

**Ruth Nettles**

---

**From:** Marsha Rule [marsha@reuphlaw.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 19, 2007 11:47 AM  
**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** Chiarelli, Joe M [LEG]; Atkinson, Bill R [GA]; Nelson, Douglas [GA]; Laura King; Patrick Wiggins; greg.follensbee@att.com; john.tyler@att.com; Adam Teitzman; Rick Mann  
**Subject:** Docket No. 070249-TP  
**Attachments:** Sprint Motion for Extension 11 19 2007.pdf

The full name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person responsible for the electronic filing:

MARSHA E. RULE  
Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P. A.  
Post Office Box 551  
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551  
(850) 681-6788  
marsha@reuphlaw.com

The docket number and title of docket:

Docket No. 070249-TP  
In the matter of Petition of Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint PCS for arbitration of rates, terms and conditions of interconnection with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida d/b/a AT&T Southeast

The name of the parties on whose behalf the document is filed:

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint PCS

The total number of pages in the attached document:

8

A brief but complete description of each attached document.

Sprint's Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to AT&T Florida's Motion to Dismiss and Request for Expedited Ruling

**Marsha Rule**

Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.  
215 S. Monroe St., Suite 420  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
marsha@reuphlaw.com  
850.681.6788 phone  
850.681.6515 fax

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10362 NOV 19 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In the matter of Petition of Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint PCS for arbitration of rates, terms and conditions of interconnection with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida d/b/a AT&T Southeast

Docket No. 070249-TP

Filed: Nov. 19, 2007

**SPRINT'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO AT&T FLORIDA'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED RULING**

Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint PCS (collectively referred to as "Sprint"), pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, hereby files this Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to AT&T Florida's Motion to Dismiss, and Request for Expedited Ruling thereon. In support, Sprint states as follows:

1. On August 9, 2007, Sprint filed its Amended Petition for Arbitration in this docket, seeking arbitration of certain terms and conditions of interconnection with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida d/b/a AT&T Southeast ("AT&T"). AT&T filed its Motion to Dismiss and Answer to Sprint's Amended Petition on November 13, 2007. Pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, Sprint's response in opposition to AT&T's Motion is due on November 20, 2007.

2. Sprint's Amended Petition raises a single legal issue regarding AT&T's denial of Sprint's request to extend its current Interconnection Agreement pursuant to certain commitments made by AT&T, Inc. and BellSouth Corporation in connection with their 2006 merger (the "Merger Commitments"). On Friday, November 16, 2007, several days after filing

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10362 NOV 19 5

COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION

its Motion to Dismiss, AT&T issued an "Accessible Letter" in which it announced a new position regarding extensions of interconnection agreements pursuant to the Merger Commitments. A copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

3. Based on AT&T's newly-stated position on extensions of interconnection agreements as set forth in its November 16, 2007 Accessible Letter, Sprint believes that the Accessible Letter may impact the issues presented in this docket, in which case AT&T's Motion to Dismiss may become moot. However, Sprint does not anticipate that resolution can be reached by November 20, 2007, when Sprint's response to AT&T's Motion is due. Accordingly, Sprint seeks a brief two-week extension of time in which to consult with AT&T in an effort to resolve the issues raised in this proceeding, and to file its response to AT&T's Motion only if such proves necessary.

4. Pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, Florida Administrative Code, counsel for Sprint conferred with counsel for AT&T to determine AT&T's position regarding this request. Counsel for AT&T advised the undersigned that AT&T has no objection to a two-week extension of time for Sprint to respond to AT&T's Motion to Dismiss, if such response proves necessary. However, in light of the fact that the Accessible Letter was issued only one business day before Sprint's response to AT&T's Motion to Dismiss is due, and further given AT&T's agreement to the extension, Sprint requests the Prehearing Officer to issue an expedited ruling on this Motion.

5. This motion is made in good faith, and the short extension requested by Sprint will not unduly delay this proceeding or prejudice the rights of the parties.

**WHEREFORE**, Sprint requests the Commission issue an expedited ruling granting an extension of time up to and including December 4, 2007, Sprint to file its Response in Opposition to AT&T's Motion to Dismiss.

Respectfully submitted,



MARSHA E. RULE  
Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.  
Post Office Box 551  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-0551  
[marsha@reuphlaw.com](mailto:marsha@reuphlaw.com)  
(850) 681-6788  
(850) 681.6515 facsimile

Douglas C. Nelson  
William R. Atkinson  
Sprint Nextel  
233 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 2200  
Atlanta, GA 30339-3166  
[douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com](mailto:douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com)  
[bill.atkinson@sprint.com](mailto:bill.atkinson@sprint.com)  
Telephone: 404.649.0003  
Fax: 404.649.0009

and

Joseph M. Chiarelli  
6450 Sprint Parkway  
Mailstop: KSOPHNO214-2A671  
Overland Park, KS 66251  
[joe.c.chiarelli@sprint.com](mailto:joe.c.chiarelli@sprint.com)  
Telephone: 913.315.9223  
Fax: 913.523.9623

ATTORNEYS FOR SPRINT  
COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP AND SPRINT SPECTRUM  
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion has been furnished by U.S. Mail and email to the following parties on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2007:

Adam Teitzman, Esq.  
H. Mann, Esq.  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850  
[ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us)  
[rmann@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:rmann@psc.state.fl.us)  
850.413.6212

E. Edenfield, Jr.  
Tracy W. Hatch  
Manuel Gurdian  
c/o Greg Follensbee  
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[greg.follensbee@att.com](mailto:greg.follensbee@att.com)  
850-577-5555

E. Edenfield Jr.  
John T. Tyler  
AT&T Midtown Center – Suite 4300  
675 West Peachtree Street, NE  
[John.tyler@bellsouth.com](mailto:John.tyler@bellsouth.com)  
Atlanta, GA 30375  
404.335.0757



---

Marsha E. Rule

# EXHIBIT “A”

Date:	<b>November 16, 2007</b>	Number:	<b>CLECSE07-055</b>
Effective Date:	<b>November 16, 2007</b>	Category:	<b>Other</b>
Subject:	<b>(Interconnection Agreements) Clarification of BellSouth Merger Commitments</b>		
Related Letters:	<b>NA</b>	Attachment:	<b>NA</b>
States Impacted:	<b>Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee</b>		
Issuing ILECS:	<b>AT&amp;T Alabama, AT&amp;T Florida, AT&amp;T Georgia, AT&amp;T Kentucky, AT&amp;T Louisiana, AT&amp;T Mississippi, AT&amp;T North Carolina, AT&amp;T South Carolina and AT&amp;T Tennessee</b>		
Response Deadline:	<b>January 15, 2008</b>	Contact:	<b>AT&amp;T Negotiator</b>
Conference Call/Meeting:	<b>NA</b>		

The purpose of this Accessible Letter is to clarify AT&T's implementation of two merger commitments adopted and approved by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") in its BellSouth/AT&T "Merger Order".<sup>1</sup> The commitments discussed herein concern porting and extending interconnection agreements ("ICAs").

**Porting ICAs**

Merger Commitment 7.1 allows carriers to port effective interconnection agreements entered into in any state in AT&T's 22-state ILEC operating territory (subject to stated limitations and requirements).<sup>2</sup> Some carriers have inquired why they are not able to port an agreement when the initial term has expired but the agreement itself has not yet been noticed for termination/renegotiation. This letter clarifies that such agreements are, in fact, eligible for porting under Merger Commitment 7.1, and AT&T has consistently implemented the commitment in this manner. However, carriers should be aware that adopted agreements always carry the same expiration date as the underlying agreement that is being adopted.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, if a carrier adopts and ports an ICA whose initial term has expired, subsequent noticing of that ICA for termination and renegotiation will require that the adopted/porting agreement also be renegotiated. Moreover, consistent with federal rules, ICAs that have been noticed for termination/renegotiation are not eligible to be ported because they have already "remain[ed] available for use by telecommunications carriers...for a reasonable period of time."<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, when porting agreements pursuant to Merger Commitment 7.1, carriers should be mindful of whether the ICA, by its terms, is eligible to be noticed for termination/renegotiation or has already been noticed by either party.

<sup>1</sup> Memorandum Opinion and Order, *In the Matter of AT&T, Inc. and BellSouth Corporation Application for Transfer of Control*, 22 F.C.C.R. 5662 at ¶222, Appendix F (March 26, 2007) ("Merger Order").

<sup>2</sup> Merger Order at Appendix F, "Reducing Transaction Costs Associated with Interconnection Agreements," ¶ 1.

<sup>3</sup> *Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers*, CC Docket No. 01-338, Second Report and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 13494 (FCC 2004).

<sup>4</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 51.809(c).

### **Extending ICAs' Terms**

Merger Commitment 7.4 allows carriers to extend the terms of their current ICAs for a period of up to three (3) years, subject to amendment to reflect prior and future changes of law.<sup>5</sup> The question has arisen whether ICAs may be extended for three years from the expiration date of the ICA's initial term (as interpreted and implemented by AT&T) or some other date (e.g., the merger close date of December 29, 2006 or the date of a carrier's extension request). While AT&T believes that its interpretation is supported by the plain language of Merger Commitment 7.4, as well as by the *ex parte* documents submitted to the FCC and the negotiations of the commitment prior to release of the Merger Order, AT&T is modifying its position to allow carriers additional opportunities to extend the terms of their agreements. As such, effective with the date of this Accessible Letter, AT&T will implement Merger Commitment 7.4 as follows:

**ICAs Expiring Prior to January 15, 2008 (Option 1):** ICAs whose initial terms have already expired, or will expire prior to January 15, 2008, may be extended for up to three years from the date of a carrier's extension request, provided that AT&T receives the carrier's extension request prior to January 15, 2008.<sup>6</sup> An ICA's term may be extended only once pursuant to Merger Commitment 7.4.<sup>7</sup> If no request to extend the ICA's term has been received by AT&T prior to January 15, 2008, the ICA's term may not be extended pursuant to the merger commitment.

