

nk

Kimberley Pena

060617

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 8:55 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Nonnye Grant; Kimberley Pena
Subject: RE: docket title - another question

Thanks, Jeff.

Nonnye, I've added these to the utilities area for the docket (060617) so you can include in report of new dockets.

Kim, pls note for parties list.

Kay

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 8:53 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Sally Simmons
Subject: RE: docket title - another question

Kay, the parties to this should be TL710 and TX045. Also, I should have language and related information for the docket title a little later.

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2006 9:33 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Nonnye Grant
Subject: RE: docket title - another question

Okay. Thanks.

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2006 9:33 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: docket title - another question

I'm not completely sure - this is getting more and more confusing. I will discuss this with Sally and get back to you.

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2006 9:28 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Subject: FW: docket title - another question

Jeff, on this new docket (060617), what Florida regulated ILECs and CLECs would be included in the utilities for the docket?

Kay

*Done
09/18/06
KMP*

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:26 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Dorothy Menasco
Subject: RE: docket title

Certainly.

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:24 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: docket title

I think this is more of an amendment to existing agreements. I will verify this with the company and will get back to you. For the time being, what you've got will suffice and I can change/correct it after I've talked to the company. Is that okay?

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:10 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Dorothy Menasco
Subject: docket title

Jeff, I shld have asked you yesterday to give me a docket title for this Verizon filing! How is this:

Notice of adoption of interconnection agreement, with amendments, between AT&T Communications of Virginia Inc. and Verizon Virginia Inc., by Sprint Communications Company of Virginia, Inc., with the unitary rate and DSO loop/resale discount amendments being applicable to interconnection agreements by and between each Verizon ILEC affiliate and each AT&T wireline CLEC carrier affiliate.

Kay

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and to the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

COMMISSIONERS:
LISA POLAK EDGAR, CHAIRMAN
J. TERRY DEASON
ISILIO ARRIAGA
MATTHEW M. CARTER II
KATRINA J. TEW

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF THE COMMISSION CLERK &
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
BLANCA S. BAYÓ
DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6770 (CLERK)
(850) 413-6330 (ADMIN)

Public Service Commission

September 14, 2006

Dulaney L. O'Roark III, Vice President-General Counsel, Southeast Region
Verizon Florida Inc.
Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 600
Atlanta, Georgia 30328

Re: Docket No. 060617-TP

Dear Mr. O'Roark:

This will acknowledge receipt of a notice of adoption of interconnection agreement, with amendments, between AT&T Communications of Virginia Inc. and Verizon Virginia Inc., by Sprint Communications Company of Virginia, Inc., with the unitary rate and DSO loop/resale discount amendments being applicable to interconnection agreements by and between each Verizon ILEC affiliate and each AT&T wireline CLEC carrier affiliate, which was filed in this office on September 13, 2006, and assigned the above-referenced docket number. Appropriate staff members will be advised.

Mediation may be available to resolve any dispute in this docket. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to an administrative hearing. For more information, contact the Office of General Counsel at (850) 413-6248 or FAX (850) 413-7180.

Bureau of Records

I:\Records\acklet-no-app2.doc

Kay Flynn

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:26 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Dorothy Menasco
Subject: RE: docket title
Attachments: Blank Bkgrd.gif

Certainly.

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:24 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: docket title

I think this is more of an amendment to existing agreements. I will verify this with the company and will get back to you. For the time being, what you've got will suffice and I can change/correct it after I've talked to the company. Is that okay?

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:10 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Dorothy Menasco
Subject: docket title

Jeff, I shld have asked you yesterday to give me a docket title for this Verizon filing! How is this:

Notice of adoption of interconnection agreement, with amendments, between AT&T Communications of Virginia Inc. and Verizon Virginia Inc., by Sprint Communications Company of Virginia, Inc., with the unitary rate and DSO loop/resale discount amendments being applicable to interconnection agreements by and between each Verizon ILEC affiliate and each AT&T wireline CLEC carrier affiliate.

Kay

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and to the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

Kay Flynn

060617-TIP

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 8:55 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Nonnye Grant; Kimberley Pena
Subject: RE: docket title - another question

Thanks, Jeff.

Nonnye, I've added these to the utilities area for the docket (060617) so you can include in report of new dockets.

Kim, pls note for parties list.

Kay

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2006 8:53 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Cc: Sally Simmons
Subject: RE: docket title - another question

Kay, the parties to this should be TL710 and TX045. Also, I should have language and related information for the docket title a little later.

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2006 9:33 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Nonnye Grant
Subject: RE: docket title - another question

Okay. Thanks.

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2006 9:33 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: docket title - another question

I'm not completely sure - this is getting more and more confusing. I will discuss this with Sally and get back to you.

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Friday, September 15, 2006 9:28 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Subject: FW: docket title - another question

Jeff, on this new docket (060617), what Florida regulated ILECs and CLECs would be included in the utilities for the docket?

Kay

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:26 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Dorothy Menasco
Subject: RE: docket title

Certainly.

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:24 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: docket title

I think this is more of an amendment to existing agreements. I will verify this with the company and will get back to you. For the time being, what you've got will suffice and I can change/correct it after I've talked to the company. Is that okay?

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:10 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Dorothy Menasco
Subject: docket title

Jeff, I shld have asked you yesterday to give me a docket title for this Verizon filing! How is this:

Notice of adoption of interconnection agreement, with amendments, between AT&T Communications of Virginia Inc. and Verizon Virginia Inc., by Sprint Communications Company of Virginia, Inc., with the unitary rate and DSO loop/resale discount amendments being applicable to interconnection agreements by and between each Verizon ILEC affiliate and each AT&T wireline CLEC carrier affiliate.

Kay

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and to the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

Kay Flynn

060617

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:26 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Dorothy Menasco
Subject: RE: docket title

Certainly.

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:24 AM
To: Kay Flynn
Subject: RE: docket title

I think this is more of an amendment to existing agreements. I will verify this with the company and will get back to you. For the time being, what you've got will suffice and I can change/correct it after I've talked to the company. Is that okay?

From: Kay Flynn
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 8:10 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Cc: Sally Simmons; Dorothy Menasco
Subject: docket title

Jeff, I shld have asked you yesterday to give me a docket title for this Verizon filing! How is this:

Notice of adoption of interconnection agreement, with amendments, between AT&T Communications of Virginia Inc. and Verizon Virginia Inc., by Sprint Communications Company of Virginia, Inc., with the unitary rate and DSO loop/resale discount amendments being applicable to interconnection agreements by and between each Verizon ILEC affiliate and each AT&T wireline CLEC carrier affiliate.

Kay

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and to the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.