7/31/20084:09:35 PM1age 1 of 1

Ruth Nettles

From:	ROBERTS.BRENDA [ROBERTS.BRENDA@leg.state.fl.us]
Sent:	Thursday, July 31, 2008 4:05 PM
To:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc:	Bruce May; Caroline Klancke; cecilia_bradley@oag.state.fl.us; Erik Sayler; Katherine Fleming; Kimberly A. Joyce; Ralph Jaeger; Tim Devlin
Subject:	e-filing (Dkt. No. 080121-WS)
- . .	

Attachments: 080121.motion 1.pdf

Electronic Filing

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

Charlie Beck, Deputy Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 (850) 488-9330 beck.charles@leg.state.fl.us

b. Docket No. 080121-WS

In re: Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Alachua, Brevard, DeSoto, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Polk, Putnam, Seminole, Sumter, Volusia, and Washington Counties by Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc.

c. Document being filed on behalf of Office of Public Counsel

d. There are a total of 14 pages.

e. The document attached for electronic filing is Citizens' Motion to Revise Discovery Parameters Set Forth in Order No. PSC-08-0429-PCO-WS Issued June 27, 2008.

(See attached file: 080121.motion 1.pdf)

Thank you for your attention and cooperation to this request.

Brenda S. Roberts Office of Public Counsel Telephone: (850) 488-9330 Fax: (850) 488-4491

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE D 6734 JUL 31 8 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK ,

7/31/2008

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Alachua, Brevard, DeSoto, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Polk, Putnam, Seminole, Sumter, Volusia, and Washington Counties by Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 080121-WS

July 31, 2008

CITIZENS' MOTION TO REVISE DISCOVERY PARAMETERS SET FORTH IN ORDER NO. PSC-08-0429-PCO-WS ISSUED JUNE 27, 2008

The Citizens of the State of Florida (Citizens or OPC) hereby move the Prehearing Officer to revise the discovery parameters set forth in Order no. PSC-08-0429-PCO-WS issued June 27, 2008, and in support of this motion state the following:

1. This proceeding one of the most challenging and complicated cases ever to confront the Commission and intervenors. It is far more than just 82 separate rate cases rolled into one. On top of the 82 separate rate cases that make up this case, there is a complex system of corporate overheads and allocations superimposed on the 82 systems. Aqua's unregulated activities and non-jurisdictional systems further complicate the allocations to the systems under the jurisdiction of the Florida Public service Commission. On top of that, Aqua's billing analyses provide more complexity still. Aqua hired a consultant in this case to review problem areas of estimated bills, meter readings, and other adjustments, adding yet another level of analysis beyond what is typically required in a rate case. The complexity of this case is a monumental challenge to both the Commission and intervenors.

2. The filing, if approved by the Commission, would have customers paying some of the highest rates in the state for water and wastewater service. The base

EPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

06734 JUL 31 8

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

facility charge alone, which customers must pay regardless of usage, would amount to a charge of \$67.18 every month for water and wastewater. At 5,000 gallons of use, the charge would be \$129.83 per month – a staggering sum for many customers. The combination of a highly complex filing and the potential for an extremely large rate increase compels Citizens to engage in a rigorous analysis to make sure that customers pay only what is necessary for the prudent, efficient provision of safe water and wastewater service.

Section V of Order no. PSC-08-0429-PCO-WS issued June 27, 2008
(Order Establishing Procedure) sets forth the parameters for discovery in this proceeding. One of the parameters limits each party to 750 interrogatories, including all subparts.

4. Early on in this proceeding Citizens contacted Aqua about allowing Citizens to use the discovery responses provided by Aqua in its previous rate case filing (docket 060368-WS) in this proceeding. Aqua responded by stating that they would not agree to such use, preferring that Citizens serve the same discovery again. While Citizens OPC can understand why Aqua might want to provide updated responses, this procedure has added to the number of interrogatories served in the case.

5. Citizens believe that the number of interrogatories served before today are well under the limit prescribed in the Order Establishing Procedure. Attachment 1 to this motion shows our count, including subparts, of these interrogatories. Aqua disagrees with our count but has agreed to answer these interrogatories nonetheless.

