

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint by BellSouth)
Telecommunications, Inc., Regarding)
The Operation of a Telecommunications)
Company by Miami-Dade County in)
Violation of Florida Statutes and)
Commission Rules)

Docket No. 050257

**MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S RESPONSES TO BELL SOUTH
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION**

Miami-Dade County (the "County"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby serves its answers to BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.'s ("BellSouth") First Request for Production (the "Request") and states:

1. The County answers BellSouth's First Request for Production, and said answers are available for inspection and copying, pursuant to Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.280 and 1.350, at the Office of the County Attorney, Stephen P. Clark Center, 111 Northwest 1st Street, Suite 2800, Miami, Florida 33128-1993.
2. Regarding Request No. 1, additional documents are produced pursuant to paragraph 1 above. Responsive documents have been provided in Plaintiff's First Request for Production Nos. 1 and 3, dated January 30, 2003, and produced March 6, 2003 and December 15, 2005.
3. Regarding Request No. 2, additional documents are produced pursuant to paragraph 1 above. Responsive documents have been provided in Plaintiff's First Request for Production No. 1, dated January 30, 2003, and produced December 15, 2005 and February 8, 2006. However, the County's current list of customers constitutes a trade secret. § 812.081, Fla. Stat. (2002). "[T]rade secret information as defined in s. 812.081, and as provided for in s. 815.04(3),

[is] expressly made confidential and exempt from the public records law" § 815.045, Fla. Stat. (2005). The County objects to any production which violates this trade secret privilege.

4. Regarding Request No. 3, additional documents are produced pursuant to paragraph 1 above. Responsive documents have been provided in Plaintiff's First Request for Production Nos. 1 and 4, dated January 30, 2003, and produced March 6, 2003 and December 15, 2005.

5. Regarding Request No. 4, additional documents are produced pursuant to paragraph 1 above. Responsive documents have been provided in Plaintiff's First Request for Production Nos. 1, 4, 7, and 8, dated January 30, 2003, and produced March 6, 2003 and December 15, 2005.

6. Regarding Request No. 5, the documents have been provided in Plaintiff's First Request for Production Nos. 5 and 6, dated January 30, 2003, and produced March 6, 2003.

7. Regarding Request Nos. 6, the documents have been provided in: (i) Plaintiff's First Request for Production Nos. 1, 7, and 8, dated January 30, 2003, and produced March 6, 2003 and December 15, 2005; (ii) Plaintiff's Contention Interrogatories Nos. 6 and 13, dated February 3, 2004, and produced March 1, 2004; and (iii) the County's Preliminary Exhibit List Nos. 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 35, and 49, dated May 26, 2006, and produced June 2, 2006.

8. Regarding Request Nos. 7, additional documents are produced pursuant to paragraph 1 above. Responsive documents have been provided in: (i) Plaintiff's First Request for Production Nos. 7 and 8, dated January 30, 2003, and produced March 6, 2003; and (ii) Plaintiff's Third Request for Production No. 1, dated April 17, 2006 and produced May 15, 2006.

9. Regarding Request Nos. 8, additional documents are produced pursuant to paragraph 1 above. Responsive documents have been provided in: (i) Plaintiff's First Request for Production

Nos. 1, 4, 7, and 8, dated January 30, 2003, and produced March 6, 2003 and December 15, 2006; (ii) Plaintiff's Third Request for Production No. 1, dated April 17, 2006 and produced May 15, 2006; and (iii) Request Nos. 1 and 2.

10. Regarding Request No. 9, the documents have been provided in Request No. 8.

11. Regarding Request No. 10, additional documents are produced pursuant to paragraph 1 above. Responsive documents have been provided in the videotaped deposition of Pedro Garcia on October 28, 2004.

12. Regarding Request No. 11, the documents are provided pursuant to paragraph 1 above.

13. Regarding Request No. 12, the documents have been provided in Request No. 11.

14. Regarding Request No. 13, the documents have been provided pursuant to paragraph 1 above.

15. Regarding Request No. 14, the documents requested do not exist.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

MURRAY A. GREENBERG
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ATTORNEY

Stephen P. Clark Center
111 Northwest 1st Street, Suite 2800
Miami, Florida 33128-1993
Tel: (305) 375-5151
Fax: (305) 375-5611

By 

David Stephen Hope
Assistant County Attorney
Florida Bar No. 87718
DHope@miami-airport.com
DHope@miamidade.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed this 27th
day of June 2006, to:


Adam Teitzman, Esq.
Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Martin B. Goldberg, Esq.
Lash & Goldberg LLP
Bank of America Tower
Suite 1200
100 Southwest 2nd Street
Miami, Florida 33131-2158

James Meza, Esq.
Sharon R. Liebman, Esq.
c/o Nancy H. Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, Florida, 32301

E. Earl Edenfield, Jr., Esq.
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 4300
Atlanta, Georgia 30375

Jean L. Kiddoo, Esq.
Danielle C. Burt, Esq.
Bingham McCutchen LLP
3000 K Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007-5116


David Stephen Hope
Assistant County Attorney