


Matilda Sanders		SINDING
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Bill_Feaster@fpl.com; Lynne_Adam Steve_Romig@fpl.com; Jeff_Bartel@	Smith@fpl.com; Patrick_Bryan@fpl.com; s@fpl.com; Nanci_Nesmith@fpl.com; @fpl.com; Bob_Valdez@fpl.com 150-EI - FPL's Response to the Town of Jupiter Island's
Attachments: FPL's Response to the Town of Jupiter Island's Petition to Intervene		iter Island's Petition to Intervene.doc
FPL's se to the Tow		
Electronic Filin	g	
a. Person respon	sible for this electronic filing:	
Natalie F. Smith Principal Attorn Florida Power & 700 Universe Blv Juno Beach, FL (561) 691-7207 natalie_smith@fp	ey Light Company d. 33408	
b.Docket No. 060 construction def Power & Light Co	inition in Section 12.1 of First 1	revisions to contribution-in-aid-of- Revised Tariff Sheet No. 6.300, by Florida
c. Document bein	g filed on behalf of Florida Powe:	r & Light Company.
d. There are a t	otal of 5 pages.	
		Florida Power & Light Company's Response to e and Petition for Tariff Amendment.
(See attached fi	le: FPL's Response to the Town of	Jupiter Island's Petition to Intervene.doc)
Thank you for yo	ur attention and cooperation to th	his request.
Phone: 561-691	Esq. and Natalie Smith, Esq. -7100	
CL		
PC		
CA		
CR		MACH12-11-
GA		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
<u>=С</u> ГН		02691 MAR 24 8
and the second se		FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

In re: Petition for approval of revisions to contribution-in-aid-of-construction definition in Section 12.1 of First Revised Tariff Sheet No. 6.300, by Florida Power & Light Company

Docket No. 060150-EI

Filed: March 24, 2006

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE TOWN OF JUPITER ISLAND'S PETITION TO INTERVENE AND PETITION FOR TARIFF AMENDMENT

NOW, BEFORE THIS COMMISSION, through undersigned counsel, comes Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL" or the "Company"), and pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(1), Florida Administrative Code, files this Response to the Petition to Intervene and Petition for Tariff Amendment filed March 17, 2006, on behalf of the Town of Jupiter Island, Florida ("Jupiter Island" or "Town"), and in support states:

1. On February 20, 2006, FPL filed a petition asking that this Commission approve limited revisions to the General Rules and Regulations for Electric Service in the Company's Tariff ("Petition for Tariff Amendment"). Specifically, the Company proposed to revise the definition of Contribution-In-Aid of Construction ("CIAC") to include a government adjustment factor of 25% where the applicant is a local government. This revision would permit the Company to invest 25% of the CIAC for local government sponsored conversion projects, with the Commission recognizing such investment as new plant in service. FPL believes that the proposed tariff revisions should be approved in order to promote local government-sponsored underground conversion projects.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02691 MAR 24 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1

2. On March 17, 2006, the Town of Jupiter Island filed a Petition to Intervene and Petition for Tariff Amendment in the above-referenced docket. Jupiter Island requests that the Commission conduct proceedings within this docket to determine the proper, fair, just and reasonable CIAC for underground conversions pursuant to the Company's tariffs. (Petition, p. 1). In particular, Jupiter Island asks the Commission to conduct appropriate proceedings to consider whether additional credits for local government underground conversions, beyond the 25% proposed by FPL, are warranted. <u>Id.</u>

3. FPL does not object to Jupiter Island's participation as a party in Docket No. 060150-EI. FPL notes, however, that parties are entitled to a hearing under sections 120.569 and 120.57 only if an agency's proposed action will result in injury-in-fact to that party and if the injury is of a type that the statute authorizing the agency action is designed to prevent. See, e.g., <u>Fairbanks, Inc. v. State, Dep't of Transp.</u>, 635 So. 2d 58, 59 (Fla. 1st DCA 1994), review denied, 639 So. 2d 977 (Fla. 1994) ("To establish entitlement to a section 120.57 formal hearing, one must show that its 'substantial interests will be affected by proposed agency action.""); <u>Univ. of S. Fla. College of Nursing v. State Dep't of Health</u>, 812 So. 2d 572, 574 (Fla. 2d DCA 2002) ("Section 120.57(1), a provision of Florida's Administrative Proceeding is entitled to have disputed issues of material fact resolved in a formal evidentiary hearing. To qualify as having a substantial interest, one must show that he will suffer an injury in fact which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a hearing and that this injury is of the type or nature which the proceeding is designed to protect.")