6. Today Citizens are serving our third and fourth sets of interrogatories to Aqua. The fourth set is driven primarily by follow-up questions to answers previously

2

provided by Aqua in response to discovery. The fifth set is driven by the terse explanations provided by Aqua in its MFR schedules B-7 regarding the reasons for their O&M costs exceeding the change in customer growth and CPI-U in many of their systems. The reasons provided by Aqua require more explanation in order to determine whether the reasons justify the costs exceeding the change in customer growth and CPI-U.

7. In order to properly review Aqua's case and prepare our case in response to Aqua, Citizens requests the Prehearing Officer to allow all of the interrogatories served to date on Aqua, including the fourth and fifth set of interrogatories being served today, plus 200 additional interrogatories in the future. Citizens believe that the number of interrogatories served by our office serves the interest of customers by making sure the Aqua's complex rate filing has been adequately scrutinized. In addition, the magnitude of Citizens' discovery allows other parties and staff to serve fewer interrogatories on Aqua.

8. If this motion is granted, the Office of Attorney General has indicated that they will limit the number of interrogatories they serve to 250, which reduces the number of interrogatories they would otherwise be allowed to serve by 500. With Citizens and the Attorney General working cooperatively in this way, the combined number of interrogatories served by both parties will be less than the maximum allowed if Citizens and the Attorney General were to serve 750 interrogatories each.

 Citizens have contacted the other parties about this motion and state that the Office of Attorney General supports the motion. Aqua

3

WHEREFORE, Citizens respectfully request the Prehearing Officer to revise the discovery parameters contained in the Order Governing Procedure, allow the interrogatories served to date by Citizens, and allow Citizens to serve 200 additional interrogatories on Aqua.

Respectfully submitted,

charlie Beck

Charlie Beck Deputy Public Counsel Fla. Bar No. 217281

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

(850) 488-9330

4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 080121-WS

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Service. has been furnished by electronic mail and U.S. Mail to the following parties on this 31st day of July, 2008.

Ralph Jaeger Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Ms. Kimberly A. Joyce Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc. 762 West Lancaster Avenue Bryn Mawr, PA 19010-3402 Bruce May, Esq. Gigi Rollini, Esq. c/o Holland & Knight Law Firm P.O. Drawer 810 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810

Charlie Boch

Charlie Beck Deputy Public Counsel

Aqua Florid Question Number	# of Subpar
Citizen's First Set	of ROGS
1	
2	
3	
5	
6	
7 7	
8	
9	
<u>10</u> 11	No. of Concession, Name
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	State of the second state
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	Marken Barren Ba
36	
37 38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46 47	
47	
49	

4	50
3	51
	52
	53
	54
1	55
	56
	57
(58
(59
	60
	61
1	62
10	63
8	64
	65
	66
	67
	68
	69
	70
	71
	72
	73
	74
	75
1	76
A. L. A. L. 7 2	77
	78
-	79
	80
2	81
1	82
and a state for	83
	84
	85
2	
	86
	87
	88
1. 1. 1	89
	90
	91
	92
	93
1	94
1	95
1	96
1	97
	98
1	99
	100
	100
200	
201	TOTAL:

	102	
	103	
	104	of the second second
	105	State of the second second
	106	1
	107	1
	108	1
	109	1
	110	1
	111	1
	112	1
	113	NUMBER OF STREET
+	114	1
	115	1
	115	1
	117	1
	118	Superstantine and the second
	119	
	120	1
	121	1
	122	1
	123	141 (141) (141)
	124	2
		Skipped Number
	126	10
	127	
	128	5
	120	1
	129	
		3
	131	1
	132	2
	133	1
	134	1
	135	
	136	3
	137	5
	138	2
	139	
+		
	140	10
	140 141	10
	141	10 3
	141 142	10 3 4
	141 142 143	10 3 4 4
	141 142 143 144	10 3 4 4 5
	141 142 143 144 145	10 3 4 4 5 1
	141 142 143 144 144 145 146	10 3 4 5 1 3
	141 142 143 144 145 146 147	10 3 4 5 1 3 1 3
	141 142 143 144 145 146 146 147 148	10 3 4 5 1 3 1 1 1
	141 142 143 144 145 146 146 147 148 149	10 3 4 5 1 3 1 1 1 1
	141 142 143 144 145 146 146 147 148 149	10 3 4 5 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 3
	141 142 143 144 145 146 146 147 148 149	10 3 4 5 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3
	141 142 143 144 145 146 146 147 148 149	10 3 4 5 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3
	141 142 143 144 145 146 146 147 148 149	10 3 4 4 5 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
	141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 151 152 153	10 3 4 5 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 7 7
	141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 151 152 153 154	10 3 4 5 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 7 7
	141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 151 152 153	5 10 3 4 4 5 1 3 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 7 7 1 1

