BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 080001-EI FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SEPTEMBER 2, 2008

IN RE: LEVELIZED FUEL COST RECOVERY AND CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

PROJECTIONS JANUARY 2009 THROUGH DECEMBER 2009

TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:

G. YUPP T.O. JONES K. M. DUBIN T.W. GERRISH

AFFIDAVITS OF:

R. DEATON S. SIM SEP --2 8

08029

DOCUMENT NUMBER CATI

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY TESTIMONY OF GERARD J. YUPP DOCKET NO. 080001-EI

SEPTEMBER 2, 2008

6 Q. Please state your name and address.

A. My name is Gerard J. Yupp. My business address is 700 Universe
Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida, 33408.

9 Q. By whom are you employed and what is your position?

A. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Senior
 Director of Wholesale Operations in the Energy Marketing and

12 Trading Division.

13 Q. Have you previously testified in this docket?

14 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

15 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present and explain FPL's projections for (1) the dispatch costs of heavy fuel oil, light fuel oil, coal and natural gas; (2) the availability of natural gas to FPL; (3) generating unit heat rates and availabilities; and (4) the quantities and costs of wholesale (off-system) power and purchased power transactions. I also provide a description of the methodology that FPL will utilize to track and recover incremental O&M costs it incurs

1		to make non-separated wholesale energy sales consistent with
2		Commission Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI. Lastly, I provide a
3		review of FPL's hedging program and present FPL's Risk
4		Management Plan for 2009.
5	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your
6		supervision, direction and control any exhibits in this
7		proceeding?
8	A.	Yes, I am sponsoring the following exhibits:
9		GJY-3: Appendix I
10		GJY-4: FPL's 2009 Risk Management Plan
11		Schedules E2 through E9 of Appendix II
12		
13		FUEL PRICE FORECAST
14	Q.	What forecast methodologies has FPL used for the 2009
15		recovery period?
16	Α.	For natural gas commodity prices, the forecast methodology relies
17		upon the NYMEX Natural Gas Futures contract prices (forward
18		curve). For light and heavy fuel oil prices, FPL utilizes Over-The-
19		Counter (OTC) forward market prices. Projections for the price of
20		coal are based on actual coal purchases and price forecasts
21		developed by J.D. Energy. Forecasts for the availability of natural
22		gas are developed internally at FPL and are based on contractual

1 natural gas and fuel oil represent expected future prices at a given point in time and are consistent with the prices at which FPL can 2 transact its hedging program. The basic assumption made with 3 respect to using the forward curves is that all available data that 4 5 could impact the price of natural gas and fuel oil in the future is б incorporated into the curves at all times. The methodology allows FPL to execute hedges consistent with its forecasting method and to 7 optimize the dispatch of its units in changing market conditions. 8 FPL utilized forward curve prices from the close of business on 9 August 4, 2008 for its 2009 projection filing. This was the most 10 recent date that allowed FPL adequate time to complete its filing. 11

Q. What are the key factors that could affect FPL's price for heavy
 fuel oil during the January through December 2009 period?

Α. 14 The key factors that could affect FPL's price for heavy oil are (1) worldwide demand for crude oil and petroleum products (including 15 domestic heavy fuel oil); (2) non-OPEC crude oil supply; (3) the 16 extent to which OPEC adheres to their quotas and reacts to 17 fluctuating demand for OPEC crude oil; (4) the political and civil 18 tensions in the major producing areas of the world like the Middle 19 East and West Africa; (5) the availability of refining capacity; (6) the 20 21 price relationship between heavy fuel oil and crude oil; (7) the price 22 relationship between heavy oil and natural gas; (8) the supply and demand for heavy oil in the domestic market; (9) the terms of FPL's 23

fuel supply and transportation contracts; and (10) domestic and global inventory.

The major driver for crude oil and petroleum product prices during 4 5 the remainder of 2008 and 2009 will be (1) non-OPEC crude oil production; (2) emerging markets oil demand and; (3) the continued б tensions in the Middle East, West Africa (in particular Nigeria) and 7 other producing regions in the world. With limited spare OPEC 8 production capacity and growing worldwide demand, any perceived 9 10 or actual loss of supply due to political or civil unrest in these regions have been, and will continue to be, a major factor in the price of oil 11 to FPL's customers. World demand for crude oil and petroleum 12 products is projected to increase slightly in 2009 over 2008 average 13 levels, primarily due to increases in demand in China and other 14 15 emerging economies around the world. Although crude oil production and worldwide refining capacity will be adequate to meet 16 the projected increase in crude oil and petroleum product demand. 17 general adherence by OPEC members to its most recent production 18 accord, and limited spare OPEC production capacity, should 19 prevent significant overproduction of crude oil which, in turn, will 20 result in the continued tight supply of crude oil and petroleum 21 products during most of 2009. 22

4

23

1

2

1	Q.	Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of heavy
2		fuel oil for the January through December 2009 period.
3	A.	FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of heavy fuel
4		oil, by month, is provided on page 3 of Appendix I.
5	Q.	What are the key factors that could affect the price of light fuel
6		oil?
7	A.	The key factors are similar to those described above for heavy fuel
8		oil.
9	Q.	Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of light
10		fuel oil for the January through December 2009 period.
11	A.	FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of light oil, by
12		month, is provided on page 3 of Appendix I.
13	Q.	What is the basis for FPL's projections of the dispatch cost of
14		coal for St. Johns' River Power Park (SJRPP) and Plant
15		Scherer?
16	A.	FPL's projected dispatch costs for both plants are based on FPL's
17		price projection for spot coal, delivered to the plants.
18		
19		Although FPL has historically burned petroleum coke at SJRPP,
20		current and projected delivered petroleum coke prices have risen
21		above the delivered price of coal, resulting in a projected 2009 fuel
22		mix of 100% coal for SJRPP.
23		

Q. Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of SJRPP
 and Plant Scherer for the January through December 2009
 period.

A. FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of coal for this
 period, by plant and by month, is shown on page 3 of Appendix I.

Q. What are the factors that can affect FPL's natural gas prices
 during the January through December 2009 period?

A. In general, the key physical factors are (1) North American natural
 gas demand and domestic production; (2) LNG and Canadian
 natural gas imports; (3) heavy fuel oil and light fuel oil prices; and (4)
 the terms of FPL's natural gas supply and transportation contracts.

12

The major drivers for natural gas prices during 2009 are expected to 13 be (1) projected natural gas demand in North America will continue 14 to grow moderately in 2009, primarily in the electric generation 15 sector; and (2) with continued increases in domestic rig activity in 16 the U.S. over the past few years, 2009 domestic natural gas 17 production is expected to be slightly higher than average 2008 18 production levels, as a continued decline in the Gulf of Mexico 19 region is more than offset by increases in non-conventional gas 20 supplies in the Rocky Mountain and Mid-Continent regions. The 21 remaining balance of supply is projected to come from increased 22 LNG imports. 23

Q. What are the factors that FPL expects to affect the availability
 of natural gas to FPL during the January through December
 2009 period?

Α. The key factors are (1) the capacity of the Florida Gas Transmission 4 (FGT) pipeline into Florida; (2) the capacity of the Gulfstream 5 Natural Gas System (Gulfstream) pipeline into Florida; (3) the 6 7 limited number of operational receipt points into the Gulfstream 8 pipeline; (4) the portion of FGT and Gulfstream capacity that is contractually committed to FPL on a firm basis each month; (5) the 9 assumed volume of natural gas which can move from the 10 Gulfstream pipeline into FGT at the Hardee and Osceola 11 interconnects; and (6) the natural gas demand in the State of 12 Florida. 13

14

The current capacity of FGT into the State of Florida is about 15 2,030,000 million BTU per day and the current capacity of 16 Gulfstream is about 1,100,000 million BTU per day. For 2009, FPL 17 has firm natural gas transportation capacity on FGT ranging from 18 750,000 to 874,000 million BTU per day, depending on the month, 19 and 535,000 million BTU per day increasing to 695,000 million BTU 20 per day on June 1, 2009 of firm natural gas transportation on 21 Gulfstream. Additionally, FPL will have 500,000 million BTU per day 22 of firm transport on the Southeast Supply Header (SESH) pipeline. 23

The projected in-service date for the SESH pipeline is September 1 2008. While the SESH pipeline will not increase transportation 2 capacity into the state, FPL's firm transportation rights on this 3 pipeline will provide FPL access to 500,000 million BTU per day of 4 5 on-shore natural gas supply, which will help diversify FPL's natural gas portfolio and enhance the reliability of fuel supply. FPL projects 6 7 that during the January through December 2009 period between 100,000 and 420,000 million BTU per day of non-firm natural gas 8 transportation capacity (varying by month) will be available into the 9 10 state. FPL projects that it could acquire some of this capacity, if economic, to supplement FPL's firm allocation on FGT and 11 Gulfstream. This projection is based on the current capability and 12 availability of the two interconnections between Gulfstream and FGT 13 pipeline systems, as well as the availability of capacity on each 14 pipeline. 15

Q. Please provide FPL's projections for the dispatch cost and
 availability of natural gas for the January through December
 2009 period.

