

RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, PURNELL & HOFFMAN

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

STEPHEN A. ECENIA
RICHARD M. ELLIS
KENNETH A. HOFFMAN
JOHN M. LOCKWOOD
MARTIN P. McDONNELL
J. STEPHEN MENTON

POST OFFICE BOX 551, 32302-0551
215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 420
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841

TELEPHONE (850) 681-6788
TELECOPIER (850) 681-6515

R. DAVID PRESCOTT
HAROLD F. X. PURNELL
MARSHA E. RULE
GARY R. RUTLEDGE
MAGGIE M. SCHULTZ
GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANTS
JONATHAN M. COSTELLO
MARGARET A. MENDUNI

October 15, 2007

Ms. Ann Cole, Director
Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

HAND DELIVERY

RECEIVED-FPSC
07 OCT 15 PM 3:19
COMMISSION
CLERK

Re: Docket No. 070408-TP

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket on behalf of Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3") are the original and fifteen copies of Level 3's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Exhibit F to Supplemental Legal Brief.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter filed and returning the copy to me. Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

Sincerely,

[Handwritten signature of Kenneth A. Hoffman]

Kenneth A. Hoffman

- CMP
COM
CTR
ECR
GCL
OPC
RCA
SCR
SGA
SEC
OTH

KAH/rl
Enclosures
cc: All Parties of Record
level3\neutraltandem\cole.october 15 07 ltr

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09417 OCT 15 06

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Neutral Tandem, Inc. and)
Neutral Tandem-Florida, LLC for)
Resolution of Interconnection Dispute with)
Level 3 Communications, LLC, and)
Request for Expedited Resolution.)
_____)

Docket No. 070408-TP

Filed: October 15, 2007

**LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LCC'S
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT F TO
SUPPLEMENTAL LEGAL BRIEF**

Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3"), by and through its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(1), Florida Administrative Code, hereby moves for leave to file Supplemental Exhibit F to the Supplemental Legal Brief filed and served by Level 3 on October 5, 2007. A copy of proposed Exhibit F to Level 3's Supplemental Brief is attached to this Motion. Exhibit F is a letter dated October 12, 2007 filed by Neutral Tandem, Inc. ("Neutral Tandem") with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio in a proceeding involving carrier-to-carrier rules. In the letter, Neutral Tandem asserts that:

As with other competitive services, the rates for transit service should be market-based (*i.e.*, determined through arm's length negotiations between the carriers involved), not set by a pricing methodology designed to protect customers in instances where no competitive alternative exists.

The Neutral Tandem letter dated October 12, 2007 filed with the Ohio PUC is supplemental in nature, as it had not been written by Neutral Tandem at the time of the submission of the Supplemental Legal Briefs in this proceeding. The Neutral Tandem letter is relevant to the jurisdictional and standing issues currently pending before the Commission and Neutral Tandem's assertions and arguments concerning these issues.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE


09417 OCT 15 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Neutral Tandem seeks to assert Commission jurisdiction under Section 364.16(2), Florida Statutes. Level 3 has asserted that the Commission lacks jurisdiction under Section 364.16(2) to grant the relief sought by Neutral Tandem. Section 364.16(2), where applicable, grants the Commission the authority to address “access and interconnection at nondiscriminatory prices, terms and conditions.” Neutral Tandem’s October 12 letter advocates market-based pricing for its interconnection with other carriers - - in complete contradiction with the Section 364.16(2) statutory powers granted to the Commission which Neutral Tandem seeks to invoke in this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, Level 3 respectfully requests that the Prehearing Officer grant this Motion and allow attached Supplemental Exhibit F to Level 3’s Supplemental Legal Brief.

Respectfully submitted,



Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.

Ken@reuphlaw.com

Martin P. McDonnell, Esq.

Marty@reuphlaw.com

Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.

P. O. Box 551

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(850) 681-6788 (Telephone)

(850) 681-6515 (Telecopier)

- - and - -

Gregg Strumberger, Esq.

Gregg.Strumberger@level3.com

Level 3 Communications, LLC

1025 Eldorado Boulevard

Broomfield, CO 80021-8869

720-888-1780 (Telephone)

720-888-5134 (Telecopier)

Attorneys for Level 3 Communications, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Electronic Mail and U. S. Mail on October 15, 2007 to the following:

Beth Keating, Esq
Akerman Senterfitt
106 East College Avenue
Suite 1200
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
beth.keating@akerman.com

Adam Teitzman, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us

Ronald Gavillet
Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Neutral Tandem, Inc.
One South Wacker Drive, Suite 200
Chicago, IL 60606
rongavillet@neutraltandem.com

John R. Harrington, Esq.
Jenner & Block
One IBM Plaza
Chicago, IL 60611-7603
jharrington@jenner.com



Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.

