			1
1		BEFORE THE	
2	FLORI	DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3		DOCKET NO. 060003-GU	
4	In the Matter c	f	
5	PURCHASED GAS ADJUSI	MENT	
6	(PGA) TRUE-UP.		
7			
8			▶ .
9		Superior and the second	
10			
11			
12		C VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE VENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT	
13	THE OFFI	CIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, ERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.	
14			
15	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING	
16	BEFORE :	CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON	
17		COMMISSIONER ISILIO ARRIAGA COMMISSIONER MATTHEW M. CARTER, II	
18		COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. TEW	
19	DATE:	Monday, November 6, 2006	
20	TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m.	
21	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center	
22		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way	
23		Tallahassee, Florida	
24	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter	
25		(850) 413-6732	
		DOCUMENT	UMBER-DATE
	FLOR	IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1051	8 NOV 16 S
i		FPSC-COMM	ISSION CLER

APPEARANCES:

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Akerman Law Firm, 106 East
College Avenue, Suite 1200, Tallahassee, Florida 32301,
appearing on behalf of Florida City Gas.

NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., ESQUIRE, Messer Caparello &
Self, P.A., P.O. Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876,
appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

8 HAROLD MCLEAN, PUBLIC COUNSEL, CHARLIE BECK, ESQUIRE,
9 JOE MCGLOTHLIN, ESQUIRE, PATTY CHRISTENSEN, Office of Public
10 Counsel, c/o the Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison St., #812,
11 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the
12 Citizens of the State of Florida.

13 KATHERINE FLEMING, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's
14 Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida
15 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

		3
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	
3	NAME :	PAGE NO.
4		PAGE NO.
5	THOMAS KAUFMANN Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	10
6	CHERYL M. MARTIN Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	21
7	MARC L. SCHNEIDERMANN	21
8	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	27
9	W. EDWARD ELLIOTT Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	31
10	STUART L. SHOAF	21
11	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	49
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

				4
1		EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER:		ID.	ADMTD.
3	1	Comprehensive Stipulated Exhibit List	9	9
4	2	TK-1	9	9
5	3	TK-2	9	9
6	4	CM-1	9	9
7	5	CMM - 1	9	9
8	6	EE-1	9	9
9	7	EE-2	9	9
10	8	SLS-1	9	9
11	9	SLS-2	9	9
12	10	SLS-3	9	9
13	11	SLS-4	9	9
14	12	SLS-5	9	9
15	13	SLS-6	9	9
16	14	SLS-7	9	9
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

I

5 PROCEEDINGS 1 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Good morning. Call this hearing, 2 hearings to order. I appreciate your patience. We have a lot 3 of paper to get organized this morning. 4 And I will begin by asking our staff to read the 5 notice. 6 MS. FLEMING: Pursuant to notice and supplemental 7 8 notice, this time and place have been set for a hearing in the 9 following dockets: 060003-GU, 060004-GU, 060002-EG, 060007-EI, 10 060001-EI, 060362-EI, and 041291-EI. CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you. Okay. We'll move on 11 next and take appearances to get us in the proper posture. 12 And I am going to ask you to go kind of slowly so that I can make 13 sure I've got the order. And also, if you would, please, 14 obviously identify the company that you're representing and the 15 docket numbers that you will be participating in. And we'll 16 begin to my left. 17 MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. 18 John Butler and Bryan Anderson of Florida Power and 19 Light Company appearing in Dockets 060002, 060007, 060001, 20 060362, and 041291. 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: 23 MR. HORTON: Good morning. Norman H. Horton, Jr., 24 appearing for Florida Public Utilities Company in the 01, 02, 25 03, and 04 dockets.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

1

2 MR. BURNETT: Good morning, Madam Chairman. John 3 Burnett on behalf of Progress Energy Florida appearing in the 4 01 and 02 dockets. I also have Gary Perko appearing in the 5 07 docket on behalf of Progress Energy Florida.

6 MR. BEASLEY: Good morning. James D. Beasley and 7 Lee L. Willis of the law firm of Ausley and McMullen 8 representing Tampa Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 9 07 dockets.

10 MR. STONE: Good morning. Jeffrey A. Stone, and with 11 me is Russell A. Badders and Steven R. Griffin of the law firm 12 Beggs and Lane. We represent Gulf Power Company in the 02, 07, 13 and 01 dockets.

MS. KEATING: Good morning. Beth Keating, Akerman Senterfitt. I'm here this morning on behalf of Florida City Gas in the 03 docket, and Florida City Gas and Chesapeake Utilities in the 04 docket.

MR. BECK: Good morning, Madam Chairman. My name is Charlie Beck with the Office of Public Counsel. I'd also like to make appearances for Harold McLean, Public Counsel, as well as Joe McGlothlin and Patty Christensen. We're appearing on behalf of the Citizens of Florida in the 01, 02, 03, 0362, and 07 dockets.

24 MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Madam Chairman and 25 Commissioners. I'm Schef Wright, and I would also like to

7 1 enter an appearance for my partner John T. LaVia, III, as 2 reflected in the prehearing orders. We are appearing on behalf of the Florida Retail Federation in the 060001 docket, 3 060362 docket, and 060007 docket. Thank you. 4 5 CAPTAIN WILLIAMS: Good morning. I'm Captain Damund Williams, and I'm here representing the Federal Executive 6 Agencies in the 01 docket. 7 MR. McWHIRTER: My name is John McWhirter. I'm 8 9 appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group, and we have intervened in the 01 docket, the 02 docket, 10 the 07 docket, and the 0362 docket. 11 12 MR. TWOMEY: Madam Chair, Commissioners, good 13 morning. Mike Twomey on behalf of AARP. AARP has intervened 14 in the 01 docket as well as the 362 docket. Thank you. 15 MR. SHREVE: Good morning. Jack Shreve appearing on 16 behalf of Attorney General Charlie Crist, appearing in the 17 060362 docket. I would also like to enter an appearance for 18 Cecilia Bradley. CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you. Is there anybody else? 19 20 No. All right. Thank you very much. 21 MR. KEATING: Chairman Edgar, I believe the Staff 22 Counsel should make appearances, but we were waiting to make

23 sure there was no one else in the audience.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Mr. Keating.

