0002 rep-18

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:
MATTHEW M. CARTER II, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
KATRINA J. MCMURRIAN
NANCY ARGENZIANO
NATHAN A. SKOP



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL MICHAEL G. COOKE
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

February 1, 2008

RECEIVED APSO 18 FEB - 1 PM 3: 55 COMMISSION CLERK

James D. Beasley, Esq. Ausley Law Firm Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST

Re: Docket No. 070733-EI - Complaint No. 694187E by Cutrale Citrus Juices USA, Inc. against Tampa Electric Company for refusing to provide transformer ownership discount for electrical service provided through Minute Maid substation.

Dear Mr. Beasley:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Tampa Electric Company (TECO) provide responses to the following data requests.

- 1. What costs, if any, were incurred by TECO to engineer and construct the Minute Maid Substation which is the subject of this complaint? In responding to this question, please give a detailed accounting of the costs.
- 2. Were there any costs incurred by TECO for facilities related to the Minute Maid Substation? If so, please provide a detailed accounting of the costs.
- 3. Did TECO require Contribution In Aid of Construction (CIAC) for the construction of the Minute Maid (69/13.8 kV) Substation and any related facilities?
- 4. If the answer to question three (3) is yes, then who contributed and in what amounts? If the answer to question 2 is no, explain why CIAC was not charged?
- 5. What did TECO project to be its four years of annual revenues from Coca-Cola Foods/Minute Maid after the interconnection with the Minute Maid (69/13.8 kV) Substation?
- 6. What were the actual four years of annual revenues that TECO collected from Coca-Cola Foods/Minute Maid?
- 7. What would TECO's annual revenues have been over the first four years of operation if Coca-Cola Foods/Minute Maid had been given a discount of \$0.36 per kW of Supplemental Demand and \$0.32 per kW of Standby Demand?

- 8. Has TECO provided service to other customers in its service area by constructing a dedicated substation, such that the input to the substation is 69kV or above and the output is 13.8kV or below?
- 9. If the answer to question eight (8) is yes, then identify which tariff TECO applied to each customer and explain what, if any, amounts were charged for CIAC?
- 10. Is it TECO's contention that TECO incurred 100 per cent of the transformation cost when it engineered and constructed the Minute Maid Substation?
- 11. If Cutrale purchased the Minute Maid (69/13.8 kV) Substation and related facilities currently owned by TECO, would Cutrale be eligible for the transformer ownership discount?
- 12. TECO stated that Cutrale is also being served from TECO's Ariana Substation, circuit 13279, is that correct? What is the transformation makeup of this substation and how many customers are being served off of circuit 13279?
- 13. What voltage level (s) is Cutrale being supplied from circuit 13279?
- 14. Does TECO provide the transformation voltage to Cutrale from circuit 13279? Explain.
- 15. What were the reason (s) that TECO could not use the Ariana Substation and (or) circuit 13279 in Coca-Cola Foods/Minute Maid's interconnection agreement?

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by February 15, 2008, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6230 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lisa C. Bennett Senior Attorney

LCB:th

ce: Office of Commission Clerk

Division of Economic Regulation (Colson, Kummer)

Robert P. Major, Esquire (Attorney for Cutrale)