

**Dorothy Menasco**

**ORIGINAL**

**From:** Tibbetts, Arlene [Arlene.Tibbetts@pgnmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 19, 2007 4:23 PM  
**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** lwillis@ausley.com; miketwomey@talstar.com; jbeasley@ausley.com; x2badder@southernco.com; karen.white@tyndall.af.mil; jmcwhirter@mac-law.com; bill\_walker@fpl.com; wade\_litchfield@fpl.com; john\_butler@fpl.com; sdriteno@southernco.com; nhorton@lawfla.com; mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us; jbrew@bbrslaw.com; regdept@tecoenergy.com; swright@yvlaw.net; jlavia@yvlaw.net; cecilia\_bradley@oag.state.fl.us; Lisa Bennett; Lorena Holley; mwalls@carltonfields.com; Triplett, Dianne  
**Subject:** Docket 060658-EI - Objections filed by PEF  
**Attachments:** Objections to Staff's 4th ROGs.pdf

This electronic filing is made by:

John T. Burnett  
P.O. Box 14042  
St. Petersburg, FL 33733  
727-820-5184  
John.burnett@pgnmail.com

Docket: 060658-EI  
In re: Petition on behalf of Citizens of the State of Florida to require Progress Energy Florida, Inc. to refund to customers \$143 million

On behalf of Progress Energy Florida

Consisting of 5 pages.

The attached document for filing is Progress Energy Florida's Objections to Staff's 4th Set of Interrogatories (78-92) (a PDF document)

<<Objections to Staff's 4th ROGs.pdf>>

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

In re: Petition on behalf of Citizens of the  
State of Florida to require Progress Energy  
Florida, Inc. to refund to customers \$143 million

---

Docket No. 060658-EI

Submitted for Filing: March 19, 2007

**PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO STAFF'S FOURTH SET OF  
INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 78-92)**

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.340 of the Fla. R. Civ. P., Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Office of General Counsel's ("Staff's") Fourth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 78-92).

**GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

PEF generally objects to Staff's interrogatories to the extent that they call for data or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law.

PEF objects to Staff interrogatories to the extent that they attempt to evade the numerical limitations set forth in the Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-07-0048-PCO-EI by using subparts.

PEF also objects to any Interrogatory or Request for Production that purports to require PEF or its experts to prepare studies, analyses, or to do work for Staff that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost.

Finally, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to Staff's Interrogatories if PEF cannot locate the answers immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive information in the course of this proceeding.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02446 MAR 19 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to Staff's discovery at the time PEF's response is due.

### **SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS**

**Interrogatory 78(c)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent it asks PEF to do work or perform analyses for staff, presumably at PEF's expense, where such work or analyses have not been previously done for PEF. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer this question with information and facts in PEF's possession.

**Interrogatory 79(a)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as it calls for information that is irrelevant, immaterial, is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and is beyond the scope of issues involved in this proceeding. PEF also objects to this interrogatory to the extent it asks PEF to do work or perform analyses for staff, presumably at PEF's expense, where such work or analyses have not been previously done for PEF. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer this question with information and facts in PEF's possession.

**Interrogatory 79(b)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as it calls for information that is irrelevant, immaterial, is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and is beyond the scope of issues involved in this proceeding. In addition, the interrogatory is vague because "impact" is not defined. PEF also objects to this interrogatory to the extent it asks PEF to do work or perform analyses for staff, presumably at PEF's expense, where such work or analyses have not been previously done for PEF. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer this question with information and facts in PEF's possession.

**Interrogatory 83(c)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and overbroad given that "derate" is not defined and could encompass any derates of any magnitude for any reason. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will respond as to any fuel caused derates of which PEF is aware.

**Interrogatory 83(d)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and overbroad given that “de-rate” is not defined and could encompass any derates of any magnitude for any reason. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF’s general objections, PEF will respond as to any fuel caused derates of which PEF is aware.

**Interrogatory 83(e)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and overbroad given that “de-rate” is not defined and could encompass any derates of any magnitude for any reason. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF’s general objections, PEF will respond as to any fuel caused derates of which PEF is aware.

**Interrogatory 83(f)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and overbroad given that “de-rate” is not defined and could encompass any derates of any magnitude for any reason. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF’s general objections, PEF will respond as to any fuel caused derates of which PEF is aware.

**Interrogatory 84(a)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague given that “de-rate” is not defined, but PEF assumes Staff means “derates” addressed in Mr. Crisp’s testimony.

**Interrogatory 86(e)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks to illicit information regarding PFC’s efforts for entities other than PEF.

**Interrogatory 87(a)**: PEF objects to this interrogatory because it calls for speculation and would cause PEF to guess what may have influenced third party PRB producers. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF’s general objections, PEF will respond as to any information PEF may have on this topic.

Respectfully submitted,

*John Burnett at*

R. Alexander Glenn  
Deputy General Counsel – Florida  
John T. Burnett  
Associate General Counsel  
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE  
COMPANY, LLC  
Post Office Box 14042  
St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042  
Telephone: (727) 820-5587  
Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

*N/A*

Gary L. Sasso  
Florida Bar No. 0622575  
James Michael Walls  
Florida Bar No. 0706242  
Dianne M. Triplett  
Florida Bar No. 0872431  
CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.  
4421 W. Boy Scout Blvd.  
Ste. 1000 (33607)  
Post Office Box 3239  
Tampa, FL 33601-3239  
Telephone: (813) 223-7000  
Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s  
Objections to Staff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories (78-92), in Docket No. 060658-EI have been  
furnished by regular U.S. mail to the following this 19 day of March, 2007.

*John Burnett at*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney

Lisa Bennett, Esq.  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Michael B. Twomey  
P.O. Box 5256  
Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Lee L. Willis, Esq.  
James D. Beasley, Esq.  
Ausley & McMullen  
P.O. Box 391  
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Karen S. White, Lt. Col., USAF  
Damund E. Williams, Capt., USAF  
AFLSA/JACL-ULT  
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1  
Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319

Jeffrey A. Stone  
Russell A. Badders  
Steven R. Griffin  
Beggs & Lane  
P.O. Box 12950  
Pensacola, FL 32591

Ms. Paula K. Brown  
Regulatory Affairs  
Tampa Electric Company  
P.O. Box 111  
Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Norman Horton, Jr.  
Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A.  
P.O. Box 15579  
Tallahassee, FL 32317

Florida Industrial Power Users Group  
c/o John W. McWhirter, Jr.  
McWhirter Reeves  
400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450  
Tampa, FL 33602

Young van Assenderp, P.A.  
Robert Scheffel Wright/John LaVia, III  
225 South Adams St., Suite 200  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Florida Power & Light Co.  
Bill Walker  
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 810  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Susan D. Ritenour  
Gulf Power Company  
One Energy Place  
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

James W. Brew  
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.  
1025 Thomas Jefferson St. NW  
8<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower  
Washington, DC 20007-5201

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq.  
Office of Public Counsel  
111 W. Madison St., Room 812  
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Ms. Cheryl Martin  
Florida Public Utilities Company  
P.O. Box 3395  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Florida Power & Light Co.  
R. Wade Litchfield, Esq.  
John T. Butler  
Natalie Smith  
700 Universe Blvd.  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Florida Retail Federation  
100 E. Jefferson St.  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Cecilia Bradley  
Office of the Attorney General  
The Capitol – PL01  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050