24

25

MR. KEATING: Cochran Keating on behalf of the

Commission in the 01, 0362, and 041291 dockets. 1 MS. BROWN: Martha Carter Brown on behalf of the 2 Commission in the 07 docket. 3 MS. FLEMING: Katherine Fleming on behalf of the 4 Commission in the 02, 03, and 04 dockets. 5 MS. BENNETT: Lisa Bennett appearing on behalf of the 6 Public Service Commission in the 01, 362, and 041291 dockets. 7 8 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Then let me note for the 9 10 record at this point in time that St. Joe Natural Gas Company and Peoples Gas System have been excused from attending the 11 hearing. We will go in this order, 03, 04, 02, 07, 01, 060362, 12 13 and then we will take up the emergency item, 041291 as the final item to be addressed. 14 15 And, Ms. Fleming, I think we are ready to begin with the 03 docket. Any preliminary matters? 16 MS. FLEMING: No, Commissioner. Just a point for the 17 record. As you stated, St. Joe and Peoples Gas have been 18 excused from the hearing in this docket. There are proposed 19 stipulations on all issues and all witnesses have been excused. 20 With that being said, staff recommends that the 21 prefiled testimony in the 03 docket of all witnesses listed on 22 Page 4 of the draft prehearing order be moved into the record 23 as though read. 24 25 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Please have all of the prefiled

8

	9
1	testimony entered into the record as though read.
2	MS. FLEMING: Staff has compiled a Comprehensive
3	Exhibit List. That list itself is identified as Exhibit List
4	Number 1. The remainder of the exhibits are prefiled
5	testimony, and they have been marked thereafter on the sheet.
6	Staff would request that the exhibits be marked 1 through 14
7	and be moved into the record as set forth in the chart.
8	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: The exhibits will be so marked and
9	moved into the record.
10	(Exhibits 1 through 14 marked for identification and
11	admitted into the record.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3		THOMAS KAUFMANN
4		ON BEHALF OF FLORIDA CITY GAS
5		DOCKET NO. 060003-GU
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
8	Α.	My name is Thomas Kaufmann. My business address is
9		Elizabethtown Gas, One Elizabethtown Plaza, Union, New
10		Jersey 07083.
11		
12	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
13	Α.	I am currently employed as a Manager of Rates and Tariffs and
14		have responsibilities for Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc's., (formerly
15		known as NUI Utilities Inc.) Florida operating division .d/b/a
16		Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the Company").
17		
18	Q.	BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
19		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
20	Α.	In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark with
21		a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring
22		in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from
23		Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison with a Masters of

.

Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional 1 2 responsibilities have encompassed financial analysis, accounting, planning, and pricing in manufacturing and energy 3 services companies in both regulated and deregulated 4 industries. In 1977, I was employed by Allied Chemical Corp. as 5 6 a staff accountant. In 1980, I was employed by Celanese Corp. 7 as a financial analyst. In 1981, I was employed by Suburban 8 Propane as a Strategic Planning Analyst, promoted to Manager of Rates and Pricing in 1986 and to Director of Acquisitions and 9 Business Analysis in 1990. In 1993, I was employed by 10 11 Concurrent Computer as a Manager, Pricing Administration. In 1996 I joined Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc's., (formerly known as 12 13 NUI Utilities Inc.) as a Rate Analyst, was promoted to Manager of Regulatory Support in August, 1997 and Manager of 14 15 Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named Manager of 16 Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.

17

#### 18 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the comparison of
 Actual versus Original estimate of the purchased gas adjustment
 cost recovery factor and true-up provision for the period January,
 2005 through December, 2005 for City Gas.

23

1	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORM PRESCRIBED
2		BY THIS COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?
3	A.	Yes. The Company has prepared the form prescribed by the
4		Commission attached as Schedule A-7, and identified as Exhibit
5		(TK-1).
6		
7	Q.	HAS CITY GAS PREPARED A SCHEDULE WHICH SHOWS
8		THE ACTUAL GAS COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE GAS
9		ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY FACTOR?
10	A.	Yes. City Gas prepared Schedule A-7, attached, which
11		describes the total fuel cost for the period in question, recovery
12		of such cost from ratepayers through the Purchased Gas
13		Adjustment (PGA) Cost Recovery Factor, and remaining over or
14		under-recovery of gas cost.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT WAS THE TOTAL GAS COST INCURRED BY THE
17		COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED
18		DECEMBER 31, 2005?
19	A.	As shown on Schedule A-7, Line 1, the total cost of gas for the
20		twelve months ended December 31, 2005 is \$44,300,710.
21		

•

÷

×.

1	Q.	WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF GAS COST
2		RECOVERED BY THE COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE
3		MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005?
4	A.	The Company recovered \$42,451,184.
5		
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S ACTUAL TRUE-UP FOR THE
7		TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005?
8	Α.	The actual true-up amount, including adjustments, margin
9		sharing and interest, is an under-recovery of \$1,779,136.
10		
11	Q.	CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU ARRIVED AT THAT
12		AMOUNT?
13	Α.	Yes. As shown on Schedule A-7, the total fuel revenues for the
14		period are \$42,451,184 and the total fuel cost is \$44,300,710.
15		The difference between the fuel cost and fuel recoveries is an
16		under-recovery of (\$1,849,526). This under-recovery is reduced
17		by an adjustment of \$490. and the interest provision, for the
18		under-recovery during the period, of \$69,900. The sum of these,
19		inclusive of any rounding, is an under-recovery of \$1,779,136.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE FINAL OVER/UNDER RECOVERY FOR THE
22		JANUARY 2005 THROUGH DECEMBER 2005 PERIOD TO BE
23		INCLUDED IN THE 2006 PROJECTION?

