1	FLORI	BEFORE THE DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMM	MISSION		
2	In the Matter of:				
3	<u> </u>				
4 5	STORM HARDENING PLA RULE 25-6.0342, F.A	•	DOCKET	NO.	070300-EI
	FLORIDA PUBLIC UTIL	TITLS COMPANY.			
6					
7	14	NCREASE BY	DOCKET	NO.	070304-EI
8	FLORIDA PUBLIC UTIL				1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1
9	; ;			3	
10				3	
11				7	(p)
12	<b>41</b>	IC VERSIONS OF THIS TR			E SOR E
13	THE OFF	VENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF TH	HE HEARI	NG,	*****
14	THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY.			JY.	
15	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE	E		
16	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER NANCY AND PREHEARING OFFICER	RGENZIAN	0	
17	DATE:	Monday, February 11,	2008		
18		_			
19	TIME:	Commenced at 1:35 p.r. Concluded at 3:21 p.r.			
20	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conferen	nce Cent	er	
21		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way			
22		Tallahassee, Florida			
23	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPF Official FPSC Reporte			
24		(850) 413-6734			
25					
25					17A7-070
					7 TO 1 1 2 - 1 LE 1 1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIPON 49 FEB 138

## APPEARANCES:

1.0

1.1

1.7

NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., ESQUIRE, Messer Law Firm, Post Office Box 15579, Tallahassee, Florida 32317, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

PATRICIA CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

TRACY HATCH, ESQUIRE, AT&T Florida, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 700, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of AT&T Florida.

SUSAN S. MASTERTON, ESQUIRE, Embarq Florida, Inc.,
1313 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on
behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc.

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Akerman Senterfitt Law Firm,

106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200, Tallahassee, Florida

32301, and DAVE KONUCH, ESQUIRE, 246 East Sixth Avenue, Suite

100, Tallahassee, Florida 32303, appearing on behalf of Florida

Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.

MARTHA CARTER BROWN, ESQUIRE, KEINO YOUNG, ESQUIRE,
H. F. RICK MANN, ESQUIRE, and KATHERINE FLEMING, ESQUIRE, FPSC
General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the
Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

PROCEEDINGS 1 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. We'll call the 2 hearing to order. Welcome everyone. And if staff would please 3 read the notice. 4 MR. YOUNG: Good afternoon, Madam Commissioner. 5 notice issued January 23rd, 2008, this time and place has been 6 set for a Prehearing Conference in Docket Number 070300-EI and 7 The purpose of the prehearing is set out in the 8 notice. 9 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you. We'll take 10 11 appearances. MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am. Norman H. Horton, Jr., of 12 the Law Firm Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A., appearing on 13 behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company. 14 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Patty Christensen with the Office of Public Counsel appearing on behalf of the citizens of Florida.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you. Welcome.

MR. HATCH: Tracy Hatch appearing on behalf of AT&T Florida.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you.

MS. MASTERTON: Susan Masterton appearing on behalf of Embarg Florida.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Thank you.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. KEATING: Beth Keating, Akerman Senterfitt, 1 2 appearing on behalf of Florida Cable Telecommunications Association. I'd also like to introduce you, Commissioner, to 3 Dave Konuch, who is the new in-house counsel for Florida Cable. 4 It's a pleasure to meet you. MR. KONUCH: 5 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: It's a pleasure to meet you 6 7 Welcome. also. Okay. Preliminary matters. 8 MR. YOUNG: Yes, Madam Commissioner. But before we 9 do that, staff would like to make appearances for Ms. Martha 10 Carter Brown, Katherine E. Fleming, H. F. Mann and Keino Young 11 appearing on, appearing on behalf of staff. 12 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That would be a good thing 13 14 to do. Thank you. Okay. Now are there any preliminary matters we need 15 to address before we get to the Draft Prehearing Order? 16 MR. YOUNG: At this time there are no preliminary 17 matters and none are expected at this time. 18 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Any, any from the parties? 19 MR. HATCH: No, ma'am. 20 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. All right. Let's go 21 through the Draft Prehearing Order now. And I'll identify the 22 sections -- hang on one second here -- and if you will let me 23

know if there are any corrections or changes to be made. And

we may go through them quickly, so just either chime right in

24

25

1	or just wave a hand and let me know. So let's begin with the
2	storm hardening and Rule 25-6.0342 on Page 9.
3	MS. BROWN: Madam Commissioner, could we go back to
4	Section I through VII before we do that to see if
5	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. We can do that. All
6	right. So we're going to go through Section I, case
7	background.
8	MS. BROWN: Staff has no changes.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Any, any changes,
10	Mr. Horton?
11	MR. HORTON: Commissioner, in Section VI, the order
12	of witnesses, I think that I owe staff an update on the issues
13	that our witnesses will be addressing and we'll take care of
14	that. The issues that are shown in this draft, I believe, are
15	based upon an earlier draft and some of those numbers changed,
16	so I'll provide that.
L7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Great. You'll provide that
L8	to staff.
L9	MS. BROWN: And, Madam Commissioner, OPC has provided
20	me with an updated match of the witness to the issues as well
21	and we'll reflect that in the final Prehearing Order. But
22	maybe back to Section II now, conduct of proceedings.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Conduct of proceedings.
4	Uh-huh.

MS. BROWN: No --

25

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Any corrections or changes? 1 MS. BROWN: No changes from staff. 2 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Any from any parties? 3 Okay. Section III, jurisdiction. I'm sorry. 4 Madam Chair. Oh, Madam Chair. MR. HATCH: 5 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. 6 MR. HATCH: With respect to the order of witnesses, I 7 anticipate that the storm hardening issues will go quickly to 8 the extent that they're really subject to active consideration 9 during the hearing process, and I would request that Kirk 10 Smith, and perhaps the other storm hardening folks would like 11 to chip in as well, be taken up quickly first so that they 12 don't have to sit through what I anticipate is going to be the 13 14 longer rate case issues. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I don't see any, foresee 15 any problems with doing that. Okay. Duly noted. 16 Okay. We are now on Section III, jurisdiction. 17 18 MS. BROWN: No changes. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Any changes, 19 2.0 parties? 21 Okay. Section IV, procedure for handling 22 confidential information. 23 MS. BROWN: No changes. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: No changes. Any of the 24 25 parties?

1	Okay. V, prefiled testimony and exhibits, witnesses.
2	MS. BROWN: This is what we just, Mr. Hatch just
3	discussed.
4	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Just discussed.
5	Okay. We're fine there.
6	MS. BROWN: Staff has no other changes to that
7	section.
8	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Back to order of
9	witnesses. Anything other?
10	MS. MASTERTON: Commissioner.
11	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm sorry.
12	MS. MASTERTON: I just wanted to reiterate, and Sandy
13	Khazraee is Embarq's witness on the storm hardening issue, so I
14	think when Mr. Hatch was wanting to move Kirk Smith up, it
15	would be Sandy on behalf of Embarq as well.
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
17	MS. BROWN: Staff will sort that out with the parties
18	before we issue the final Prehearing Order.
19	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Very good. Okay. All
20	right. Now we're
21	MR. HORTON: Commissioner.
22	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes, sir.
23	MR. HORTON: It's reflected in the Prehearing Order,
24	but just so the parties are sure, are aware, Ms., Ms. Martin
25	will be adopting the testimony and the exhibits prefiled by

1	Mr. Mehrdad Khojasteh. Mr. Khojasteh is not with the company			
2	any longer, so Ms. Martin will be adopting all of that. It's			
3	reflected in the order and we filed a notice of that.			
4	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Very good. Thank you.			
5	Okay. Where did we leave off here?			
6	MS. BROWN: Section VII.			
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: We're on Section VII, our			
8	basic positions.			
9	MS. KEATING: Commissioner.			
10	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes, Ms. Keating.			
11	MS. KEATING: FCTA would like to make a modification			
12	to its basic position. We've handed out copies to the parties			
13	and to the Commission staff. And the purpose of this			
14	modification is we would also like to change our position on			
15	the actual numbered issues to reflect "No position."			
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.			
17	MS. KEATING: But we would like to preserve our			
18	ability should anything arise at hearing that would impact the			
19	rights of the cable operators.			
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Absolutely. Everyone has			
21	received a copy?			
22	MS. KEATING: Yes, ma'am.			
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Staff?			
24	MR. YOUNG: Yes, ma'am.			
25	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Absolutely. Let me			

make a note of that. We're fine.

Okay. Any other parties on Section VII?

Okay. Now does staff want me to move into the issues? Are we ready for that or any other comments?

MS. BROWN: Yes. I think, I think we're ready for that, Madam Chairman.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. As I said before, I'll identify the sections. And if there's anything, any changes, just grab my attention, please.

Storm hardening and Rule 25-6.0342 on Page 9.

MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, it's my understanding that we're very close to possible stipulations on Issues 1 through 15.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, I have -- this is

Patty Christensen with the Office of Public Counsel. I had a

change to Issue 10. We had taken a "No position at this time,"

and I think I would like to change that position just to a

"No."

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: On Issue, on Issue 10?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: On Issue 10. And that reflects our basic position on Issue 13. We have proposed adjustments relating to Issue 16 through 29, and to the extent that those cost issues have an impact on this, we want to be able to preserve our position to the extent that they impact that issue. If in further discussions with staff we get some

clarification and that's no longer needed, then we may be able to come to some compromise. But at this point I think to preserve those I will, I would ask to change Issue 10.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Change Issue 10.

