ORIGINAL

chrismalish@fostermalishcor

I AN IO:

Foster Malish & Blair, L.L.P.

CHRISTOPHER MALISH

ATTORNEYS AT LAW A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP 1403 WEST SIXTH STREET AUSTIN, TEXAS 78703 (512) 476-8591 FAX (512) 477-8657 www.fostermalish.com

October 30, 2006

UPS Nekt Day Air + Elec. Filing Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 050863-TP; dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C. v. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

This is regarding the above-referenced case which is being held in abeyance until the outcome is determined of a similar case before the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC Docket No. P-55, Sub. 1577). Please be advised that an order denying dPi's Motion for Reconsideration was issued in the North Carolina complaint on October 12, 2006. However, dPi has appealed the results of this order; attached is dPi's Complaint and Request for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief filed on October 20, 2006 in the U.S. District Court, Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division. No action should be taken on this case until a final decision is rendered in this appeal.

CMP _______ Thank you for your courtesy in this matter. If you should have any questions, please do not _______

COM _____

CTR _____

- ECR _____
- GCL

OPC ____Enelosure

RCA

SCR _____

- SGA
- SEC

OTH _____

Very truly yours.

Christopher Malish



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo October 30, 2006 Page 2

.

.

cc: Manuel A. Gurdian, Attorney
 BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
 150 South Monroe Street, Room 400
 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Andrew Shore, Senior Regulatory Counsel BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375 Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/64)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and by local miss of coart. This for the civil docket sheet. (SEB IN I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	m, approved by the Judicial I STRUCTIONS ON THE REVE	Conference of the United RSE OF THE FORM.)	l States in	September 1974, is requ	ired for the u	se of the Clerk of	Court for the p	urpose of	nitiating	
dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C.				North Carolina Utilifies Commission; JoAnne Sanford; Robert E. Kroger; Robert V. Owens, Jr.; Sam Ervin, IV; Lorinzo Joyner; James Y. Kerr, II; and Roward N. Lee (in their official capacities as Commissioners of the North						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Dallas County, TX (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				Caroline Utilities Commission); and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.						
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Ralph McDonald; Bailey	Address, and Telephone Number & Dixon, LLP: P.O. B	•	IC IC	Attorneys (If Known)						
27602-1351; (919) 828-0	731									
			III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defandant)							
DIUS. Government Plaintiff	B 3 Fodoral Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		PTF DEF Chizon of This State D 1 D 1 Incorporated or Principal Place D 4 D 4 of Business in This State							
D 2 U.S. Government Defendant	ip of Parlies in Item III)	Citiz	Strizen of Another State 🛛 2 🕄 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 🗍 5 🖾 5 of Business In Another State					EI 5		
		19		an or Subject of a C reign Country	13 🗆 3	Fervign Nation		06	06	
IV. NATURE OF SUL			TPOR	FEITURE/PGNAC/TY:	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	ISTATU	ES :	
 I 10 Internance I 20 Marine I 20 Marine I 30 Miller Act I 40 Negotiable instrument I 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment I 51 Medicare Act I 52 Recovery of Definitived Student Lease (Excl. Veteran's Benefits I 60 Stockholders' Suits I 90 Other Contract I 95 Contract Product Liability I 200 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Text Bredent 240 Text Bredent I 200 All Other Real Property 	441 Voiing 442 Employment 443 Honsing/ Accommodulions 444 Welfare 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INUIT: 362 Personal Injury - Mod. Malprastice 365 Personal Injury - Profanct Liability 365 Asisota Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER: 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Othor Personal Propurty Damage Product Liability PRESONER PERPENTION 510 Motions to Varati South Personal S35 Desth Personal 535 Desth Personal 535 Octivil Rights 550 Civil Rights		 10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 220 Drijk Felined Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 330 Liquor Laws 440 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs. 560 Occupational Safuy/Healtin 590 Other 10 Fair Labor Bandards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Relway Laber Latigation 91 Other Labor Liggtion 92 Other Labor Liggtion 93 Other Labor Liggtion 94 Dire Labor Liggtion 95 Other Labor Liggtion 96 Other Labor Liggtion 97 Empl. Ret. Inc. Sneurity Act 	□ 423 With 28 US 28 US 320 Copy 320 Pater 340 Pater 340 Trade 361 Bith 362 Black 363 DIW 363 Black 363 STSJ 0 857 RSJ 0 870 7acc 0 871 RS-	IC 157 RTYARIGHTS: X rights tt SECURITY: A (1395f) Lung (923) CDIWW (405(p)) TMS XVI	3 470 Racket Corrupt 480 Consult 490 Cable/ 310 Selection 350 Securit Sxchan 375 Custon 12 USC 890 Other 5 890 Other 5 891 Agricou 892 Bornore 893 Envire 894 Enorgy 895 Freedo Act 90 Other 5 90 Other 5	att and Bankin ere atton eer Influen to organizati mer Crodit Sat TV vo Sorvice iss/Commt ge mer Challen Statutory A litural Acts mis Stability Satutory A litural Acts mis Stability attocation m of Fos Dat Sigual Acces so	ess and ons odities/ ga ations ations ations ations ations ations sation	
DI Original D2 R	an "X" in One Box Only emoved from 3 tate Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reo	istated or 5 Trans	ferred from er district ifv)	6 Multidist	rict 🗇 7	Appeal to Judge fro Magistrat Judgment	m c	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN Brief description of c	RUSE:		Do not cite jurisdiction		nless filversity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	c	HECK YES only URY DEMAND		n complain Ø No	ot;	
VIIL RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	T NUMBER		•		
DATE 10/20/2006 TOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
		APPLYING IPP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DOE			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION Case No.:

dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C.

Plaintiff,

v.

THE NORTH CAROLINA)UTILITIES COMMISION,)Jo Anne Sanford, Robert E. Kroger,Nobert V. Owens, Jr., Sam Ervin, IV.)Lorinzo Joyner, James Y. Kerr, II,and Howard N. Lee (in their official)capacities as Commissioners of the)North Carolina Utilities)Commission), and Bellsouth)Telecommunications, Inc.

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1. The dispute in this matter arises from a disagreement regarding BellSouth <u>Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth)'s resale obligations under 47 U.S.C. 251(c)(4)(A)</u> and 252(d)(3), and more specifically whether BellSouth must extend to dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C. ("dPi") promotional credits for services which would be eligible for the promotion pricing under the plain reading of certain promotions BellSouth offered in the State of North Carolina.

JURISDICTION

2.

This action arises under Sections 252(e)(6) and 251(c)(4)(A) of the Federal

1.

Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "FTA" or "Act"), which is the source of the Court's jurisdiction in this matter. It is essentially an appeal of a State Commission's decision of a dispute arising under the FTA.

 Venue in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because the Commission is based in said district.

THE PARTIES

Complainant dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C. is a Delaware corporation headquartered at
 2997 LBJ Freeway, Suite 225, Dallas, Texas 75234. dPi is a "competitive local exchange carrier" ("CLEC") as defined by the Act in 47 U.S.C. §251.

5. BellSouth is an "incumbent local exchange carrier" ("ILEC") as defined by the Act. 47 U.S.C. §251(h). It is a Georgia corporation with its principal place of business in Atlanta, and could be considered the real party in interest in this proceeding.

6. The North Carolina Utilities Commission is an agency of the State of North Carolina. Jo Anne Sanford; Robert E. Kroger; Robert V. Owens, Jr.; Sam Ervin, IV; Lorinzo Joyner; James Y. Kerr, II; and Howard N. Lee are all Commissioners of the North Carolina Utilities Commission and are served in their official capacities only.

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

7. All conditions precedent to jurisdiction have occurred or been complied with: Plaintiff originally filed this action with the North Carolina Utilities Commission and after issuance of the Commission's initial order in said proceeding, moved for rehearing, and now files this Complaint.

FACTS

Regulatory Background

8. The FTA opens up the local telephone service market by, among other things, requiring the incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs"), such as BellSouth, to offer their retail services at wholesale rates to competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs"), such as dPi.

9. Among other things, ILECs are required to extend any promotional pricing offered to their end users for periods of 90 days or more to CLECs, like dPi.

Facts of the Case

10. dPi Teleconnect resells BellSouth's retail residential telephone services. dPi's dispute centers on credits which are due from BellSouth to dPi Teleconnect as a result of dPi Teleconnect's reselling of services subject to BellSouth promotional discounts.

11. BellSouth has over the past months and years sold its retail services at a discount to its end users under various promotions that have lasted for more than 90 days. dPi is entitled to purchase and resell those same services at the promotional rate, less the wholesale discount.

12. As a practical matter, dPi Teleconnect has bought these services at the regular retail rate less the resale discount, then been credited the difference between that rate and the promotional rate pursuant to "promotion credit requests."

13. After completing an audit in 2004, dPi found that BellSouth had failed to issue dPi with hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of promotional credits to which dPi was entitled (system wide, not just in North Carolina). The bulk of the promotions for which

credits were due but not paid in North Carolina were related to BellSouth's Line Connection Charge Waiver ("LCCW") promotion.

14. Pursuant to this promotion, BellSouth waives the line connection charge for those customers who switch to BellSouth and take at least basic service with two Touchstar features.¹ Thus all -ALL – dPi had to do to qualify for the line connection charge waiver is purchase Basic Service with one or more Touchstar features.