1	A.	The final true-up amount for the period of January 2005 through
2		December 2005 to be included in the 2007 projection is an over-
3		recovery \$1,660,788. This is the difference between the
4		estimated under-recovery of \$3,439,924 that is included in the
5		current cost recovery factor being collected during 2006 and the
6		actual under-recovery of \$1,779,136
7		
8	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
9	A.	Yes.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3		THOMAS KAUFMANN
4		ON BEHALF OF FLORIDA CITY GAS
5		DOCKET NO. 060003-GU
6		September 15, 2006
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
9	A.	My name is Thomas Kaufmann. My business address is Elizabethtown
10		Gas, One Elizabethtown Plaza, Union, New Jersey 07083.
11	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED, AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
12	Α.	I am currently employed as a Manager of Rates and Tariffs and have
13		responsibilities for Florida City Gas ("City Gas" or "the Company").
14	Q.	BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
15		EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
16	A.	In June 1977, I graduated from Rutgers University, Newark, N.J.,
17		with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration, majoring
18		in accounting and economics. In July 1979, I graduated from
19		Fairleigh Dickinson University, Madison, N.J., with a Masters of
20		Business Administration, majoring in finance. My professional
21		responsibilities have encompassed financial analysis, accounting,
22		planning, and pricing in manufacturing and energy services
23		companies in both regulated and deregulated industries. In 1977, I

### Docket No. 060003-GU September 15, 2006

was employed by Allied Chemical Corp. as a staff accountant. In 1 2 1980. I was employed by Celanese Corp. as a financial analyst. In 1981, I was employed by Suburban Propane as a Strategic 3 4 Planning Analyst, promoted to Manager of Rates and Pricing in 5 1986 and to Director of Acquisitions and Business Analysis in 1990. 6 In 1993, I was employed by Concurrent Computer as a Manager, 7 Pricing Administration. In 1996 I joined NUI as a Rate Analyst, was 8 promoted to Manager of Regulatory Support in August, 1997 and 9 Manager of Regulatory Affairs in February, 1998, and named 10 Manager of Rates and Tariffs in July 1998.

## 11 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

- A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the revised estimate of
  the Company's projection of gas costs for the period August 2006
  through December 2006 and the Company's projection of gas costs
  for the period January 2007 through December 2007. In addition I
  will present the development of the maximum rate to be charged to
  customers for the period January 2007 through December 2007.
- 18 Q. HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORMS AS PRESCRIBED
- 19 BY THE COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE?
- A. Yes. The forms prescribed by the Commission are being filed at
  this time. Copies are attached to my testimony as Exhibit \_\_ (TK-2).
- 22

### Docket No. 060003-GU September 15, 2006

## 1 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE PROJECTION METHODOLOGY?

Yes. Under this methodology, which was adopted by Order No. 2 Α. 3 PSC-93-0708-FOF-GU of this Commission on May 10, 1993 and 4 modified in Docket No. 980269-PU on June 10, 1998, gas 5 companies are to project their gas costs each twelve months for the 6 ensuing twelve month period ending in December. A per therm rate 7 is developed for the weighted average cost of gas (WACOG). This 8 rate, based on the average of the winter and summer seasons, 9 would lead to over or under-recoveries of gas costs in the two 10 This problem is mitigated by establishing a maximum seasons. 11 levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected 12 winter cost of gas, thereby eliminating a large under-recovery in that 13 season. The Company is then able to flex downward in the summer 14 in order to match market conditions and eliminate the potential for a 15 large over-recovery for the remainder of the period.

### 16 Q. WHAT IF THE ACTUAL COST EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM RATE

17 AS PROJECTED?

A. If re-projected gas costs for the remaining period exceed projected
 recoveries by at least 10% for the twelve month period, a mid course correction may formally be requested by the Company.

21 Q. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DIFFERENCES THAT RESULT FROM 22 MISESTIMATES, THAT IS, THE MISMATCHES BETWEEN 23 ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL COSTS?

•

.

1	Α.	The forms take this into consideration. Form E-2 calculates the
2		projected differences using estimated figures, and form E-4
3		calculates the final true-up using actual figures. These differences
4		are flowed back to customers through the true-up factor included in
5		gas costs billed in the subsequent twelve month period.
6	Q.	ARE ANY FLORIDA GAS TRANSMSSION (FGT) RATE
7		CHANGES PROPOSED WHICH ARE REFLECTED IN THIS
8		FILING?
9	A.	No, the FGT rates used in the preparation of this filing are those in
10		effect on September 1, 2006.
11	Q.	CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE CONTENTS OF THE SCHEDULES
12		SUBMITTED AS PART OF THIS FILING?
13	A.	Yes. For the projected period, January 2007 through December
14		2007, we estimate the gas purchases for resale will be 42,364,308
15		therms at a total cost of \$56,316,771 with a resulting WACOG of
16		132.934 cents per therm before the application of the true-up factor
17		and the regulatory assessment fee. The difference between the
18		estimated actual and actual true-up for the prior period, January
19		2005 through December 2005, is an over-recovery of \$1,660,788.
20		The projected true-up for the current period, January 2006 through
21		December 2006, is an under-recovery of \$770,384. The total true-
22		up as shown on Schedule E-4 is an over-recovery of \$890,404 for a
23		true-up refund factor of 2.102 cents per therm that would be applied

# Docket No. 060003-GU September 15, 2006

.

.

1	during the projected period. This true-up	factor decreases the gas
2	cost factor during the projected period to	130.832 cents per therm
3	before the regulatory assessment fee.	With the regulatory
4	assessment fee added, the PGA factor is	131.490 cents per therm
5	based on the average of the winter and sur	nmer seasons. City Gas,
6	however, has chosen to establish a maxir	num levelized purchased
7	gas factor based on the Company's expec	ted winter cost of gas as
8	follows:	
9	Winter Average	
10	Total Cost (Line 11)	\$33,981,145
11	Total Therm Sales (Line 27)	23,517,322
12	(Line 11/ Line 27)	\$1.44494
13	True-up	(\$0.02102)
14	Before Regulatory Assessment	\$1.42392
15	Revenue Tax Factor	1.00503
16	Purchased Gas Factor	\$1.43108
17	As shown above, the maximum levelize	ed purchased gas factor
18	based on the Company's expected winte	r cost of gas is 142.392
19	cents per therm before the regulatory asse	essment fee and 143.108
20	cents per therm after the regulatory asse	essment fee. This is the
21	maximum gas cost factor that City Gas n	nay charge its customers
22	for the period January 2007 through Decen	nber 2007.
00		

23

Docket No. 060003-GU September 15, 2006

# 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes, it does.

.

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 060003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

.....