A. FPL's projections of the system average dispatch cost and
 availability of natural gas, by transport type, by pipeline and by
 month, are provided on page 3 of Appendix I.

- 22
- 23

PLANT HEAT RATES, OUTAGE FACTORS, PLANNED

OUTAGES, AND CHANGES IN GENERATING CAPACITY

- Q. Please describe how FPL developed the projected Average Net
 Heat Rates shown on Schedule E4 of Appendix II.
- Α. The projected Average Net Heat Rates were calculated by the 5 POWRSYM model. The current heat rate equations and efficiency 6 factors for FPL's generating units, which present heat rate as a 7 function of unit power level, were used as inputs to POWRSYM for 8 this calculation. The heat rate equations and efficiency factors are 9 10 updated as appropriate based on historical unit performance and projected changes due to plant upgrades, fuel grade changes, 11 and/or from the results of performance tests. 12

Q. Are you providing the outage factors projected for the period
 January through December 2009?

15 A. Yes. This data is shown on page 4 of Appendix I.

16 Q. How were the outage factors for this period developed?

A. The unplanned outage factors were developed using the actual
 historical full and partial outage event data for each of the units.
 The historical unplanned outage factor of each generating unit was
 adjusted, as necessary, to eliminate non-recurring events and
 recognize the effect of planned outages to arrive at the projected
 factor for the period January through December 2009.

23

1

Q. Please describe the significant planned outages for the
 January through December 2009 period.

Α. Planned outages at FPL's nuclear units are the most significant in 3 relation to fuel cost recovery. Turkey Point Unit 3 is scheduled to be 4 out of service from March 1, 2009 until April 5, 2009 or 35 days 5 during the period. St. Lucie Unit 2 is scheduled to be out of service 6 for refueling from April 27, 2009 until June 2, 2009 or 36 days during 7 the projected period. Turkey Point Unit 4 is scheduled to be out of 8 service from October 25, 2009 until December 4, 2009 or 40 days 9 during the period. 10

Q. Please list any changes to FPL's generation capacity projected
 to take place during the January through December 2009
 period.

A. FPL's generation capacity will increase in 2009 with the addition of
the combined cycle West County Energy Center (WCEC) Unit 1 in
June 2009 and the combined cycle WCEC Unit 2 in November
2009. The units will increase FPL's net winter peak capability and
net summer peak capability by 1,335 MW and 1,219 MW,
respectively.

Q. Will the addition of WCEC Units 1 and 2 result in fuel savings to
 FPL's customers?

Yes. The addition of WCEC Unit 1 will result in approximately
 \$152,590,000 in fuel savings from May through December, 2009

and the addition of WCEC Unit 2 will result in approximately \$12,260,000 in fuel savings from November through December, 2009. In total, the addition of these highly efficient, combined cycle units will result in approximately \$164,850,000 in fuel savings to FPL's customers in 2009.

G Q. How did FPL calculate the fuel savings associated with the addition of WCEC Units 1 and 2?

Α. FPL utilized its POWRSYM model to quantify the benefits of WCEC 8 9 Units 1 and 2. This is the same model that FPL uses to calculate the fuel costs that are included in FPL's projection filing. For this 10 analysis, FPL ran four individual cases to determine fuel costs. The 11 first set of cases involved two runs, one without WCEC Units 1 and 12 2 and one with WCEC Unit 1. The total fuel costs of the case that 13 included WCEC Unit 1 were approximately \$152,590,000 lower than 14 the case without both units. The second set of cases also involved 15 two runs, one with both WCEC Units 1 and 2 and one without 16 17 WCEC Unit 2. The total fuel costs of the case that included both units were approximately \$12,260,000 lower than the case without 18 WCEC Unit 2. 19

20

1

2

3

4

5

- 21
- 22 23

WHOLESALE (OFF-SYSTEM) POWER AND PURCHASED

POWER TRANSACTIONS

1

2

- Q. Are you providing the projected wholesale (off-system) power
 and purchased power transactions forecasted for January
 through December 2009?
- A. Yes. This data is shown on Schedules E6, E7, E8, and E9 of
 Appendix II of this filing.

Q. In what types of wholesale (off-system) power transactions does FPL engage?

Α, FPL purchases power from the wholesale market when it can 10 displace higher cost generation with lower cost power from the 11 12 market. FPL will also sell excess power into the market when its cost of generation is lower than the market. Purchasing and selling 13 power in the wholesale market allows FPL to lower fuel costs for its 14 customers because savings on purchases and gains on sales are 15 credited to the customer through the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause. 16 Power purchases and sales are executed under specific tariffs that 17 allow FPL to transact with a given entity. Although FPL primarily 18 transacts on a short-term basis (hourly and daily transactions), FPL 19 continuously searches for all opportunities to lower fuel costs 20 through purchasing and selling wholesale power, regardless of the 21 duration of the transaction. Additionally, FPL has become a 22 23 member of the Florida Cost-Based Broker System (FCBBS) and will

begin transacting on the FCBBS when it becomes operational in
 early 2009. FPL can also purchase and sell power during
 emergency conditions under several types of Emergency
 Interchange agreements that are in place with other utilities within
 Florida.

- G Q. Please describe the method used to forecast wholesale (off 7 system) power purchases and sales.
- A. The quantity of wholesale (off-system) power purchases and sales
 are projected based upon estimated generation costs, generation
 availability, expected market conditions and historical data.

Q. What are the forecasted amounts and costs of wholesale (off system) power sales?

A. FPL has projected 1,491,500 MWh of wholesale (off-system) power
sales for the period of January through December 2009. The
projected fuel cost related to these sales is \$112,997,486. The
projected transaction revenue from these sales is \$134,641,669.
The projected gain for these sales is \$18,447,799.

Q. In what document are the fuel costs for wholesale (off-system)
 power sales transactions reported?

A. Schedule E6 of Appendix II provides the total MWh of energy, total
 dollars for fuel adjustment, total cost and total gain for wholesale
 (off-system) power sales.

23

- Q. What are the forecasted amounts and costs of wholesale (off-system) power purchases for the January to December 2009
 period?
- A. The costs of these purchases are shown on Schedule E9 of
 Appendix II. For the period, FPL projects it will purchase a total of
 1,196,000 MWh at a cost of \$116,281,945. If FPL generated this
 energy, FPL estimates that it would cost \$132,608,382. Therefore,
 these purchases are projected to result in savings of \$16,326,437.
- 9 Q. Does FPL have additional agreements for the purchase of
 10 electric power and energy that are included in your
 11 projections?
- A. Yes. FPL purchases coal-by-wire electrical energy under the 1988
 Unit Power Sales Agreement (UPS) with the Southern Companies.
 FPL has contracts to purchase and sell nuclear energy under the St.
 Lucie Plant Nuclear Reliability Exchange Agreements with Orlando
 Utilities Commission (OUC) and Florida Municipal Power Agency
 (FMPA). FPL also purchases energy from JEA's portion of the
 SJRPP Units.
- 19

Capacity that FPL purchases through short-term agreements will be
 slightly lower in 2009 compared with 2008, as FPL's agreement with
 Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc. expires on April 30,
 2009. The capacity associated with this contract is projected to

range from 0 MW to 105 MW, depending on the availability of transmission service, during the first four months of 2009. FPL's 2009 short-term capacity contracts involving the output of specific generating units are with Southern Power Company (Oleander) for the output of one combustion turbine and with Reliant Energy Services (Indian River) for the output of three conventional steam units totaling 576 MW. The Southern Power Company (Oleander) agreement expires on May 31, 2012. The Reliant Energy Services (Indian River) contract expires on December 31, 2009.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Additionally, FPL has one short-term capacity arrangement with Bear Energy, LP that began on March 3, 2006 and runs through December 31, 2009. This transaction is for 106 MW of capacity. Lastly, FPL purchases energy and capacity from Qualifying Facilities under existing tariffs and contracts.

Q. Please provide the projected energy costs to be recovered
 through the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause for the power
 purchases referred to above during the January through
 December 2009 period.

