AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

October 30, 2006

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Rei

Further Revision to Testimony of Tampa Electric Witness, Carlos Aldazabal

FPSC Docket No. 060001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

As Staff correctly pointed out, the first line was missing from the revised page 9 of Tampa Electric witness Carlos Aldazabal's true-up testimony we submitted on October 24, 2006. Enclosed are the original and fifteen (15) copies each of pages 9 and 10 of Mr. Aldazabal's true-up testimony, marked REVISED 10/30/06. We would appreciate your circulating these to the recipients of the October 24 filing so that they may be substituted in place of the corresponding pages from that filing.

Sincerely,

James D. Beasley

JDB/pp Enclosure

cc:

All Parties of Record (w/enc.)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE

09979 OCT 30 g

	ı	
1		power transaction cost variance is \$238,905,393 more
2		than what was originally estimated.
3		
4	Q.	What was the variance in jurisdictional fuel revenues
5		for the period January 2005 through December 2005?
6		
7	A.	As shown on line C3 of Document No. 3, the company
8		collected \$15,259,333 or 2.2 percent less jurisdictional
9		fuel revenues than originally estimated.
10		
11	Q.	Please describe Document No. 4 of your exhibit.
12		
13	A.	Document No. 4 contains Commission Schedules Al through
14		A9 for the months of January 2005 through December 2005.
15		Also included is a twelve-month summary detailing the
16		transactions for each of Commission Schedules A6, A7,
17		A8, and A9 for the period January 2005 through December
18		2005.
19		
20	Whole	esale Incentive Benchmark
21	Q.	What is Tampa Electric's wholesale incentive benchmark
22		for 2006, as derived in accordance with Order No. PSC-
23		01-2371-FOF-EI, Docket No. 010283-EI?
24		
25	A.	The company's 2006 benchmark is \$1,051,869, which is the

REVISED 10/30/06

1	1	
1		three-year average of \$1,227,431, \$1,049,937, and
2		\$878,238 actual gains on non-separated wholesale sales,
3		excluding emergency sales, for 2003, 2004 and 2005,
4		respectively.
5		
6	Hedg:	ing Transaction and Incremental O&M Costs
7	Q.	Did Tampa Electric prudently incur incremental O&M
8		expenses for initiating and/or maintaining its non-
9		speculative financial hedging program in 2005?
10		
11	A.	Yes. Tampa Electric prudently incurred \$164,960 for
12		incremental O&M hedging expenses. An itemization of the
13		incremental O&M expenses by category will be provided as
L 4		an exhibit to the direct testimony of Tampa Electric
15		witness J. T. Wehle, which will be filed April 3, 2006 in
16		this docket.
17		
18	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
19		
20	A.	Yes.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	