Direct Testimony of Cheryl M. Martin On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	Cheryl M. Martin, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm
3		Beach, FL 33401.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company as
6		the Controller.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
8	A.	To advise the Commission of the actual over/under
9		recovery of the Purchased Gas Adjustment for the
10		period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005, as
11		compared to the true-up amount previously reported
12		for that period which was based on eight months
13		actual and four months estimated.

1	Q.	Please state the actual amount of over/under recovery
2		of the Purchased Gas Adjustment for January 1, 2005
3		through December 31, 2005.
4	A.	During January 2005 through December 2005, FPUC
5		under-recovered \$3,715,875.
6	Q.	How does this amount compare with the estimated true-
7		up amount, which was allowed by the Commission during
8		the November 2005 hearing?
9	A.	As of December 31, 2005, FPUC had estimated an under-
10		recovery of \$2,208,051.
11	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits at this time?
12	A.	We prepared and pre-filed composite Exhibit CM - 1,
13		containing Schedule A-7, Final Fuel Over/Under
14		Recovery for the Period January 2005 through December
15		2005.
16	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
17	A.	Yes

.

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 060003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

1

.

### Direct Testimony of Cheryl M. Martin On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

l	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	Cheryl M. Martin, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm
3		Beach, FL 33401.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed?
5	Α.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company.
6	Q.	Have you previously testified in this Docket?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
9	A.	I will summarize our projected gas recoveries
10		computations which are contained in composite
11		exhibit CMM-1 which supports the January 2007 -
12		December 2007 projected purchased gas adjustments
13		for our consolidated gas division. In addition, I
14		will advise the Commission of the projected
15		differences between the revenues collected and the
16		purchased gas costs allowed in developing the
17		levelized purchased gas adjustment for the periods
18		January 2005 - December 2005, and January 2006 -

1		December 2006. From these periods I will establish
2		a "true-up" amount to be collected or refunded
3		during January 2007 - December 2007.
4	Q.	Were the schedules filed by your Company completed
5		under your direction?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	Which of the Staff's set of schedules has your
8		company completed and filed?
9	A.	We have prepared and previously filed True-Up
10		schedules A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-5, and A-6, in
11		addition to filing composite exhibit CMM-1 which
12		contains Schedules E1, E-1/R, E2, E3, E4 and E5 for
13		our consolidated gas division. These schedules
14		support the calculation of the purchase gas
14 15		support the calculation of the purchase gas adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007.
	Q.	
15	Q.	adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007.
15 16	Q. A.	adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007. Have the January 2006 - December 2006 projections
15 16 17		adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007. Have the January 2006 - December 2006 projections been revised?
15 16 17 18		adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007. Have the January 2006 - December 2006 projections been revised? Yes. As discussed in further detail in the
15 16 17 18 19		adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007. Have the January 2006 - December 2006 projections been revised? Yes. As discussed in further detail in the testimony of Marc L. Schneidermann, August 2006
15 16 17 18 19 20		adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007. Have the January 2006 - December 2006 projections been revised? Yes. As discussed in further detail in the testimony of Marc L. Schneidermann, August 2006 through December 2006 purchase gas cost shown on
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007. Have the January 2006 - December 2006 projections been revised? Yes. As discussed in further detail in the testimony of Marc L. Schneidermann, August 2006 through December 2006 purchase gas cost shown on Schedules E-1/R and E-2 were adjusted to more
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21	Α.	adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007. Have the January 2006 - December 2006 projections been revised? Yes. As discussed in further detail in the testimony of Marc L. Schneidermann, August 2006 through December 2006 purchase gas cost shown on Schedules E-1/R and E-2 were adjusted to more accurately reflect anticipated purchased gas costs.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	adjustment factors for January 2007 - December 2007. Have the January 2006 - December 2006 projections been revised? Yes. As discussed in further detail in the testimony of Marc L. Schneidermann, August 2006 through December 2006 purchase gas cost shown on Schedules E-1/R and E-2 were adjusted to more accurately reflect anticipated purchased gas costs. What is the appropriate final purchased gas

ł

Ĩ

l	Α.	The final purchased gas adjustment true-up amount
2		for the period January 2005 - December 2005 is an
3		under-recovery of \$1,480,240.
4	Q.	What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment true-
5		up amount for the period January 2006 through
6		December 2006?
7	A.	We have estimated that we will have an over-recovery
8		of \$3,894,424 for the period January 2006 - December
9	۰.	2006.
10	Q.	What is the total purchased gas adjustment true-up
11		amount to be collected during the period January
12		2007 through December 2007?
13	A.	The total net over-recovery to be collected during
14		the period January 2007 - December 2007 is
15		\$2,414,184.
16	Q.	What is the appropriate levelized purchased gas
17		adjustment recovery (cap) factor for the period
18		January 2007 through December 2007?
19	A.	The 2007 Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor will be
20		a maximum of 151.800¢ per therm.
21	Q.	What should be the effective date of the new
22		purchased gas adjustment charge for billing
23		purposes?
24	A.	The factor should be effective for all meter
25		readings on or after January 1, 2007, beginning with

- 1 the first applicable billing cycle for the period
- 2 January 2007 December 2007.
- 3 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 4 A. Yes.

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 060003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

### Direct Testimony of Marc L. Schneidermann on Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	Marc L. Schneidermann, 401 South Dixie Highway, West
3		Palm Beach, FL 33401.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company
6		(FPU) as the Director, Corporate Services.
7	Q.	How long have you been employed by FPU?
8	A.	Since February 1989.
9	Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission?
10	Α.	Yes, I testified in each of the Company's Purchased
11		Gas Cost Recovery Dockets dating back to Docket Number
12		910003-GU, as well as Docket Numbers 940620-GU,
13		900151-GU, and 040216-GU the Company's last two (3)
14		filings for rate relief for its gas operations.
15	Q.	What are the subject matters of your testimony in this
16		proceeding?
17	A.	My testimony will relate to three (3) specific
18		matters: forecasts of gas sales, forecasts of the

pipeline charges and the forecast of commodity costs
of natural gas to be purchased by the Company.
Q. What is the projection period for this filing?
A. The projection period is January 2007 through December
2007.

