BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 DOCKET NO. 050595-WS 3 In the Matter of: 4 APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATES TO 5 PROVIDE WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE IN POLK COUNTY BY FOUR POINTS UTILITY CORPORATION. 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT 13 THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY. 14 15 AGENDA CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS: ITEM NO. 17 16 CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR 17 BEFORE: COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER ISILIO ARRIAGA 18 COMMISSIONER MATTHEW M. CARTER, II COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. TEW 19 Tuesday, August 15, 2006 20 DATE: Betty Easley Conference Center 21 PLACE: Room 148 22 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 23 JANE FAUROT, RPR REPORTED BY: 24 Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and 25 Administrative Services (850) 413-6732 BOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

07610 11000

07648 AUG 23 8

1	PARTICIPATING:
2	BRUCE MAY, JR., ESQUIRE, DAVID MEADOWS, representing
3	Four Points Utility Corporation.
4	MICHAEL FLYNN and LORRE JETTON, customers of the
5	Utility.
6	ROSANNE GERVASI, ESQUIRE, representing the Florida
7	Public Service Commission Staff.
.8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: We are on our last item of the day, which is Item 17. And I do recognize that we have pushed into the lunch hour, but I also recognize that we have some people who have traveled to be with us today, and so I would like to go ahead and move forward.

And so I will look to our staff to introduce Item 17.

MS. GERVASI: Thank you, Madam Chairman. Rosanne

Gervasi with the Commission legal staff.

Item 17 is staff's recommendation in Docket Number 050595-WS, that Four Points Utility Corporation be ordered to show cause as to why it should not be fined in the amount of \$5,000 for providing water and wastewater service to the public for compensation without first obtaining certificates of authorization and without obtaining the approval of the Commission to charge rates and charges, an apparent violation of Commission statutes and rules.

Staff also recommends that Four Points be authorized to continue charging its current rates on a temporary basis with 44 percent of its revenues held subject to refund with interest pending the final outcome of this proceeding. The utility has indicated by letter that it agrees to hold 44 percent of its revenues received as of July 18, 2006, subject to refund pending the final outcome of the proceeding and has also indicated that unless otherwise authorized by the

Commission it agrees not to discontinue service for failure of a customer to pay a contested bill for any utility service subject to the Commission's jurisdiction while these matters are being investigated.

1.5

On or about August 10th, the utility filed the financial information that was required and was lacking for a certificate application along with a request for confidentiality of that information. With the receipt of that financial information, the certificate application is now complete.

Also, I wanted to mention that during the course of this past week, we have received approximately 120 petitions signed by customers of Four Points stating that they wished to receive service directly from Polk County. We have filed and distributed those petitions to you and we have advised the customers who are present here today of the Commission's inability to require Polk County to provide the service and that they would need to contact the county about that in order to pursue that. The customers have this morning provided us with 30 more such petitions and we will also file those in the docket file.

Mr. Bruce May is here representing the utility, as well as Mr. Meadows of the utility, both of whom I believe wish to address the Commission on this item. Also present are six customers of the utility, two of whom wish to address the

Commission as well on this item, and they are Mr. Mike Flynn, and Ms. Lorre Jetton, spelled L-O-R-R-E J-E-T-T-O-N.

Staff is available to answer any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you. And we will start with Mr. May.

MR. MAY: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I'm Bruce May with the law firm of Holland and Knight appearing today on behalf of Four Points Utility. To my left is Mr. David Meadows. Mr. Meadows is the president of the utility and the sole shareholder.

We'll make our presentation brief. But before I begin, this item was originally scheduled to be heard at your last meeting. It was deferred to accommodate an emergency personal issue of mine and I wanted to take the opportunity before beginning my presentation to thank the Commission and the staff and the customers for agreeing to continue this matter until today. I thank you.

With respect to Issue 1, Mr. Meadows and I would like to briefly explain why we believe that there are factors today that weigh against issuing a show cause order. And I would also like to briefly address, Madam Chair, some clarifications we think we need with respect to Issue 2.

First, with your permission, I would like to describe how the utility got into its current position of providing

water and wastewater services without a certificate. This information is not in the staff's recommendation and we think it would be informative for you to take into consideration as you deliberate on the show cause. After that, Mr. Meadows is here to explain what he and his company have done to bring his utility into compliance with your requirements and also to address some of the concerns that the customers have had.