MS. BROWN: And, Madam Commissioner, if I might inquire of OPC, I think maybe we need to go through this section issue by issue.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Absolutely. Let's go ahead.

MS. BROWN: What everyone's position is on Issue 1, if anyone intends to take a position, or shall we reflect no position?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Can OPC -- I think, I think basically what you said, it was a "No" on Issue 10.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Correct. As, as it relates to the other issues, we're not taking necessarily a position on those issues from reading those.

The reason Issue 10, in having read it more carefully, is that it does talk about whether or not cost-benefit analyses have been provided and whether those are reasonable for the electric infrastructure improvements. And we have a specific issue under Issue 16 that talks about the storm hardening wood pole replacement program which we've taken a very active position. So in the abundance of caution, I think it's prudent to take a more affirmative decision or

position on that.

MS. BROWN: And staff understands that and will not have a stipulation reflected for those issues. But I am curious about whether we can reach a stipulation on all the other storm hardening issues, and that would require the parties to, who have not taken a position, to reflect that they take no position and then we can probably stipulate these issues.

Staff's position on all of these issues is that the company's plan does comply with the appropriate requirements. So what is OPC's position on this issue, Issue 1?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: At this point we're -- we may be in a position to just take no position as regards to a stipulation on Issues 1 through 9. I would like to -- we're having discussions with the company tomorrow and those will be issues that are also on the table with the company for discussion.

And if we can get back to staff with that after discussions with the company, then I'd be happy to do that.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So at the moment there's no position on 1 through 9; is that correct?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, my concern is if I take no position and at some, without having those discussions, then I cannot provide any sort of discussion in the posthearing brief and it kind of locks me in.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Got you. Okay.

1	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Right now I'm at the no position at
2	this time. I'm pretty sure that I can take a no position and
3	allow the parties to stipulate on those issues, but we're
4	having discussions tomorrow with the company. I want to
5	would like to take the opportunity to have those discussions
6	with the company first before I commit to either taking a no
7	position on those issues affirmatively. But I, at this point I
8	think that that's where we will end up.
9	MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, the Order Establishing
10	Procedure provides that at the Prehearing Conference parties
11	need to take a position, an affirmative position or take no
12	position on a particular issue, barring some good cause shown

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Let me ask this. Is good cause enough that they want to speak to the company tomorrow?

for wanting to leave their positions open past the prehearing.

MS. BROWN: I would say so.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.

MS. BROWN: If -- with the promise that OPC will get back to staff by a date certain, time certain, preferably Thursday.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Thursday?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think Thursday we should be able to do that. We're expecting to begin talks tomorrow and then we should have extra time on Wednesday if we need to to conclude them. So we should have some --

1	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. By Thursday to
2	staff. Okay.
3	MS. CHRISTENSEN: definitive answer by Thursday or
4	an actual position.
5	(Simultaneous conversation.)
6	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: If not, if not, then we'll
7	look at it as a no position.
8	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. That seems fair.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
10	MS. BROWN: And I don't know what the other parties'
11	positions are on these issues.
12	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. From the other
13	parties, any positions that you'd like to address?
14	Ms. Keating?
15	MS. KEATING: We can change ours to "No position."
16	MS. BROWN: Right.
17	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Hearing none other.
18	Okay. Anything else, staff, on that?
19	MS. BROWN: Yes. The Prehearing Order reflects "No
20	position at this time" for AT&T and a "No position at this
21	time" for Embarq.
22	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Mr. Hatch.
23	MR. HATCH: At this point with respect to the storm
24	hardening issues our positions are laid out correctly. We have
5	no position on the storm hardening issues themselves. I would

only add that whether it's semantics or whether there's a fine hairline legal distinction, our position probably practically is we don't object to the plan at this point, which is essentially the position we took in the last round of storm hardening hearings. There's no -- it's simply because neither side -- nobody that's involved in this has enough information to fully answer all of the issues embedded in it, so we just --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Sure. Understood.

MR. HATCH: We don't object to the plan at this point. And with the adoption of the third party attacher process and the notification, that's how we generate the ultimate answers to where the battle lines may be, but we have to postpone that fight until another day.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Staff? And do you want to hear from Ms. Masterson? Masterton. I'm sorry. Excuse me.

MS. MASTERTON: That's okay. Thank you, Commissioner.

Wherever we said "No position at this time," we're okay with saying "No position." We did take positions on several of the issues however. We didn't say "No position at this time" on every issue, so.

MS. BROWN: So in this section we probably need to go through each one to clarify that. So my -- from what I'm hearing, we have a stipulation on Issue 1 in this section.

Staff agrees with the company, and the Prehearing Order will

reflect that.

MS. MASTERTON: Commissioner, excuse me. I just wanted to ask for a clarification from staff.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes.

MS. MASTERTON: When we say "No position," that means we don't object to the stipulation. We're not affirmatively agreeing to it. Is that --

MS. BROWN: Yes, that's correct. And we can have the Prehearing Order point that out as well.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: But now to ask staff, not to interject here, but if their position changes later on, would that "No position" now in any way stifle any concern they may have down the road?

MS. BROWN: Well --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: No? Okay.

MS. BROWN: I'm not certain of that. I don't think so, not for the purposes of this hearing. I think what they're saying is on down the road with respect to the storm hardening plan, if something comes up, they will have to address that in the future.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Absolutely. Absolutely.

MS. BROWN: But for purposes of this hearing, I think all of these issues except for the ones that OPC mentioned we can show as, as stipulated between the company and the staff and the other parties not objecting to the stipulation.

Τ	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Very good.		
2	Staff, are we ready to move on?		
3	Okay. The ten point storm preparedness initiatives		
4	on Page 15.		
5	MS. MASTERTON: Commissioner, excuse me. I hate to		
6	go back.		
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. I'm sorry. That's		
8	all right.		
9	MS. MASTERTON: But I did it just sunk in what		
10	Ms. Brown had said.		
11	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.		
12	MS. MASTERTON: On Issue 12 we had proposed some		
13	alternative language for stipulation we circulated via e-mail,		
14	and FPUC had indicated that they didn't object to it, and as		
15	opposed to reflecting FPUC's position, we're assuming		
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: On Issue 12.		
17	MS. MASTERTON: Yes.		
18	MS. BROWN: Yes. The new language will be reflected		
19	in the final Prehearing Order.		
20	MS. MASTERTON: Okay. Thank you. Sorry.		
21	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Let's		
22	continue on Page 15.		
23	MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, starting with Issue 14,		
24	it's my understanding that staff agrees that the Commission		
25	should approve FPUC's request to implement a 3/6 tree trimming		

1	cycle instead of a 3/3 cycle. I'm not sure what the other		
2	parties' positions are.		
3	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Now is the time to hear the		
4	other parties' positions.		
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think we can agree with changing		
6	the cycle from a 3/3 to a 3/6.		
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. We have OPC agreeing		
8	on that. Any of the other parties?		
9	MR. HATCH: Tracy Hatch for AT&T. Once you get into		
10	the rate case issues, we legitimately have no position on the		
11	actual rate case issues themselves.		
12	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.		
13	MS. MASTERTON: And, Commissioner, I could say the		
14	same for Embarq for all the issues from 14 down to the end. I		
15	think you can		
16	MS. BROWN: 134.		
17	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 14 through 134. Okay.		
18	Ms. Keating.		
19	MS. KEATING: And at this time I think the same thing		
20	can be said for the FCTA.		
21	MS. BROWN: Thank you. With respect to the Issue 14,		
22	I think that's another issue we can show as stipulated.		
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.		
24	MS. BROWN: And with respect to Issue 15, I guess I'm		
25	waiting for OPC's position.		

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think we're, I think that's going to be reflective of tomorrow's discussion. We've just changed our position on 10 regarding the cost-benefit analysis to "No." So technically our position would have to be "No" regarding the cost-benefit analysis. No, they have not complied with the cost-benefit analysis requirement. Just change our position to "No. FPUC has not complied with the cost benefit requirement." COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: And as I said earlier, we'll be in discussion with the company and we'll see if we can't resolve those. But at this point we would change our position to that.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Noted.

MS. BROWN: Yes. We have it. We'll show it in the final Prehearing Order.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Very good. Let's move on to -- I guess we're on the costs for storm hardening and the ten point initiatives.

MS. BROWN: Yes. Madam Chairman, at this point staff doesn't identify or recognize any possible stipulations in these issues, noting that the third party attachers are taking no position. I'll leave it to FPUC and OPC to tell you if there are any changes to their positions in this section.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Mr. -- Great.
Mr. Horton.

1 MR. HORTON: No change to 16.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. And Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Are we, are we proceeding issue by issue through this section?

MS. BROWN: No. We're going to take this whole section in a lump because I don't see any stipulations in it. If there are changes to your positions, if you could reflect that for the court reporter.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: On Issue 16.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yeah. If you can provide just a moment to make sure I look through all of the issues.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Sure

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Under this section, the only thing that I would note -- I have no changes to my positions, except to note on Issues 23 and 24 we have, as our position noted, the same as Issue 20 -- under Issue 23 our position is the same as Issue 20, and we just had that language in there because I think this is a duplicative issue of Issue 20 and 24. "Should additional contractor expense to handle joint pole inspections be approved?" Our position has been the same as Issue 19 because we believe the issue is duplicative of the earlier issue. I'm not sure --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Which is already noted, am

I correct, staff? Isn't that already in the hearing, the

pre -- on 19 -- I'm sorry, on 24, same as 19?

