Commissioner. It's a marketing effort, but it's also

incorporated in their process of enrolling customers.

MS. DeMELLO: And, Commissioner, this is Bev DeMello. Another group that's been very helpful with us is the United Way Agencies of Florida. And they have -- we've worked with them to try to get the Lifeline brochures into their clients' hands. And also the Florida Association for Community Action Agencies. And I think this is a good way to do grass-roots level and kind of Train the Trainer, and they've been very receptive to that kind of information because they're wanting to help their clients out, too.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chairman.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

ask you about this, Ms. DeMello, about the school lunch program? Did you mention that to me or were we talking about the different kind of programs that can be utilized in the same level of the Children and Families program? I mean, there's -- in places like Gadsden County, for an example, you have a disproportionate percentage of the student population there on school lunch, probably 80, 90 percent of them. And I remember saying something about the school lunch program with the Department of Education. Am I -- did we talk about that?

MS. DeMELLO: I'm not sure you and I talked about

that. But I think -- I mean, I know the school lunch and certainly the back to school program that you've heard a lot about, they have tried to get brochures out into the hands of the, of the schools. And BellSouth and Sprint and Verizon were very involved in getting, I think it was 2.6 million brochures out to the school children.

But, Curtis, did you have anything to add on that?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I'll add, Commissioner, that
that's another effort related to more on the social side that
we think has been effective and is an area that deserves to be
looked at further.

As we noted in the 2005 Lifeline report, as we presented in that report, we were encouraged by some of the numbers that resulted from the school lunch effort during 2005.

I was trying to get at is that maybe somehow or another we need to get down to the bottom line, you know. When you say social service agencies, that can be anything. That's a morass. But, I mean, you know, can we get down to see what programs are working, what programs are not working, and maybe see if an additional impetus or marketing, we could increase the efforts there? I'm just trying to get there. Because this is an important program and the people that are eligible for this program are in need of the program, and we really want to make sure that people take advantage of the program.

Did you guys, were you able to determine what, what percentage -- the greatest percentage, it says social service agencies, but do we say it's from Children and Families, is it Department of Education, is it -- what?

MS. BANKS: I don't believe -- this is Cheryl Banks. I don't believe we have that kind of breakdown as of yet. The companies have been trying to look at different ways that perhaps if they can code like the school lunch application that went in the packet and are looking at something, those were the kind of items we wanted to discuss at the workshop to be able to see -- so that we can target the money that they spend in areas that really provide the most benefit.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chairman, one last question.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you for your indulgence,
Madam Chairman.

Do we have enough credible people on the ground in these respective communities marketing Lifeline? Anybody can answer that. Because Dr. Jamison said credibility is a significant factor. Do we have enough -- I know that I heard someone say there were a number of people out there in different communities with this effort. Do we have enough people on the ground out there doing this? I mean getting the word out to these various and sundry communities with

credibility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DeMELLO: Uh-huh. Well, I think that we could probably -- I think the United Way is a very trustworthy They work a lot with the clients who need this kind of agency. service. I think the Florida Action Agencies are very good. But we probably need to -- because one of the things that keeps coming up, and, Dr. Jamison, you mentioned it, is awareness. And the item that we'll be getting to in the next internal -- I mean, the Lifeline item, we have found on the national level the people that are responding to Lifeline across America information that we're going to be talking about, it's still awareness. And some of the groups that we've heard from are like the National Social Service Agencies. So maybe what we need to do is, is have a framework of these agencies kind of like what you're saying, and then, you know, try to keep following up, are y'all doing the Train the Trainer programs, and that kind of thing.

MS. BANKS: We -- excuse me.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Ms. Banks.

MS. BANKS: One thing that they -- that I have been told has been very effective is if they go into, say, a church community, if there's someone in the church that actually is taking Lifeline service and they're the ones who are speaking, there's a lot more credibility with that person and people tend to believe them as opposed to some representative from the

utility who's talking about the program. There's still an unease there. And those programs they've tried to use more and more of. Ava Parker's group has tried to do that: Find someone when they go into the community who the people know and trust or they know their neighbor has been more helpful.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter, just a comment. It's my understanding that the industry has been trying a number of programs to try to reach out just in the way that you're asking about and that you're suggesting. And I do note that we have representatives here if there are specific questions about specific efforts.

I would also note that the item before us is to have a workshop to go into those things in depth and breadth. And I know that we are all interested in doing that.

I'll also share -- let's see. I had two other comments. One, my understanding -- your first comment about targeting the school lunch program. As the mother of two children in the public school system and knowing all of the material that comes, I think that is a great way to get the word out to people, to families, to parents about services that are out there. And I'm not sure what the efforts will be to continue that in the next few years, but I think that's certainly a mechanism to continue to look at.