2.4

We would respectfully submit, Commissioners, that an honest misunderstanding lead the utility into its present position of providing service without a certificate. Beginning in August or September of 2001, Mr. Meadows' company at the time, Island Club West Development, constructed a townhome development in Polk County. At the initial phase, Mr. Meadows' constructed the townhomes, sold them to individual owners, then leased them back under long-term lease arrangements. He then rented out the units, the townhome units, to the transient public in a hotel-like operation.

For all intents and purposes, Mr. Meadows' company operated the development like a hotel for two or three years. Because the development was operated as a hotel, Mr. Meadows and his company had a belief that its telecommunications operations as well as its water and wastewater utility operations were exempt from the Commission's certification requirements.

For example, in your water and wastewater statute,

Section 367.022(4), the legislature has specifically exempted public lodging establishments from your certification requirements. Likewise, your telecommunications regulations would exempt from your certification requirements telecommunications services provided in a hotel-like setting.

Based on those statutes and regulations, we believe there was a reasonable basis for my client to conclude that certification was not required and he proceeded accordingly.

In late 2004, his development phased out the lease-back arrangements that I just described, and the development moved away from a hotel-like operating structure.

In May of 2005, your staff notified Mr. Meadows and his company that water and wastewater certificates were required and perhaps a telecommunications certificate was required. Once he was alerted to those requirements, he timely filed applications for water and wastewater authority as well as telecommunications authority. With your permission, Mr. Meadows is here to briefly explain what he has done to obtain the certificate and to address the concerns of the customers.

MR. MEADOWS: Madam Chairman and members of the Commission, my name is David Meadows, I'm the president of the utility.

I came up here today to explain that you and your staff have my company's full attention and we are doing all we can now to obtain the certificates for the water and wastewater

operation.

As Mr. May stated, prior to May 2005, I was under the impression that because we operated like a hotel, our operation was not subject to the FPSC regulation and certificates were not required. In May I was notified that I would need to obtain water and wastewater certificates from the Public Service Commission for my development, and I got with my staff and we proceeded to fill out the paperwork and start preparing the paperwork, and we submitted them on September of 2005.

I will be the first to admit that I didn't do a good job making sure that the applications got filed correctly and fully. I think after we filed the first application we, actually, I guess, realized we filed them in the wrong company's name and had to refile another one in December or January. But I think some of the breakdown in communication was that we were without a staff accountant during this period of time. We added a staff accountant, and then finally got a staff accountant that could start pulling things together in March of 2005. But when he came in, he had so much to do that he was overwhelmed, so it has taken him awhile to get things under control.

Our outside accountant also was overwhelmed and was not communicating with us and providing us information, and I called him numerous times, weekly trying to get information out of him so we could prepare these documents. In July of 2006, I

retained the law firm of Holland and Knight and since that time have been working closely with Mr. May to address all the staff's concerns and get all the information that it requires in.

After retaining Mr. May and his firm, I also retained Robert Dodrill, an accountant with Public Service Commission experience, to assist us. And he has been working very closely with us for the last couple of weeks to get the information together, and also to ensure that the books and records of the utility are kept in compliance with the NARUC system of accounts.

We have been working with Mr. Dodrill to enhance our billing system also, and we are looking to him to give us the standards and help set up so we can do a better job on the billing system and also meet all the concerns of our customers. And we're willing to meet with the customers and address any of their concerns in order to get the bills in the manner that they would like to see the bills, and also the manner that I would like to see the bills.

On Wednesday, I filed additional financial information, Wednesday, August 10th, additional financial information including a personal financial statement, and I believe that demonstrates the utility has the financial ability to provide water and wastewater service. Mr. Dodrill is also working on other elements of the application, including

information on the plant investment, administrative and billing cost determinants, all of which is scheduled to be completed no later than September 15th of this year.

I want you to know that my attention is focused on this, and I am talking to the people necessary in my organization to get the documents together as fast as I can.

And I believe this -- hope this demonstrates a good faith effort on my part to comply.

MR. MAY: Commissioners, just to follow up on Mr.