A. Under the UPS agreement, FPL's capacity entitlement during the period from January through December 2009 is 931 MW. Based upon the alternate and supplemental energy provisions of UPS, an availability factor of 100% is applied to these capacity entitlements

to project energy purchases. The projected UPS energy (unit) cost for this period, used as an input to POWRSYM, is based on data provided by the Southern Companies. UPS energy purchases are projected to be 8,035,530 MWh for the period at an energy cost of \$217,677,000. The total UPS energy projections are presented on Schedule E7 of Appendix II.

Energy purchases from the JEA-owned portion of SJRPP are projected to be 2,903,503 MWh for the period at an energy cost of \$97,379,000. FPL's cost for energy purchases under the St. Lucie Plant Reliability Exchange Agreements is a function of the operation of St. Lucie Unit 2 and the fuel costs to the owners. For the period, FPL projects purchases of 412,552 MWh at a cost of \$2,521,684. These projections are shown on Schedule E7 of Appendix II.

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

FPL projects to dispatch 384,065 MWh from its short-term capacity
 agreements at a cost of \$33,752,059. These projections are shown
 on Schedule E7 of Appendix II.

19

In addition, as shown on Schedule E8 of Appendix II, FPL projects
 that purchases from Qualifying Facilities for the period will provide
 5,572,282 MWh at a cost of \$235,952,993.

23

- Q. What are the forecasted amounts and cost of energy being 1 sold under the St. Lucie Plant Reliability Exchange Agreement? 2 Α. FPL projects the sale of 537,402 MWh of energy at a cost of 3 \$3,092,615. These projections are shown on Schedule E6 of 4 Appendix II. 5 Q. How does FPL develop the projected energy costs related to 6 purchases from Qualifying Facilities? 7 Α. For those contracts that entitle FPL to purchase "as-available" 8 energy, FPL used its fuel price forecasts as inputs to the 9 POWRSYM model to project FPL's avoided energy cost that is used 10 to set the price of these energy purchases each month. For those 11 contracts that enable FPL to purchase firm capacity and energy, the 12 applicable Unit Energy Cost mechanisms prescribed in the contracts 13 are used to project monthly energy costs. 14 15 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) EXPENSES 16 ASSOCIATED WITH NON-SEPARATED WHOLESALE ENERGY 17 SALES 18 Q. Does FPL currently recover incremental O&M costs associated 19 with generating energy for non-separated wholesale sales? 20 Α. FPL currently recovers incremental O&M costs for off-system sales 21 that are supported by FPL's gas turbine facilities. These gas turbine 22
 - 17

23

facilities are comprised of 24 peaking units at FPL's Fort Lauderdale

facility, 12 peaking units at FPL's Port Everglades facility and 12
 peaking units at FPL's Fort Myers facility.

Q. What methodology does FPL utilize to recover the incremental
 O&M costs associated with off-system sales that are supported
 by FPL's gas turbine facilities?

- A. FPL currently estimates the incremental O&M costs associated with
 its gas turbine facilities on a dollars per MWh basis. The units at
 Fort Lauderdale and Port Everglades are identical and therefore the
 estimated incremental O&M costs for each facility are the same.
 The estimated incremental O&M cost for the Fort Myers peaking
 units is calculated separately, as these units are not similar to Fort
 Lauderdale and Port Everglades.
- 13

Off-system sales supported by gas turbines are tracked in MWh and 14 recorded on a daily basis. At the end of each month, the MWh 15 contributions from each facility are multiplied by the appropriate 16 estimated incremental O&M cost to produce the total incremental 17 18 O&M costs associated with off-system sales that were supported by FPL's gas turbines. The total incremental O&M costs are then 19 subtracted from the total fuel costs (Column 7) on Schedule A6 and 20 recorded as a credit to base operating revenues. This final credit for 21 the fuel cost of power sold is also shown on Line 2a of Schedule A2 22 and the combination of Lines 14 and 16 on Schedule A1. 23

Q. Is FPL proposing to change its methodology for recovering
 incremental O&M costs associated with off-system sales?

Α. No, but FPL is proposing to extend its current methodology to 3 include other types of units in FPL's fleet. Specifically, FPL 4 proposes to add two additional categories of units that contribute 5 substantially to off-system sales: combined cycle units and 6 conventional steam units. As with the gas turbine facilities, FPL will 7 estimate the incremental O&M costs for each class of units, track 8 the MWh of sales attributable to each class of units and calculate 9 the total incremental O&M costs associated with off-system sales. 10

Q. Does the Commission currently allow for the recovery of
 incremental O&M costs associated with off-system sales for
 units other than gas turbines?

A. Yes. Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-El addressed the issue of
 incremental O&M related to off-system sales by stating the
 following:

¹⁷ "Because the IOUs sell short-term wholesale energy based
¹⁸ upon their willingness and ability to sell at or above
¹⁹ incremental costs, we believe that the IOUs should measure
²⁰ the costs of these sales on an incremental basis.
²¹ Accordingly, we find that each IOU shall measure the gain
²² from its non-separated wholesale power sales by subtracting
²³ the sum of its incremental costs from the revenue received

1		for each sale. Further, we find that the calculation of
2		incremental costs for these sales shall include, but not be
3		limited to: incremental fuel cost, incremental SO2 emission
4		allowance cost, incremental O&M cost, and separately-
5		identified transmission or capacity charges."
6		The Order goes on to clarify the appropriate regulatory treatment for
7		the revenues and expenses associated with non-separated
8		wholesale power sales and specifically addresses incremental O&M
9		recovery by stating the following:
10		"Each IOU shall credit its operating revenues for an amount
11		equal to the incremental operating and maintenance (O&M)
12		cost of generating the energy for each such sale."
13		Therefore, the recovery of incremental O&M is not limited to specific
14		types of units, but rather applies to the cost for all units generating
15		the energy for each sale.
16	Q.	Is FPL's current methodology for recovery of incremental O&M
17		costs associated with off-system sales consistent with Order
18		No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI?
19	A.	Yes. Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI did not dictate specifically
20		how each IOU should calculate the incremental O&M it incurred to
21		make off-system sales. Similar to PEF and TECO (as described in
22		testimony at an evidentiary hearing held in Docket No. 010283-EI on
23		August 31, 2001), FPL estimates its incremental O&M costs and

- 1 credits its operating revenues for these costs.
- Q. Is FPL presently recovering incremental O&M costs for its
 combined cycle and conventional steam units through base
 rates?

Α. No. The level of O&M expenses required to support the operation of 5 power plants is almost exclusively a function of their output. FPL 6 has confirmed that the O&M projections for its combined cycle and 7 conventional steam units that are reflected in the most recent (2006) 8 Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) did not take into account the 9 additional operating hours and output associated with off-system 10 sales. Rather, the O&M data was based on only the requirements 11 of serving native load customers. Therefore, FPL is not currently 12 recovering through base rates the incremental O&M expenses that it 13 incurs when it runs its combined cycle and conventional steam units 14 for more hours or at higher output levels to support off-system sales. 15 Q. 16 Why has FPL not previously recovered the incremental O&M expenses associated with off-system sales from its combined 17 cycle and conventional steam units? 18

A. The Commission's approved procedure for handling the revenues and costs associated with non-separated sales provides for recovery of incremental O&M expenses only when those expenses are not already recovered in base rates. When that system was established in 2000, FPL initially concluded that the level of O&M

expenses reflected in its previous MFRs for combined cycle and 1 conventional steam units covered the added operation of those units 2 when FPL makes off-system sales and therefore were not eligible 3 for recovery via a credit to base revenues. However, when FPL 4 recently re-evaluated the basis for projecting O&M expenses for 5 combined cycle and conventional steam units in its most recent 6 (2006) MFRs, it became apparent that the MFRs in fact did not 7 cover the cost of making off-system sales from those units. 8

9 Q. When does FPL propose to begin recovering incremental O&M
 10 costs associated with off-system sales for these additional
 11 units?

A. FPL proposes to begin recovering incremental O&M costs associated with off-system sales for combined cycle and conventional steam units starting on January 1, 2009. This projected date will allow FPL the necessary time to modify its systems to appropriately capture and account for these incremental costs.

Q. Do FPL's 2009 fuel cost projections reflect FPL's recovery of
 incremental O&M costs for combined cycle and conventional
 steam units?

A. No. FPL does not feel that it has enough information on the unit
 types from which off-system sales will be made in 2009 to project
 accurately the incremental O&M costs associated with those sales.