1	MS. BROWN: OPC says "Same as Issue 19." I think
2	what OPC is saying is we should review these issues to make
3	sure we're not asking the same question twice. And staff will
4	get with OPC and the parties and FPUC to come up with which one
5	we keep and the, and the final order will reflect that.
6	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Very good. Anything else
7	on that?
8	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I have no further suggestions or
9	changes to that.
10	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Did you have enough
11	time to look through?
12	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes, I did.
13	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Very good. Okay.
14	For the, let's see, test period, Page, well, Page 25.
15	MR. HORTON: Excuse me, Commissioner. I'm sorry.
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Horton.
17	MR. HORTON: On Number 19 and
18	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 24?
19	MR. HORTON: 25.
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 25. Okay.
21	MR. HORTON: The witness should be shown as
22	Mr. Cutshaw for those two.
23	MS. BROWN: Okay.
24	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Did you get that, Martha?
25	MS. BROWN: Yes. Yes, I did.

1	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Anything else?
2	MR. HORTON: No, ma'am.
3	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. All right. Staff,
4	for test period?
5	MS. BROWN: Yes, Madam Chairman. Issue 29 is
6	reflected as a possible stipulation. And if the parties, other
7	parties, AT&T, Embarq, FCTA are taking no position, if I can
8	hear from OPC, their position, we can show this as a stipulated
9	issue.
10	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Ms. Christensen,
11	with Issue 29.
12	MS. CHRISTENSEN: We're in a position to stipulate
13	Issue 29.
14	MS. BROWN: All right.
15	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: You are in a position to
16	stipulate 29?
17	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Correct.
18	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
19	MR. HORTON: We can stipulate to 29.
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Horton?
21	MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Let me clarify that.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Wait a minute. Mr.
24	Horton may want to change his answer.
25	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, just I want to make sure that

1	we're clear. We're not stipulating to FPUC's position under
2	there. What we can agree to is that the appropriate historical
3	test year is the year ending December 31st, 2006, with the
4	projected test year ending December 31st, 2008, as the
5	appropriate test year to be utilized in this docket, and that's
6	the position we can agree with.
7	MS. BROWN: And staff's position is that, yes, that
8	is the appropriate test, those are the appropriate test years
9	with appropriate adjustments to be made.
10	MS. CHRISTENSEN: And that's fine. We could, we
11	could agree to that.
12	MS. BROWN: Does FPUC agree with that?
13	MR. HORTON: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So we're all in
15	agreement.
16	MS. BROWN: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Very good. See how it
18	works. Okay. Anything else on this issue, staff?
19	MS. BROWN: No, not on this issue.
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Then let's move to
21	quality of service.
22	MS. BROWN: Well, no.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm sorry.
24	MS. BROWN: I'm sorry.
25	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.

Τ	MS. BROWN: My problem. Issue 30 is also a possible
2	stipulation.
3	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
4	MS. BROWN: Staff's position on this is, yes, FPUC's
5	forecasts for the projected test year are appropriate. I'm
6	waiting for a position by OPC.
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Uh-huh. Ms. Christensen.
8	MS. CHRISTENSEN: We would take "No position" on
9	this.
10	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Issue 30, no position.
11	Mr. Horton?
12	MR. HORTON: We can agree with staff.
13	MS. BROWN: Okay. That will be a stipulated issue.
14	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So that's stipulated
15	now. Are we ready to move on to quality of service?
16	MS. BROWN: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Issue 31.
18	MS. BROWN: That's a possible stipulation with a
19	position from OPC.
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Christensen.
21	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Hold on just a moment.
22	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Sure. Take your time.
23	We're okay.
24	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I would take a "No position" at
25	this time.

1	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: No position by OPC.
2	MS. BROWN: No position or no position at this time?
3	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I'm sorry. "No position."
4	MS. BROWN: All right.
5	MR. HORTON: And we can agree with staff.
6	MS. BROWN: Staff's language is, to the question is,
7	"Yes. Expert and customer testimony, as well as FPUC's annual
8	distribution report and the Commission's service reliability
9	review show that the quality of electric service provided by
10	FPUC is adequate." All right.
11	MR. HORTON: Yes, we can agree to that.
12	MS. BROWN: That'll be the position reflected.
13	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Very good. And to
14	rate base.
15	MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, I think the staff will
16	have to I don't, I don't see any possible stipulations until
17	Issue 45.
18	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: From to 45?
19	MS. BROWN: Well, actually and the first one, "Has
20	the company removed all non-utility activities from rate base?"
21	That's Issue 32. Staff's position on this is, yes, they agree
22	with the company's language.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: On Issue 32?
24	MS. BROWN: Yes. So we'd be waiting to hear from OPC
25	on this.

1.4

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: OPC, Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think generally speaking we can take "No position," although we would note on some of these staff's position was, indicated "No position at this time" and they're changing, they're now providing us with a new position. So we may have to have some time to consider what staff's position is before we can decide whether or not we're going to be able to agree with that or not because it appears only some of them were provided in the Draft Prehearing Order and some are being amended as of today. So, you know, I think on this issue we can -- we would prefer to take a "No position," so.

MS. BROWN: You'd prefer to take no position or no position at this time?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I think what I heard you say is you wanted more time to, to understand staff's position?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: No. I think at this point on this issue I feel comfortable taking "No position." But on future issues where staff has taken a position affirmatively now we may take, need to take some more time to consider what staff's new position is to determine whether or not we would like to take either a no position or can agree to it because we haven't had time -- some of them were provided in the most recent Draft Prehearing Order, some are being provided as we speak at this hearing, so it's a little bit --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Just let me know.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. And the other request I would ask -- I mean, if we could go issue by issue through the rate base section because there are a lot of issues and we had a lot of changes and it just, it would be easier, I think, for me to be able to follow them. I don't think we have to take very long with each issue, but it would help me to keep up with any minor changes that I've noted.

MS. BROWN: You want to go through every issue to 134? Okay. I mean --

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think as far as the rate base section goes and the NOI section, because those are the two sections that had the greatest changes. I think the other ones are easier to do in bulk.

MS. BROWN: Okay. Rate base and NOI. Madam Chairman, if I might respond to OPC.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Please.

MS. BROWN: It's my view that the Prehearing

Conference is a good place to try to stipulate as many issues
as possible, especially in a rate case that has 134. So I

would suggest that FPUC and if OPC has some ideas of issues
that can be stipulated, that would be a help too. We're going
to go ahead with the ones that we think we can stipulate to.

And then if Ms. Christensen really feels uncomfortable with it,
she can just not agree to it. That doesn't mean that we can't
continue discussing it after. But I would like to get as much

of this stipulated today as we can is my view.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I'm, I'm happy to consider whatever issues staff thinks that may be a possible resolution for a stipulation. As far as any maybe additional issues where we have an actual affirmative position, as I said, FPUC and OPC will be meeting tomorrow to see if there are some further issues we can stipulate to. Because, like everyone else, we'd like to get down to just those issues that are, need to be litigated. And as far as language today, it's just we're having to consider language here, you know, that may be presented for the first time here and that just -- it makes it a little bit more difficult, but we'll do our best to push through.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Why don't we try to go ahead with those that we think that we have some type of agreement on. And, Martha, if you would --

MS. BROWN: All right. Certainly. That would be fine. There actually aren't all that many that staff has positions on at this point, but we do intend to continue to work on this and try to get as many of these done as we can.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, I would hope so. I think that would be wise. Okay.

MS. BROWN: Now with the rate base section, I heard Ms. Christensen say she wanted to go issue by issue. Is that

1	acceptable to you, Commissioner?
2	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, are there we have
3	how many?
4	MS. BROWN: We have 134 altogether in rate base.
5	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, Ms. Christensen, do
6	you think is it your desire to go through every one of them?
7	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, I think for the rate base
8	issues we're talking from Issue 32 to Issue 61. And I don't
9	have I would just like to, you know, if we could
10	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: You just want a basic kind
11	of like walk-through and see what
12	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yeah. Issue 32. And if we don't
13	have any issue on that, we can just move on to the next one.
14	But because we were back and forth with the Draft Prehearing
15	issue up until today
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Sure.
17	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I just want to make sure I catch
18	all typos and corrections.
19	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Martha, let's start with
20	32.
21	MS. BROWN: Okay. Madam Chairman, I want to staff
22	has just pointed out to me that NOI issues come after that, so
23	we're talking 32 through 118 probably. It shouldn't take that
24	long.
25	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Let's just start going

1 through them and see what we can do. MS. BROWN: Okay. I don't think there are any 2 3 changes to Issue 33, except the Prehearing Order will reflect that the other parties take no position on these issues. 4 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. And just speak up at 5 6 any time as we try to roll through these for questions or 7 comments, please. Okay. We're on, up to --MS. BROWN: 34 8 9 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: -- 34. Okay. 10 MS. BROWN: No changes from staff. 11 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No changes. 12 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: No changes. Okay. MR. HORTON: Commissioner? 13 14 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes, Mr. Horton. MR. HORTON: Our position is fine, but I'm confused 15 by Public Counsel's position on 34. 16 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: On 34. 17 18 MR. HORTON: Because 34, we're talking about 1.9 additions to the 2008 test year, and I don't think that's what 20 Audit Finding 1 refers to. So we're just confused. 21 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Why don't we do this. Our issue --22 the issue in 34, we can move that to OPC's position for Issue 35. 23 24 MR. HORTON: Okay. 25 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Which discusses the missing

invoices. Okay. And we would take just then a "No position" 1 2 on the Issue 34. 3 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 34. Okay. MR. HORTON: Thank you. 4 MS. BROWN: Staff has no further changes and we'll 5 reflect that in the Draft Prehearing. 6 7 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Great. Show that reflected in the record, and we'll move on to --8 9 MS. CHRISTENSEN: I'm sorry. Are we on Issue 36? 10 MS. BROWN: I think we're on 36 now. 11 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 36. Right. 12 MS. BROWN: Staff has no changes. 13 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No changes for OPC. 14 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Horton? 15 MR. HORTON: No changes. MS. CHRISTENSEN: 16 I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. 17 MS. CHRISTENSEN: I would just delete on OPC's 18 position, "Same as Issue 82." I think the issue number 19 changed, so I'd just delete that sentence and start with "FPUC 20 constructed a wall." 21 22 MS. BROWN: Okay. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Have you got that? 23 24 MS. BROWN: Yes. 25 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. 37.