Meadows' statement. As you deliberate on the show cause
recommendation, I would ask you to keep in mind some of your
past decisions where you have articulated the reason for
issuing show cause orders. I think it is very clear from your
past orders that you have used your show cause authority to
address entities that have thumbed their nose at your
jurisdiction, and you have used it to require those -- to get
the attention of those entities that flagrantly disregard your
requirements, and to bring them into compliance. I don't think
those circumstances are here today.

As Mr. Meadows has described and I have alluded to earlier, I don't think the utility has flagrantly disregarded your jurisdiction. There was an honest misunderstanding as to the jurisdiction and the certification requirements. Once he was alerted to those requirements, he came in and has tried to provide you the information. Granted, the information has been

sporadic and it hasn't been provided as timely as it should have, but I think he has got the staff now in place and he understands the system in a fashion that he is going to be much more timely and much more responsive.

2.

With respect to the show cause proceeding, we would ask you to consider that instead of hiring lawyers and coming to Tallahassee to respond to the show cause order, it would be more productive for all concerned, including the customers for the utility, to devote its full attention to responding to the customers' concerns, conducting customer meetings, getting its application and its tariff in line and providing good quality service. We think that you have the utility's full attention at this time, and, quite frankly, I don't believe the show cause order would be efficient or effective.

To the extent that you feel compelled to issue a show cause order, again, I would ask you to reflect back on your past decisions and be consistent. My research shows that over the last ten years you have issued around six or seven show cause orders against water and wastewater utilities. There was one proposed fine for \$5,000, but that was a case where you had instructed a utility not to charge a rate, it flagrantly disregarded that instruction and charged the rate.

Most of the other show cause fines that you have proposed in your show cause orders have been in the neighborhood of 250 to \$350. To the extent, again, you feel

compelled to issue a show cause recommendation, we would ask you to consider the consistency of that fine level and to reflect back and to set the fine or the proposed fine at a level that would be commensurate with your past orders.

I have some additional remarks with respect to Issue 2, but they are more in the nature of clarifications. And at the appropriate time, Madam Chairman, I would like to address the Commission in that capacity.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. May. Mr. Flynn, would you like to address the Commission at this time?

MR. FLYNN: My name is Michael Flynn. First of all, Madam Chairman, I would like to thank you for indulging us so we can get up here in a timely manner.

I have a statement that I believe you all have a copy of that I would like to read, if you don't mind.

Okay. I'm Michael Flynn. I'm one of the directors of the Island Club West HOA. And, essentially, you have gotten the petitions that we have sent up here. Along with the owners, this body would like to -- we would like to request that you not allow Four Points Utility Corporation or any David Meadows utility company to serve Island Club West with water and sewer. Island Club West would like direct service from Polk County Water and Wastewater. I'm aware that you are -- that's not your capacity. We will deal with that with Polk County.

Don Issue 1, Four Points Utility Corporation needs to be mandated to show cause that it should not be fined. Mr.

Meadows has spearheaded several utility companies to provide water and wastewater services to Island Club West, and it is doubtful that any of these companies were in the possession of certificates of authorization. It is believed that Mr. Meadows at varying times had different corporations provide utility services, such as, Orlando Vacations, Incorporated, Resort Management Group, Four Points Utility Corporation. It is believed that none of the referenced companies were granted approval to provide water and wastewater services.

1.1

From time to time owners various utilities were threatened to be disconnected. They were disconnected even when a bill was in dispute. It is our understanding that the current application lacks required information, which apparently you now have.

In conclusion on Issue 1, Four Points Utility
Corporation, in our opinion, has snubbed its nose at the legal
requirements for obtaining certificates of authorization prior
to operating the utility, and apparently has violated at least
several provisions of Florida Statutes. The \$5,000 fine
recommended is inadequate, in our opinion, in order to send a
message of the fact that he has been operating as a utility
without the proper requirements. We would suggest \$5,000 cited
for each violation for a total of \$30,000.

Would you like to go on to Issue 2?

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: You can go ahead and we'll come back and make sure that there is ample opportunity for everybody to address.