As FPL has done historically for its gas turbine units, recovery of the incremental O&M costs for combined cycle and conventional steam units will be handled as part of the final true-up for 2009 and subsequent years.

5 Q. How will FPL reflect these costs on Schedule A6?

A. FPL plans to show these costs on Schedule A6 as it currently does
for gas turbine-related O&M costs. FPL will change the line item
description on Schedule A6 from "Gas Turbine Maintenance
Revenue Reclassed to Base Revenue" to "System Maintenance
Revenue Reclassed to Base Revenue."

Q. Does FPL plan to update its estimated values for incremental
 O&M by unit class on a routine basis?

- A. Yes. FPL will update its cost estimates, by unit class, on a yearly
 basis.
- 15

16 HEDGING/ RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN

Q. Please describe FPL's hedging objectives.

A. The primary objective of FPL's hedging program has been, and
 remains, the reduction of fuel price volatility. Reducing fuel price
 volatility helps deliver greater price certainty to FPL's customers.
 FPL does not engage in speculative hedging strategies aimed at
 "out guessing" the market.

Q. Does FPL expect that its hedging program will deliver fuel
 savings each year?

Α. No. This is a point that I have emphasized in all my prior testimony 3 on hedging. While FPL is extremely pleased when its hedging 4 program generates net savings for its customers, it does not engage 5 in hedging for this purpose. FPL's hedging strategies are aimed at 6 reducing fuel price volatility. Speculative hedging strategies aimed 7 at "out guessing" the market in the hopes of potentially returning 8 savings to FPL's customers will lead to increased volatility in prices 9 to FPL's customers. FPL cannot predict future fuel prices as there 10 is no certainty in predicting the main drivers of fuel price, such as 11 weather, hurricanes or unstable conditions around the world. What 12 FPL can continue to do is execute a well-disciplined, independently 13 controlled hedging program that reduces fuel price volatility and 14 delivers greater price certainty to FPL's customers. As a 15 consequence of volatility reduction, the hedging program will show 16 savings in some years and losses in others, with the expectation 17 that, over time, the cumulative impact of FPL's hedging program will 18 be neutral and not result in significant savings or losses to FPL's 19 customers. FPL does expect, however, that over time its customers 20 will experience more stable rates as a result of FPL's hedging 21 activities. 22

23

Q. Has FPL prepared a risk management plan for 2009, as
 required by Order PSC- 02-1484-FOF-El issued on October 30,
 2002?

Α. Yes. FPL's 2009 Risk Management Plan is provided in Exhibit GJY-4 4. FPL's 2009 Risk Management Plan has been modified from prior 5 years to include a greater level of detail in response to 6 recommendations in Staff's recent Review of Fuel Procurement 7 Hedging Practices of Florida's Investor-Owned Electric Utilities. In 8 addition, FPL's 2009 Risk Management Plan addresses the 9 parameters within which FPL intends to place hedges in 2009 for 10 fuel requirements in 2010. 11

Q. Is FPL seeking to recover projected incremental operating and
 maintenance expenses with respect to maintaining an
 expanded, non-speculative financial and/or physical hedging
 program for the January through December 2009 period?

Α. Yes. FPL projects to incur incremental expenses of \$694,510. By 16 "incremental," I mean that these expenses are not reflected in FPL's 17 base rates. The projected expenses are comprised of salaries and 18 employee-related expenses for the three personnel who were added 19 to support FPL's enhanced hedging program, incremental annual 20 license fees for FPL's volume forecasting software and incremental 21 22 expenses associated with credit costs necessary to support FPL's hedging program. 23

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 2 A. Yes it does.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3		TESTIMONY OF TERRY O. JONES
4		DOCKET NO. 080001-EI
5		September 2, 2008
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and address.
8	A.	My name is Terry O. Jones. My business address is 700 Universe
9		Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408.
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
11	A.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL). My current
12		position is the Vice President of Operations Midwest Region for the
13		Nuclear Division. Prior to this change, which became effective June
14		2008, I served as Vice President of Plant Support for FPL's Nuclear
15		Division.
16	Q.	Have you previously testified in the predecessor to this
17		docket?
18	Α.	Yes, I have.
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
20	Α.	My testimony presents and explains FPL's projections of nuclear fuel
21		costs for the thermal energy (MMBTU) to be produced by our
		1

nuclear units and the costs of disposal of spent nuclear fuel. I am
 also updating the status of certain litigation that affects FPL's nuclear
 fuel costs; plant security costs and new NRC security initiatives; and
 outage events; Both nuclear fuel and disposal of spent nuclear fuel
 costs were input values to POWERSYM used to calculate the costs
 to be included in the proposed fuel cost recovery factors for the
 period January 2009 through December 2009.

8

9 Nuclear Fuel Costs

10 Q. What is the basis for FPL's projections of nuclear fuel costs?

A. FPL's nuclear fuel cost projections are developed using projected
 energy production at our nuclear units and their operating schedules,
 for the period January 2009 through December 2009.

Q. Please provide FPL's projection for nuclear fuel unit costs and
 energy for the period January 2009 through December 2009.

A. FPL projects the nuclear units will produce 261,998,614 MMBTU of
 energy at a cost of \$0.5308 per MMBTU, excluding spent fuel
 disposal costs, for the period January 2009 through December 2009.
 Projections by nuclear unit and by month are in Appendix II, on
 Schedule E-4, starting on page 15 of the Appendix II.

1 Spent Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs

Q. Please provide FPL's projections for spent nuclear fuel disposal 2 costs for the period January 2009 through December 2009 and 3 explain the basis for FPL's projections. 4

FPL's projections for spent nuclear fuel disposal costs of Α. 5 approximately \$21.8 million are provided in Appendix II, on Schedule 6 7 E-2, starting on page 9 of the Appendix. These projections are based on FPL's contract with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 8 which sets the spent fuel disposal fee at 0.9285 mills per net kWh 9 generated, including transmission and distribution line losses.

11

10

Litigation Status Update 12

- Q. is there currently an unresolved dispute under FPL's nuclear 13 fuel contracts? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.

Spent Fuel Disposal Dispute. This dispute arose under FPL's 16 contract with the Department of Energy (DOE) for final disposal of 17 spent nuclear fuel. In 1995 FPL, along with a number of electric 18 19 utilities, states, and state regulatory agencies filed suit against DOE over its obligation to accept spent nuclear fuel beginning in 1998. 20 On July 23, 1996, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of 21 Columbia Circuit (D.C. Circuit) held that DOE is required by the 22

Nuclear Waste Policy Act (NWPA) to take title to and dispose of spent nuclear fuel from nuclear power plants beginning on January 31, 1998.

On January 11, 2002, based on the D.C. Circuit's ruling, the Court of Federal Claims granted FPL's motion for partial summary judgment in favor of FPL on contract liability. There is no trial date scheduled at this time for the FPL damages claim.

9

1

2

З

4

5

6

7

8

The Court of Federal Claims ruled on May 21, 2004 that another 10 nuclear plant owner, Indiana Michigan Power Company, was not 11 entitled to any damages arising out of the Government's failure to 12 begin disposal of spent nuclear fuel by January 31, 1998. On 13 appeal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld the 14 Court of Federal Claims decision on September 9, 2005. The 15 impact of this decision, if any, on FPL's claims against the 16 17 Government remains unknown at this time.

- 1 Nuclear Plant Security Costs
- Q. What is FPL's projection of the incremental security costs for
 the period January 2009 through December 2009?
- A. FPL presently projects that it will incur \$30.3 million in incremental
 nuclear power plant security costs in 2009.

Q. Please provide a brief description of the items included in this
 projection.

A. The projection includes adding security personnel as a result of
 implementing NRC's Order EA03-038, which limits the number of
 hours security personnel may work; additional personnel training;
 additional regulatory initiatives for fires, aircraft threat strategy;
 protection of spent fuel pools and containments and impacts of NRC
 Part 73 rulemaking initiatives.

Q. Has the NRC issued any new revisions to the security-related
 Orders that affect FPL's projection?

A. Yes. On March 31, 2008 the NRC issued a new rule under Part 26
of the Code of Federal Regulations dealing with worker fatigue.
The new rule mandates more restrictive work hour limits, including
a specific requirement for "days off" for the security officers at the
St. Lucie and Turkey Point sites. Full implementation is required by
October 1, 2009. The Part 26 rulemaking impacts costs for 2009

are estimated to be \$1.8 million for the St. Lucie and Turkey Point
 nuclear sites.

Q. Is there a possibility of further NRC security-related initiatives in
 2009 and beyond, in addition to those included in FPL's
 projection?