1	MS. BROWN: No changes for staff.
2	MS. CHRISTENSEN: On Issue 37 in OPC's position I
3	would also delete the sentence same as position "Same
4	position as Issue 95" since the issue numbers have changed.
5	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Issue 95?
6	MS. CHRISTENSEN: And just start with "FPUC removed."
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. You're okay with
8	that? Okay. Let's move to 38.
9	MS. BROWN: Staff has no changes.
10	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And Ms. Christensen.
11	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No changes for OPC.
12	MR. HORTON: No changes.
13	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Mr. Horton, did you
14	
15	MR. HORTON: No changes.
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 39.
17	MS. BROWN: No changes for staff.
18	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No changes for OPC.
19	MR. HORTON: No changes.
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
21	MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, OPC's position is "No
22	position at this time." Is this another one of these that
23	they're going to get back to us on on Thursday?
24	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Christensen?
25	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I guess our, our position at this

1	point is we're not sure what, why this is an issue in this
2	case. There's no testimony that we can find on the issue.
3	MS. BROWN: Well, I'm not sure of the significance of
4	that.
5	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Are you waiting, are you
6	waiting for something or is it "No position at this time"?
7	MS. CHRISTENSEN: No. I think this was an issue that
8	was raised by staff, and I don't think there's any, been any
9	testimony filed regarding this from at least our standpoint or
10	other than what was in the MFR. So I don't know, I don't know
11	that it's necessarily even in dispute, if it needs to remain an
12	issue. I don't know. Staff may need this as an issue if this
13	is one of those findings that you need for the rate case. I
14	don't know.
15	MS. BROWN: May we just have a minute?
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Sure. Let's take a
17	couple of minutes and we'll get back to it in a second.
18	(Pause.)
19	MS. BROWN: Madam Commissioner, staff agrees with OPC
20	and would be willing to drop this issue with FPUC's agreement.
21	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Horton.
22	MR. HORTON: We have no objection.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: No objection. Okay. Show
24	that issue dropped. And let's move on to Issue 40. Yes.
25	MS. CHRISTENSEN: OPC would have the, the same

position as the last one. We don't know that it's actually in dispute. It was raised as a staff issue. I don't know whether we need to even have the issue in the proceeding, unless staff feels that they need to have the issue.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Staff on Issue 40. Okay. We'll give you a minute.

(Pause.)

MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, staff needs that issue in the case. They have no changes to -- we have no changes to our position at this time.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. No changes.

Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Our concern with changing our position at this time is we don't, we're not sure where staff's position is and if there's outstanding testimony or outstanding discovery that may change our position in the future. We don't have an issue with it at this time, but I would hate to prematurely take a no position if we, if there's outstanding discovery or such that might change our position in the future.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Anything else to add, Martha?

MS. BROWN: Well, I think the parties to the case need to have positions on the issues and not have it be speculative based on what staff's position might be down the road. Staff's position might not be finalized until after the

hearing. We'll take no position all the way through the hearing if we're not certain of what the evidence is going to show. So I don't think that's sufficient good cause to avoid taking a position at the Prehearing Conference.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, and my response to that would be is we may or may not agree ultimately with what is adduced at hearing, and I think, I think it just places us at a disadvantage if staff is allowed to take no position and then ask questions on it at the hearing and then we're foreclosed from taking a position on it at the hearing.

I think, you know, we try and take as many positions as possible or no positions where reasonable. There are some issues where they're raised by staff, they want to have it continue as an open issue because they feel that some evidence is going to come into the record. And I would -- as an issue of fairness that the parties should be allowed to address those issues in the posthearing brief or take a no position at the posthearing brief and not be disadvantaged by the prehearing process.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Staff, does it kind of close the door on OPC then if something does come up?

MS. BROWN: Excuse me?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Does it close the door on OPC to do that?

MS. BROWN: If they take no position?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Uh-huh.

2.5

MS. BROWN: Yes, it does. And I'd like to read the last sentence of the first main paragraph on Page 6 of the Order Establishing Procedure.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay

MS. BROWN: Which says -- and this comes after the part about what the parties have to do at the Prehearing Conference. It says, "Commission staff may take no position at this time or a similar position on any issue without having to make the showing described above." I don't think OPC has made the showing described in that paragraph.

And actually the OEP says that "If the party is unable to take a position on a matter, it shall explicitly state that in its prehearing statement." We haven't really held OPC to that requirement, but at least at the Prehearing Conference they ought to take a position on the issue. Then if something comes up at the hearing that's untoward or unforeseen, the Commission is not usually inclined to refuse to listen to those good cause arguments. But I think just as a matter of practice we ought to stick with what we've done for many, many, many years.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I would ask maybe this compromise, to take no position pending evidence adduced at hearing. And if there is evidence adduced at hearing which would, which

1	would warrant a position, then we would take it.
2	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I think we would take your
3	concerns into consideration at that time if something arises.
4	MS. BROWN: So I think that means no position or take
5	a position.
6	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. Would you like a
7	couple of minutes? Do you want to do that, think about it?
8	MS. CHRISTENSEN: If we could.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Sure. Let's take a
10	five-minute break. Okay?
11	(Recess taken.)
12	Okay. I think we're ready to start back up.
13	Ms. Christensen.
14	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, after discussion
15	amongst ourselves, at this point in time we'll take a position
16	and answer in the affirmative and say "Yes."
17	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. And if you have
L8	concerns down the road, I mean, just bring them up and, of
L9	course, you know, it'll be up to all the Commissioners, but I
20	will make sure that that concern was here today.
21	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yeah. I think at this point we'll
22	just either take an affirmative or a negative depending on the
23	question.
24	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
25	MS. CHRISTENSEN: And if it resolves itself through

the hearing process, then it resolves itself through the hearing process.

MR. HATCH: Madam Chair, I hate, I hate to meddle, I truly do, but just some of the stuff is sort of -- I want to make sure that I understand what everybody is thinking.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Sure. Please do.

MR. HATCH: Is that -- we've kind of got two things going on here as I understand it, and that's taking no position prior to the hearing. Now the Commission's rules and the Prehearing Order clearly try to get everybody to lay out their cards on the table as best they possibly can all the way up to the hearing, and the Prehearing Conference is where you try and do that. And so you can legitimately take, I believe, no position on an issue all the way up to the hearing.

Now I think staff is doing something, which I think is a very good idea, in terms of trying to get as many issues cleared out and off the table to limit what you've got to worry about during the hearing such that what it appears is going on is wherever a party takes no position or all the parties take no position on one issue, then you've got FPUC's position.

Then the question is does everybody agree with FPUC's position versus are they still stuck at no position? And I don't think you can say automatically that if there's no position, that you automatically stipulate to FPUC. So it seems like we're kind of drifting back and forth across the line and I'm just trying

1	to get clear in my own mind where we are.
2	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Okay.
3	MR. HATCH: I think that, you know, if everybody can
4	say I can agree to FPUC's position, then you have a legitimate
5	stipulation as to that issue and it goes away versus no
6	position, in which case you have to wait 'til the record closes
7	to be able to take that position.
8	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. All right. We
9	probably need to make that very clear, so, staff.
10	MS. BROWN: Madam Commissioner, that isn't my
11	understanding of what the Order Establishing Procedure said or
12	what this process is about.
13	If a party takes no position, they are taking no
14	affirmative position, active position on an issue at hearing.
15	And if they take no position, they're precluded from addressing
16	it in the briefs after the hearing.
L7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: After the hearing.
L8	MS. BROWN: That's my understanding.
L9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: But if it's taking no
20	position at this time
21	MR. HATCH: Madam
22	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm sorry. Let staff
23	I'm sorry. Go ahead, staff, finish.
24	MS. BROWN: The no position at this time really is
_	nretty much at this point in the process reserved for staff

because staff is the one that's going to do the recommendation, is going to need the evidence during the hearing often to fill out the record and to fill out its understanding of the issues and to be able to recommend it. It's not available to the parties. If the parties take no position on an issue, they, they are not necessarily affirmatively agreeing to the stipulated position on the issue that staff and the, and the company will reach, and the Prehearing Order usually reflects that. But that doesn't mean they then later on get to reopen it all and take a position after the hearing or even at the hearing. That's my understanding of it.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Hatch.