MR. MEADOWS: I'm just sort of going to skip to the punch here because I don't want to take up too much of your time. Essentially, water is not a luxury. And the current utility casually, routinely disconnects customers over bills disputed, places liens against properties for amounts of bills that are disputed. I have actually seen -- had people call me and tell me that they have had liens placed against their property when they had their utility bills paid.

Bills are not out in a timely manner whatsoever.

They weren't before, they are still not now. I personally haven't received utility bills for, I believe it's April, May, and June I'm still waiting for. Like I said, we would like to see Polk County take over our water and sewer.

We have had a lot of difficulties with all of our utilities with Mr. Meadows, with Mr. Meadows' corporations, I should say. And I would like to give you a couple of quick examples. These are my personal bills and these are in chronological order from month-to-month. This starts in the month of May. My water usage came up as 5,274 gallons; for June, 227; July, 4,003 gallons; August, no water usage; September is 1,104 gallons; October was 1,216 gallons. This is

in a home that two people live in, by the way. November, 16,288 gallons; then we went back in December back down to 8,543 gallons, 8,800 gallons, and the last bill I have here went to 29,202 gallons. That's for a townhome with two people living in it.

2.2

As you can see, I think it's pretty clear that meter readings are not being taken. We have actually had people that have covered their -- the meters in our houses are out in the front of the house, and they're in like a bed. We've actually had people that intentionally covered them just to see if the cover was removed and the meter reading was taken, and nothing had been disturbed, yet they got a water bill.

I don't even know if the meters are certified. I don't know one way or the other. But these bills are just -- my sampling of my own bills, they are the same thing across the board in Island Club West. Either the meters are not being read or the people that are reading them don't know how to read them. The bills are very -- they are not given to us in a timely manner, and we just would be much better off, I think, if we went with Polk County Water.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Flynn.

And, ma'am, I'm not sure I got your name right, so I'm going to ask you to tell us again, please.

MS. JETTON: My name is Lorre Jetton, and I am a

resident at Island Club West, and trying to be also renting my unit, which as been compromised extremely by what has been happening to us there. I also brought some bills here that are my own personal bills.

With the billing, it's very inconsistent. Almost every single bill that I have received has been incorrect. I have gone down, and right there in the office they have made adjustments. There have been illegal fees that have been assigned to the bills that were immediately taken off which were acknowledged that they were illegal. But unless you protest what is put on your bill, it goes through and he gets the money. Those that received a judgment against them for arbitration, OVI, they automatically have the bills deducted from what is owed them, and they are not even aware of what they are being billed.

I have not received a bill in the mail since March.

I went by the office in April and May and picked up the bill.

June and July's I do not have. He has a meter reading, I don't have any meter readings, I just get a bill. There are no meters at all. On some of the other bills, like the electric, that there is a meter, I apparently get somebody else's meter and get their bills, and I guess they get mine.

So it's just real inconsistent. There is no basis for the billing because there is no meter reading. I don't get them in a timely manner. I believe that after almost a year

and a half that this should have been enough time for him to get his paperwork together. And I personally believe that he is complying now only because he is being forced into it.

And I think that what he has done in the past is an indication of what might be done in the future. And with the changing of the companies names, I'm afraid that if he does get certified, you do have control and he doesn't like that control, then he will just form another company and then you would lose the control. And I think that's basically it.

I would like to have some peace to know that somebody is not playing with our lives and playing with our income and making up the rules as he goes along and is also getting away with it in so many areas other than just water. Water is the least of our concerns, because our lives have been impacted in so many illegal dealings in so many other areas. So thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

Staff, in your recommendations I see you talk about that staff has written several deficiency letters and made numerous phone calls to the utility concerning incomplete application. When you say several deficiency letters, how many is that? Obviously, several -- it would be more than three with it being several, right?