Q. Please generally describe how the forecasts of gas
sales were developed for the projection period.

Gas sales projections for next year were based on 8 Α. historical factors developed by the Company's 9 Marketing Department. These projections were compiled 10 and sorted to determine the total projected sales to 11 the traditional non-transportation firm and the 12 interruptible classes of customers for the twelve-13 month period of Company's Purchased Gas Cost Recovery 14 Factor filing. 15

Q. Please describe how the forecasts of pipeline charges
 and commodity costs of gas were developed for the
 projection period.

19 Α. The purchases for the gas cost projection model were 20 based on using Marketing's projection of sales to bundled and unbundled customers. 21 Florida Gas Transmission Company's (FGT) FTS-1, FTS-2, NNTS-1 and 22 ITS-1 effective charges (including surcharges) and 23 fuel rates, at the time the projections were made, 24 were used for the entire projection period. 25 The

expected cost of natural gas purchased by FPU and 1 delivered to FGT, for transportation to the Company 2 and for FGT's fuel use factor, during the projection 3 period was developed using the monthly maximum winter 4 and summer New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) 5 gas futures settlement prices for the natural 6 historical period of June 1992 through present, which 7 we then inflated due to the pricing volatility. The 8 forecasts of the commodity cost of gas also takes into 9 account the average basis differential between the 10 NYMEX projections and historic cash markets as well as 11 premiums and discounts, by zone, for term gas 12 supplies. 13

í

Q. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted average costs of gas were developed for the projection period.

FPU's sales to traditional non-transportation firm and Α. 17 interruptible customers were allocated all of the 18 monthly pipeline demand costs, less the cost of 19 capacity temporarily relinquished to pool managers for 20 the accounts of unbundled customers, and were 21 allocated all of the relevant projected pipeline and 22 supplier commodity costs. The sum of these costs were 23 divided by the projected sales level to said customers 24 resulting in the projected weighted average cost of 25

gas for traditional non-transportation firm customers 1 interruptible customers and ultimately the 2 and Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor (PGCRF) shown on 3 Schedule E-1. Capacity shortfalls, if any, would be 4 satisfied with the most economic dispatch combination 5 of acquired capacity relinquished by another FGT 6 shipper and/or gas and capacity repackaged and 7 delivered by another FGT capacity holder. Obviously, 8 9 if other services become available and it is more economic to dispatch supplies under those services, 10 the Company will utilize those services as part of its 11 portfolio. 12 Did FPU review and revise the gas cost projections for 13 Q. the remainder of the current year? 14

A. Yes. The projections for August through December of
 the current year were reviewed and revised to reflect
 the current market trend.

Q. Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?
 A. Yes.

1 Q. Please state your name and business address.

2 A. My name is W. Edward Elliott. My business address

3 is 702 N. Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602.

4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

- 5 A. I am Manager of Gas Accounting for Peoples Gas
  6 System ("Peoples").
- 7 Q. Please summarize your educational background and
  8 professional qualifications.
- 9 A. I graduated from the University of South Florida in
  10 1972 with the degree of Bachelor of Arts in
  11 Accounting. I have over 18 years of experience in
  12 the utility field.
- Q. What are your primary responsibilities in yourpresent position with Peoples?
- 15 A. As Manager of Gas Accounting, I am responsible for16 recording the Company's cost of gas.
- 17 Q. Have you previously prepared testimony in18 regulatory proceedings?
- 19 A. I have filed prepared direct testimony in previous20 Purchased Gas Adjustment proceedings.

Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certainschedules for use in this proceeding?

A. Yes. As Composite Exhibit EE-1, I sponsored the
preparation of the following schedule with respect
to the final true-up for the period January

1 2005 through December 2005: A-7 -- Final fuel over/(under) recovery. 2 3 What was Peoples' cost of gas to be recovered Q. 4 through the PGA clause for the period January 2005 5 through December 2005? As shown on Schedule A-7 in EE-1, the cost of gas 6 Α. 7 purchased, adjusted for company use, 8 was \$337,464,336. What was the amount of gas revenue collected for 9 Ο. 10 the period January 2005 through December 2005? A. The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the 11 12 cost of gas was \$342,535,037. B. What was the final true-up amount for the period 13 14 January 2005 through December 2005? 15 Α. The final true-up amount for the period, including 16 interest and adjustments, is an overrecovery of 17 \$4,922,467. Is this amount net of the estimated true-up for the 18 Ο. 19 period January 2005 through December 2005, which was included in the January 2006 through December 20 2006 PGA factor calculation? 21 22 Α. The final true-up net of the estimated true-up No. or the period January 2005 through December 2005 is 23 an underrecovery of \$10,786,747. 24 Is this the final underrecovery amount to be 25 Q.

-2-

- 1 included in the January 2007 through December 2007
- 2 projection.
- 3 A. Yes.

,

- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes.

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2		
3	A.	My name is W. Edward Elliott. My business address is 702
4		N. Franklin Street, P. O. Box 2562, Tampa, Florida 33601-
5		2562.
6		
7	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
8		
9	A.	I am Manager of Gas Accounting for Peoples Gas System
10		("Peoples" or "Company"), a division of Tampa Electric
11		Company.
12		
13	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and
14		professional qualifications.
15		
16	A.	I graduated from the University of South Florida in 1972
17		with the degree of Bachelor of Arts in Accounting. I
18		have over 18 years of experience in the utility field.
19		
20	Q.	What are your primary responsibilities in your present
21		position with Peoples?
22		
23	A.	As Manager of Gas Accounting, I am responsible for
24		recording the Company's costs for natural gas and
25		upstream pipeline capacity and preparing filings

:		
1		associated with the recovery of these costs through the
2		Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA").
3		
4	Q.	Have you previously testified in regulatory proceedings
5		before this Commission?
6		
7	A.	Yes. I have submitted testimony on several occasions
8		supporting Peoples' Purchased Gas Adjustment.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?
11		
12	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe generally the
13		components of Peoples' cost of purchased gas and upstream
14		pipeline capacity. In my testimony, I also explain how
15		Peoples' projected weighted average cost of gas ("WACOG")
16		for the January 2007 through December 2007 period was
17		determined and the resulting requested maximum PGA
18		("Cap").
19		
20	Q.	Please summarize your testimony.
21		
22	A.	I will address the following areas:
23		
24		1. How Peoples will obtain its gas supplies during
25		the projected period.
		2

