ORIGINAL'

Matilda Sanders

From:

Martin B. Goldberg [mgoldberg@lashgoldberg.com]

Sent:

Monday, July 03, 2006 2:21 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Subject:

David Hope, Esq.; Adam Teitzman; dstarcher@broadandcassel.com; Meza, James;

Denburg, Dorian; Liebman, Sharon ; Cynji Lee; Lawrence Lambert; Kecia Griffin

BST's Notice of Filing Concerning Miami-Dade County's Assertion of the Florida Trade

Secret Privilege

Attachments:

Document.pdf



Document.pd f (130 KB)

July 3, 2006

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo

Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Re:

Complaint by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Regarding

Operation of a Telecommunication Company by Miami-Dade County

Docket No: 050257 —

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

Please find attached BellSouth's Notice of Filing Concerning Miami-Dade County's Assertion of the Florida Trade Secret Privilege.

Copies have been served to the parties on the attached Certificate of Service

Cc: All Parties of Record

Martin B. Goldberg Lash & Goldberg LLP Tel: (305) 347-4040 Fax: (305) 347-4050

e-mail: mgoldberg@lashgoldberg.com

http: www.lashgoldberg.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail or by telephone at (305) 347-4040and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner. Thank you.



DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

05900 JUL-3 g

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



| In re: Complaint by BellSouth Tele- |) | |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Communications, Inc., Regarding | j | |
| The Operation of a Telecommunications |) | DOCKET NO. 050257-TL |
| Company by Miami-Dade County in |) | |
| Violation of Florida Statutes and | j | |
| Commission Rules | j | |

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S NOTICE OF FILING CONCERNING COUNTY'S ASSERTION OF FLORIDA'S TRADE SECRET PRIVILEGE

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") hereby submits its Notice Concerning Miami-Dade County's Assertion of the Florida Trade Secret Privilege within its Response to BST's First Request for Production of Documents.

- 1. On June 27, 2006, Miami-Dade County ("County") filed its Responses to BellSouth's First Request for Production of Documents. Therein, the County asserted Florida's Trade Secret Privilege pursuant to Section 812.081, Fla. Stat. (2002), in support of its contention that the County need not disclose a list of its current customers for telecommunications services.
- 2. BellSouth disagrees and contests the applicability of the trade secret privilege and has written the County in an attempt to resolve the matter without the intervention of the Hearing Officer or Commission Staff. A copy of BellSouth's correspondence in this regard is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 3. Accordingly, BellSouth will attempt in good faith to resolve the matter with the County. Should these efforts fail, BellSouth reserves all of its rights to bring this discovery dispute before the Hearing Officer for resolution as contemplated by the Scheduling Order in this matter.

05900 JUL-38

Respectfully submitted:

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

James Meza, Esq.
Sharon R. Liebman, Esq.
c/o Nancy H. Sims
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(305) 347-5558

E. Earl Edenfield, Jr. 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 335-0763

Martin B. Goldberg, Esq.
LASH & GOLDBERG LLP
Bank of America Tower, Suite 1200
100 Southeast Second Street
Miami, Florida 33131
(305) 347-4040

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed

this 3 day of July 2006, to:

Adam Teitzman, Esq.
Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Jean L. Kiddo, Esq.
Danielle C. Burt, Est.
Bingham McCutchen LLP
3000 K Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007-5116

Murray A. Greenberg
Miami-Dade County Attorney
David Stephen Hope, Esq.
Assistant County Attorney
Miami-Dade County Attorney's Office
Aviation Division
P.O. Box 592075 AMF
Miami, Florida 33159-2075

MARTIN B. GOLDBERG

BANK OF AMERICA TOWER
SUITE 1200
100 SOUTHEAST 2ND STREET
MIAM1, FLORIDA 33131-2158
305 347 4040
305 347 4050 FAX



www.lashgoldberg.com

Weston Corporate Center Suite 400 2500 Weston Road Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33331 954 384 2500 954 384 2510 fax

SENDER'S E-MAIL: MGOLDBERG@lashgoldberg.com REPLY TO MIAMI OFFICE

Vla Telefax & U.S. Mail

July 3, 2006

David Stephen Hope, Esq. County Attorney's Office P.O. Box 592075 Miami, FL 33159-2075

Re:

In re: Complaint of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Against Miami-Dade County for Alleged Operation of a Telecommunications Company In Violation of Florida Statutes and Commission Rules.

Docket No: 050257-TL

Dear David:

This letter responds to your most recent pleading entitled, Miami-Dade County's Responses to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s First Request for Production dated June 27, 2006. Therein, in response to BellSouth's request for a copy of the County's current customer list, the County objected to such production and claimed, "the County's <u>current</u> list of customers constitutes a trade secret." <u>See</u> Response No. 3 (emphasis in original).

In order to avoid a dispute on this issue, and in an attempt to resolve the matter without the intervention of the Public Service Commission staff and the Hearing Officer, I write to raise the following points concerning your objection and ask that the County respectfully reconsider its position.

First, as you know, the County has previously provided the names of its customers to the Public Service Commission upon its request. At those times, the County did not raise or claim a privilege to prevent such cooperation and disclosure.

Second, in the State Action the County previously attempted to withhold the names of its customers and filed a Motion for Protective Order asserting the trade secret privilege. BellSouth contested the applicability of the privilege and, after a hearing on this issue, the Court ruled that the privilege did not apply as a matter of law. On January 31, 2006, the Court denied the County's Motion for Protective Order and ordered the County to disclose the names of its customers to BellSouth.

Third, one central question to be addressed by the PSC in this proceeding is the applicability of the airport exemption rule to the current offering of telecommunications services

David Stephen Hope, Esq. July 3, 2006 Page 2

by the County at the Miami International Airport and other county-owned airports. The text of the airport exemption rule and its history make clearly relevant the type, nature and function of the entities to which the County is offering and providing telecommunications services. In light of the foregoing, by claiming trade secret privilege to withhold the current customer list, the County is intentionally attempting to undermine the Commission's right to fully and competently evaluate the nature and scope of the County's telecommunications systems.

Finally, since you previously argued to the State Court that the disclosure of your customer names to BellSouth would place the County at a competitive disadvantage for business at the airports, please know that BellSouth is amenable to entering into a confidentiality agreement that would limit the use of such information to this proceeding. BellSouth would agree to keep the information in an "attorney-eyes only" capacity so as to not provide any such information to the business portion of the company. Of course, we are willing to provide you with this protection as our only goal is to obtain this information for the Public Service Commission's use and evaluation concerning the issues raised in this proceeding.

Given the foregoing, we respectfully request that the County reconsider its position. Such reconsideration would save the Commission Staff and the Hearing Officer considerable time and effort. Please let us know your position by Wednesday, July 12, 2006, and we look forward to your anticipated cooperation with us and the Commission. Of course, in all other respects BST reserves all of its rights concerning this matter, including the right to bring this issue before the Hearing Officer as a discovery dispute pursuant to the Scheduling Order. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

LASH & GOLDBERG LLP

Martin B. Goldberg

min B.

cc: James Meza, Esq.
Dorian Denburg, Esq.
Sharon Liebman, Esq.