1 MS. JOHNSON: Correct. That would be about four. COMMISSIONER CARTER: About four letters and numerous 2 phone calls. 3 MS. JOHNSON: And soliciting information and trying 4 5 to complete the application for the review, yes. 6 COMMISSIONER CARTER: So about how many phone calls 7 would that be? I'm going to guess and say, because I 8 MS. JOHNSON: did some and there are other staff member that did some, I 9 10 would say maybe 10 or 15, maybe more. COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chair. 11 And 12 notwithstanding these calls, we are still at this juncture in 13 time where we still don't have the company in proper paperwork 14 with certification in the application process? 15 MS. JOHNSON: As of the 10th of this month, they did complete the deficiencies. 16 They are satisfied as far as the 17 deficiencies are concerned. 18 COMMISSIONER CARTER: One itty-bitty follow-up, Madam 19 Chairman. 20 How long has this been processing? MS. JOHNSON: Well, they filed the application in 21 22 2005, and I think it's probably because they are new to the 23 process and they didn't know. We did try to walk them through 24 and tell them what we needed, and they would try and file, and

they just didn't quite understand, I think. But we have

2.5

1 persisted and tried to work with them numerous times.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: This is like a sub to the itty-bitty one, Madam Chair.

MS. JOHNSON: Because we need certain specific information in doing our review and our analysis and stuff.

And I believe they just did not understand. When we asked for financial data, and then they said, oh, wait a minute, we want to change the company's name. And we didn't understand the background as to why. And then we started receiving information from customers, and we just didn't know which way to go at one point.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: This is a two-parter, and then I will be done, Madam Chair.

How many letters, e-mails, petitions, whatsoever you have received from the customers, the consumers?

MS. JOHNSON: For just the water industry, I would say we received about 16 to 20 complaints or objections to the application. We received numerous e-mails. Some of them were repetitive from the same customers, giving us updates and objecting to the application.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: About how many was it?

MS. JOHNSON: I would say probably maybe 20. There is only like 255 customers, but what they would do is one person would write and then names would be added on of other complaining customers.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: And that would signify that -- MS. JOHNSON: That they weren't happy.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: There were multiple people with the same concern.

MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

mean, you said there were about 20 of 255 customers. Can you give me a ballpark as to how many of the consumers total? I mean, including those that may have had multiple names on the e-mails, can you give me some kind of feel for that?

MS. JOHNSON: Well, I can give you specifics as to how many have filed a petition, which was exactly 120, I believe, and 30 additional added to that. I believe there has been about 16 customers that actually objected to the application when it first started for the water and wastewater. Now, you have to understand, it crossed industry lines, their complaints, also. If they complained about water, they also complained about electricity and some of the other industries.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Sir, I'm sorry, I forgot your name, but you were talking about the number of gallons. Do you have a pool, or a couple of pools, maybe?

MR. FLYNN: No, I don't. It is just a townhome with two people living in it. There's no pool.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: And I think one month you had like 20,000 gallons. Did I hear you correctly?

MR. FLYNN: Yes, I had a couple of months that had 20,000 gallons. They were corrected at the office when I brought it to their attention.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: And, ma'am, when you brought it to the attention of the company, the problems that you were having, how did they respond to you?

MS. JETTON: Well, mine doesn't have a water meter reading, so every single billing that I have received except for two have had inaccurate charges, and that would include the electric, the phone, long distance. And every single one of them, except for two, had adjustments. Also, there is a payment that was made that they have lost, and this was back in, I think, February, and they haven't found it yet or credited me with that yet.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: And this is absolutely the last one, Madam Chair.

And to both of you, basically you are just bringing to the Commission's attention a sampling of what has been happening to you and all of your neighbors in the community, is that right? They just asked you guys to come to speak to us on their behalfs?

MS. JETTON: Well, I'm speaking on my behalf. I'm afraid that at this point I don't think that they're capable, perhaps they don't have the proper knowledge to be able to operate as a business.

MR. FLYNN: And I am speaking as a board member for just about everybody in the complex. Everybody has had a problem with all of their utility bills.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you, Madam Chairman, for your indulgence.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Commission Carter.

Commissioner Tew.

2.5

COMMISSIONER TEW: I have some comments and then questions for the utility and questions for staff, if I may. I guess, first, I want to say to the customers -- of course, the Chairman has already welcomed you here, and we appreciate your participating in your government and sharing with us what some of our neighbors' problems are, including yours.

To the company, and specifically to Mr. May, I appreciate your comments about those who thumb their nose at the PSC and those who don't, but I think you can appreciate it is sort of hard to tell the difference from here sometimes. And we have some information before us about several contacts, and I think Commissioner Carter went through that, several contacts to Mr. Meadows or his staff, and several attempts to try to get the information right in the record. And I have some follow-up questions for Mr. Meadows.

