

JOHN T. TYLER
Senior Regulatory Counsel

Legal Department

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
150 South Monroe Street
Room 400
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(404) 335-0757

August 16, 2007

Ms. Ann Cole
Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850


Re: Docket No. 070249-TP (Sprint Arbitration)

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed is AT&T Florida's Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition and Motion for Oral Argument, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "John T. Tyler", written over a horizontal line.

John T. Tyler

cc: All Parties of Record
Jerry Hendrix
E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.
James Meza


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Docket No. 070249-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy was served via Electronic Mail and First Class U. S. Mail this 16th day of August, 2007 to the following:

Florida Public Service Commission
Adam Teitzman, Staff Counsel
Rick Mann, Staff Counsel
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
(850) 413-6212
ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us
rmann@psc.state.fl.us

Sprint Spectrum L.P.
Attn: Legal External Affairs
4900 Main Street, 12th Floor
Kansas City, MO 64112
Tel. No. (816) 559-1912
Fax. No. (816) 559-2591
ffinne01@sprintspectrum.com

Marsha E. Rule
Rutledge Law Firm
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 420 (32301)
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551
Tel. No. (850) 681-6788
Fax. No. (850) 681-6515
marsha@reuphlaw.com



John T. Tyler

Douglas C. Nelson
William R. Atkinson
Sprint Communications/Sprint Nextel
233 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30303-1504
Tel. No. (404) 649-0003
Fax. No. (404) 649-0009
douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com
bill.atkinson@sprint.com

Joseph M. Chiarelli
Sprint Nextel
6450 Sprint Parkway
Overland Park, KS 66251
Tel. No. (913) 315-9223
Fax. No. (913) 523-9623
joe.m.chiarelli@sprint.com

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	
)	DOCKET NO. 070249-TP
Petition of Sprint Communications)	
Company L.P. and Sprint Spectrum L.P.,)	Filed: August 16, 2007
d/b/a Sprint PCS for Arbitration of Rates,)	
Terms, and Conditions of Interconnection)	
With BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.,)	
d/b/a AT&T Florida, d/b/a AT&T Southeast)	

**AT&T FLORIDA’S OPPOSITION TO
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED PETITION AND
MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida (“AT&T Florida”) respectfully submits this Opposition to Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership’s and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership’s (collectively, “Sprint”) Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition and Motion for Oral Argument (“Motion”). For the reasons set forth below, the Florida Public Service Commission (“Commission”) should deny the Motion.

1. Sprint filed its initial Petition for Arbitration in this matter on April 6, 2007. AT&T Florida filed a Motion to Dismiss and Answer on May 1, 2007, and Sprint filed a Response to the Motion to Dismiss on May 15, 2007.

2. On July 31, 2007, the Commission voted unanimously to approve its Staff’s recommendation to grant AT&T Florida’s Motion to Dismiss. In doing so, the Commission determined that Sprint’s petition should be dismissed because it sought enforcement of an alleged right under the AT&T/BellSouth merger commitments under an FCC Order, as opposed to an open issue concerning Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the “Act”).

3. On August 9, 2007, Sprint filed a Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition and what Sprint purports to be an *Amended Petition*.¹ In its Motion, Sprint “seeks leave to file an Amended Petition in order to revise the statement of issue for which it seeks arbitration” and to purportedly make it clear that the enforcement of an FCC order, independent and unrelated to any 251 obligation, somehow became part of a Section 252 arbitration proceeding. Motion, p. 2.

4. In its initial Petition for Arbitration Sprint stated its issue as: **“ISSUE 1: May AT&T Southeast effectively deny Sprint’s request to extend its current Interconnection Agreement for three full years from March 20, 2007 pursuant to Interconnection Merger Commitment No. 4?”** Petition, p. 8.

5. In its Amended Petition Sprint states its issue as: **“ISSUE 1: When should the agreed-upon three year extension of Sprint’s current Interconnection Agreement become effective?”** Amended Petition, p. 13.

6. The issue Sprint raises in its Amended Petition is substantively identical to the issue the Commission unanimously dismissed on July 31, 2007. Indeed, the issue in the Amended Petition still erroneously attempts to arbitrate the exact same FCC merger commitment that AT&T Florida argued, and the Commission agreed, cannot be an open issue under Section 251.

7. AT&T Florida concedes that Section 120.569(2)(c) provides for the right to amend, “unless it conclusively appears from the face of the petition that the defect cannot be cured.” See Section 120.569(2)(c). Here, it is clear, based on the face of Sprint’s Amended Petition that Sprint cannot cure the defect, because, as previously determined by the

¹ It should be noted that **the Act does not provide for the filing of an Amended Petition**. Thus, a fundamental question exists as to whether Sprint’s Motion is procedurally appropriate under the structures of Section 252 of the Act.

Commission, the purported enforcement of a merger condition contained in an FCC Order is not an “open issue” to be arbitrated under Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act.

8. For these reasons, AT&T Florida also submits that oral argument is not necessary to resolve the Motion.


9. Finally, in the event that the Commission grants Sprint’s request for leave to file an Amended Petition, AT&T Florida intends to file a response to the Amended Petition. Although the Act does not address in any regard the right to file an amended petition for arbitration, the Act specifically allows a party 25 days to respond to a Petition for Arbitration under Section 252 of the Act. *See* 47 U.S.C. 252(a)(3). Accordingly, AT&T Florida requests that the Commission grant it time to file a response to the Amended Petition in the event the Commission grants Sprint’s Motion for Leave to File Amended Petition.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of August, 2007.

AT&T Florida



JAMES MEZA III
AUTHORIZED HOUSE COUNSEL NO. 464260
TRACY W. HATCH
MANUEL GURDIAN
c/o Nancy Sims
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301
james.meza@bellsouth.com
nancy.sims@bellsouth.com
(305) 347-5558
(850) 222-8640



E. EARL EDENFIELD, JR.
JOHN T. TYLER
AT&T Midtown Center - Suite 4300
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30375

(404) 335-0757
ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T

685597