MR. HATCH: My only response is that nothing precludes or forces a person to take a position up to the hearing. Their position can change as a result of the hearing. That the only time there's any waiver is posthearing. Under the rules if you take no position posthearing, then you've given it up. Because you've got a record, everything is there on the table, you can make your best judgment as to what you think. You can take a no position posthearing. If that's the case, then you avoid that issue subsequently. But that's not going into the hearing or even during the hearing.

MS. HELTON: Commissioner Argenziano, this is Mary Anne Helton.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Come on. Chime in. Give

me some help here.

MS. HELTON: I've been quiet up to now. I think it would help add clarity to what we're discussing today to talk about what the actual language is in the Order Establishing Procedure. And let me just preface all that, I'm going to read it, but let me preface it by saying I do disagree with Mr. Hatch. It may have been that way at one time, but I think that we've -- if it was, we've changed the process and it's laid out in the Order Establishing Procedure.

I'm reading from not -- a different Order

Establishing Procedure. No. Strike that. I'm reading from
the correct Order Establishing Procedure, and it's on Page
6 under Waiver of Issues.

"Any issue not raised by a party either before or during the Prehearing Conference shall be waived by that party except for good cause shown. A party seeking to raise a new issue after the Prehearing Conference shall demonstrate each of the following." I don't think that's what we're talking about here so I'm going to skip to the next paragraph.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.

MS. HELTON: "Unless a matter is not at issue for that party, each party shall take a position on each issue by the time of the Prehearing Conference or by such later time as may be permitted by the Prehearing Officer." So I do believe you have discretion to extend the time as we've already done

with Ms. Christensen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"If a party is unable through diligence and good-faith efforts to take a position on a matter at issue for that party, it shall explicitly state in its Prehearing Conference why it cannot take a position, " excuse me, "prehearing statement why it cannot take a position. Prehearing Officer finds that the party has acted diligently and in good faith to take the position and further finds that the party's failure to take a position will not prejudice other parties or confuse the proceeding, the party may maintain no position at this time prior to hearing and thereafter identify its position in a posthearing statement of issues. In the absence of such a finding by the Prehearing Officer, the party shall have waived the entire issue and the party's position shall be shown as no position in the Prehearing Order. When an issue and position have been properly identified, any party may adopt that issue and position in its posthearing statement. Commission staff, however, which is not really a true party, may take no position at this time or a similar position on any issue without having to make the showing described above."

So you do have the discretion to find that a party has acted in good faith and that if it truly cannot for a good reason come up with a position today, its position can be no position at this time. However, I believe that that should be a rarity.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I tend to agree unless you have a good reason as is stated. So, Mr. Hatch, does that help you at all?

MR. HATCH: It clarifies what staff is talking about. It seems as though perhaps the Commission, at least that position in the Prehearing Order, which, you know, you have a lot of latitude in governing a proceeding clearly, it seems as though you are making the mountain way too high for normal people to cross, frankly. I don't think that that's certainly not an historic practice but it is perhaps a recent development that, a wrinkle that I just didn't pay attention to. I'm not sure that it is consistent with the Commission's rules per se, although it certainly is in the prehearing procedure order.

MS. HELTON: I know -- I can't remember -- I know it's been that way for quite a while. And I think that's in fairness to all the parties to know what they have to prepare for for hearing and what's going to be at issue and what's not going to be at issue, what's going to be disputed, what's not going to be disputed.

MR. HATCH: I don't disagree with that.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: And we don't disagree with that. I think the only issue that we had were these are issues that have been raised by staff, that staff is essentially raising them as an issue, will have presumably some position on them or will prepare some sort of testimony or cross-examination or

documentation that they're going to introduce at the hearing to support these issues, and it's putting us in an unfair position because we don't know today what staff's position is. We won't know until the hearing what staff believes or what evidence staff believes they're going to show, so we can't take a more affirmative position. And that -- those issues are the ones that we're having a difficulty with. Not the issues we've raised, not the issues that FPUC has raised, but for those issues that staff specifically has raised, has not put down a position as of today, and I think that's, that's the contention that we have here.

And, you know, if we're forced to take an affirmative or a negative position, we certainly will. I mean, that will preserve our space. But, but that's where you're getting the position of having the no position is coming and being problematic is because --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: You're just not certain.
Okay.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: -- there's no, there's no -- staff hasn't filed testimony on these issues, there isn't anything in the record. For which there were, you know, stuff that's already been developed, we've been taking an affirmative position. But for those issues that staff still wants to go forward with where there's no position, we're kind of at a disadvantage to go ahead and be precluded or have to take a no

position would put us at a disadvantage because if it's something that's beneficial to the customers, we'd like to take advantage of it.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Keating.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KEATING: I just want to add we share a very similar concern, and ours is perhaps maybe even more profound because we have now changed our position to "No position" on a number of issues because we were endeavoring to preserve our right to conduct cross-examination at hearing if, in fact, staff or FPUC or a third party brings up testimony that we believe in the context of the hearing that it could be detrimental to our client's interest. And there are certain issues where we have had concerns, testimony that has hinted at things that might impact us. But at this point in time we can't say we really have a position on the issue itself or on anything that FPUC has said in its testimony, but the concern lingers that there are certain issues and certain propositions that have been suggested that we might at the hearing decide we feel we need to respond to, be it through cross-examination or a change of position posthearing. And I just -- I understand where staff is coming from, but I don't know where that puts us as far as taking a position.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: There are some legitimate concerns I'm hearing and I probably have to have a better understanding. I can see where everybody is going. But if

staff brings up something or something comes up later on that they just are not aware of today, how do they address it if they have legitimate concerns without having to jump through hoops?

1.0

MS. HELTON: I think you've already said today that if a party hears something at the hearing that they, that was information that they did not know beforehand, that they can raise that as an issue for which they want to take a position on that they didn't take a position before if they can show good cause.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. But let me ask you this: Is that going to come up for a vote from all the Commissioners? I as one Commissioner can say yes if something comes up because I'm sitting here as the Prehearing Officer knowing that that's a concern. But would it be something that could be impossible when the hearing comes?

MS. HELTON: I think that's something that they would brief after the hearing.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: And, again, that puts an additional burden -- excuse me. That would put an additional burden on us because we not only have to show that it was new evidence that we didn't have available maybe to us before hearing but maybe we did in some discovery but we didn't understand how it was going to be used. It puts an additional burden on those of us

for issues that have been raised by staff. I mean, we're certainly going to take an affirmative position in today's prehearing and I think that will resolve the issue from our perspective. But that is one concern that I think would need to be -- it maybe doesn't need to be addressed at today's hearing if we take an affirmative position, but it certainly is a due process issue.

1.0

MS. HELTON: I'm really surprised to hear all these arguments, quite frankly, Commissioner Argenziano. This is a process that we have been using for quite a few years. I'm not

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm having a hard time figuring out that this has not happened before and it's waited for me today. So I'm trying to get it all in its proper perspective, and I can see that there may be some other things going on here. But I need to know --

MS. CHRISTENSEN: And I will grant you, there was a changeover from being allowed to take no position at this time up until the hearing to a more affirmative direction by staff trying to get people to take a certain position or no position. I have not been in the position before where staff has raised issues and been in a position where I had to take no position or, or lose essentially our right to talk about the issue before myself.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Wait.

47 MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman --1 2 MS. CHRISTENSEN: So it's coming up for me in this context for myself. I can't speak of it coming up in other 3 4 contexts. 5 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Let me do it this way. 6 need to ask our counsel, will they lose their right to speak up 7 if new evidence comes up? Walk me through the process since 8 I'm needing help getting there. I don't want that to happen to 9 anyone, but I want to make sure that they have a way of 10 addressing something that legitimately could come up. 11 MS. HELTON: I do not believe they would. Ms. Brown, 12 if she disagrees --13 MS. BROWN: I do a little bit. 14 MS. HELTON: Okay. 15 MS. BROWN: I think for in circumstances where the 16 parties could show real surprise and some potential harm from new evidence or some unsuspected occurrence during the hearing, 17 18 that they could make a motion and ask to be able to brief it and the Chairman could make that decision. It's a procedural 19 20 question. And I don't think the Commission would foreclose anything like that. 21

If I might add one more thing.

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Please.

MS. BROWN: There is another side to this, and the reason that the, the Order Establishing Procedure is in place is to bring some firmness and closure to a discussion of what the issues are going to be in preparation for hearing, and it is a hardship to the parties not to have that closure until way past the hearing at the stage where everybody is filing briefs about everything. That's the reason it's in there. There's an affirmative positive value to that.

1.3

MS. HELTON: And I agree with what Ms. Brown just said.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And I could see that that, where that is an important reason to do it that way.

I don't think it would preclude you from anything at the time of the hearing. I'll make sure that your concerns are brought forward in the hearing if you raise those concerns at that time. If something comes up, I don't see where it will preclude any action by you and I'll make sure that this was, this discussion today moves forward with us to the hearing if need be. So let's, let's just go with what staff recommends at this time and we need to take a position. And we'll make sure that when that time of hearing comes, that if you have concerns and you express those concerns, I'll be there to make sure that they're heard. Okay? Where were we? Where were we left, at forty --

MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, I want to confer with my boss for just one second.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Let's take another

few minutes, please, three or four minutes, and we'll come back.

(Recess taken.)

Okay. Let's reconvene and get this thing moving along. Staff, Martha.

MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, I have a suggestion that I hope will, that might help, and that would be in light of what you just said about being open to any surprise that might occur at the hearing or anything untoward that might happen, we can put something in writing in the Prehearing Order that would express that, and then the parties for good cause shown at the hearing could ask for, could move to be able to cross-examine or brief the particular issue that they took no position on.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: That's fine. I think probably throughout this process I'll just endeavor to take a position and that will preserve all my rights.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That's fine.

