BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition on behalf of Citizens of the State of Florida to require Progress Energy Florida, Inc. to refund to customers \$143 million

Docket No. 060658-EI

Submitted for Filing:

March /9, 2007

PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO STAFF'S FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 78-92)

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.340 of the Fla. R. Civ. P., Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to the Office of General Counsel's ("Staff's") Fourth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 78-92).

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

PEF generally objects to Staff's interrogatories to the extent that they call for data or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law.

PEF objects to Staff interrogatories to the extent that they attempt to evade the numerical limitations set forth in the Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-07-0048-PCO-EI by using subparts.

PEF also objects to any Interrogatory or Request for Production that purports to require PEF or its experts to prepare studies, analyses, or to do work for Staff that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost.

Finally, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to Staff's Interrogatories if PEF cannot locate the answers immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive information in the course of this proceeding.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to Staff's discovery at the time PEF's response is due.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

Interrogatory 78(c): PEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent it asks PEF to do work or perform analyses for staff, presumably at PEF's expense, where such work or analyses have not been previously done for PEF. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer this question with information and facts in PEF's possession.

Interrogatory 79(a): PEF objects to this interrogatory as it calls for information that is irrelevant, immaterial, is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and is beyond the scope of issues involved in this proceeding. PEF also objects to this interrogatory to the extent it asks PEF to do work or perform analyses for staff, presumably at PEF's expense, where such work or analyses have not been previously done for PEF. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer this question with information and facts in PEF's possession.

Interrogatory 79(b): PEF objects to this interrogatory as it calls for information that is irrelevant, immaterial, is not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and is beyond the scope of issues involved in this proceeding. In addition, the interrogatory is vague because "impact" is not defined. PEF also objects to this interrogatory to the extent it asks PEF to do work or perform analyses for staff, presumably at PEF's expense, where such work or analyses have not been previously done for PEF. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will answer this question with information and facts in PEF's possession.

Interrogatory 83(c): PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and overbroad given that "derate" is not defined and could encompass any derates of any magnitude for any reason. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will respond as to any fuel caused derates of which PEF is aware.

Interrogatory 83(d): PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and overbroad given that "derate" is not defined and could encompass any derates of any magnitude for any reason. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will respond as to any fuel caused derates of which PEF is aware.

Interrogatory 83(e): PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and overbroad given that "derate" is not defined and could encompass any derates of any magnitude for any reason. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will respond as to any fuel caused derates of which PEF is aware.

Interrogatory 83(f): PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague and overbroad given that "derate" is not defined and could encompass any derates of any magnitude for any reason. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will respond as to any fuel caused derates of which PEF is aware.

<u>Interrogatory 84(a)</u>: PEF objects to this interrogatory as vague given that "de-rate" is not defined, but PEF assumes Staff means "derates" addressed in Mr. Crisp's testimony.

<u>Interrogatory 86(e)</u>: PEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks to illicit information regarding PFC's efforts for entities other than PEF.

<u>Interrogatory 87(a)</u>: PEF objects to this interrogatory because it calls for speculation and would cause PEF to guess what may have influenced third party PRB producers. Subject to and without waiving this objection or any of PEF's general objections, PEF will respond as to any information PEF may have on this topic.

Respectfully submitted,

John Burnett at

R. Alexander Glenn

Deputy General Counsel – Florida

John T. Burnett

Associate General Counsel

PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE

COMPANY, LLC

Post Office Box 14042

St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Telephone:

(727) 820-5587

Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

N/A

Gary L. Sasso

Florida Bar No. 0622575

James Michael Walls

Florida Bar No. 0706242

Dianne M. Triplett

Florida Bar No. 0872431

CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.

4421 W. Boy Scout Blvd.

Ste. 1000 (33607)

Post Office Box 3239

Tampa, FL 33601-3239

Telephone:

(813) 223-7000

Facsimile:

(813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Objections to Staff's Fourth Set of Interrogatories (78-92), in Docket No. 060658-EI have been furnished by regular U.S. mail to the following this __/9 day of March, 2007.

Attorney

Lisa Bennett, Esq.

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Lee L. Willis, Esq.

James D. Beasley, Esq.

Ausley & McMullen

P.O. Box 391

Tallahassee, FL 32302

Jeffrey A. Stone

Russell A. Badders

Steven R. Griffin

Beggs & Lane

P.O. Box 12950

Pensacola, FL 32591

Michael B. Twomey

P.O. Box 5256

Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Karen S. White, Lt. Col., USAF

Damund E. Williams, Capt., USAF

AFLSA/JACL-ULT

139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1

Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319

Ms. Paula K. Brown

Regulatory Affairs

Tampa Electric Company

P.O. Box 111

Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Norman Horton, Jr. Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A. P.O. Box 15579 Tallahassee, FL 32317

Florida Industrial Power Users Group c/o John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter Reeves 400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450 Tampa, FL 33602

Young van Assenderp, P.A. Robert Scheffel Wright/John LaVia, III 225 South Adams St., Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Florida Power & Light Co. Bill Walker 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Susan D. Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

James W. Brew
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson St. NW
8th Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007-5201

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Ms. Cheryl Martin Florida Public Utilities Company P.O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Florida Power & Light Co. R. Wade Litchfield, Esq. John T. Butler Natalie Smith 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Florida Retail Federation 100 E. Jefferson St. Tallahassee, FL 32301

Cecilia Bradley
Office of the Attorney General
The Capitol – PL01
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050