	1	
1		2. Estimates and adjustments used to determine the
2		amount of gas to be purchased from Peoples'
3		various available sources of supply during the
4		projected period.
5		3. Projections and assumptions used to estimate the
6		purchase price to be paid by Peoples for such gas
7		supplies.
8		4. The components and assumptions used to develop
9		Peoples' projected WACOG.
10		
11	Q.	What is the appropriate final purchased gas adjustment
12		true-up amount for the period January 2005 through
13		December 2005?
14		
15	A.	The final PGA true-up amount for the year 2005 is an
16		underrecovery of \$10,786,747.
17		
18	Q.	What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment true-up
19		amount for the period January 2006 through December 2006?
20		
21	A.	The estimated PGA true-up amount for 2006 is an
22		overrecovery of \$15,611,711.
23		
24	Q.	What is the total purchased gas adjustment true-up amount
25		to be collected during the period January 2007 through
	l	3

1		December 2007?
2		
3	A.	The total PGA true-up amount to be refunded in 2007 is an
4		overrecovery of \$4,824,964.
5		
6	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain
7		schedules for use in this proceeding?
8		
9	A.	Yes. Composite Exhibit EE-2 was prepared by me or under
10		my supervision.
11		
12	Q.	Please describe how Peoples will obtain its gas supplies
13		during the projected period of January 2007 through
14		December 2007.
15		
16	A.	All natural gas delivered through Peoples' distribution
17		system is received through three interstate pipelines.
18		Gas is delivered through Florida Gas Transmission Company
19		("FGT"), through Southern Natural Gas Company
20		("Southern") in Peoples' Jacksonville Division, and
21		through Gulfstream Natural Gas System ("Gulfstream") in
22		Peoples' Highlands, Tampa, and Orlando Divisions.
23		Receiving gas supply through multiple interstate
24		pipelines provides valuable flexibility and reliability
25		to serve customers.
1		4

In general, how does Peoples determine its sources of Q. 1 supply? 2 3 Peoples evaluates, selects and utilizes sources of natural Α. 4 supply on the basis of its "best value" qas qas 5 acquisition strategy. For a source of supply to be 6 "best value," it must offer the best identified as a 7 price, reliability of combination of supply, and 8 flexibility, consistent with Peoples' obligation as a 9 public utility to provide safe, adequate and efficient 10 service to the general public. Through a competitive 11 bidding process, Peoples has a portfolio of supply sources 12 from numerous third-party suppliers that reflect balance 13 between cost, reliability and operational flexibility. 14 15 Could Peoples purchase all third party supplies in 16 Q. advance for a long term at the lowest available fixed 17 price in order to provide increased stability to its 18 cost of gas? 19 20 Peoples' quantity requirements for system supply gas Α. No. 21 vary significantly from year to year, season to season, 22 month to month and, in particular, from day to day. The 23 demand for gas on the Peoples system can often vary 24 dramatically within a month from the lowest to the highest 25

requirement of its customers. In addition, Peoples' 1 transportation throughput will continue to increase during 2 the projected period as approximately 125 customers per 3 month are continuing to transfer from sales service to 4 transportation service under the NaturalChoice program. 5 The actual takes of gas out of the Peoples system by those 6 same transporting customers varies significantly from day 7 portions of the total Since significant to day. 8 transportation volumes are received by Peoples at а 9 uniform daily rate, Peoples is forced to increase or 10 decrease the volumes purchased for its own system supply 11 by significant increments in order to maintain a balance 12 between receipts and deliveries of gas each day. As a 13 consequence, Peoples must buy a portion of its total 1.4 system requirements under swing contract arrangements, and 15 meet extreme variations in delivered volumes by relying on 16 swing gas, peaking gas, pipeline balancing charge volumes 17 and pipeline no notice service at the prevailing rates for 18 such services. 19

20

amount of qas to be Peoples estimate the How did Q. 21 during the projected purchased from various sources 22 period of January 2007 through December 2007? 23 24

25 A. Peoples' projected gas purchases are based on the

1		Company's preliminary total throughput of therms
2		delivered to customers projected for 2007, including both
3		sales of Peoples' system supply and transportation
4		deliveries of third party gas purchased by end-users of
5		Peoples. The throughput was then adjusted for the
6		anticipated level of transportation service, including
7		the anticipated conversions under the Company's
8		NaturalChoice program.
9		
10	Q.	How are revenues derived from Peoples' Swing Service
11		Charge accounted for through the PGA?
12		
13	A.	Customers who participate in the NaturalChoice program
14		pay a Swing Service Charge. The Swing Service Charge
15		covers costs included in the PGA for balancing the
16		difference between marketer-supplied gas and the
17		customers' actual consumption. The revenues from the
18		Swing Service Charge are credited to the PGA to offset
19		this expense.
20		
21	Q.	Is Peoples seeking a change in the Swing Service Charge?
22		
23	A.	Not at this time. However, to the extent a portion of
24		the swing costs are assigned to the PGA, Peoples may seek
25		to readdress its Swing Service Charge expenses and the
	ł	7

allocation thereof in a subsequent docket before the 1 Commission. This is because on November 1, 2006, Peoples 2 will begin its seventh year of making transportation 3 service available to all non-residential customers 4 pursuant to Commission Order No. PSC-00-1814-TRF-GU, 5 issued October 4, 2000. Over the past six years, both 6 the number of transportation customers and the volumes of 7 natural gas tendered to Peoples for transportation have 8 increased dramatically. This continued migration has 9 increased the amount of natural gas the Company purchases 10 а swing basis to cover all customers' seasonal, 11 on weekly, and daily peaks. In addition to the increase in 12 the quantity of natural gas supply purchased for Swing 13 14 Service, the cost of natural gas supply has increased significantly inception since the of Peoples' 15 NaturalChoice Program. Therefore, the increased expenses 16 17 associated with the provision of Swing Service may need to be recalculated and allocated based on current costs 18 and transportation service levels. 19 20

21Q.How did you estimate the purchase price to be paid by22Peoples for each of its available sources of gas supply?