Α. Yes. For example, there is a NRC initiative to review and update б the Enhanced Adversary Characteristics (EAC) of the Design Basis 7 Threat (DBT). The DBT is the measure that all nuclear stations are 8 designed to defend against. Some of these EAC/DBT 9 enhancements could require extensive engineering support and 10 significant modifications to station security defensive positions. 11 Industry comments are due to the NRC by September 2008. 12

13

In addition, NRC Part 73.55 rulemaking may involve the need for 14 significant modifications to various areas of the site. Part 73.55 15 directs licensees to have an on-site physical protection system and 16 security organization that provides the level of protection required 17 for nuclear power reactors against radiological sabotage. Some 18 examples include redundant features for Central Alarm Station 19 20 (CAS) and Secondary Alarm Station (SAS), enhanced weaponry, 21 Owner Controlled Area (OCA) detection, and possible enhancements to assessment and interdiction. The industry and 22

the NRC view the impact differently since the industry believes a literal interpretation of the proposed rule varies greatly from the NRC's stated intent. Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) has 200 pages of comments discussing the impact of this rule. NEI estimates that the cost of rulemaking, based on literal interpretation, could range from \$20-60 million per site.

As a final example, the NRC has issued a draft Regulatory Guide for Cyber Security protection of station digital computer, communications systems and networks which would impose significant requirements for monitoring, hardening and responding to cyber intrusions. The draft Guide has been issued for industry comment.

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15 It is not feasible for FPL to estimate at this time the future costs that 16 will be required to comply with the various developing regulatory 17 requirements, but the Commission should be aware that nuclear 18 security costs could increase significantly based on the issues 19 mentioned above.

1 2008 Outage Events

2 Turkey Point

- 3 Q. Has FPL experienced any unplanned outages at its Turkey Point
- 4 plant in 2008?

Α. Yes. In February 2008, Units 3 and 4 experienced an automatic 5 reactor trip and shut down due to an external transmission 6 disturbance that caused reduced voltage in the switchyard that 7 connects the nuclear units to the FPL transmission system. 8 Additionally, when Unit 4 was returning to service, the 4A steam 9 generator water level exceeded the 75% limit and a manual trip 10 was initiated. The manual trip delayed start up by approximately 30 11 hours. The total outage duration for these events, including the 12 equipment issues that emerged independently of the transmission 13 incident, was approximately 6 days for Unit 3 and 4 days for Unit 4. 14

Q. What caused the 4A steam generator water level to exceed
 75%?

A. In an effort to accelerate the return of Unit 4 to service, the
 operator implemented fast generator loading that created steam
 generator level fluctuations and the loss of steam generator level
 control which resulted in the manual trip of the reactor.

1 Q. Why was the outage duration for Unit 3 longer than Unit 4?

A. Unit 3 extended the outage to replace a rod position indication coil
 that had previously malfunctioned in October 2007. FPL had
 obtained permission from the NRC to defer replacement until a unit
 shut down occurred in order to minimize the outage time
 associated with the replacement.

Q. Has FPL experienced any other unplanned outages at its Turkey
 Point plant in 2008?

Yes. In June 2008, Unit 3 shut down to rebalance the turbine, due Α. 9 to a high #9 turbine bearing vibration. The outage duration was 10 approximately 1 day. In August 2008, Turkey Point Unit 4 shut 11 down to repair a test connection leak required by technical 12 specifications and American Society of Mechanical Engineers 13 14 (ASME) code requirements. The outage duration was approximately 8 days. 15

- 16
- 17 **St. Lucie**

18 Q. Has FPL experienced any unplanned outages at its St. Lucie
 19 plant in 2008?

A. Yes. In January 2008, St. Lucie Unit 2 was manually shut down
due to a leak in the 2B1 Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) seal upper
1		cavity piping. The leakage occurred on a Reactor Coolant Pump					
2		seal upper cavity pipe. FPL determined the crack was due to water					
3		chemistry and the piping design. The January 2008 outage					
4		duration was approximately 11 days.					
5	Q.	What corrective actions has FPL taken to avoid this problem					
6		from recurring?					
7	Α.	FPL replaced the 12 seal upper cavity lines in the "A" and "B"					
8 ·		reactor coolant pumps to preclude a similar problem on these lines					
9		in the future on Unit 2.					
10	Q.	Has this issue occurred in St. Lucie Unit 1?					
11	A.	No. However, as a precautionary measure, FPL will be replacing					
12		all 16 seal cavity lower and upper lines during the refueling outage					
13		in October 2008 to avoid future problems on Unit 1.					
14	Q.	Has FPL experienced any other unplanned outages at its St.					
15		Lucie plant in 2008?					
16	A.	In June 2008 St Lucie Unit 2 was manually shut down due to a					
17		secondary side transient. This transient occurred during					
18		maintenance activities to replace a feedwater heater level detector.					
19		The outage duration for this event was approximately 2 days.					
20							

Also in June 2008, St Lucie Unit 2 was manually shut down due to a trip of a main condensate pump when the motor leads associated with this pump electrically faulted. The outage duration for this event was approximately 2 days.

In August 2008, St. Lucie Unit 1 shut down due to flooding
 associated with the unprecedented amount of rainfall from Tropical
 Storm Fay. The outage duration was approximately 5 days.

9

1

2

3

4

5

FPL is in the process of investigating and evaluating these recent
 outages.

12 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

13 A. Yes it does.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
3		TESTIMONY OF KOREL M. DUBIN
4		DOCKET NO. 080001-EI
5		September 2, 2008
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and address.
8	Α.	My name is Korel M. Dubin and my business address is 9250
9		West Flagler Street, Miami, Florida 33174.
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
11	Α.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as
12		Senior Manager of Purchased Power in the Resource
13		Assessment and Planning Department.
14	Q.	Have you previously testified in this docket?
15	Α.	Yes, I have.
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
17	Α.	My testimony addresses the following subjects:
18		- I present for Commission review and approval the Fuel
19		Cost Recovery (FCR) factors for the period January 2009
20		through December 2009. I propose that the FCR factors
21		be adjusted during the period in order to offset the impact
22		of the Generation Base Rate Adjustments (GBRAs) for
23		West County Energy Center (WCEC) Units 1 and 2 and

thus levelize the 1,000 kWh residential customer bill
 throughout the period. I also present as an alternative
 FCR factors that are uniform throughout the period, which
 would not result in levelizing the overall bill.

I present for Commission review and approval a revised
2008 FCR estimated/actual true-up amount, which has
been updated to include July actual data and which is
incorporated into the calculation of the 2009 FCR Factors.
I present for Commission review and approval the
Capacity Cost Recovery (CCR) factors for the period
January 2009 through December 2009.

I present for Commission review and approval a revised
2008 CCR estimated/actual true-up amount, which has
been updated to include July actual data and which is
incorporated into the calculation of the 2009 CCR Factors.
I present for Commission review and approval FPL's
projected incremental security costs for 2009, to be
recovered through the CCR Clause.

Finally, I provide on pages 73-74 of Appendix II FPL's
 proposed COG tariff sheets, which reflect 2009 projections
 of avoided energy costs for purchases from small power
 producers and cogenerators and an updated ten year
 projection of Florida Power & Light Company's annual

1		generation mix and fuel prices.					
2	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your					
3		direction, supervision or control any exhibits in this					
4		proceeding?					
5	Α.	Yes, I have. They are as follows:					
6		- KMD-5 Schedules E1, E1-A, E1-B, E1-C, E1-D E1-E, E2,					
7		E10, H1, and pages 8a-8c and 73-74 included in Appendix II					
8		- KMD-6 the entire Appendix III					
9		Appendix II contains the FCR related schedules, Appendix III					
10		contains the CCR related schedules, and Appendix IV provides					
11		the alternate FCR schedules prepared using the standard					
12		methodology.					
13							
14		FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE					
15	<u>Adju</u>	isted FCR Factors to Levelize the Overall Bill					
16	Q.	Is FPL proposing to levelize the Residential 1,000 kWh bill in					
17		2009?					
18	A.	Yes. In order to provide customers with a more stable, level bill in					
19		2009, FPL proposes to levelize the Residential 1,000 kWh bill by					
20		offsetting the GBRAs for WCEC Units 1 and 2 with the fuel					
21		savings attributable to these new units. FPL has filed affidavits of					
22		Dr. Steven Sim and Ms. Renae Deaton documenting and					
23		describing the calculation of those GBRAs. The fuel savings of					

\$164,850,000 attributable to WCEC Units 1 and 2 are presented in the testimony of FPL witness G. Yupp.