Have you reached out to any of these customers before today?

MR. MEADOWS: I've met with the board of directors of

the homeowners association numerous times when they have contacted me about having meetings. I have not heard about these kind of complaints before. I could have gotten involved and straightened them out. I didn't know that they were having those kind of billing problems. So, no, I haven't gone to them, but I didn't -- and they all have my home phone number, so they can call me, and my e-mail address, so if they have something -- usually the board of directors will call me and ask to have a meeting, but they did not bring these issues up in previous meetings.

COMMISSIONER TEW: My follow-up there would be now that you are aware of these concerns that I hope that in the time going forward, and I'm not sure what is going to happen with these issues yet, I will let you know that I am for staff's recommendation on the show cause issue, and this is to just let my colleagues know, as well. But assuming that goes forward or does not go forward, I hope that you will use that time -- in fact, I think it would be very compelling if you came back to us within that 21 days and you were able to show that you had resolved all of these concerns. But that is just my advice on that.

I guess my second question is are you metering these townhomes? Because definitely we have heard from the customers that these are very erratic, sort of, bills, and they question whether or not the information is being gathered properly from

the meters. Can you speak to that?

2.2

MR. MEADOWS: Yes, we are metering them. We do check it against the master bills and know that we are not overbilling as a total sum, and we do look at the bills monthly to see if something looks out of ordinary, and that has been one reason why they held up bills. They would hold them up, and I have told them, I have given them instructions on how to handle that in the future. But if you read a bill and you get a negative reading from the previous month, then obviously we want to go back out and read that and see why we had a negative. We get a lot of zeros, and we want to check to see if there is really no use on the meter, and you get some that are really big readings.

On a bill like that, anything over 10,000 gallons, I would obviously want to go out and check it and even talk to the people and see if they have a leak in the unit. Sometimes people aren't there for months on end, and the toilet could be running. But we should be checking those and getting answers. And I have always asked them in the past whenever I went over the billings, I would ask them to go check the erratic billings.

Now, I wasn't following up and actually getting every one of those explained to me while they were erratic, but I was being told, well, we had to replace five meters that were not working at all, and so and so, things like that. And, yes, I

definitely want to meet with them and get any of these problems -- they should be very easily fixed. I have already talked to people there about ways that I can fix most of these things immediately. But I have to get somebody that I give the authority to to make it their job and their responsibility to watch these readings and fix them immediately.

MR. MAY: Commissioner Tew, may I follow up? My work with Mr. Dodrill, the new utility accountant and consultant, one of his primary tasks is to address the billing and the meter reading systems to ensure the integrity and robustness of both of those functions.

COMMISSIONER TEW: I guess my final comment on all of this would be that I appreciate that you are here today and you are trying to show us that you're trying to turn things around, that you are trying to get the right people doing the right things. I think we appreciate that. I think the customers appreciate that. But I think that four letters and numerous phones calls and everything is a bit much to overlook at this point, at least for me.

And I do have a question for staff, because I noticed in the customers' letter, another thing they talked about was -- I think it was really in part two of this case, was going forward where they want to get their water from. And I know that usually when we notice these types of dockets that the county is -- they get notice of these types of issues, too.

Have they intervened in this or have they shown any interest in this particular case?

MS. GERVASI: No, they have not. In fact, these petitions that we received, the 120 and then the 30 more that we just received today are fairly new, and this was an argument that is fairly new to us that the customers wish to receive their service from the county. I don't know whether the county is aware of that yet. They have not intervened in this docket so far.

COMMISSIONER TEW: Thank you, Ms. Gervasi. And I just wanted to say to the customers, you're probably not as familiar with a lot of what we do here, but a lot of these issues that you have raised, there will be a time for them to be discussed later. Today we will focus on the issues that you've summarized here.

I guess, Commissioners, that's all that I have. If there are other questions, or if there aren't, if we're ready to make a motion, I could.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Tew, before we move forward -- Mr. May, I think earlier you asked for an opportunity to address Item 2, so if you would like to do so.