And I also note that tomorrow afternoon I'm going to be participating in a meeting that the Governor's Office has

organized of industry representatives to brief the agency heads at the Secretary level on Lifeline and the program, and to once again ask for their assistance and for that to filter down.

That's something that we've done in the past. But every so often we think that that's a good way to engage and to share information. And, again, the Governor's Office has organized that tomorrow for us to have that discussion.

Dr. Jamison.

2.2

DR. JAMISON: Yes. To Commissioner Carter's question about what social programs. From the data we were able to determine the three programs that have the most eligible households in them tend to be the supplemental security income, the food stamps and the Medicaid. Those tend to have more eligible households in them than any of the other programs.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Tew.

COMMISSIONER TEW: I had a couple of other ideas I wanted to throw out. Maybe you can consider it when you take these up to the workshop.

Because of the earlier discussion by Commissioner

Carter and the Chairman about other agencies getting involved,

I just wanted to raise the idea again of MOUs. And I know

Mr. Williams will probably have something to add on this

because I think we have done an MOU in the past with one sister

agency on this. But I don't know how, you know, effective that

would be, but it might be another way to raise some awareness

with some other agencies to have MOUs with other agencies in addition to that one.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Before you respond, if I may,

Commissioner Tew. One of the things that I've asked our

Executive Director's office to do, I made the request in the

last few weeks, so I know that it's ongoing and that they will

be reporting back to us, is to review all of the MOU or MOAs

that this agency has with other agencies and to give us an

analysis as to if there are any that are out of date, need to

be updated, if there are any that are just, quite frankly, out

of date and no longer needed, if there is a gap there, and

there again if that is a mechanism that we can use to work with

other organizations. So we will be getting some further

information on that.

Do you have anything to add?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, ma'am, Commissioner Tew, to answer your question and to follow up with you, Chairman Edgar. There was or there is an MOU that, that was signed by the Commission and several agencies. I think the Department of Labor at the time. And that, that particular MOU needs to be updated. Staff has already updated the MOU or it's in the process of being updated, adding several agencies and adding more specific information and detail in terms of what we would like to work with those agencies on in enhancing that MOU and incorporating it in, in our current efforts.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And just to clarify that. The review that I had asked for would be all the MOUs. So those that deal with this issue, but other, other issues as well.

MS. BANKS: You'll be seeing that relatively soon.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Arriaga.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Just to share with

Commissioner Carter a little bit of his concerns and

frustration because I feel like you. I mean, there's just no
answer.

I have heard that we're doing A, B, C, D and E. All the ideas that we have spoken about, we have been talking about the same ideas for a year. And I have just heard from Dr. Jamison that even though we're doing A, B, C, D and E and maybe F, G and H, awareness is still a problem. What that leads me to think is that what we're doing may not be working. So maybe during the workshop or whatever we're going to do we should review what is it we're doing to see if we're doing it right. And no reflection on the effectiveness of staff. It's ourselves, we, staff, Commission, what is it that we're doing that doesn't work? Because MOUs, understanding with the social service agencies, communications, conversations with industry, everything in the book we have tried, and the rate of acceptance is 12 percent. Something is not working and we need to find out.

MR. CASEY: And that's the exact reason why we want to hold a workshop, everything that's been discussed today, and bring it all out open on the table.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Tew, you had a follow-up, I think.

COMMISSIONER TEW: I did. I had another question for staff.

How long has it been since we've looked at how other states perform their Lifeline functions? Because I was noticing on Dr. Jamison's slide number 27, it shows Lifeline participation rates by state. And it may be worthwhile looking into some -- how other states, maybe with the exception of California --

MR. CASEY: We agree.

COMMISSIONER TEW: -- at how other states are doing it.

MR. CASEY: We constantly monitor what other states are doing. We -- there's some legislation up on the hill right now for automatic enrollment, and we wanted to see how many states have automatic enrollment and whether it's been successful or not. But we constantly monitor what other states are doing.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Deason.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, Madam Chairman, I have a question for staff and one for Dr. Jamison, and I'll start with

Dr. Jamison.

First of all, I want to say I appreciate the very fine work that has been done, and it's, it's good that we can finally feel comfortable that our numbers concerning participation are fairly accurate. I know that there was, before there was some uncertainty as to actually the number of eligible folks out there and trying to determine participation levels, and we appreciate that.

