1	FLODIC	BEFORE THE A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	FIORIE	
3	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 041272-EI
4		<b> </b>
5	PETITION FOR APPROVE RECOVERY CLAUSE FOR	
6	EXTRAORDINARY EXPENI TO HURRICANES CHARLI	EY, FRANCES,
7	JEANNE, AND IVAN, BY ENERGY FLORIDA, INC	
8		The second second
9		
10		
11	ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE	
12	A CON	VENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT
13	THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.	
14		AGENDA CONFIDENCE
15	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFERENCE ITEM NO. 6
16	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON
17		COMMISSIONER ISILIO ARRIAGA COMMISSIONER MATTHEW M. CARTER, II
18		COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. TEW
19	DATE:	Tuesday, August 29, 2006
20	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
21		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
22		
23	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section
24		FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
25		(850) 413-6732
	1	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMIS\$ 80\$ 20 SEP-5 8

1	PARTICIPATING:		
2	ALEX GLENN, ESQUIRE, representing Progress		
3	Energy.		
4	HAROLD McLEAN, ESQUIRE, representing the		
5	Citizens of the State of Florida.		
6	JENNIFER BRUBAKER, ESQUIRE, and JOHN		
7	SLEMKEWICZ, representing the Commission Staff.		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Item 6. Okay.

MR. SLEMKEWICZ: I'm John Slemkewicz with the Commission staff. Item 6 is Docket Number 041272-EI, Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s petition to extend the current storm cost-recovery surcharge for an additional 12 months to replenish its storm damage reserves. The parties to this docket filed a stipulation and settlement agreement and subsequent clarifying letters that resolved the issues in this docket. Staff is recommending that the Commission approve the clarified stipulation. Staff is prepared to answer any questions that the Commissioners might have.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you. Mr. Glenn.

MR. GLENN: Alex Glenn on behalf of Progress Energy Florida. Just a few words.

One, I wanted to express our appreciation to the other parties to this settlement for all of their hard work, diligence, and professionalism during the negotiations, which took a lot of long hours and a lot of give and take. And in particular our Public Counsel, Harold McLean, for his hard work and integrity in the negotiations. We would respectfully request that you approve the settlement, and I'm here to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Glenn.

Mr. McLean.

MR. McLEAN: Our review is similar. It was amicable negotiations. It is always a pleasure to work with Mr. Glenn and his team from Progress, and I appreciate the compliments, Alex, and I offer the same to the company. And, of course, staff was involved in this one, as well, and we had cooperation from them, and I think well-informed points of view. Everything went quite smoothly. And we think the settlement serves the interests of the citizens of Florida. Thank you. 

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. McLean. Thank you for your comments. And I would like to take the opportunity to commend our staff on this item, who I know spent a lot of time working through the various provisions. And thank all of the signatories for continuing to work with our staff after the initial document was submitted to us.

MR. McLEAN: Madam Chair, if I may.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Mr. McLean.

MR. McLEAN: I didn't put in a good word for my fellow intervenors without whom I would be adrift. Thanks to them, as well.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Tew.

COMMISSIONER TEW: Not to break up all the harmony, I just have very small clarification on Page 39 of the rec, and it's in the August 10th letter from Progress Energy. In the second paragraph it references Paragraph 2 of the agreement and settlement, and I believe that is supposed to be a

clarification of Paragraph 3. And if this is an attachment to the actual settlement, I just wanted to be clear that that was -- it's Page 39, the August 10th letter.

MR. GLENN: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER TEW: It should be Paragraph 3?

MR. GLENN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TEW: I just wanted to get that on the record. That's all.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And noting also for the record that is it Mr. Glenn who is the signator on the letter, and his appreciation to Commissioner Tew for correction of that typographical error.

Commissioners, are there further -- Commissioner
Arriaga.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: I don't have any particular objection to the settlement. It has been clarified. Just a brief statement and then a brief question also.

Attachment C on Page 33 mentions in several opportunities, and I'm just going to read a phrase because it has been repeated over and over. "Because so doing is consistent with and supports the Commission's longstanding policy of looking favorably upon and encouraging fair and reasonable settlements between parties."

That could be. I'm beginning to question myself, me personally, on encouraging fair and reasonable settlements

because there have been already two occasions recently where there has been disagreement in settlements that were signed within the last two years. And I'm beginning to question the fairness and reasonableness of those settlements, and I'm beginning to see ourselves as a Commission involved in trying to mediate these agreements. So I don't know. Just thinking out loud, is this a longstanding policy that we should continue. Just to leave it out in the air.

The question. Attachment C, Ms. Brubaker, is a very reasoned and thorough argument as to why we should have allowed what was proposed originally from Progress Energy. But then Attachment D is a very simple letter just saying we would not proceed to do what we reasoned thoroughly before. Which one has more legal weight?

MS. BRUBAKER: Well, I would have to say that ultimately what is before us is what is summarized in Attachment D. What you see in Attachment C went along with what was originally proposed with regard to the interim process. That was the reason that Attachment C was originally submitted was to support that original interim process whereby basically there is an automatic implementation of the interim.

Since what was ultimately put before staff and thus the Commission was a different process, the interim process, where the company does come in and request a certain amount and it does go through the Commission for its approval, we didn't

include any in-depth analysis or anything to these legal arguments. Had this been before us, however, certainly I would have addressed this in a very different manner. I don't know if that answers your question.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Let me go one step further.

Assume that for XYZ reason we had to go to court, which one would carry more legal weight? Is it possible that somebody would pull Attachment C and say this is the legal reasoning for allowing what is argued in Attachment C. Attachment D is a simple letter, it doesn't carry the same weight.