4. While the Jupiter Island Petition acknowledges the "substantial interests" test, it makes no allegations suggesting that Jupiter Island suffered or is in immediate danger of

suffering any injury at all, much less an alleged injury that is cognizable by the statutes that govern this proceeding. Rather, Jupiter Island observed only that "[t]he Town is directly subject to the tariff that FPL seeks to amend. Thus, the interests that the Town seeks to protect are of sufficient immediacy to warrant intervention" See Petition and Request, \P 6. These allegations are made at a time when the Commission has expressed no intended course, and proposed no outcome, on FPL's petition. Nor does the Jupiter Island Petition seek a particular specific outcome or provide any basis for the Commission to act. Thus, at this time, Jupiter Island has no legitimate claim to an "injury-in-fact" that entitles it to a hearing.

5. Indeed, Jupiter Island's general contention is that greater credits than FPL's proposed 25% CIAC credit for overhead to underground conversions are warranted. Jupiter Island states in its Petition that it intends to convert its overhead facilities to underground. Currently, FPL's tariff includes no CIAC credit relative to conversions of overhead facilities to underground facilities. If FPL's proposed rule and tariff amendments are approved, there would be a 25% credit for municipalities such as Jupiter Island to convert facilities to underground. Yet, Jupiter Island seeks additional monetary benefit in the form of an increased credit for something it already intends to do. If FPL's petition is denied, it would simply maintain the status quo for Jupiter Island. There is no potential injury to Jupiter Island resulting from the Commission action in this proceeding.

6. Further, Jupiter Island has provided no basis on which the Commission can grant the relief Jupiter Island requests. Jupiter Island asks that the Commission conduct proceedings to determine the proper, fair, just and reasonable CIACs for underground conversions. There is no automatic right to a hearing pursuant to Chapter 366. Rather, the Commission decides pursuant to Section 366.06(2) and 366.07, Florida Statutes, whether a hearing is warranted. Jupiter Island's suggestion that it is "entitled" to a hearing is unsupported by Chapter 366 and the facts as alleged by Jupiter Island.

.

7. In conclusion, FPL notes that is disputes a number of the alleged "Disputed Issues of Material Fact" and "Ultimate Facts Alleged" by Jupiter Island as incorrect, irrelevant and inappropriate for inclusion in this Docket. In particular, FPL asserts that the following issues alleged by Jupiter Island are among those beyond the scope of this proceeding:

Issue 8: Should FPL be allowed to include indirect and general costs (commonly referred to as "overhead" costs in an accounting sense) as part of the CIAC cost imposed on municipalities when such municipalities do the OH-to-UG conversion projects themselves (either with municipal employees or with an FPL-approved contractor), as is their right pursuant to Rule 25-6.115(3), F.A.C., and FPL's Tariff Section 12.2.11 on First Revised Sheet No. 6.330?

Issue 9: Should FPL's tariffs be amended to include provisions favoring the use of rights-of-way, as opposed to private easements, where practicable for the location of underground distribution facilities?

If the Commission grants Jupiter Island's Petition to Intervene and/or Petition for Tariff Amendment, the Commission should reject these and other alleged issues contained in Jupiter Island's Petition as beyond the scope of this proceeding addressing FPL's proposed limited tariff revisions.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Wade Litchfield Bryan Anderson Patrick Bryan Natalie F. Smith Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

By: <u>/s/ Natalie F. Smith</u> Natalie F. Smith

4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail and United States Mail this 24th of March, 2006, to the following:

Jennifer Brubaker Mary Anne Helton Wm. Cochran Keating, IV, Esquire Roseanne Gervasi Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Harold A. McLean, Esquire Charles J. Beck, Esquire Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esquire Patricia A. Christensen, Esquire Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia, III Young van Assenderp, P.A. 225 South Adams Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Attorneys for the Florida Retail Federation

Respectfully submitted,

R. Wade Litchfield Bryan Anderson Patrick Bryan Natalie F. Smith Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

By: <u>/s/ Natalie F. Smith</u> Natalie F. Smith