04931 JUNIO 8

	11				
1		BEFORE THE			
2	FLO	RIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
3		DOCKET NO. 080234-T	Ρ		
4	In the Matter of:				
	IMPLEMENTATION OF FLORIDA LIFELINE				
5	PROGRAM INVOLVING BUNDLED SERVICE PACKAGES AND PLACEMENT OF ADDITIONAL				
6	ENROLLMENT REQUIREMENTS ON CUSTOMERS.				
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFERENCE ITEM NO. 4			
15					
16	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN			
17		COMMISSIONER NATRINA G. MCMORRIAN COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP			
18		COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP			
19	DATE:	Tuesday, June 3, 2008	8		
20		AL CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO			
21	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida  JANE FAUROT, RPR	-		
22		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way	0		
23		Tallahassee, Florida	- -		
24	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official Commission Reporter			

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

(850)413-6732

25

1	PARTICIPATING:		
2	CHARLIE BECK, ESQUIRE, representing the Citizens of		
3	the State of Florida.		
4	MICHAEL TWOMEY, ESQUIRE, representing AARP.		
5	TRACY HATCH, ESQUIRE, representing AT&T.		
6	ADAM TEITZMAN, ESQUIRE, CURTIS WILLIAMS, and BOB		
7	CASEY, representing the Florida Public Service Commission		
8	Staff.		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

## PROCEEDINGS

**CHAIRMAN CARTER:** Item 4. Give staff a moment to adjust here.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Curtis Williams on behalf of staff.

This item generally addresses implementation of the automatic enrollment process and the pricing of bundled packages.

As you are aware, in April of 2007, the Commission and the Department of Children and Families implemented a joint project to automatically enroll customers in the Lifeline program. To date, over 188,000 Lifeline applications have been received through the automatic enrollment process.

Specifically, the item addresses application of the Lifeline credit to any bundled service package which includes local voice service. Presently, eligible telecommunications carrier policies within Florida differ regarding application of the Lifeline credit to bundled packages. Some ETCs allow the credit to be applied to bundled packages, while others do not.

Since August of 2007, 5,961 applications have been rejected on the basis of Lifeline eligible customers' desire to subscribe to a bundled service package. Staff is bringing this issue before you because we believe not allowing the discount on bundled packages creates a barrier to Lifeline enrollment and hinders the automatic enrollment process.

Allowing the Lifeline credit on bundled offerings is not inconsistent with federal law. Therefore, staff recommends that the Lifeline credit be extended to any package of services provided by an ETC that includes local voice service.

2.0

Staff is available for questions at this time.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, before we go into questions, I see Mr. Beck here from the Office of Public Counsel. And, Mr. Beck, you're recognized, sir.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Chairman Carter. Charlie Beck of the Office of Public Counsel.

Commissioners, as you know, our office has a call line dedicated to Lifeline, and we receive several thousand calls every month concerning Lifeline. We have generally a very good experience with AT&T Embarq who offer the Lifeline service to whatever plan the Lifeline eligible customer wishes to choose. So if the customer chooses a bare-bones package, they offer that to them, and if they want a bundled package because that best suits their needs, then they will apply the Lifeline discount to that customer who is Lifeline eligible.

The same is not true for Verizon. That's the one complaint that we repeatedly get, and it's the most frequent one we get is that customers who are eligible for Lifeline and have a bundled service with Verizon, when they apply to Verizon, they will not give them Lifeline on their existing package, and they will make them change to another package that

doesn't suit their needs in order to get Lifeline.

So our experience is very consistent with what staff just told you, that the refusal to provide a bundled package to customers is acting as a hindrance to more people taking Lifeline. We very much support the staff's recommendation that the Commission issue an order that lets Lifeline customers choose the plan that best suits their needs and requires ETCs to apply the Lifeline discount to that plan.

Thank you.

2.0

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Mr. Beck.

Mr. Twomey.

MR. TWOMEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Commissioners, good morning. Mike Twomey on behalf of AARP, which now has about 2.95 million members in Florida, many of which are eligible for Lifeline discounts.

AARP fully supports your staff's recommendation and commends them for it. AARP has been in favor of this outcome for years. We believe that this recommendation, if you adopt it, will necessarily result in more citizens in the state of Florida receiving the Lifeline benefit and discount which, of course, is a good thing. We say that in part because one of the quotes your staff has at page -- I show it at 8 of 14 in quoting the FCC says, "Like the Joint Board, we believe any restriction on the purchase of vertical services may discourage qualified consumers from enrolling and may serve as a barrier

to participation in the program."

