5/21/20082:55:22 PM1age 1 of 1

Ruth Nettles

From:

Kelly, Tamela D [EQ] [Tamela.Kelly@Embarq.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, May 21, 2008 2:38 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Susan Masterton

Subject:

Embarq's RCA Report, May 2008

Attachments: Embarq RCA Rpt. May 2008.pdf

Filed on Behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton

Senior Counsel Embarq Florida, Inc.

1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301 Telephone: 850/599-1560

Email: susan.masterton@embarq.com

Docket No.

000121B-TP

Title of filing:

Embarq's RCA Rpt. - May 2008

Filed on behalf of:

Embarq Florida, Inc.

No of pages:

4 pages

Description:

Embarq's Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Rpt - May 2008

Tamela Kelly

Legal Specialist

Law & External Affairs-State External Affairs

EMBARQ Corporation

Voice: 850-599-1029 | Fax: 850-878-0777 | Email: tamela.kelly@EMBARQ.com

Voice | Data | Internet | Wireless | Entertainment

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

04242 MAY 21 8

embarq.com

Voice Data Internet Wireless Entertainment

May 21, 2008

Ms. Ann Cole Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc. is Embarq's May 2008 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by Embarq, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of January 2008 through March 2008 as published in the February, March and April reports.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Susan S. Masterton

Susan S. Masterton

Enclosures

cc: David Rich
Jerry Hallenstein
Tabitha Hunter
Lisa Harvey

DOCUMENT NI MBER-DATE OL 242 HAY 21 8

Susan S. Masterton SENIOR COUNSEL

Voice: (850) 599-1560 Fax: (850) 878-0777 susan.masterton@embarq.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to all known parties of record this 21st day of May, 2008.

Adam Teitzman
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us

AT&T (GA) Sonia Daniels 1200 Peachtree St., #400 Atlanta, GA 30309 soniadaniels@att.com

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. David A. Konuch 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 dkonuch@fcta.com

Pennington Law Firm
Peter Dunbar
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32301
pete@penningtonlawfirm.com

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Ms. Carolyn Ridley
Time Warner Telecom
233 Bramerton Court
Franklin, TN 37069-4002
carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com

AT&T Florida/TCG South Florida, Inc. (07b)
E. Edenfield/T. Hatch
c/o Mr. Gregory Follensbee
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1561
greg.follensbee@att.com

Covad Communications Company
Mr. Gregory T. Diamond
7901 Lowry Blvd.
Denver, CO 80230-6906
gdiamond@covad.com

Susan S. Masterton



May 2008 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects March 2008 data published April 2008) Florida Public Service Commission

Background

If there is non-compliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Embarq shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Embarq's root cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed

Submeacure 11 101 01 · UNE Loone vDSI Provisioned Field Work

Submeasure 11.101.01: UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned - Field Work								
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan			
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date				
Out of the 10 orders contributing to non-compliance,	4/11/08		15%	2Q	WFM analysis was coached on the importance of matching the			
two of them were cleared within 24 hours of the due					order to the proper skill level of the technician.			
date. These were all dispatched too late in the day to get								
the orders completed before days end. This was due to								
heavy work load which prevented the orders from being								
worked earlier on the due date. Four other orders also								
missed their due date due to heavy workload. One order								
was delayed in the WFM central office queue past the								
due date. One order was missed due to issues with the								
CLEC's equipment. Two orders were dispatched								
originally to the incorrect techs. Therefore, the orders								
had to be dispatched the next day to ISDN techs for								
completion.								

Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Eight orders missed their due date by 24hrs. Of these, 5 were missed due to heavy workload which prevented the field from completing the orders until after the due date. One order was written with an incorrect address. One was referred to central office and wasn't picked up by them until the next day. One the CLEC delayed 5	4/11/08		10%	2Q	These issues have been discussed with field and Business Office management and they will coach as necessary. DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE



dove in recognition to a request to use ablack and sin	1 1		1 1	
days in responding to a request to wire block and pin.	1 1	1	1 1	
may be made to a request to the order and plan	_1 1 _	I	1 1	

Measure 17	A:	Percentage	of	Troubles	within	5	days	for	New	Orders
Cubananan	. 17	A OL. D.S.		ALL DOTS	•					

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the 36 orders with a trouble ticket within 5 days 28 or 78% were cleared to either: buried drop, frame or buried cable. Deterioration accounted for 12 or 60% of the buried drop and buried cable tickets. These tickets were unrelated to the installation efforts on the associated orders. However, 4 or 50% of the tickets cleared to frame were due to missing jumpers. Had the orders been dispatched this work could have been done at the time the order was completed.	2Q 05	2Q 08	5%	• •	Embarq is meeting with contractors on a weekly basis to ensure proper procedures are followed. We continue to emphasize completion testing on service orders and are replacing outside plant cables that contribute to trouble tickets. Embarq is also reaching out to CLECs with high levels of troubles to further investigate the issue. Embarq's account management and analysis team are working with affected CLECs to improve understanding and communication of repair issues.

Measure 18:	Average Completion	Notification Interval
(3.1	10.00 ES 34	1 2 4 1

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the orders contributing to non-compliance, 73 were	3Q 07		11%		The fact that R orders often are the last order on the PON to close
over 24hrs or more before CLEC notification. Of these,					and typically close after the due date continue to cause an out of
38 were due to an R order on the PON closing after the					compliant situation on this measure. Management responsible for
24 hrs. Ten orders had to be manually changed to CS					clearing errors are coaching associates on error resolution process.
status by the NEAC in order to complete in IRES.					