A. The price to be paid for natural gas is estimated based
 on an evaluation of published prices for the last several

23

1		
1		years for spot gas delivered to the FGT and Southern
2		systems, futures contracts as reported on the New York
3		Mercantile Exchange and industry forecasts of market
4	*	prices for the projection period of January 2007 through
5		December 2007. These prices are then adjusted to reflect
6		the potential for implied volatility and unexpected
7		increases particularly in the monthly and daily markets
8		for natural gas prices in the projection period.
9		
10	Q.	Referring to Schedules E-3 (A) through (G) of Composite
11		Exhibit EE-2, please explain the components of these
12		schedules and the assumptions that were made in
13		developing the Company's projections.
14		
15	A.	Schedule E-3 (G) is a compilation of the monthly data
16		that appears on Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the
17		corresponding months of January 2007 through December
18		2007. In Schedules E-3 (A) through (F), Column (A)
19		indicates the applicable month for all data on the page.
20		In Column (B), "FGT" indicates that the volumes
21		are to be purchased from third party suppliers for
22		delivery via FGT interstate pipeline transportation.
23		"SOUTHERN" indicates that the volumes are to be
24		purchased from a third party supplier for delivery via
25		Southern interstate pipeline transportation.
	1	9

"GULFSTREAM" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a third party supplier for delivery via Gulfstream interstate pipeline transportation. "THIRD PARTY" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased directly from various third party suppliers for delivery into FGT, Southern, or Gulfstream.

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

In Column (C), "PGS" means the purchase will be for 7 Peoples' system supply and will become part of Peoples' 8 total WACOG. None of the costs of gas or transportation 9 for end-use purchases made by end-use customers of 10 Peoples are included in Peoples' WACOG. In Column (D), 11 purchases of pipeline transportation services from FGT 12 under Rate Schedules FTS-1 and FTS-2 are split into two 13 components, commodity (or "usage") and demand 14 (or "reservation"). Both Peoples and end-users pay the usage 15 charge based on the actual amount of gas transported. 16 The FTS-1 and FTS-2 commodity costs shown include all 17 related transportation charges including usage, fuel and 18 ACA charges. The FTS-1 and FTS-2 demand component is a 19 fixed charge based on the maximum daily quantity of FTS-1 20 FTS-2 firm transportation capacity 21 and reserved. Similarly, the transportation rates of Southern and 22 Gulfstream also consist of two components, a usage charge 23 Individual Transportation and a reservation charge. 24 25 Service customers reimburse Peoples or directly pay FGT

for all pipeline reservation charges associated with the transportation capacity that Peoples reserves and uses on their behalf.

1

2

3

(D), NOTICE TRANSPORTATION Also in Column "NO 4 SERVICE" (or "NNTS") means FGT's no notice service 5 provided to Peoples on a fixed charge basis for use when 6 Peoples' actual use exceeds scheduled quantities. "SWING 7 SERVICE" means the demand and commodity component of the 8 cost of third party supplies purchased to meet Peoples g "swing" requirements for supply that fluctuate on a day-10 to-day basis. "COMMODITY" means third party purchases of 11 gas transported on FGT, Southern or Gulfstream and does 12 not include any purchases of sales volumes from FGT. 13

Column (E) shows the monthly quantity in therms of gas purchased by Peoples for each category of system supply.

Column (F) shows the gas purchased by end-users for transportation.

19 Column (G) is the total of Columns (E) and (F) in 20 each row.

Columns (H), (I), (J) and (K) show the corresponding third party supplier commodity costs, pipeline transportation commodity costs, pipeline transportation reservation costs, and other charges (<u>e.g.</u>, balancing charges), respectively. These costs are determined using

the actual amounts paid by Peoples. In the case of end-1 transportation, these costs 2 reimbursed user are to 3 Peoples or paid directly to FGT. All ACA and fuel charges are included in the commodity costs in Column (I) 4 and, therefore, are not shown in Column (K). 5 Column (L) in each row is the sum of Columns (H), 6 7 (I), (J) and (K) divided by Column (G). 8 9 Q. Please explain the components of these schedules and the assumptions that were made in developing the Company's 10 projections. 11 12 Schedule E-1 is shown in three versions. Page 1 relates 13 Α. to Cost of Gas Purchased, Therms Purchased, and Cents Per 14 Therm for Combined Rate Classes, Page 2 relates to 15 Residential Customers, and Page 3 relates to Commercial 16 Customers. 17 The costs associated with various categories 18 or items are shown on lines 1 through 14. Line 6 on Schedule 19 20 E-1 includes legal expenses associated with various 21 interstate pipeline dockets such as seasonal fuel filings and certification proceedings. These expenses have been 22 recovery through 23 included for the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause because they are non-recurring 24 fuel The volumes consumed for related expenses. similar 25

1 categories or items are shown on lines 15 through 27, and the resulting effective cost per therm rate for each 2 similar category or item is contained on lines 28 through 3 45. 4 The data shown on Schedule E-1 are taken directly 5 from Schedules E-3 (A) through (F) for the months of 6 January 2007 through December 2007. 7 8 What information is presented on Schedule E-1/R of Q. 9 10 Composite Exhibit EE-2? 11 Α. Schedule E-1/R of Composite Exhibit EE-2 shows eight 12 months actual and four months estimated data for the 13 current period from January 2006 through December 2006 14 for all combined customer classes. 15 16 information is presented on What Schedule E-2 17 Ο. of Composite Exhibit EE-2? 18 19 Schedule E-2 of Composite Exhibit EE-2 shows the amount 20 Α. of the prior period over/under recoveries of gas costs 21 that are included in the current PGA calculation. 22 23 What is the purpose of Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit 24 Ο. EE-2? 25

I		
1		
2	A.	Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit EE-2 simply shows the
3		calculation of the estimated true-up amount for the
4		January 2006 through December 2006 period. It is based
5		on actual data for eight months and projected data for
6		four months.
7		
8	Q.	What information is contained on Schedule E-5 of
9		Composite Exhibit EE-2?
10		
11	A.	Schedule E-5 of Composite Exhibit EE-2 is statistical
12		data that includes the projected therm sales and
13		numbers of customers by customer class for the period
14		from January 2007 through December 2007.
15		
16	Q.	How have you incorporated the Residential PGA factor and
17		the Commercial PGA factor in the derivation of the PGA
18		cap for which the company seeks approval?
19		
20	A.	On April 5, 1998, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-99-
21		0634-FOF-GU as a Proposed Agency Action in Docket No.
22		981698-GU. This Order approved Peoples Gas' request for
23		approval of a methodology for charging separate Purchased
24		Gas Adjustment factors for different customer classes.
25		Under the approved methodology, separate PGA factors are
		14