The fact that such a barrier exists in Florida is demonstrated by your staff's statement of the number of people who have been turned away in this state seeking Lifeline discounts because they were also seeking to have them in connection with bundled services. Your adoption of this recommendation will bring those rejections to an end and we commend the staff again and urge your adoption of the recommendation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Mr. Twomey.

Mr. Hatch.

MR. HATCH: Tracy Hatch on behalf of AT&T Florida.

We have no comments. We're just here to answer questions. As you note, we already offer the discount on bundles.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Just a quick question of staff. On Page 11 of the staff recommendation, it cites ETC petition for declaratory ruling with the FCC. Is that still currently pending or has there been any resolution of that?

MR. WILLIAMS: It's still pending. There hasn't been final resolution at the FCC.

**COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Okay. And in staff's opinion, given our concurrent jurisdiction there is no need to await

that, that we should just move forward?

1.0

2.4

MR. TEITZMAN: That is correct, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

And, Mr. Chair, again, I'm a big supporter of the Lifeline program, and I think that applying this credit to the bundled package will, as has been already stated, increase the enrollment number significantly within the state of Florida, and I think that's a common goal that all of us strive to achieve, but also provide consumers with additional choices, and that's a great thing in itself.

The only concern that I have in passing would be that the bundled services might be aggressively upsold to the customers which are least able to afford them. However, I think that is properly mitigated by the fact that should there be nonpayment that they still retain the basic service. I think I'm in full support of this, and I think it's a great thing for the Commission to adopt. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner McMurrian.

**COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN:** Thank you. I'm in support of the rec, as well. I just have a clarification question.

On Page 8 of the rec, it's in the first -- well, the second paragraph there that starts with, "Further, Florida Statutes contemplate Lifeline discount of basic service bundled with nonbasic," and I just wanted to get a clarification. We

have had some discussions on this in meetings yesterday and a few days ago, but I just wanted to get clarification from our legal staff and technical staff, too, as well. I guess I had some concerns that that statement that preceded that statutory quote there might not necessarily be in total harmony, so I wanted to get your thoughts on that.

MR. TEITZMAN: Yes. Adam Teitzman on behalf of Commission staff. Yes, Commissioner, in taking another look at this, I think it is probably overstated slightly. The point we were trying to get across there was that the statute contemplates that Lifeline participants would have access to nonbasic services which could include bundled packages, and we could certainly clarify that in the order if you would like.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Chairman, if I may.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: You're recognized.

is I don't think that it takes away from the overall purpose of the recommendation at all. I think that it still helps make the points that staff is trying to make that there are statutory references and, of course, the most on point one is the one that staff talks about up front in the 47 CFR. And I think that's the main basis for their recommendation, and they have gone through the statutory construction things as sort of further clarification. But I was concerned because I think of bundles as putting vertical services together with basic at a

discount.

I wasn't sure that that statutory reference there goes that far, but I still think it supports what staff is trying to say, that the statute did contemplate that a Lifeline customer could get nonbasic services and vertical services, but it didn't necessarily go as far as talking about bundles. So if there could be clarification there that would make me more comfortable with it, but I think that's something that staff could probably hammer out with the order. But I wanted to raise it for that reason. There are some other references that are like those in a couple of other places, but I think it's that same philosophy throughout.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner. I did not mean to overlook you. Did you want to make a comment?

MR. HATCH: Mr. Tyler is with me. Actually he's here for the next item, but --

CHAIRMAN CARTER: That's what I thought. Sometimes I have my over-50 moments, and sometimes more frequently than others now.

Commissioner Argenziano, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: There's nothing wrong with having an over-50 moment. As a matter of fact, in the legislative process I think at 50 they do consider us senior citizens now. It's quite disturbing.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: My wife has an AARP membership, so

I just kind of, you know, ride on her coattails on that.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I do, too. I mean, the day it came in the mail, it kind of just fell on the floor and wound up under my heel for some reason. Not speaking derogatorily about AARP, I just didn't want to be at that age that day.

MR. TWOMEY: I object.

2.1

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Too bad. Sorry.

No, my question is kind of like Commissioner

McMurrian's in a sense. And I think what I would like to know
is what services, what bundled services were being turned down
to Lifeline customers? Are there examples of which types?

Anybody?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, if I understand your question correctly, you're asking -- the bundled package combines various vertical services.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Right, I know that. What I'm trying to figure out is, well, remember Lifeline is for low-income people and it was to help them get the Lifeline that they needed. And as I recall in the legislative process, it really started out as having phone service, whether it was cell or whatever it would be, and I think it's time that they do have cell service. I think that's very important for low-income people that they can move with the phone. All the benefits of cell. But I wasn't sure that it included bundled

purchases like Internet and so on. And I start to wonder if we are not out of the realm of Lifeline and what it really was intended for.

