

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: January 18, 2008

TO: Ann Cole, Commission Clerk – PSC, Office of Commission Clerk

FROM: Toni J. McCoy, Regulatory Analyst II, Division of Competitive Markets & Enforcement *TM*

RE: Docket No. 070741-TX; CLEC application original signature page

Please add the attached email from the company and completed page 5 of the PATS application to the docket file.

Call 413-6532, if you have any questions.

Attachments

RECEIVED--FPSC
08 JAN 22 AM 10: 05
COMMISSION
CLERK

- CMP _____
- COM _____
- CTR _____
- ECR _____
- GCL _____
- OPC _____
- RCA _____
- SCR _____
- SGA _____
- SEC _____
- OTH NG

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00509 JAN 22 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Toni McCoy

From: Bill Pocklington [bpocklington@porticosys.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2008 4:09 PM
To: Toni McCoy
Cc: Bill Pocklington
Subject: FL Public Service Commission Application
Attachments: FL Public Service Commission Application.doc

Hi Toni,

Per your request, attached is our Application (for transfer of Certificate from Payphones Plus, Inc. to Payphones Plus Plus, LLC) with the items on page 5 completed (b, d, e and f).

Please let me know if you have any questions or need more information. I will make sure that the old company (Payphones Plus, Inc.) has paid their assessment fees for 2007 ASAP.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

Bill Pocklington
Payphones Plus Plus, LLC
267.278.4206

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
00509 JAN 22 8
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1/15/2008

16. List the states in which the applicant:

(a) has operated as a Pay Telephone Service provider.

PA - operating as D&M Communications

(b) has applications pending to be certificated as a Pay Telephone Service provider.

NONE

(c) is certificated to operate as a Pay Telephone Service provider.

PA - operating as D&M Communications

(d) has been denied authority to operate as a Pay Telephone Service provider and the circumstances involved.

NO

(e) has had regulatory penalties imposed for violations of telecommunications statutes and the circumstances involved. Explain circumstances.

NO

(f) has been involved in civil court proceedings with an interexchange carrier, local exchange company or other telecommunications entity, and the circumstances involved.

NO