3

1

2

Without levelization, the overall 1,000 kWh residential bill would 4 increase in June 2009 from the level in effect for January to May 5 2009, when WCEC Unit 1 begins commercial operations and the 6 WCEC 1 GBRA becomes effective. Then, the overall 1,000 kWh 7 residential bill would increase again in November 2009, when 8 WCEC Unit 2 begins commercial operations and the WCEC 2 9 GBRA becomes effective. FPL's proposal will eliminate these two 10 step increases. 11

Q. How does FPL propose to calculate the FCR factors that will
 implement this levelized 1,000 kWh residential bill?

Α. FPL proposes to offset the GBRAs that become effective in June 14 2009 (WCEC 1) and November 2009 (WCEC 2), by crediting an 15 equivalent amount of the units' fuel savings to customers over the 16 17 same timeframe that the GBRAs will be in effect for 2009. This is in contrast to the standard methodology for calculating FCR 18 factors, in which fuel costs for a given year (including any fuel 19 savings) are levelized over the twelve month period. Offsetting the 20 21 GBRA impacts will not require all of the projected fuel savings associated with operation of WCEC Units 1 and 2 in 2009; the 22 remaining savings will be spread over the five month period of 23

January through May 2009.

3 Specifically, as shown in Mr. Yupp's testimony, FPL projects total fuel savings of \$164,850,000 in 2009, with the jurisdictional 4 savings being \$164,637,858. \$93,085,358 of those savings are 5 credited to June through October 2009 to offset the impact of the 6 WCEC Unit 1 GBRA, and \$52,955,000 of the savings are credited 7 in November through December 2009 to offset the combined 8 impacts of the WCEC Units 1 and 2 GBRAs in that period. The 9 remaining fuel savings of \$18,597,500 are credited in January 10 through May 2009. 11

12

1

2

By spreading the fuel savings from WCEC Units 1 and 2 in this 13 fashion, FPL has calculated levelized fuel factors for January 14 through May 2009 of 6.744¢ per kWh, for June through October 15 2009 of 6.603¢ per kWh, and for November through December 16 2009 of 6.475¢ per kWh. The calculation of these FCR factors is 17 further detailed on Schedule E1, pages 3a - 3c of Appendix II. 18 Applying these factors results in a consistent 1,000 kWh 19 20 residential bill of \$119.41 over the entire January through 21 December 2009 period.

1	Q.	Will all rate classes see a levelized bill for the January				
2		through December 2009 period?				
3	Α.	Only the "Typical" 1,000 kWh Residential Bill will be completely				
4		levelized, while for other residential consumption levels and other rate				
5		classes there will remain small differences between their bills for				
б		January through May, June through October and November through				
7		December. However, all customer classes and consumption levels				
8		will see less of a fluctuation in their bills throughout the year than they				
9		would without FPL's proposed levelization.				
10	Q.	Is FPL's levelization proposal revenue neutral?				
11	Α.	Yes. The FCR Factors that FPL proposes for levelizing the bill are				
12		designed to recover the same total FCR revenues over 2009 as				
13		would standard, non-levelized FCR Factors.				
14	Q.	Has the Commission previously approved using the "levelized				
15		bill" methodology when a GBRA became effective?				
16	A.	Yes. In Order No. PSC-06-1057-FOF-EI, dated December 22, 2006,				
17		the Commission approved FPL's use of the "levelized bill"				
18		methodology for setting the 2007 FCR factors, to offset the impact of				
19		the GBRA that became effective when Turkey Point Unit 5 went into				
20		commercial operation.				
21	<u>Alter</u>	native, "Standard" FCR Factors				
22	Q.	As an alternative, is FPL also providing fuel factors using the				
23		standard methodology?				
24	A.	Yes. Although FPL requests approval of its "Levelized Bill				

1		Methodology," in the alternative FPL has also provided fuel factors				
2		using the standard methodology. Appendix IV includes Schedules				
3		E1, E1-D, E1-E, E2, and E10, which calculate the twelve-month				
4		levelized fuel factor (standard methodology). This twelve-month				
5		levelized fuel factor spreads the savings resulting from WCEC Units				
6		1 and 2 throughout the twelve months of 2009.				
7	Q.	What is the proposed "standard methodology" levelized fuel				
8		cost recovery (FCR) factor?				
9	A.	6.636¢ per kWh. Schedule EI, Page 3 of Appendix IV shows the				
10		calculation of this twelve-month levelized FCR factor. Schedule				
11	E2, Pages 6 and 7 of Appendix II shows the monthly fuel factors					
12		for January 2009 through December 2009 and also the twelve-				
13		month levelized FCR factor for the period.				
14						
15	<u>FCR</u>	Factors for Time of Use Rates				
16	Q.	Has the Company developed levelized FCR factors for its				
17		Time of Use rates, under both its "levelized bill" and				
18		standard methodologies?				
19	A.	Yes. Schedule E1-D, Pages 6a through 6c of Appendix II,				
20		provides our Time of Use rate schedules. The on-peak and off-				
21		peak FCR factors are 7.546¢ and 6.383¢ for January through				
22		May, 7.405¢ and 6.242¢ for June through October, and 7.277¢				
23		and 6.114¢ for November through December. Schedule E-1D,				

Page 4 of Appendix IV provides the Time of Use rates based on the standard methodology.

- The time of use rates for the Seasonal Demand Time of Use Rider (SDTR) are 7.394¢ (on-peak) and 6.354¢ (off-peak) and are provided on Schedule E-1D, Page 6d of Appendix II. The SDTR was implemented pursuant to the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved in Docket No. 050045-EI, which incorporates a different on-peak period during the months of June through September.
- 11

1

2

3

Utilizing the levelized bill approach, FCR factors by rate group for
the periods January through May, June through October and
November through December 2009, respectively, are presented
on Schedule E1-E, Pages 7a through 7c of Appendix II. FCR
factors by rate group for the SDTR are provided on Schedule E17 1E, Page 7d of Appendix II.

Q. Were these calculations made in accordance with the
 procedures approved in predecessors to this Docket?

20 **A.** Yes.

1	Q.	Has FPL calculated the residential fuel charges using the		
2		inverted rate structure for both its "levelized bill" and		
3		standard methodologies?		
4	A.	Yes.		
5				
6		Revised 2008 FCR Estimated/Actual True-up		
7	Q.	Has FPL revised its 2008 FCR Estimated/Actual True-up		
8		amount that was filed on August 4, 2008 to reflect July actual		
9		data?		
10	A.	Yes. The 2008 FCR Estimated/actual True-up amount has been		
11		revised to an under-recovery of \$296,048,402 reflecting July		
12		2008 actual data. The calculation of the revised 2008 FCR		
13		Estimated/actual true-up amount is shown on Revised Schedule		
14		E1-B, on Pages 4a-4b of Appendix II. This \$296,048,402 under-		
15		recovery is to be included for recovery in the FCR factor for the		
16		January 2009 through December 2009 period.		
17	Q.	What adjustments are included in the calculation of the		
18		levelized FCR factor shown on Schedule E1, Pages 3a – 3c of		
19		Appendix II?		
20	A.	As shown on line 29 of each Schedule E1, Pages 3a-3c of		
21		Appendix II, the total net true-up to be included in the 2009		
22		factors is a revised under-recovery of \$296,048,402. This		
23	·	amount divided by the projected retail sales of 105,989,914 MWh		

1		for January 2009 through December 2009 results in an increase
2		of .2793¢ per kWh before applicable revenue taxes. The
3		Generating Performance Incentive Factor (GPIF) Testimony of
4		FPL Witness Frank Irizarry, filed on April 3, 2008, calculated a
5		reward of \$5,383,572 for the period ending December 2007,
б		which is being applied to the January 2009 through December
7		2009 period. This \$5,383,572 reward divided by the projected
8		retail sales of 105,989,914 MWh during the projected period
9		results in an increase of .0051¢ per kWh, as shown on line 33 of
10		Schedule E1, Pages 3a – 3c of Appendix II.
11		
12		CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
13	Q.	Has FPL revised its 2008 CCR Estimated/Actual True-up
14		amount that was filed on August 4, 2008 to reflect July actual
15		data?
16	Α.	Yes. The 2008 CCR Estimated/actual True-up amount has been
17		revised to an under-recovery of \$26,832,716 reflecting July 2008
		actual data plus interest. The calculation of the revised 2008
18		
18 19		CCR Estimated/actual true-up amount is shown on Pages 3a-3b

1Q.What is the revised net true-up amount that FPL is2requesting to include in the CCR factor for the January 20093through December 2009 period?