F:\USERS\ROXANNE\Level3\NeutralTandem\EXHIBITFPLEADING.doc

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Neutral Tandem, Inc. and)
Neutral Tandem-Florida, LLC for)
Resolution of Interconnection Dispute with)
Level 3 Communications, LLC, and)
Request for Expedited Resolution.)
_____)

Docket No. 070408-TP

EXHIBIT F

TO

LEVEL 3'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09417 OCT 15 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



One South Wacker, Suite 200
 Chicago, IL 60606
 phone 312.384.8000
 fax 312.346.3276

FILE

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
 2007 OCT 12 AM 8:58

2

PUCO

October 12, 2007

Alan R. Schreiber, Chairman
 Rhonda Hartman Fergus, Commissioner
 Donald L. Mason, Commissioner
 Valerie A. Lemmie, Commissioner
 Paul A. Centolella, Commissioner
 The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
 180 East Broad Street
 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

Re: Carrier-to-Carrier Rules
 Case No. 06-1344-TP-ORD

Dear Commissioners:

Neutral Tandem, Inc. (Neutral Tandem) is the telecommunications industry's leading independent provider of tandem transit service – the service that permits a third-party carrier to route calls to the network of another carrier when their networks are not directly connected. Neutral Tandem handles nearly 4 billion minutes of transit traffic per month across the 50 markets it serves in the U.S.

Although Neutral Tandem has not previously participated in the above-referenced rulemaking, Neutral Tandem has a vital interest in the carrier-to-carrier rules that govern transit traffic. Specifically, Neutral Tandem has significant concerns regarding Rule 4901:1-7-13 as adopted by the Commission in its order of August 22, 2007. As it now stands, this rule ignores the realities of the tandem transit market, and purports to impose a TELRIC pricing standard in a setting where it has no legal or logical application. Thus, I am writing on behalf of Neutral Tandem to support the September 21, 2007 application for rehearing filed in this docket by AT&T Ohio to the extent it requests that you revisit this rule.

In Ohio, Neutral Tandem provides transit service to wireless and wireline carriers throughout the state, serving the entire metropolitan areas of Akron, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Dayton, Toledo, and Youngtown through its subsidiary, Neutral Tandem-Michigan, LLC, which is duly authorized by this Commission to provide competitive local exchange and interexchange telecommunication services in this state under Certificate of Public Convenience

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
 Technician Jim Date Processed 10/12/07



and Necessity No. 90-9283. Neutral Tandem currently terminates traffic to 52 competitive wireless, wireline and broadband switches in Ohio, and is also connected to 11 ILEC tandems in order to provide backup redundancy for call terminations. Neutral Tandem can terminate transit traffic to over 14 million telephone numbers in Ohio, which represents almost 70% of the Ohio telephone numbers served by competitive carriers. The company now terminates over 1.5 billion transit minutes annually in Ohio. Not only does the switching and transport infrastructure Neutral Tandem provides to wireless, wireline, and broadband carriers for exchanging voice traffic eliminate the need for these carriers to rely on ILECs to complete transit calls, but Neutral Tandem provides this service at a substantial discount from the rates charged by the ILECs. Neutral Tandem's facilities also provide significant diversity, thereby improving the homeland security of the PSTN in Ohio. Thus, Neutral Tandem's service clearly represents an important, effective, facilities-based competitive alternative to ILEC tandem transport, and, like all providers of competitive services, Neutral Tandem should be free to negotiate the price of its service with nearly 80 carriers that have elected to utilize Neutral Tandem.

Whether one agrees that ILECs do not have a duty to transport calls between two other carriers as AT&T Ohio asserts, there is no legal basis for the requirement that transit service be priced at TELRIC rates – a pricing methodology, which, even for ILECs, is reserved only for a limited number of ILEC monopoly services – i.e., the provision of unbundled network elements. Transit service, on the other hand, is not one of those identified services. Indeed, transit service is available from multiple suppliers in Ohio. Thus, the need for rate regulation of even ILEC transit service is minimal, at best. Moreover, in the case of a competitive provider such as Neutral Tandem, there is simply no way to apply TELRIC pricing rules. As with other competitive services, the rates for transit service should be market-based (*i.e.*, determined through arm's length negotiations between the carriers involved), not set by a pricing methodology designed to protect customers in instances where no competitive alternative exist.

On behalf of Neutral Tandem, I urge you to grant AT&T's application for rehearing to the extent that it requests that you revisit this issue, and to modify the rule so as to provide and preserve the benefits of competitive pricing to Ohio consumers. At minimum, there should be a provision in the rule explicitly waiving its application in situations where there are facilities-based competitive providers of tandem transit service.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "R. Gavillet".

Ronald Gavillet
Executive Vice President

cc: All Parties of Record