Also, I know there's some recurring things in the report and we've been talking about a lot of those. A lack of, of understanding or that the lack of -- I won't say lack of outreach -- but there still is, there's a certain amount of eligible participants or folks that would be eligible who just are not aware. I guess a lack of awareness problem.

But one of the other things that I know that you presented in your report was that there was a high correlation between participation and the, the prices of telephone service. And so the question that I have is do you have -- at this point, based upon the information that you have, do you have any feel for the relatively low participation rates being correlated to the relatively low prices of basic telephone service in Florida?

DR. JAMISON: We, we looked at that issue with our nationwide study, and we found that for Florida, based upon the statistical model we developed, that Florida's participation

rate was exactly where we predicted a state to be, given our demographics, local telephone prices, et cetera. So I guess in a sense the answer is yes.

2.0

Now there are other factors that are involved, but the low local telephone prices in Florida are playing in and being a factor.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask you this then.

We're, we're going -- there is -- we're going to see some changes over a period of time in basic local telephone rates with rate rebalancing. Do -- based upon your economic analysis, would you think that that factor, everything else being held constant, would result in higher participation over the transition period of that rate rebalancing period?

DR. JAMISON: Yes, it would. The price of local telephone service showed to be, showed up to be economically significant, meaning that if you changed the price of local telephone service, you'd get a large change in the participation in Lifeline. So we would expect people to respond by signing up for more Lifeline.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that something that we can monitor or is your study over and this is it for you, or is this something the Commission can do?

DR. JAMISON: Yes. It's fairly simple to keep, to monitor and continue to look at. So I'd be glad to continue to work with staff with this model and update it with new data, et

cetera. That's, that's fine.

б

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And then the question I have for staff, you heard Mr. Renard indicate that his organization feels that there is a service to society as a whole, and particularly perhaps to the customers or potential customers that would be served by Lifeline, that those needs may be being met currently through public phones, and that he feels like there should be some consideration given to utilizing some Lifeline support for that particular service. Is that something that we can address in the workshop or do we need more time to address that?

MR. CASEY: Certainly everything is open at the workshop, everything is on the table. I don't believe that we can do anything. That would have to be done by the FCC.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I understand that it has to be FCC. But if we get information that shows that at least in Florida that we think it would be helpful to, to citizens who utilize that service to have some support there so that, if nothing else, to maybe diminish the number of phones that are being lost, pay telephones that are being lost that are serving the communities. If we give some information, does staff feel like that that would be helpful in petitioning the FCC in some form or another to have some consideration for Florida in that regard?

MR. CASEY: We sure would like to look at that, yes,

sir.

ll l

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chairman, I think this was prior to my effective date on the Commission, but I remember seeing a report that was due to the Governor and I believe it would be to the Speaker and the Senate President from our staff where it showed that there was a spike in signing up to Lifeline. I remember that report, and it said that there were some commercials or advertisements or something. And then I was kind of wondering aloud was there, is there some correlation in terms of when Dr. Jamison did his report, I mean, was there static or did you pick up this spike or is there some kind of -- I'm just trying to say what's working -- I mean, not that 15 percent would be working, but what's working based upon that? Do you have the report before you, Ms. DeMello?

MS. DeMELLO: Yes, I do.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: I think it was in the latter part of the year.

MS. DeMELLO: I think you might be referring to the back to school program when they did some public service announcements and some media events surrounding that.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yes.

MS. DeMELLO: And, Mr. Williams, you might want to comment on that. But they did have, I think, a spike in the --

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Yeah. There was a chart in there, I remember.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. There's a chart, Commissioner, that shows a significant increase in the number of new Lifeline subscribers. Not -- the chart focused on not the overall number of Lifeline participants, but the actual increase in the number of customers for that particular time period.

And in the report we did make reference to the school lunch program and also the Connect Florida event where there were, there was significant media coverage associated with, with a Connect Florida outreach event.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: That was only done once though during that year, if my memory serves me correctly; right?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, the school lunch, the back to school effort was, you know, it was consistent with the time period where students actually went back to school. So that was a one-time event.

The actual media event, and, Bev, you can help me out on this, but we've had the community outreach event associated with the Connect Florida effort throughout the year, but this particular event had significant media coverage.

MS. DeMELLO: Uh-huh. It was in Miami and I think in South Florida.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chairman, just, if I may, just one statement. And I think that the reason that

Commissioner Tew, Commissioner Arriaga and I are speaking about this issue is as we went before the Joint Committee of the Senate and the House, that was one of the most significant issues that the members were concerned about is the lack of participation in Lifeline. So this is not just an esoteric issue to us, neither that it is to the two of you. And so what we're trying to do is that obviously we see something that's working. Maybe we need to do more of that something that's working, and we've got to do something more.