Ms. Keating.

MS. KEATING: I think that will be fine. That's certainly helpful. I'm sort of hashing this out with co-counsel, and we may elect before the close of this prehearing to change and take a position on certain of the issues, if that's all right with you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Very good. Okay.

Let's move forward. 1 2 MS. BROWN: Well, Madam Commissioner, I think we were 3 on Issue 40. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 40. 4 MS. BROWN: And my understanding was OPC's position 5 was "No." 6 MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think we're changing our position 7 8 to "Yes." If you read the question, "Should an adjustment be 9 made for Plant Retirements for the projected test year," and 10 we'll just simply answer "Yes." 11 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Any of the other 12 parties? Let's move on to 41. 13 MS. BROWN: Madam, Commissioner, I think the purpose 14 of going issue by issue as OPC requested was to see if we could 15 reach stipulations. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 16 MS. BROWN: If OPC is not interested in stipulating 17 any of these issues, we might as well just do it in a big 18 bunch. And where we think there's a stipulation or if there's 19 a change that needs to be made, we can move this along. 20

a change that needs to be made, we can move this along.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Why don't we, why
don't we take that approach. Let's see where we can -- if
there are stipulations, things that we can, that can be

stipulated in. And, if not --

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHRISTENSEN: If you can give me a minute, I

51 think that one of the issues now that I have concern with is 1 there are some issues which I've taken "No position at this 2 time" because they were staff raised issues that the Commission 3 has requested that we take an affirmative position on. 4 need to change those positions. So if we're not going to go 5 issue by issue, if you can give us maybe just a few minutes, we 6 can go through and try and identify those issues. 7 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Let's take --8 MS. CHRISTENSEN: And we had some minor typographical 9 corrections. If the Commissioner just wants us to provide 10 those to staff. Some of them were just to delete some of those 11 issue numbers that have changed periodically. We can do that. 12 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. That's fine to do it 13 that way. I'm sorry. Martha? 14 MS. BROWN: Well, if it would help everyone, OPC 15

could put that together and send it to staff and we could have it reflected in the final Prehearing Order and we don't have to

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That would be, that would be -- if there's no objection.

That would be fine. I mean, I'm MS. CHRISTENSEN: certainly happy to go through and do an e-mail.

That also would have to be, we would have MS. BROWN: to have that by Thursday.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think we can do that as far as

for those issues that we need to take a position one way or the 1 2 other. They'll be simple one-word positions and we'll try to 3 do a type and strike on that to save some time. 4 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Great. So you need 5 five minutes? 6 MS. CHRISTENSEN: If -- for those ones where we can 7 take a position, we can just do that through an e-mail of the 8 order. If there's any of the issues that staff has some 9 proposed language that they would like us to stipulate, if we 10 can see that language, that would help us also to come to 11 more -- we might be able to either affirmatively agree to the 12 stipulation or just take a no objection stance, so. 13 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Martha? 14 MS. BROWN: We'll continue to work with OPC to try to reach as many stipulations on these typical rate case issues as 15 16 we can. 17 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Ms. Keating, you're 18 okay? 19 MS. KEATING: I'm okay. 20 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Then let's just move 21 alonq. If we're not -- we're not going to go issue by issue 22 now; is that correct? 23 I think that's, that's correct. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Are we going to -- okay. 24 25 MS. CHRISTENSEN: The only other change that I would

1	have to the section other than what we've just previously
2	discussed, Issue 59 under OPC's position, there's a second
3	paragraph starting, "No. The Company's projected methodology
4	results," I would ask to delete that paragraph. That was a
5	position from another issue, in Issue 45, so I would just
6	delete that.
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Did staff get that?
8	MS. BROWN: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So
10	MS. CHRISTENSEN: My understanding is also Issue 45,
11	we would be in a position to request that it be dropped.
12	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 45.
13	MS. BROWN: 45?
14	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That it be dropped.
16	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think that was an issue that was
17	raised by OPC and we're
18	MS. BROWN: Staff is willing to stipulate to this
19	issue but not willing to drop it.
20	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, if it's, if it's our raised
21	issue, are we in the do we have the ability to withdraw the
22	issue if it's an issue that we raised? I don't remember.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Can it be withdrawn?
24	MS. CHRISTENSEN: It's no longer an issue.
25	MS. BROWN: Staff says it doesn't matter that much

1	and we'll agree to drop it.
2	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Show Issue 45
3	dropped.
4	MR. HORTON: Commissioner, might I inquire as to
5	Issue 42, whether that could be stipulated?
6	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Issue 42?
7	MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am. It appears the positions
8	are the same.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Martha?
10	MS. BROWN: Staff isn't prepared to do that yet
11	because we need the adjustments and we're not, we don't have
12	the actual adjustments. The issue says, "What adjustments, if
13	any, should be made?"
14	MR. HORTON: Okay. Okay.
15	MS. BROWN: Okay. So we'll just leave it.
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So we'll leave that.
17	Ms. Christensen.
18	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I don't believe we have any further
19	issues with that section.
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Any of the other
21	parties? Staff?
22	MS. BROWN: Nothing further on this section.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So are we ready to
24	move to cost of capital?
25	MS. BROWN: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Let's do so.
2	MS. CHRISTENSEN: I'm sorry. Issue 50.
3	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: We're backtracking, going
4	back. Issue 50.
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yeah. Issue 50 we've taken a
6	position on the pension liability. We provided that to staff
7	and all the parties.
8	MS. BROWN: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that very
9	clearly.
10	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Can you repeat that,
11	please, on Issue 50?
12	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. On Issue 50 we can e-mail
13	our position to staff. I think I may have also provided a
14	printed copy of it, but we can e-mail that to staff.
15	MS. BROWN: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: By Thursday?
17	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes. We can do that by Thursday.
18	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Okay. All right.
19	Anything else? And that staff, okay, we're ready to move to
20	cost of capital.
21	MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner. My understanding is
22	that FPUC has one change to make to its position on Issue 65.
23	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I have Mr. Horton. Did you
24	say 65 or 55?
, .	MC RDOWN: 65

Τ	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
2	MS. BROWN: Which is on Page 49.
3	MR. HORTON: Yes. And we had provided that to staff.
4	Somehow the first sentence in that second paragraph there, we
5	struck that and it continued to get picked up. But it should
6	be stricken, the sentence that starts "The company proposes."
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: "The company," just that
8	sentence?
9	MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am.
10	MS. BROWN: We'll reflect that in the Prehearing
11	Order.
12	MR. HORTON: Second paragraph, it starts
13	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: "The company proposes"?
14	MR. HORTON: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I may be looking
15	at a different version than what but it's on Page 49 of the
16	one I'm looking at, and it's the, the last paragraph of our
17	position and it starts, "The company proposes that." It's that
18	sentence.
19	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So strike that
20	sentence. Staff.
21	MS. BROWN: Staff has nothing, no other changes in
22	this section if all the other parties don't. Now I'm assuming
23	
24	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Keating.
25	MS. BROWN: that staff will try to take as many

1 positions as possible and then we'll continue to try to 2 stipulate as many of these issues as we can. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Christensen. 3 MS. CHRISTENSEN: As I said, we're going to have some 4 5 discussions with the company tomorrow. If there's possible stipulations, we will certainly be in discussions. I'm not 6 7 sure that overall we're -- I mean, there may be some possible 8 stipulations. There are going to be some issues that I think 9 we're going to have some disagreements on, but we'll know by 10 tomorrow. 11 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. I hope you'll 12 continue discussing the issues. 13 Okay. Are we ready to -- net operating income? MS. BROWN: Yes. 14 15 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Let's move on to 16 that. 17 MS. BROWN: Staff has no changes to any positions on 18 those issues. 19 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Any of the parties? 20 MS. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. May we go back? 21 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: To where? 22 MS. BROWN: To Issue 72, which is on Page 53. 23 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 72 on 53. 24 MS. BROWN: I don't know if we're in the same game 25 here with OPC's position.

1	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: OPC. Okay.
2	MS. BROWN: Staff has a position in this draft and
3	OPC has been able to see it.
4	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Ms. Christensen.
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, we have a preliminary
6	question whether or not these are issues that need to have an
7	affirmative or a finding made on them.
8	MS. BROWN: They're standard rate case issues and,
9	yes, we think they do.
10	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. Because we, we would then at
11	this point just take "No position" on 72 and 73.
12	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Let's anybody
13	else? Mr. Horton, did you have
14	MR. HORTON: Excuse me. We could agree with the
15	staff's position on both 72 and 73.
16	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
17	MS. BROWN: Then we'll show those as stipulated
18	issues.
19	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Show those stipulated.
20	And, Ms. Keating, any, or Mr. Hatch? We're okay on that?
21	Okay. Let's move to net operating income on Page 51. Okay.
22	Issue 70.
23	MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, staff has one possible
24	stipulation on Issue 108.
25	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Wait a minute. 108.