Α. FPL is requesting approval of a net true-up under-recovery of 4 5 \$30,540,170. This \$30,540,170 under-recovery represents the revised estimated/actual under-recovery for the period January 6 7 2008 through December 2008 of \$26,832,716 plus the final trueup under-recovery of \$3,707,455 that was filed on March 3, 2008 8 9 for the period January 2007 through December 2007. This \$30,540,170 under-recovery is to be included for recovery in the 10 CCR factor for the January 2009 through December 2009 period. 11 12 Q. Have you prepared a summary of the requested capacity payments for the projected period of January 2009 through 13 December 2009? 14

Α. Yes. Page 3 of Appendix III provides this summary. Total 15 Recoverable Capacity Payments are \$836,786,814 (line 18) and 16 include payments of \$223,732,036 to non-cogenerators (line1), 17 Short-term Capacity Payments of \$47,319,630 (line 2), payments 18 of \$320,771,227 to cogenerators (line 3), \$2,405,832 relating to 19 the St. John's River Power Park (SJRPP) Energy Suspension 20 21 Accrual (line 4), \$31,439,262 in Incremental Power Plant Security 22 Costs (line 6), and \$4,354,655 for Transmission of Electricity by Others (line 7). These amounts are offset by \$5,689,352 of 23

1 Return Requirements on SJRPP Suspension Payments (line 5), by Transmission Revenues from Capacity Sales of \$3,196,384 2 (line 8), by \$56,945,592 of jurisdictional capacity related 3 payments included in base rates (line 12) and a refund of 4 \$9,296,089 related to the true-up of the Turkey Point Unit 5 5 Generating Base Rate Adjustment (GBRA) for the period May 6 7 2007 through December 2008 (line 15). The resulting amount is then increased by the net under-recovery for 2008 of \$30,540,170 8 (line 13) plus the Nuclear Cost Recovery amount of \$258,406,183 9 (line 14). 10

Q. Has FPL included costs associated with its Nuclear Power
 Plant Cost Recovery (NPPCR) in the calculation of its
 Capacity Cost Recovery (CCR) Factors?

Α. Yes. FPL has included \$258,406,183 on Appendix III, page 3, 14 Line 14 for the NPPCR in the calculation of its CCR Factors. Per 15 Order No. PSC-07-0240-FOF-El, issued on March 20, 2007, the 16 Commission adopted the Rule to implement Section 366.93, 17 Florida Statutes, which was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 18 2006. The stated purpose of the Statute is to promote utility 19 investment in nuclear power plants, and it directed the 20 Commission to establish alternative mechanisms for cost 21 recovery and step-wise, periodic prudence determinations with 22 respect to costs incurred to build nuclear power plants. The Rule 23

1		provides the mechanism and the annual recovery of these costs
2		through the CCR.
3		
4		On May 1, 2008, in Docket No. 080009-EI, FPL filed a petition for
5		cost recovery of its NPPCR amount of \$258,979,772, which was
6		subsequently revised on August 6, 2008 to \$258,406,183.
7		
8		This \$258,406,183 is made up of 2006-2007 actual costs, 2008
9		estimated/actual costs and 2009 projected costs. It includes
10		\$7,766,748 of site selection costs, \$230,414,344 of pre-
11		construction costs and associated carrying charges for Turkey
12		Point Units 6 &7 and \$20,225,091 of carrying charges on
13		construction costs associated with the St. Lucie and Turkey Point
14		Nuclear Uprate Projects.
15	Q.	Has FPL included an adjustment associated with its
16		Generating Base Rate Adjustment (GBRA) for Turkey Point
17		Unit 5?
18	Α.	Yes. FPL has included a credit of \$9,296,089, including interest,
19		(Appendix III, page 3, Line 15) for the true-up of Turkey Point Unit
20		5 costs for the period May 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008
21		as a reduction in the calculation of its CCR Factors. The
22		calculation of this credit is discussed in the affidavit and exhibits
23		of Renae B. Deaton.

Q. Have you prepared a calculation of the allocation factors for 1 2 demand and energy? 3 Α. Yes. Page 4 of Appendix III provides this calculation. The demand allocation factors are calculated by determining the 4 percentage each rate class contributes to the monthly system 5 6 peaks. The energy allocators are calculated by determining the 7 percentage each rate contributes to total kWh sales, as adjusted 8 for losses, for each rate class. 9 Q. Have you prepared a calculation of the proposed CCR factors by rate class? 10 Yes. Page 5 of Appendix III presents this calculation. Α. 11 Q. What effective date is the Company requesting for the new 12 FCR and CCR factors? 13 14 Α. FPL is requesting that the FCR and CCR factors become 15 effective with customer bills for January 2009 through December 16 2009. This will provide for 12 months of billing on the FCR and 17 CCR factors for all our customers. What will be the charge for a Residential customer using 18 Q. 1,000 kWh effective January 2009? 19 Α. For January through December, the "typical" Residential 1,000 20 21 kWh bill will be \$119.41 Of this amount, the Capacity Cost 22 Recovery charge is \$8.55, the Conservation charge is \$2.04, the Environmental Cost Recovery charge is \$0.94, the Storm charge 23

is \$1.45 and the Gross Receipts Tax is \$2.99.

In addition, the January through May period includes a base 3 charge of \$39.31 and the fuel cost recovery charge is \$64.13. For 4 5 June through October, the bill includes a base charge of \$40.72 and the fuel cost recovery charge is \$62.72. For November 6 7 through December, the bill includes a base charge of \$42.00 and the fuel cost recovery charge is \$61.44.

9

8

1

2

A comparison of the current Residential (1,000 kWh) Bill to FPL's 10 11 2009 projected Residential (1,000 kWh) Bills is presented in Schedule E10, Page 71 of Appendix II. As shown on Schedule 12 E10, the 1,000 kWh Residential Bill will increase by 7.80% in 13 January 2009. 14

Q. How do these increases compare to the increases sought 15 16 and received by other utilities around the country?

17 Α. They are consistent with, and in some instances significantly lower than, fuel-related increases seen elsewhere recently. For 18 example, in June 2008 Dominion Virginia Power received an 18% 19 20 increase in its residential rates to cover higher fuel costs. 21 Similarly, in July 2008, Appalachian Power Company sought an 22 increase in its fuel factors that would raise residential rates by 23 about 15%, and in August 2008, Alabama Power Company

sought a 14.6% increase in residential rates due to rising costs for 1 coal and natural gas. All of these increases reflect the same 2 reality of sharply increasing fuel costs that FPL faces. I also 3 would like to point out that a significant portion of the increase in 4 FPL's bills reflects investment in clean power technologies such 5 б as nuclear, solar and highly efficient combined cycle gas-fired 7 plants, which will help FPL to reduce its reliance on fossil fuels and cut its greenhouse gas and other air emissions in the years to 8 9 come.

10 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

11 A. Yes, it does.

1	E	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
2	FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY					
3		TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY GERRISH				
4		DOCKET NO. 080001-EI				
5		September 2, 2008				
6						
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.				
8	Α.	My name is Timothy Gerrish. My business address is 700				
9		Universe Blvd., Juno Beach, FL 33408.				
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?				
11	Α.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL" or				
12		the "Company") as the Director of Origination.				
13	Q.	What are your present job responsibilities?				
14	Α.	My current responsibilities include: managing the long term				
15		marketing and purchasing of energy commodities to support				
16	FPL's System of Generation. Through this function, I assess					
17	and negotiate opportunities to enter into procurement or sales					
18		of power, natural gas and coal contracts.				
19	Q.	Would you please give a brief description of your				
20		educational background and professional experience?				
21	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical				
22		Engineering from Michigan Technological University in 1989,				
23		and a Masters of Business Administration Degree in 2001 from 1				

the University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill. I have been 1 employed in my current position at FPL since August 24, 2007. 2 From 1998 until 2007, I was employed by Progress Energy's 3 4 unregulated affiliate, Progress Ventures, LLC. In 2007. 5 Progress Ventures sold a portion of its business to Constellation Energy, where I briefly worked prior to joining 6 7 FPL. Throughout my employment at Progress Ventures I held a number of positions in Energy Marketing and Trading, where I 8 had responsibility for various regulated and unregulated power 9 including responsibility 10 projects, for negotiation and administering power purchase agreements. Prior to joining 11 Progress Ventures, I was a United States Naval Submarine 12 Officer for nine years. 13