MR. MAY: Yes, ma'am. Very briefly. We have two clarifications with respect to Item 2, which deals with the monies held subject to refund. In a letter that I sent on behalf of the utility dated July 25th, 2006, we have agreed --

the utility has agreed to hold 44 percent of its revenues received subject to refund. And the utility stands behind that commitment, and, in fact, has taken steps to establish an escrow account.

In reading staff's revised recommendation, I think there is some confusion as to whether the utility should be required to hold amounts billed or revenues received subject to refund. We believe that -- we would ask that the recommendation or respectfully request that the recommendation be clarified that the revenues received be subject to refund, and we would put those revenues into an escrow account.

Otherwise, you're basically requiring the utility to place revenues into escrow that it simply doesn't have.

The other point of clarification is -- I think it's a historical artifact from the earlier recommendation, it says that the escrow account needs to be established by August 16th. That's tomorrow. We'll do our best to do that, but I think if the recommendation could be clarified to give us seven to ten days to get that account in place with the Commission's signator on that account, that would be more realistic and doable. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. May.

Ms. Gervasi, could you speak to those two clarification points?

MS. GERVASI: Both of those clarifications would be

acceptable to staff, holding revenues received subject to refund in accordance with the recommendation and with what the company has agreed to do as well as to allow for a little bit more time for the escrow account to be set up.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Carter.

Oh, Commissioner Arriaga. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Staff, just a brief question.

I understand that there are electric issues, telephone issues.

Are we dealing with those also?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes. In different dockets, yes.

I'm sorry, the electric issue, I think, is being worked on outside, and I think Progress Energy is going to provide them with electrical service directly and bill them.

And the telephone issue is being addressed in the future, but they have submitted all the information.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chairman, I just wanted to take a moment, you know, before we do our vote to assure our consumers who have come up here that our Chairman in her leadership, she always makes an extraordinary effort to allow citizens to have input. And we sincerely appreciate you taking the time from your day to come up here and talk to us. We're not just here talking about nuts and bolts and charts and graphs, it's about human beings. And the leadership that our

Chairman displays to us, and all of us on this Commission, we 1 are sincere and we know that everything that we do impacts 2 somebody in Florida. Thank you for coming here today. 3 And, Madam Chairman, thank you for your leadership. 4 5 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Commissioner Carter. Commissioner Tew. 6 7 COMMISSIONER TEW: On Issue 1, I can move the staff recommendation. 8 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Second. 10 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioners, further discussion on 11 the motion before us? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion 12 on Issue 1 say aye. 13 (Unanimous affirmative vote.) 14 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed? 15 Show the motion adopted. 16 COMMISSIONER TEW: On Issue 2, I would move the staff 17 recommendation as modified, and I believe that it would clarify 18 that instead of the word billed, we would include the word 19 received? 20 MS. JOHNSON: Correct. 21 COMMISSIONER TEW: And then there would be seven to 22 ten days to allow the company time to get the escrow set up, is that correct? 23 24 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 25 COMMISSIONER TEW: So moved.

1	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioners, we have a motion and
3	a second on Issue 2. Is there further discussion?
4	Seeing none, all in favor of the motion say aye.
5	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)
6	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed?
7	Show the motion adopted.
8	And thank you for your participation.
9	MR. COOKE: Commissioner?
10	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Mr. Cooke.
11	MR. COOKE: Chairman Edgar, just to be clear, there
12	is a third issue. I know in the past we have moved staff, and
13	we have assumed that meant all of them. But in this case,
14	since you addressed each separately, just for the record, to
15	keep the docket open.
16	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Yes, thank you. I appreciate you
17	bringing that to my attention.
18	Commissioner Tew.
19	COMMISSIONER TEW: I never remember the
20	close-the-docket issue. I'm batting a thousand.
21	Issue 3, move staff.
22	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: All in favor say aye.
24	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)
25	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed?

1	Show the motion adopted.
2	Thank you, Mr. Cooke.
3	And thank you all again.
4	* * * * *
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON 4 5 I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services 6 Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated. 8 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been 9 transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said 10 proceedings. 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative 12 or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. 13 14 DATED THIS 22nd day of August, 2006. 15 16 JANE FAUROT, RPR 17 Official FFSC Hearings Reporter FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services 18 (850) 413-6732 19 20 21 22 23 24 25