1		derived by assigning the fixed, interstate pipeline
2		reservation costs to each customer class according to the
3		class' contribution to the company's peak month demand
4		for the winter season and the summer season. Exhibit EE-
5		2, Page 23 of 23, labeled Attachment, contains the
6		seasonal peak allocation factors based on the most recent
7		peak month data available, January 2006 and April 2006.
8		These allocation factors are then applied to Schedule E-1
9		to derive the Residential, Commercial and Combined for
10		All Rate Classes. The WACOG for which Peoples seeks
11		approval as the annual cap is the Residential factor of
12		\$1.34867 per therm as shown in Schedule E-1. This annual
13		cap will be applicable to all rate classes.
14		
15	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
16		
17	A.	Yes, it does.
18		
19		

.

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ſ

1

2			
3	In Re	e: Purchased Gas Recovery ) ) )	Docket No. 060003-GU Submitted for filing May 2, 2006
4		/	May 2, 2000
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STUART L. SHOAF	ON
6		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPAN	•
7			
8	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by wh	lom .
9		you are employed and in what capacity.	
10	A.	Stuart L. Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe,	
11		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in	the
12		capacity of President and Regulatory Affairs.	
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
14	A.	My purpose is to discuss the final true-up for	
15		the period January 2005 through December 2005.	
16		<u>Exhibits</u>	
17	Q.	Would you please identify the Composite Exhibit	which
18		you are sponsoring with this Testimony?	
19	A.	Yes. As Composite Exhibit SLS-1, I am sponsori	ng the
20		following schedule with respect to the final	
21		true-up for period January 2005 through Decemb	er 2005.
22		Schedule A-7 - Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery	
23	Q.	Was this schedule prepared under your directio	n
24		and supervision?	
25	Α.	Yes, it was.	

-1-

.

1		Final True-Up January 2005 - December 2005
2	Q.	What were the total therm sales for the period January
3		2005 through December 2005?
4	A.	Total therm sales were 1,250,882 therms.
5	Q.	What were total therm purchases for the period January
6		2005 through December 2005?
7	A.	Total therm purchases were 1,017,360.
8	Q.	What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the
9		PGA for the period January 2005 through December 2005?
10	A.	The cost of gas purchased for January 2005 through
11		December 2005 was \$900,000.40.
12	Q.	What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the
13		period January 2005 through December 2005?
14	Α.	The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost
15		of gas was \$1,057,351.11.
16	Q.	What is the total true-up provision for the period
17		January 2005 through December 2005?
18	Α.	The total true-up provision, including interest, is an
19		over-recovery of \$166,016.82 for the period.
20	Q.	What is the amount of estimated true-up included for
21		January 2005 thru December 2005 in the January 2006
22		through December 2006 PGA factor calculation?
23	Α.	The amount of estimated true-up for the period January
24		thru December 2005 included in the January 2006 through
25		December 2006 PGA factor calculation was an over-

`

\$

-2-.

.

1		recovery of \$159,872.00.
2	Q.	What is the final over/under-recovery for the January
3		through December 2005 period to be included in the
4		January 2007 through December 2007 projection?
5	A.	The final over-recovery for the current period to be
6		included in the January 2007 through December 2007
7		projection is \$6,144.82.
8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9	A.	Yes
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

•

.

1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 In Re: Purchased Gas Recovery ) 3 Docket No. 060003-GU Submitted for filing ) 4 September 21, 2006 ) 5 6 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STUART L. SHOAF ON 7 BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 8 9 Q. Please state your name, business address, by whom you are employed and in what capacity. 10 Stuart L. Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe, 11 Α. 12 Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in the 13 capacity of President and Regulatory Affairs. What is the purpose of your testimony? 14 Q. My purpose is to submit known and estimated gas 15 Α. 16 costs and therm sales from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, used in developing the maximum twelve 17 month levelized purchased gas cost factor to be applied 18 19 to customer bills from January 1, 2007 through 20 December 31, 2007. 21 Q. Have you prepared any exhibits in conjunction with 22 your testimony? Yes, I have prepared and filed on Sept. 21, 2006 23 Α. 24 Schedules E-1 through E-5. What Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor does 25 Q.

006052

-1-

1		St. Joe Natural Gas seek approval through its
2		petition for the period January 1, 2007 through
3		December 31, 2007?
4	A.	103.90 cents per therm
5	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
6	A.	Yes
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

.

1 MS. FLEMING: As staff stated earlier, there are 2 proposed stipulations on all issues. At this time, staff would 3 recommend that the proposed stipulations on Issues 1 through 5 listed on Pages 5 and 6 of the prehearing order be approved 4 5 by the Commission, noting that OPC has taken no position on all issues. 6 7 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioners, are there any questions of our staff at this time? As Ms. Fleming has said, 8 9 and as you are all aware, the Stipulations 1 through 5 as contained in the prehearing order are before us now. 10 11 Are there questions or discussion? 12 Commissioner Deason. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Madam Chairman, if there are no questions, I can move all stipulated issues. 14 15 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioners, we have a motion to 17 move Issues 1 through 5. We have a second. Further discussion on the motion? Seeing none, all in favor say aye. 18 (Unanimous affirmative vote.) 19 20 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: All opposed? Show the motion adopted. 21 Ms. Fleming, any other matters to be addressed in the 22 03 docket? 23 MS. FLEMING: Chairman, I'm not aware of any matters. 24 25 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Any from the parties? Seeing none, FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	55
1	and, Ms. Fleming, when will the final order be issued?
2	MS. FLEMING: The final order will be issued by
3	December 1st.
4	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Then I believe we have
5	concluded our business on this docket, is that correct?
6	MS. FLEMING: That is correct, Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you very much.
8	* * * * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	56
1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative
6	Services, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically
8	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
9	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee,
11	attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel
12	connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 14th day of November, 2006.
14	$\bigcap_{i}$ $\sum_{i}$ $A$
15	medand
16	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
17	FPSC Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
18	(850) 413-6732
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION