

VOTE SHEET

April 10, 2007

Docket No. 060256-SU – Application for increase in wastewater rates in Seminole County by Alafaya Utilities, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the Commission acknowledge the implementation of the proposed agency action rates by Alafaya Utilities, Inc.

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should acknowledge the utility's implementation of the proposed agency action rates on a temporary basis pending the outcome of this rate proceeding.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of Katrina J. McMurrin and other commissioners under the MAJORITY column.

Blank lines for signatures under the DISSENTING column.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03033 APR 10 07

Vote Sheet

April 10, 2007

Docket No. 060256-SU – Application for increase in wastewater rates in Seminole County by Alafaya Utilities, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: What is the appropriate security to guarantee the increased revenues collected under the temporary proposed agency action rates?

Recommendation: A corporate undertaking is acceptable contingent upon receipt of the written guarantee of the parent company, Utilities, Inc. (UI), and written confirmation of UI's continued attestation that it does not have any outstanding guarantees on behalf of UI-owned utilities in other states. UI should be required to file a corporate undertaking on behalf of its subsidiaries to guarantee any potential refunds of revenues collected under temporary PAA rates. UI's total guarantee should be a cumulative amount of \$1,784,788, which includes an incremental amount of \$717,496, subject to refund in this docket and Docket No. 060261-WS (Pennbrooke Rate Case). Pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), F.A.C., the utility should provide a report by the 20th of each month indicating the monthly and total revenue collected subject to refund. Should a refund be required, the refund should be with interest and undertaken in accordance with Rule 25-30.360, F.A.C.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open to complete the hearing process.

APPROVED