	157	1
	158	Market Constitutes and
	159	4
	160	
	161	1
	162	18
	163	Skipped Number
	164	Skipped Number
	165	14
	166	12 9 7
	167	9
	168	7
	169	1
	170	1
	171	S. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
	172	8
	173	
	174	1
	175	1
	176	1
	177	4
	178	4
	179	2
	180	4
	181	3
	182	1
	183	3
	184	2
	185	2
	186	4 2 4 3 1 3 2 2 2 2 5 5
	187	
	188	2
	189	2
TOTAL:		237
CUMULATIVE		437

⁽¹⁾OPC did not issue Interrogatories 125, 163 and 164.

in and the destruction of the second second	
A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OF	

	nt of OPC Interrogato Aqua Florid	a
6	uestion Number	# of Subparts
	Citizen's First Set o	f ROGS
1.00	1	
	2	
	3	
1000	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
-	10	
-	11 12	
-		
-	13	
	14	
	15	
	10	
-	17	
	10	
	20	
-	21	
-	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	20	
	27	
1.00	20	
-	30	1
+	31	
	32	
	33 34	
	35	
	36	
	37	
	38	
	39	A CONTRACTOR OF THE
	40	
	41	Charles and Marine
	42	1
	43	
	44	1
	45	
	46	1
	40	South of these working the
	48	
-	40	13

	50	
	51	
the part of the first the set of the	51	Martin Constanting
	52	
	53	
	54	
No. 2010 State State State	55	
	56	
	57	
	58	(
	59	5
He will be table to show a	60	
	61	
	62	2.000
	63	1(
	64 -	
	04 -	
the first matter that the second second	65	
in probable and the second	66	
	67	
	68	
	69	
	70	
	71	
	72	
	73	
and the second second second	74	
	75	
A CONTRACTOR	76	1
	77	
	78	1
o accession of the second	79	
a Cartal a character a se	80	2
	81	
	82	1
	83	
	84	1
	85	
	86	2
	87	
	88	
Contraction of the second	89	
	90	
	91	1
	92	1 10.0
	93	2
	94	
	95	
	96	-
	97	
Contractor and a second second	98	
and the second		
	99	
	100	1
	101	
TOTAL:		200

	102	
	103	1
	104	
	105	
	106	
	100	
	107	
	108	1
	110	
	111	
	112	
	113	
	114	
	115	
	116	
	117	
	118	
	119	
	120	1
	121	and the second second second
	122	index of the start results of
	123	
1	124	
-		
-	126	
	127	1
	128	Contract of the Contract of the
	129	the state of the second
	130	3
	131	1
	131	2
	133	Prove 1
	133	1
	135	A state of the plant
	136	3
	137	5
	138	
	139	5
	140	10
	141	Contraction of the Contract of the
	142	4 4 5 1
	143	4
	144	5
	145	
	146	
	147	3
	148	States Fight
	149	
	150	3
	151	3
	152	2
	153	
	100	7
	164	
	154	1
	154 155 156	1

	169 170	
	167 168	7
and the second second	170	
	171	
	172	8
	173	
	174	
	175	1
	176	the strategies and
	177	4
	178	
	179	2
	180	4
	181	
Total a state	182	
	183	
	184	
	185	1
	186	C. Statement of the second second
	187	STATE STATES AND AND AND A
	188	2
	189	2

⁽¹⁾OPC did not issue Interrogatories 125, 163 and 164.

	1		100
Contraction of the second			
	1.1.2.1.1.1.1.1		
	alt field set of	- 1 A C - 1	
		d el a co	
	2		
	Section 1		
	8 6 T 6 T		