Not to not provide services. I mean, if those services are provided to everybody else and there is no cost for those additional bundles, then why shouldn't they be given to a Lifeline individual who's eligible. But I was trying to figure out if -- I think I heard you say earlier that customers on Lifeline were being denied certain bundled services, and I was wondering is it high speed Internet, is it --

MR. WILLIAMS: I think I understand, yes. I mean, from what -- we haven't done, you know, extensive surveying on the issue, but based on customer feedback and the information that we have seen, it's primarily -- the issue from the beginning has primarily been related to the vertical services, not necessarily the high end bundled packages which would include Internet access and cable TV, but it's more the lower end bundled packages which might combine various vertical services like call waiting, call forwarding, and voicemail.

And those are the services that we see that are of most need to low-income customers. Specifically voicemail service and something like call waiting.

For example, if a customer, if a Lifeline customer is unemployed and they are out looking for a job, they really would want to subscribe to voicemail to be able to receive

messages. And the companies do offer those bundled packages on that level, which creates value to those customers.

Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So that is what we -- and I think that's where Commissioner McMurrian was going. I don't want to put words in her mouth, but making sure, I guess -- not making sure, but trying to differentiate between the bundles and are we now -- is that what we are doing in the recommendation, allowing those services, those vertical services rather than the high-end services.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, in terms of the Lifeline customers, as the general body of customers, would have access to all bundled services. You know, our point is that we are not -- we don't think that we should limit Lifeline customers to any specific service offering. You know, they have a discretion, but the recommendation is not to limit those Lifeline customers.

commissioner argenziano: If I may. Probably then what I need is really the statutory -- what you believe is the statutory definition of what those extended or other vertical services would be. And I go back to the fact, and with all due respect, to what Lifeline was originally created for. And I'm not sure that high end services would then -- you know, if you can pay for high end services, I'm not sure you really are

eligible for Lifeline just in my train of thought. And I was trying to figure out, I guess what you just said to me is that we're recommending any bundled service, whether it is high end or not, and I'm just not sure that's the statutory definition of it.

2.4

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Casey, I see you reaching for the button.

MR. CASEY: What we are recommending is that if the bundled package includes voice service, then the discounts should be applied to that bundle. But the discount is only applied to the voice service itself, not anything that would be Internet or anything like that. And we get into a discussion -- we had a discussion of discretionary spending on the consumer and whether we should control that or not, and it is our belief that we shouldn't get into the discretionary spending of the consumer.

MR. TEITZMAN: If I may, just one other point. With regard to if a situation arose where there was nonpayment, the only service that would be required to be continued is the voice service. Not, for example, the Internet service. We are not mandating that they continue Internet service for Lifeline customer if there is nonpayment.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. Commissioners.

Commissioner Skop, you're recognized, sir.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	I think that is a concern to Commissioner			
2	Argenziano's point the concern I had. You know, I think			
3	that people are free to use their disposable income any way			
4	they want to, and I agree with staff. Again, my concern would			
5	be, you know, the marketing of those services to people that			
6	are least able to afford them. However, again, I think that			
7	concern is as expressed by staff and in response to			
8	Commissioner Argenziano was effectively mitigated by the fact			
9	that irrespective, in the event of nonpayment, they still			
10	maintain that basic vital phone service. So I think I'm okay			
11	with that. Thank you.			
12	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.			
13	Commissioners, anything further?			
14	Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.			
15	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.			
16	At this time I would like to move staff			
17	recommendation.			
18	CHAIRMAN CARTER: We have a motion. Commissioners.			
19	Commissioner Edgar.			
20	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second.			
21	CHAIRMAN CARTER: The motion is properly seconded.			
22	Commissioners, we are into debate. Any debate on the			
23	move staff?			
24	Hearing none, all in favor let it be known by the			
25	sign of aye.			

1	(Simultaneous aye.)
2	CHAIRMAN CARTER: All those opposed, like sign.
3	Thank you.
4	* * * * *
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 STATE OF FLORIDA 2 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 4 COUNTY OF LEON 5 I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify 6 that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place 7 herein stated. 8 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this 9 transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said 10 proceedings. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, 11 attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative 12 or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in 13 the action. 14 DATED THIS 9th day June, 2008. 15 16 Official/FPSC Hearings Reporter 17 (850) 413-6732 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25