MS. BRUBAKER: No, not at all. Attachment D is what carries the weight, because that's what ultimately has been proposed. Attachment C at this point -- the main purpose of even including Attachment C is there are other clarifications that are contained not having to do with the legal argument to which you are referring. Frankly, that legal argument is essentially moot at this point because that is not what is before us.

MR. COOKE: Commissioner, the recommendation that is drafted specifically incorporates Attachment D as part of the recommendation.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: Thank you so much. As I said before, Madam Chair, I have no specific objection to the settlement. I'm willing to vote for it. I just wanted to make the comments that I made for the record. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Commissioner Arriaga, for your comments and for your clarification. Just a comment kind of as a follow-up. I know I'm comfortable continuing to support and encourage fair and reasonable settlements, and note that the optimum words there are fair and reasonable. And I also would go a little further and submit that when, indeed, the need may arise for this Commission to interpret provisions of a fair and reasonable settlement, that that interpretation will also be fair and reasonable.

Commissioner Deason.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I would just like to take a moment to echo what you just said, Madam Chair, and make emphasize that the longstanding policy of this Commission to -- in answer to the Commissioner's question is an emphatic yes, we should maintain our longstanding policy of encouraging agreements and stipulations and settlements. I know that there are times when there are questions of interpretation. I think that's just part of the process.

I think that given the number of settlements and stipulations we have encouraged and approved, that the few that have been brought to our attention for clarification, they are few in comparison. So I think it should be interpreted that we have been very successful. And the subject matter of these stipulations are addressing matters that are complex and are controversial, certainly very important to the citizens of this

state.

And to adequately address those types of issues, there are going to be times when -- there will be times when there will questions of clarification and where reasonable people can disagree. I think this is a step in the direction of adding clarification and preventing further disagreement, and I applaud the parties for bringing this to us.

But, Commissioner, I understand the frustration from time to time, and sometimes we kind of get caught in the middle. But it is difficult to craft, and even though those that craft these settlements are certainly very skilled people, know the subject matter, and put in hours and hours of time and try to cover all possible scenarios, it is just humanly impossible to craft a stipulation that is going to be clear in every conceivable scenario that may play out in reality. But, yes, to answer the question and in support of what the Chairman said, I think that obviously the settlement process is something that needs to be encouraged to the extent that we can.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Commissioner Deason.

Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. For the record, I just would like to say to our staff, thank you for a fantastic job. And if I may, Madam Chairman, I would like to same thank you to Progress Energy Florida, Office of

the Public Counsel, Florida Industrial Power Users Group, the Florida Retail Federation, the AARP, Sugarmill Woods Civic Association, and Buddy L. Hansen all parties to this agreement. Thank you all for reaching that agreement, and it is something that I can wholeheartedly support.

So, Madam Chairman, at the appropriate time I would move staff's recommendation on this issue.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Commissioner Carter.

Commission Tew, did you have a brief comment?

COMMISSIONER TEW: I, too, support the stipulation and settlement that is before us, and I'm in agreement with the comments that have been raised earlier with respect to the difficulty in crafting agreements that resolve everything before us in these cases. And I think that the very nature of all the parties that Commissioner Carter just mentioned add to that difficulty. But whenever they come to agreement and they have represented all sectors of the issue. I think that that is something to look favorably upon and try to highlight any areas where we think there are clarifications initially, as staff has done here, but otherwise I think we are going to have some things that are just going to come back to us at times whenever parties can't agree on what the particular paragraph said after the fact. But I do support the stipulation and settlement before us.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Arriaga.

1.9

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: I certainly appreciate all the comments that have been made, and I don't have any major disagreement. As I said, the settlement is good. The parties approved it. Fine with me. I'm going to vote for it. But I was just bringing to the attention of the Commission the fact that the same parties that all sit here today praising the agreement may be sitting there tomorrow with some kind of disagreement, and it will be our job to go ahead and clarify and rule on the disagreement.

So when that happens, and I'm beginning to see it happen more frequently, is when I get a little bit concerned about us embracing so thoroughly these agreements. We should approve them, we should encourage them, but to embrace them the way we are embracing it when there is the potential for disagreement, and we have seen strong potential for disagreement the last two or three months, I'm just wondering. It was just a thought that I threw out in the air, and I certainly respect and appreciate all of your comments and the way you think. Fortunately, we're in the United States and we can free to think and express ourselves.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And in the sunshine.

COMMISSIONER ARRIAGA: I'm just a little bit concerned about the fact that you have negotiated agreements, and then you are coming back to us and siting right in front of

_	as as you are sreering coday and saying ents is not what we	
2	meant. So that is what we are here for. That's all. Thank	
3	you.	
4	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Commissioner. Okay. It	
5	is about that time.	
6	Commissioner Carter.	
7	COMMISSIONER CARTER: Move staff's recommendation.	
8	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.	
9	Is there a second?	
LO	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Second.	
L1	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: We have had discussion. All in	
L2	favor of the motion say aye.	
L3	(Unanimous affirmative vote.)	
L4	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed?	
L5	Show the motion carried.	
L6	MR. McLEAN: Thank you, Commissioners.	
L7	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. McLean. Thank you,	
L8	Mr. Glenn. And thank you again to, as I said earlier, all the	
L9	signatories on the agreement.	
20	* * * * *	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 STATE OF FLORIDA 2 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON 4 5 I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services 6 Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was 7 heard at the time and place herein stated. 8 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been 9 transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 10 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel 12 connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. 13 14 DATED THIS 5th day of September, 2006. 15 16 JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter 17 PPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services 18 (850) 413-6732 19 20 21 22 23 24

25