#### State of Florida



# Hudit Service Commission IPSC

Capital Circle Office Center • 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 JUN 29 PM 3: 32

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-COMMISSION CLERK

DATE:

June 28, 2006

TO:

Lee R. Colson, Utilities System/Engineering Specialist, Division of Economic

Regulation

FROM:

Denise N. Vandiver, Chief of Auditing, Division of Regulatory Compliance &

Consumer Assistance

RE:

Docket No: 060002-EG; Company Name: Tampa Electric Company

Audit Purpose: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause

Audit Control No: 06-040-2-2

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send the response to the Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services. There are no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNV:sbj Attachments

Copy: Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer

Assistance (Hoppe, District Offices, File Folder)

Division of Commission Clerk & Administrative Services (2) Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (Harvey)

General Counsel

Office of Public Counsel

	Ms. Brenda Irizarry
СОМ	Tampa Electric Company
CTR	Regulatory Affairs
	P.O. Box 111
ECR	Tampa, FL 33601-0111
GCL	
000	Ausley Law Firm
OPC	Lee L. Willis/James D. Beasley
RCA	P.O. Box 391
SCR	Tallahassee, FL 32302

DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE

05842 JUN 298



#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE BUREAU OF AUDITING

TAMPA DISTRICT OFFICE

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

ENERGY CONSERVATION COST RECOVERY AUDIT

HISTORICAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

**DOCKET NO. 060002-EG AUDIT CONTROL NO. 06-040-2-2** 

Report Issued June 14, 2006

Joseph Rohrbacher, Tampa District Supervisor

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	AUDITOR'S REPORT	PAGE
	PURPOSE	1
п.	OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES	2
ш.	EXHIBITS	
	ACTUAL CONSERVATION COSTS PER PROGRAM (SCH CT-2, P2)	4
	CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST (SCH CT-3, P2)	5

### DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

June 14, 2006

#### TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have performed the procedures enumerated later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Tampa Electric Company (TECO) in support of its filing for Energy Conservation Cost Recovery (ECCR) in Docket No. 060002-EG.

This audit is performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards of Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures which are only for internal Commission use.

#### **OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES:**

#### **REVENUES**

**Objective:** - To verify that TECO has applied the approved ECCR adjustment factors to energy (kwh) sales during the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005.

**Procedures:** - We recalculated revenues, by month and rate class, using approved FPSC rate factors and company provided KWH sales. We reconciled the filing to the General Ledger. We recalculated the energy charge for customer bills selected from various rate classes and determined that the company used the correct rates as approved by the Commission.

#### **EXPENSES**

**Objective:** - To verify the accuracy of information filed by TECO regarding actual energy sales and conservation costs and revenues for the period per Commission Rule 25-17.015, F.A.C.

**Procedures:** - We reconciled the ECCR filing to the general ledger and to supporting documentation provided by the company. We performed specific testing as described in other paragraphs.

**Objective:** - Determine whether expenditures for which recovery is claimed through the ECCR are allowable expenses.

**Procedures:** - We compiled ECCR expenses and agreed same to the filing. We scheduled expenses by program and by categories of expense. We verified the calculation of Depreciation and Return on Investment for the Prime Time and Commercial Load Management programs. We prepared a schedule of salaries and benefits for supervisory, operational, and office personnel by program. We also tested judgmentally selected items in Materials and Supplies, Outside Services and Incentives.

Objective: - To verify that advertising costs charged to ECCR are consistent with Rule 25-17.015 (5).

**Procedures:** - We tested advertising charges to verify that they were properly recoverable through the ECCR and did not compare electric vs. gas technologies.

**Objective:** - For all conservation programs that exceed the budgeted amount, identify the program and total dollar difference between budgeted and actual expenses.

**Procedures:** - We identified those programs that exceeded the budgeted amount by 5% or more. We determined which expenses were over budget in the selected programs and requested additional documentation from the company. The information is documented in the work paper number 45 series.

#### **OTHER**

**Objective:** - To determine if the True-up calculation and interest provision as filed was properly calculated using the FPSC approved interest rates.

**Procedures**: - We recomputed the 2005 ECCR true-up and interest using the FPSC approved recoverable true-up amount and interest rates.

**Objective:** - Verify that the information provided pursuant to Rule 25-17.0021 (5), h, l, and m is accurate for the following programs:

Residential Heating and Cooling
Residential Duct Repair
Residential Load Management (Prime Time)
Commercial/Industrial Indoor Lighting

**Procedures:** - We verified that the KWH and KW savings reported in TECO's 2005 Demand Side Management Annual Report were calculated according to Commission Order, the actual number of program participants, the per installation and total program cost, and that each conservation program complies with the Commission's cost effective test.

## TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY Energy Conservation Adjustment Calculation of True-up and Interest Provision For Months January 2005 through December 2005

Description	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
1 Residential Conservation Audit Fees (A)	o	0	0	0	0	0	σ	0	0	o	0	0	0
2 Conservation Adjustment Revenues *	1,205,510	1,098,159	1,054,098	1,116,100	1,147,994	1,509,067	1,567,779	1,624,317	1,632,446	1,406,267	1,180,490	1,176,092	15,718,319
3 Total Revenues	1,205,510	1,098,159	1,054,098	1,116,100	1,147,994	1,509,067	1,567,779	1,624,317	1,632,446	1,406,267	1,180,490	1,176,092	15,718,319
4 Prior Period True-up	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,417	200,413	2,405,000
5 Conservation Revenue Applicable to Period	1,405,927	1,298,576	1,254,515	1,316,517	1,348,411	1,709,484	1,768,196	1,824,734	1,832,863	1,606,684	1,380,907	1,376,505	18,123,319
6 Conservation Expenses	1,262,071	1,405,280	1,441,106	1.268.517	1.366.757	1,195,611	1,361,449	1.243.041	1,223,171	1.106.425	1,258,464	1,451,835 	15,583,727
7 True-up This Period (Line 5 - Line 6)	143,856	(106,704)	(186,591)	48,000	(18,346)	513,873	406,747	581,693	609,692	500,259	122,443	(75,330)	2,539,592
8 Interest Provision This Period	4,801	4,729	4,198	3,820	3,553	5,487	4,816	7,261	7,525	9,094	9,980	9,737	75,001
9 True-up & Interest Provision Beginning of Period	2,405,000	2,353,240	2,050,848	1,668,038	1,519,441	1,304,231	1,623,174	1,834,320	2,222,857	2,639,657	2,948,593	2,880,599	2,405,000
10 Prior Period True-up Collected (Refunded)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,417)	(200,413)	(2,405,000)
11 End of Period Total Net True-up	2,353,240	2,050,848	1,668,038	1,519,441	1,304,231	1,623,174	1,834,320	2,222,857	2,639,657	2,948,593	2,880,599	2,614,593	2,614,593

\* Net of Revenue Taxes

(A) Included in Line 6