1	MS. BROWN: Which is on Page 77 at the top there.
2	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm sorry. Did you say
3	108?
4	MS. BROWN: 108 on Page 77.
5	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Page 77.
6	MS. BROWN: It appears that this is a stipulated
7	issue that FPUC agrees with staff's language. It's the same as
8	OPC's language.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Any of the parties?
10	Ms. Christensen?
11	MS. CHRISTENSEN: That's fine.
12	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Fine? Okay.
13	MS. BROWN: All right. We'll show that as a
14	stipulated issue.
15	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Show that stipulated.
16	Okay. Okay. Revenue requirements.
17	MR. HORTON: Excuse me, Commissioner.
18	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes, Mr. Horton.
19	MR. HORTON: Issue 118.
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 118.
21	MR. HORTON: In our, in our position
22	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Page 82?
23	MR. HORTON: Yes. Page 82. Yes, ma'am.
24	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Uh-huh.
25	MR. HORTON: In our position "Income Taxes Expense"

1	I should be selleken and wee operating income bhould be
2	inserted.
3	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Income taxes income tax
4	expenses actually it's
5	MR. HORTON: Should be stricken. And "Net Operating
6	Income" substituted there, inserted there.
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Inserted. Staff?
8	MS. BROWN: Yes. We'll show that in the final
9	Prehearing Order.
10	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Any other comments
11	on that?
12	Okay. Revenue requirements. Mr. Horton, were you
13	done?
14	MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am.
15	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
16	MS. CHRISTENSEN: We have just a few changes. Issue
17	112, one, we believe the issue is duplicative of Issue 86. But
18	that being said, we would just change our position to "See
19	position on Issue 86" since they're the same.
20	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: On 112, Issue 112, see
21	position
22	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes. Issue 112, we believe that's
23	the same issue that's already been discussed under Issue 86.
24	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. 86.
25	MS. CHRISTENSEN: And that being the case, we took no

position under here since we originally believed it to be a duplicative, excuse me, issue. If it's going to be, remain in the order, we would just say "See position on Issue 86," and that will fully direct them back to the appropriate issue.

MS. BROWN: Madam Commissioner, staff will look to see if those are duplicative and get with the company and figure out which one we should use if they are. If not, we will reflect the position that OPC presented.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Good.

1.8

MR. HORTON: And, Commissioner, we will discuss that with Public Counsel tomorrow and explain.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Great. Okay. Any other comments there? Okay. Hearing none, cost of service and rate design on Page 83, I believe.

MS. BROWN: Madam Chairman, Madam Commissioner, FPUC has not taken any position on these cost of service and rate design issues -- I mean, OPC has not taken any. FPUC has, and FPUC and staff are discussing language to potentially stipulate all of these issues. They're not there yet. But the final Prehearing Order will reflect that. We imagine we can stipulate most of these rate design issues. And OPC has not taken a position on them. I just wanted to let you know that, that that's coming.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: All right. Thank you. Any other comments? Okay. Let's go to cost of service -- I'm

1	sorry. Other issues, any other issues? Let me see where I am
2	now.
3	MS. BROWN: Madam Commissioner.
4	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes.
5	MS. BROWN: We have a possible stipulation on Issue
6	.133 on Page 88.
7	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. 88. I'm sorry.
8	MS. BROWN: Well, that's one that we have, we have
9	the same language for the position on this issue that FPUC
10	does, so we'll show that as a stipulated issue.
11	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
12	MS. BROWN: And in other issues, Issue 135 on Page
13	89.
14	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 135, Issue 135. Sorry.
15	MS. BROWN: We have different language in this, but
16	we all get to the same place. And if the parties would be
17	willing to accept staff's wording on this position, we could
18	stipulate this issue.
19	MR. HORTON: FPUC could agree with the staff
20	language.
21	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Ms. Christensen.
22	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel can agree
23	with the staff proposed language.
24	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Hatch, no objections?
25	MR. HATCH: No objection.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Ms. Keating. 1 MS. KEATING: No objection. 2 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: No objection. Okay. 3 4 that agreed upon. We'll show this as a stipulated issue. MS. BROWN: 5 MS. CHRISTENSEN: 6 Commissioner, can I address Issue 134 is whether or not any of the interim rate increase 7 134? should be refunded to customers. 8 Uh-huh. 9 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: 10 MS. CHRISTENSEN: We've taken no position at this time because it's essentially a fallout issue. If our 11 adjustments end up being lower than what the interim rates were 12 granted, then we would obviously take an affirmative position. 13 But if, you know, with all of the adjustments it ended up being 14 higher than that, we'd be -- so it's kind of difficult for us 15 16 to take a position one way or the other without knowing what all the final adjustments will end up being. And I don't know 17 18 if this is an issue that we could be allowed to maintain a no 19 position at this time. MS. BROWN: Well --20 MS. CHRISTENSEN: I mean, it really is almost in my 21 perspective a fallout type of an issue. 22 MS. BROWN: Staff doesn't have a problem with that in 23 this circumstance. 24 25 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I think there's good reason

not to. Okay. We'll note that. Thank you very much. 1 Ms. Keating, did you have a comment? 2 MS. KEATING: Well, if this is the appropriate time, 3 I think we have revisited our decision to change our position 4 5 to no position on certain issues. And if it's appropriate, we would like to take a, a position on certain issues. 6 7 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Absolutely. MS. KEATING: Is this --8 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I think it's time. 9 10 MS. KEATING: Okay. The issues we would like to take 11 a position on are Issues 17 through 21, 23 and 24. And our 12 position for each issue would read the same and it would be 13 this, "Nothing determined in the rate case should be deemed to 14 supersede or conflict with cable operators' rights obtained 15 through contract or stipulation or under federal law." MS. BROWN: Can you repeat that one more time? 16 MS. KEATING: I can certainly e-mail this to staff as 17 well. 18 But --COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That would probably be 19 good. And then let me give staff a few minutes to look that 20 21 over. MS. KEATING: It's "Nothing determined in the rate 22 case may be deemed to supersede or conflict with cable 23

operators' rights obtained through contract or stipulation or under federal law."

24

25

MS. BROWN: Madam Commissioner, if I could have a 1 minute. 2 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Absolutely. 3 MS. BROWN: I don't think staff is really going to 4 have a problem including that language in the position to the 5 issue. 6 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Let's take a couple 7 of minutes and just have everybody feel comfortable with --8 MS. BROWN: Yeah. I just want to confer with them on 9 that. Thanks. 10 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Take a three-minute 11 break. Sorry, Mr. Hatch. Go ahead. 12 MR. HATCH: I was just going to say if staff is going 13 to take the position that taking no position is a waiver of 14 that issue when the hearing comes, then I would take the same 15 position and substitute AT&T Florida for the cable operators. 16 MS. BROWN: Could we just have a minute? 17 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. A two-minute break. 18 19 (Recess taken.) MS. BROWN: After conferring with staff, we think 20 it's probably at this time better to reflect the change in the 21 language for each of the other parties as their position 22 because we're not far enough along on the numbers and the 23 substantive resolution of those issues to be able to stipulate. 24 It could be that we'll get there but we're not there yet. 25

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
MS. BROWN: So this language will be reflected. I
would assume AT&T and Embarq would also like that language in
their positions.
MS. MASTERTON: Sure. Yes.
MS. BROWN: And if
COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm sorry. I should have
asked you and I I think so. I think that was a yes.
MS. BROWN: And I need a repeat of the issues that
this language will be included in.
COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm sorry?
MS. BROWN: I need a repeat of the issues that this
language will be in.
COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Are we going to
repeat the issues, Ms. Keating?
MS. KEATING: The issues that we would change our
position
COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes.
MS. KEATING: to the stated position
COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. Please.
MS. KEATING: would be Issues 17 through 21 and
Issue 23 and 24.
COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
MR. YOUNG: And, Madam Commissioner, just for a point
of inquiry for AT&T and Embarq, instead of cable operators, do

1	you want to change that language because as for right now it
2	stands for cable operators?
3	MR. HATCH: I thought I had made that change earlier.
4	It would be I'm assuming I won't speak for Embarq, but
5	for AT&T, substitute cable operators for or AT&T for cable
6	operators. Sorry.
7	MS. MASTERTON: And the same change for Embarq.
8	Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
10	MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
11	MS. BROWN: And we'll reflect those changes in the
12	final Prehearing Order.
13	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Great. Okay. It will be
14	reflected in the final order. Okay. Are we staff, are we
15	done with issues and positions at this time?
16	MS. BROWN: Yes.
17	MR. YOUNG: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Okay. Let's move on
19	to the exhibit list, please.
20	MR. YOUNG: Madam Commissioner, staff would note for
21	the record that
22	MS. BROWN: I didn't mean to stop you. Oh, go ahead.
23	Go ahead.
24	MR. YOUNG: Staff would note for the record that we
25	will be preparing a Comprehensive Exhibit List consisting of

all prefiled exhibits for the purpose of numbering and identifying the exhibits at the hearing. Staff will provide an exhibit list to all the parties prior to the hearing. Staff also will -- staff also intends to prepare a staff composite exhibit list which will include certain discovery responses and deposition transcripts. Staff will provide the Comprehensive Exhibit List to the parties in advance of the hearing.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Can I make a request concerning the Comprehensive Exhibit List?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Certainly.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: That we be provided that a week before the hearing. It takes some time to pull together that information to make sure that we're in agreement with all of it and it would help resolve it. To the best of everybody's ability. I mean, I realize that we're going to be crunched, but I think it would be helpful to have that. Maybe we could at least have a first draft of the list by then. And we'll try and endeavor to do the same if we would also request to have some stipulated exhibits as well.

MR. YOUNG: Staff will do its best to try to provide that before, a week before the hearing.