15. In every situation in which dPi applied for the promotional credit, dPi had purchased through a single order a package consisting of at least Basic Service plus two or more Touchstar features. This is because dPi's basic offering always includes at least two Touchstar blocks, including the call return block (known by its Universal Service Ordering Code ["USOC"] of "BCR"); the repeat dialing block ("BRD"); and the call tracing block, or "HBG" block.² There is no dispute that dPi ordered these Touchstar blocks.

16. BellSouth initially admitted its obligation to pay the credits to dPi Teleconnect and repeatedly promised to issue the credits to dPi Teleconnect. BellSouth has paid similar

¹In relevant part, the promotion reads as follows:

Promotion Specifics

Specific features of this promotion are as follows:

Waived line connection charge to reacquisition or winover residential customers who currently are not using BellSouth for local service and who purchase BellSouth® Complete Choice® service, BellSouth® PreferredPack service, or basic service and two (2) features will be waived.

Restrictions/Eligibility Requirements

The customer must switch their local service to BellSouth and purchase any one of the following: BellSouth® Complete Choice® plan, BellSouth® PreferredPack plan, or BellSouth® basic service and two (2) custom calling (or Touchstar® service) local features.

 $^{2}Id.$

credits to other CLECs. However, despite its promises, and its treatment of other CLECs with essentially identical claims, BellSouth ultimately refused to issue the credits to dPi

17. Ultimately, in North Carolina, BellSouth wrongfully denied dPi \$185,719.49 for credits applied for in situations where dPi qualified for the LCCW promotion credit by purchasing Basic Local Service plus two or more of the BCR, BRD, and HBG Touchstar block Features. BellSouth argued that dPi does not qualify for the promotion for a number of reasons: because the features that dPi is ordering are not Touchstar features; because dPi did not pay additional sums to secure those features; and because BellSouth does not sell to its customers in this way. Each of these arguments is without merit: the blocks are identified as Touchstar Features under the tariff, the UNE regime, and the conduct of the parties prior to the dispute; the text of the promotion does not require that the features be purchased at additional cost; and (if true) the fact that BellSouth's typical end users do not attempt to qualify for the promotion does not mean dPi, which has much different needs from the BellSouth' end users, does not qualify for the promotion.

18. dPi initiated a case against BellSouth on this issue before the North Carolina Commission in August, 2005. The case was styled In the Matter of the Complaint of dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C. Against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Regarding Credit for Resale Services Subject to Promotional Discounts, Docket No. P-55, SUB 1577, before the State of North Carolina Utilities Commission.

19. The Commission heard the case and entered an initial decision not favorable to dPi on June 7. On July 6, dPi filed a motion for reconsideration, pointing out that had the Commission applied the correct test – i.e., interpreting the "contract" documents as written, as opposed to BellSouth's "interpretation" of how the promotion was to be applied, or basing

the decision on the best evidence in the record, rather than the testimony of BellSouth's corporate spokesperson, who admittedly had no personal knowledge of the facts of the case, that dPi was entitled to prevail. The Commission rejected dPi's arguments and entered an order disposing of the motion for reconsideration on October 12, 2006.

APPEAL

20. Plaintiff hereby appeals the Commission's order in Docket No. P-55, SUB 1577. In particular, Plaintiff appeals the Commission's order with respect to dPi's eligibility to receive promotional pricing under BellSouth's Line Connection Charge Waiver promotion in situations where dPi is entitled as a matter of law to promotional pricing because dPi qualifies for the promotion under the express written terms of the promotion.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully request that Defendants be cited to appear and answer herein and that upon a final hearing of the cause, judgment be entered for Plaintiff granting the following relief:

a declaration that the PUC's order is contrary to the FTA of 1996 and/or arbitrary and capricious and that that dPi is entitled to the promotion credits it seeks to collect, with a reversal or remand of this case to the PUC with the instruction that the PUC issue a new order not inconsistent with the Court's ruling in this case; and

such other and further relief to which the Plaintiff may be entitled at law or in equity.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of October, 2006.

David S. Wisz Ralph McDonald N.C. State Bar No. 5037 P.O. Box 1351 Raleigh, N.C. 27602-1351 (919) 828-0731

OF COUNSEL: Christopher Malish Foster Malish Blair & Cowan, L.L.P. 1403 West Sixth Street Austin, Texas 78703 (512) 476-8591

/s/ David S. Wisz N.C. State Bar No. 22789 /s/ Ralph McDonald N.C. State Bar No. 5037 Bailey & Dixon, L.L.P. *Counsel for Plaintiff* Post Office Box 1351 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602 Telephone No.: (919) 828-0731 Facsimile No.: (919) 828-6592 <u>dwisz@bdixon.com</u> rmcdonald@bdixon.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served upon Defendants in this action by depositing a copy thereof in the United States mail, postage prepaid.

By:

This 20th day of October, 2006.

/s/ David S. Wisz

7

#201240