Now you said that you had a spike in the new people signing up. And that's what we're after, right, new people to sign up. So we need to do something more.

Thank you, Madam Chairman.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Commissioner Carter.

One thing that I find hard for just me personally to get my mind around and my arms around this program is because it is, it is so diffuse. Perhaps, you know, the industry has a responsibility and a role. We certainly, I think, have a role to play. OPC has a statutory role. We -- the -- some of those other social service agencies are named in statute and has a role. So it's trying to have that big tent of participation and marketing, but yet responsibility does not reside in any one place, and sometimes that can be a hindrance, I think, with a program. So --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Madam Chairman, one other

comment.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Deason.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. I believe it's important that we get full utilization out of the Lifeline program, so please don't, what I'm about to say, don't be taken in the wrong light. But at the same time I see Dr. Jamison's report, and there is a, there's a high degree of subscribership even in the, the population that is eligible for Lifeline who still choose not to utilize Lifeline. We still have high penetration rates. So that's a tremendous plus. That's a good thing.

I would not want the goal simply to be sign up new customers for Lifeline. Our goal really should be let's utilize Lifeline resources to get people on the network that are not currently on the network and use Lifeline resources to prevent people that would otherwise drop off the network as opposed to just getting high numbers to say we've got a lot of people signed up. I think we need to refine the goal, and I think the goal should be, as I just stated, those that are not on the system now that would otherwise subscribe, I think that is very important. And for those customers who are, who are right on the edge and may at some future point have to disconnect service and maybe Lifeline would prevent that, I think that's really where we need to try to utilize these resources.

Thank you, Commissioner Deason.

1 2 know in my recent conversations with staff one of the questions I've asked is, "What is the goal?" And I always like to, 3 again, just personally try to take a step back and say what is 4 5 it that we're trying to accomplish? And if I hear you, it's to remember that it's not just numbers, but there is a public 6 7 policy goal that this program was created and exists to try to 8 accomplish, and that's to help people who desire a service, need a service that may not otherwise be able to have it or may 9 be hindered in having that service due to financial or other, 10 11 other reasoning. So I think that broader public policy goal is 12 something that we would want to always keep in mind and keep in 13 mind as we go to the workshop and on to the next steps.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN EDGAR:

Commissioners, we do have a recommendation before us from our staff to move to a workshop to discuss these issues in more detail. Are there thoughts about that or are we ready to take up the recommendation?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm ready to move forward with the recommendation.

> CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Deason.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Obviously I agree with staff's recommendation that we need to go to a workshop and that we need to -- I guess I'll be putting words in staff's mouth, but it's like everything is on the table and we need to have a very comprehensive, thorough review and try to get ideas from any

and everyone and try to see what can be done to improve it, and

I think a workshop is the correct vehicle to, to get us there.

 $\label{eq:soI} \mbox{So I, I would move approval of staff's} \\ \mbox{recommendation.}$

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Second.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. I have a motion and a second.

And I think we may be getting a question for clarification from our staff. Mr. Casey.

MR. CASEY: Yes. Whether you would prefer a Commission workshop or staff workshop.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, you know, that's -- I would like to attend personally, I guess, if that's -- if I have, you know, discretion one way or the other. And maybe if it's just noticed that way, that would allow that. I know -- I think it's important enough that I know, speaking for myself, I would like to attend the workshop.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: I have a definite opinion about that. I think that, you know, the buck stops here. We need to be here. It's to be a Commissioner workshop. We made representations to the Joint Committee and we made representations to the Governor, and we are standing here on behalf of the people of the State of Florida, so we need -- this is significant. So the buck stops here. This should be a workshop that we're in attendance to and participating.

1 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And to my left, comments or 2 thoughts? 3 COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: I agree for a commission workshop. 4 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Then it -- again, not to put 5 words, so help me with my wording here to my colleagues, but to 6 answer your question, Mr. Casey, I think that we would like to 7 have it be noticed as a Commissioners may participate, and 8 would ask that you check with each of our offices on dates and 9 scheduling before that date is set. 10 11 Commissioner Deason, is that in keeping with the 12 motion on the table? 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, Madam Chairman. CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. We have a motion and a 14 15 All in favor, say aye. second. (Unanimous affirmative vote.) 16 17 Thank you. And we show Item 5 approved. (Internal Affairs Agenda Item 5 concluded.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically
7	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee,
10	attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel
11	connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 2ND DAY OF MARCH, 2006.
13	
14	Junda Boles
15	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR FPSC Official Commission Reporter
16	(850) 413-6734
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
·]	