MS. BROWN: If I might add to that, we have a, a draft of the Comprehensive Exhibit List already. It doesn't include staff's composite exhibits of all the discovery. That

takes some getting together. I'm not sure we can get it all 1 2 together a whole week ahead, but we'll do our best. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I'm sure staff will make 3 every effort to get it to you as quickly as they can. 4 MS. CHRISTENSEN: It just helps us with being able to 5 pull it and make sure that we don't have objections to it and 6 7 maybe resolve some of those issues ahead of time. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: As noted, it may not be a 8 9 week ahead, but I'm sure that they'll try their very best to 10 get it to you as soon as possible. 11 Was there anything else? 12 MS. BROWN: I don't know if the parties have any 13 changes to their, their exhibits. 14 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Are there any changes to 15 the exhibits? 16 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No changes. 17 MR. HORTON: No changes. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Hearing none, there 18 are none. 19 MR. YOUNG: All right. If we can go back, Madam 20 Commissioner, just for clarification for the record as to order 21 of witnesses, Section VI. 22 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Section VI. Okay. 23 Order of witnesses. Yes. 24 25 MR. YOUNG: As everyone is aware, the storm hardening

docket and the rate case docket were consolidated for all purposes as reflected, as shown in Section VIII, the issues and positions in the case below.

Just for a point of clarification, the storm hardening issues will be addressed first and the order of witnesses will reflect that structure. And then just for the record, FCTA has withdrawn the testimony, prefiled testimony of Michael T. Harrelson.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Any comments? Any further discussion?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: If I could get some clarification. Are we -- is it the intention that the company will put up their witnesses and they will only testify to the storm hardening issues and then come down, and then we would put up the Intervenors, the other Intervenors' testimony, or are we going to put up the company's witnesses in order and then FCTA or AT&T and Embarq's witnesses and then OPC's witnesses? And just so that I'm clear that we're addressing all direct testimony issues when FPUC's witnesses are up there, I assume they're going up as a panel.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Staff, are we doing that?

MS. BROWN: Yes. Madam Chairman, I think our books

and all are set up that way, that FPUC's witnesses for storm

hardening and the rate case will all go up first. But then we

will deal with the particular storm hardening issues if there's

any, if there are any witnesses or testimony, and then OPC will 1 come next. 2 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Did that clarify? 3 MS. BROWN: So for purposes of cross-examination 4 we'll probably do storm hardening stuff to the extent that we 5 can and then rate case stuff. 6 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: While the witness is still 7 seated. 8 MS. BROWN: But with the caveat that I think we're 9 going to have most of those storm hardening issues stipulated. 10 MR. HORTON: Commissioner, may I have just one 11 12 minute? COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Certainly, Mr. Horton. 13 Just take a minute. 14 15 (Pause.) Mr. Horton. 16 MR. HORTON: Thank you, Commissioner. I just wanted 17 to -- I was just trying to get clarification. In, in the 18 300 docket, which is the storm hardening plan docket, 19 Mr. Cutshaw submitted direct testimony and exhibits in that, in 20 that docket. He was the only witness to submit testimony 21 there. And those are basically Issues 1 through 15 or 13,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

whatever it is. It's my -- and we listed him first for those,

those issues. We do address in other witnesses' testimony the

expenses associated with the initiatives and the storm

22

23

24

25

hardening. And I think it would be easier, if you would, if Mr. Cutshaw goes on the stand to support the storm hardening plan as he filed in that docket and then the other witnesses go in the order we show them here as far as the rate case. And we are going to get into some cost issues in the rate case. Cost issues were not raised in the 300 docket. So if that's, if that's what the procedure is, then I just want to get clarification.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Sure. Staff? Martha or Keino?

MR. YOUNG: Staff is fine, staff is fine with that order in terms of taking Mr. Cutshaw up first and then proceeding with all the storm hardening dockets.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: For the storm hardening.
Ms. Christensen.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I think we're fine with that procedural wise. If the issues related to the costs are going to be addressed then mostly when he comes up with the panel, it may help speed things along. I'm not, you know, I'm not sure how many questions we actually have on the storm hardening versus costs related to the storm hardening. They're kind of intertwined but somewhat, somewhat separate, but they're enough of an interaction. With that in mind, I think we can formulate our cross-examination.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Martha.

MS. BROWN: Two things. We'll work with the parties. 1 2 That sounds fine to us and we'll make sure it's all straightened out. 3 The other thing is that this is a consolidated 4 record. So wherever the questions are asked, it'll all be part 5 of the, the evidence. 6 And now I've thought of a third thing, and that is 7 that we'll specifically identify where there's going to be a 8 panel, where witnesses are going to come up together just so 9 10 everyone knows. 11 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: That would be, that would 12 be great. Anybody else on that? Ms. Christensen? 13 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes. That should be fine and that 14 should help in preparation. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Absolutely. Thank you. 15 16 Okay. 17 Mr. Horton, did you have a comment? MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am. One -- I guess we can move 18 into -- well, now I'm all out of order -- posthearing 19 20 procedures. MS. BROWN: Are we quite there yet? 21 MR. HORTON: Well, I don't know. That's what I was 22 asking you. Are we quite there yet? 23 MR. YOUNG: No. We're, we're on -- as it relates to 24 the agenda, we're on the proposed stipulations for the agenda 25

for the Prehearing Conference. 1 MR. HORTON: 2 Okay. COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Right. Okay. So let's do 3 the proposed stipulations. 4 5 MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Madam Commissioner. mentioned today, the parties have entered into a proposed 6 stipulation of the process to engage third party attachers for 7 FPUC's storm hardening plan. Staff recommends that the 8 9 proposed stipulation be taken up at the hearing. Also, the parties and staff are still in the process, as you've heard, in 10 working on other proposed stipulations for some of the issues 11 in this case. Any additional stipulation will be reflected in 12 the post -- Prehearing Order. Excuse me. 13 14 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Any comments? 15 Okay. Let's then move to pending motions. MR. YOUNG: Madam Commissioner, there are three 16 pending motions that will be addressed by written order. 17 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Pending 18 confidentiality matters. 19 20 MR. YOUNG: Staff would note there are several requests for confidentiality, and those motions will be 21 addressed by a written order also. 22 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Very good. 23 Posthearing procedures. 24 Okay. Mr. Horton. MR. HORTON: Yes, ma'am. There is provision there 25

1 for posthearing briefs of no more than 40 pages, and I'd like 2 to suggest that given the number of issues and the extent of 3 this proceeding that that be increased to 80 pages. 4 MS. BROWN: Staff doesn't have a position on that. 5 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Well, then let's --6 MS. BROWN: We'll have to read them all. 7 MS. CHRISTENSEN: In addition to that as well we 8 would ask from Office of Public Counsel that our summary of 9 positions be increased to 80 words. We're going to endeavor to keep them as short as possible, but there are some more complex 10 11 issues than others. So we would like just a little bit more 12 latitude on some of the summaries of positions, so we'd ask to 1.3 increase that to 80 words. 14 MS. BROWN: Staff doesn't have a problem with that. 1.5 MR. HORTON: Nor does the company. 16 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Any other comments? Okay. 17 Show it done. 18 Okay. Any other comments on posthearing procedures? 19 Okay. Let's move to rulings. 20 Madam Commissioner, staff would recommend MR. YOUNG: 21 that, that you make a ruling that opening statements, if any, 22 should not exceed five minutes per party. 23 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes. And the parties may 24 ask for more than five minutes I guess. Is there any comment, 25 any wishing more than five minutes? Ms. Christensen.

1	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Well, I had no objection when I
2	read it was ten minutes in the Draft Prehearing Order.
3	MR. YOUNG: I'm sorry. It's ten minutes.
4	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: It is. I'm sorry. Okay.
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Otherwise I have no objection.
6	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Are you still with no
7	objections? Is ten minutes okay?
8	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Exactly.
9	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: All right. Any other
10	comments on that?
11	MR. HORTON: Ten minutes is fine for the company.
12	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Staff, we're good?
13	MS. BROWN: That's fine.
14	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. So then we'll make
15	the recommendation of ten minutes, not to exceed ten minutes.
16	Okay. Other matters? Are there any other matters to
17	address in this docket by any of the parties?
18	MS. BROWN: Staff is not aware of any.
19	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay.
20	MR. YOUNG: With that, staff requests that to the
21	extent parties' positions have changed due to discussions at
22	the Prehearing Conference that they be provided, that the
23	parties provide any such changes by the close of business on
24	Thursday, which would be February the 14th, 2008.
25	COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: On Valentine's Day. How

nice. Okay. Any other, any comments? 1 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes. We'll certainly endeavor to 2 have all of our positions provided to staff before the close of 3 business on Thursday. And if we're still in discussions, we'll 4 let them know that as well. 5 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Great. Staff? 6 MR. YOUNG: To that end, Madam Commissioner, as more 7 issues are stipulated, there will likely be witnesses who can 8 be excused from the hearing and their testimony and exhibits 9 10 stipulated into the record if no Commissioners have any questions for those witnesses. Staff will inform the parties 11 12 by Friday, February 22nd, 2008, which witnesses may be excused. 13 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Thank you. Are there any other matters to discuss? Okay. Going once, twice. 14 We're adjourned. Thank you very much. 15 (Prehearing Conference adjourned at 3:21 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

1	STATE OF FLORIDA ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
5	
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
7	
8	
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in
10	
11	the action.
12	DATED THIS 100 day of February, 2008.
13	Lida Bales
14	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
15	FPSC Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	