**ICAs Expiring On or After January 15, 2008 (Option 2):** ICAs whose initial terms will expire on or after January 15, 2008, may be extended for up to three years from the expiration date of the ICA's initial term, provided that (i) AT&T receives a carrier's extension request prior to the ICA's expiration date of the initial term, and (ii) the ICA's initial term expires before June 29, 2010, the sunset date of the merger commitment. ICAs whose initial term expires after June 29, 2010 are not eligible for extension. An ICA's term may be extended only once pursuant to Merger Commitment 7.4. If no request to extend the ICA's term has been received by AT&T as of the expiration date of the ICA's initial term, the ICA may not be extended pursuant to the merger commitment.

***Important Note for Both Options Above:*** The expiration date of an agreement's initial term may be either express (e.g., "January 15, 2008") or a date that requires calculation (e.g., "three years from the Effective Date"). Initial terms may also be a date established by a filed and approved amendment (e.g., an ICA's initial term expired on January 15, 2001, but an amendment extended the expiration date until January 15, 2003, in which case the latter is still considered the expiration date of the ICA's initial term). For purposes of implementing Merger Commitment 7.4, the expiration date of an agreement's **initial term** will in all cases be used, as described above, to calculate whether the agreement is eligible for extension. Any evergreen term, renewal term or default term (e.g., month-to-month or year-to-year) or any other term that continues the agreement beyond the expiration of its initial term will have no bearing on whether and how the agreement may be extended. This has important implications for the options discussed above, including without limitation:

---

<sup>5</sup> Merger Order at Appendix F, "Reducing Transaction Costs Associated with Interconnection Agreements," ¶ 4. Merger Commitment 7.4 applies to ICAs in effect as of the date of the Merger Order, December 29, 2006.

<sup>6</sup> Compare with *Order of the Kentucky Public Service Commission*, Petition of Sprint Communications Company L.P. et al. For Arbitration of Rates, Terms and Conditions of Interconnection with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Kentucky d/b/a AT&T Southeast, Case No. 2007-00180 (Sept. 18, 2007) (holding that Merger Commitment 7.4 gives carriers the right to extend ICAs for three years from the merger close date of December 29, 2006, or until December 29, 2009).

<sup>7</sup> Carriers that extended or requested to extend the initial term of an ICA that has already expired pursuant to AT&T's prior policy (i.e., for up to three years from the initial expiration date) may re-submit a request to extend the ICA pursuant to this Accessible Letter. For such carriers, the ICA may be extended under Option 1 for up to three years from the date of carrier's **initial, prior** request, as long as carrier sends the required notice discussed herein by January 15, 2008.



- For Option 1, the initial term of an ICA may have already expired but the ICA may still be in effect (e.g., the ICA expired on June 1, 2007 and it is presently in effect on a month-to-month basis). The required extension notice under Option 1 must be received by AT&T prior to January 15, 2008, regardless of the fact that the ICA remains in effect on a month-to-month or other basis. On January 15, 2008, unless a carrier has submitted the required notice to extend the term, it will be deemed to have waived any extension rights with respect to that ICA.
- For Option 2, the required term extension notice must be received by AT&T prior to the expiration date of the ICA's initial term, regardless of whether the ICA continues in effect beyond the expiration date of the initial term. Upon the expiration date of an ICA's initial term, a carrier will be deemed to have waived any extension rights with respect to that ICA.

The options under Merger Commitment 7.4 as described in this Accessible Letter are available to carriers regardless of whether they have already submitted an extension request, and regardless of the disposition of that prior request. However, carriers desiring to extend the terms of their ICAs as stated herein must submit another extension request, as AT&T is unable to decide unilaterally what any carrier may want to avail itself of at this point in time. **Carriers may not rely on prior extension requests to avail themselves of the options discussed in this Accessible Letter. Carriers who do not submit an extension request, by the time periods indicated above, may not extend their ICAs pursuant to Merger Commitment 7.4 as described herein.** Extension Request Forms can be found by CLECs on AT&T's CLEC Online website at <https://clec.att.com/clec><sup>8</sup> and by paging/wireless carriers at <https://primeaccess.att.com/>.<sup>9</sup>

### **Conclusion**

Any questions regarding this Accessible Letter should be directed to your Lead Negotiator.

---

<sup>8</sup> From the HOME page of CLEC Online, click on the sub-heading Interconnection Agreements located on the left-hand side of the page and follow your cursor to the BLS Merger Commitment Request Forms link. A new window will appear. On the page AT&T/BLS Merger Commitments under Reducing Transaction Costs Associated with Interconnection Agreements, you will see a list of four commitments. The fourth contains an Extension Request Form to be completed and submitted to AT&T Wholesale Contract Management, via fax or email. The fax number and email address are provided on this page.

<sup>9</sup> From the HOME page of Prime Access, click on the subheading BLS-Merger Request Forms located on the left-hand side of the page. An AT&T CLEC Online Disclaimer will appear, click OK. A page containing the BLS Merger Commitment Request Forms will be displayed. Under Reducing Transaction Costs Associated with Interconnection Agreements, you will see a list of four commitments. The fourth contains an Extension Request Form to be completed and submitted to AT&T Wholesale Contract Management, via fax or email. The fax number and email address are provided on this page.