14 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

Α. My testimony is provided in support of FPL's request for a 15 Commission finding that entering into the Lee County Electric 16 Cooperative, Inc. ("LCEC") Long-Term Agreement for Full 17 Requirement Electric Service dated August 21, 18 2007 ("Agreement") is prudent and consistent with the interests of 19 FPL's retail customers. The load obligation represented by the 20 Agreement is expected to average approximately 1,100 MW 21 over the term of the Agreement. My testimony describes the 22 Agreement, identifies its principal benefits, and explains why 23

1		the Commission should find that entering into the Agreement is				
2		prudent and consistent with the interests of FPL's retail				
3		customers.				
4	Q.	Have you prepared, or caused to be prepared under your				
5		direction or supervision, any exhibits to be used in this				
6		proceeding?				
7	A.	Yes. The following exhibits are included in Appendix V:				
8		Exhibit TWG - 1 Long-Term Agreement for Full Requirements				
9		Electric Service				
10		Exhibit TWG – 2 –Retail Impact Analysis (July 2007)				
11	Q.	Please describe the contract and summarize its key				
12		elements.				
13	A.	FPL negotiated the Agreement (my Exhibit TWG - 1) to sell				
14		Full Requirements Electric Service to LCEC. Under the				
15		Agreement, FPL would supply all of LCEC's electrical energy				
16		needs from January 1, 2014 until December 31, 2033. LCEC				
17		would pay FPL a monthly capacity and energy payment, both of				
18		which are derived through cost-of-service formulas that are tied				
19		to actual FPL System Capital and Operating costs. The				
20		Agreement has provisions that allow the term to extend an				
21		additional 20 years (to 2053) unless one of the parties				
22		terminates the agreement prior to December 31, 2026.				

1 Q. What is FPL's purpose in entering into the Agreement?

A. FPL was contacted by LCEC and advised that they were interested in pursuing alternative power supply solutions to meet the needs of their customers. The Agreement will allow LCEC and its customers to benefit from FPL's reliable and cost effective electrical service, in a manner that is not detrimental to FPL's retail customers.

8 Q. How does LCEC currently meet its load obligations?

9 Α. LCEC is a member-owner of Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Seminole"). Currently, LCEC receives 100% of their 10 capacity and energy through power supply arrangements with 11 Seminole. LCEC has provided notice to Seminole terminating 12 their power supply arrangement. Beginning in 2010, FPL will 13 supply up to 300 MW of Partial Requirements service to LCEC 14 under a separate Short Term Agreement to meet a portion of 15 LCEC's capacity and energy needs prior to the commencement 16 of this Agreement. 17

18 Q. Please provide an overview of the Agreement.

A. Under the Agreement, FPL would serve the capacity and
 energy needs of LCEC just as it would serve FPL's retail load.
 FPL would forecast LCEC's load requirements and incorporate
 LCEC's load in its total load serving obligations. FPL would
 plan future generation additions around meeting this total load

obligation. FPL would deliver the capacity and energy to LCEC's load through FPL's transmission system pursuant to FPL's Open Access Transmission Tariff. LCEC has requested and subsequently been granted firm Network Transmission Service for the term of the Agreement. LCEC is responsible for paying the Network Transmission Service Tariff Rate and is in the process of entering into Transmission Service Agreements with FPL Transmission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

On a monthly basis, LCEC would pay FPL for the capacity and 10 energy that is required to meet LCEC's load. 11 FPL has designed a cost-based formula rate that captures FPL system 12 costs associated with providing the capacity and energy 13 consistent with Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 14 ("FERC") guidelines. These costs include but are not limited to 15 capital, capital recovery, O&M, property taxes, emissions, 16 corporate overhead, purchased power and fuel (nuclear, gas, 17 coal and oil). 18

Q. Does the Agreement contain any terms relating to
 Commission approval?

A. Yes. The Agreement makes Commission approval of the
 Agreement a condition precedent. If the Commission does not
 grant approval satisfactory to FPL by December 31, 2009, then

FPL will have the right to terminate the Agreement. There is also a condition precedent relating to FERC approval of the Agreement, which also gives FPL the right to terminate the Agreement if FERC does not grant satisfactory approval by December 31, 2009.

1

2

3

4

5

6 Q. Why is FPL seeking Commission approval for the
 7 Agreement?

A. The Agreement represents a large, long-term, discretionary 8 commitment of FPL's resources to serving load outside its own 9 retail service territory. LCEC and FPL have concluded that the 10 11 Agreement will be in the interests of both utilities and their 12 customers, and is consistent with Florida's interest in 13 diversifying the access to and utilization of generating resources within the state. Because of the size and duration of 14 the commitment, however, FPL feels that it is important to 15 confirm that the Commission concurs with our conclusions. 16

Is the LCEC load associated with the Agreement included
 in FPL's current Ten Year Site Plan?

A. Yes. The Agreement was executed on August 21, 2007 and
thus FPL's 2008 Ten Year Site Plan contemplates serving the
LCEC load. Entering into the Agreement would be consistent
with that plan.

Q. What are the key benefits of entering into this Agreement?

A. This Agreement offers several important benefits to both FPL
 and LCEC. In conjunction with the Agreement:

FPL will be able to leverage its economies of scale. The
 LCEC load will represent approximately 1,100 MW of additional
 capacity and energy to which FPL can allocate certain fixed
 costs (i.e. overhead) through the cost-of-service rate structure.

2) LCEC will receive reliable and cost effective electrical
 service from the largest utility in the State with a substantial
 number of generating resources and significant fuel diversity.

11 3) In view of the benefits both to LCEC customers and FPL customers, this Agreement will enhance the use of Florida's 12 generating resources. FPL has demonstrated over time that 13 we are an efficient, cost effective and environmentally friendly 14 builder of new generation in Florida. The future generation that 15 FPL builds to meet load growth, including LCEC load, will 16 leverage those core competencies to serve the greater needs 17 of all Floridians and not just retail customers of FPL. 18

Q. What has FPL done to evaluate the impact of the
 Agreement on its retail customers?

A. FPL conducted an extensive analysis to determine the impact
 to FPL's retail customers as a result of serving LCEC load
 under this Agreement. FPL analyzed two separate scenarios to

support this analysis. The first scenario, or "Base Case," consisted of determining the retail generation cost responsibility 2 associated with serving FPL's existing load obligation without the LCEC load. Certain assumptions and forecasts were utilized such as load forecasts, fuel forecasts, costs of existing facilities, generation additions etc. The second scenario, or "LCEC Case," consisted of determining the retail generation cost responsibility associated with serving FPL's existing load obligation with the addition of LCEC's load. The assumptions and forecasts utilized in the LCEC Case were consistent with the Base Case, with the exception that certain additional generation resources were identified for FPL's system as a result of serving the LCEC load. The difference in retail cost responsibility between the LCEC Case and the Base Case represented the effect on retail customers associated with serving the LCEC load. If the retail cost responsibility in the LCEC Case is not greater than in the Base Case then one can conclude that the FPL retail customers were not negatively impacted by entering into the Agreement.

20

21

22

23

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

FPL performed this analysis in July of 2007, prior to executing the Agreement with LCEC. The analysis showed a favorable impact to FPL's retail customers of approximately \$110 million

(nominal) through 2020. Although the term of the Agreement extends out to 2033, there is considerable uncertainty beyond 2020 as to the amount and type of generation that will be needed to meet FPL's total load obligations. Thus, FPL focused its analysis on the years from 2010 to 2020 as a representative time period: it covers the full duration of the current (2008) Ten Year Site Plan; and it also includes all of FPL's currently identified unit additions (the last being the proposed Turkey Point nuclear generation additions in 2018 and 2020).

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 The results of FPL's analysis are summarized in the following 13 table:

: :	·	· ·	Retail	Impact
:	Base Case Resource plan	LCEC Case Resource Plan	Yearly	Cumulative
Year			Millions \$	Millions \$
2010	WCEC 2	WCEC 2	(7)	(7)
2011	104 MW Nuclear Upgrade	WCEC 3, 104 MW Nuclear Upgrade	36	29
2012	WCEC 3, 304 MW Nuclear Upgrade	304 MW Nuclear Upgrade	(22)	7
2013			7	14
2014		CC	28	43
2015	CC	CC	(38)	4
2016	CC	CC	16	21
2017	CC	CC	2	23
2018	TP 6 nuclear	TP 6 nuclear	12	35
2019			32	68
2020	TP 7 nuclear	TP 7 nuclear	42	110

Q. Did FPL's analysis evaluate the impact of the Agreement on retail customers beyond 2020?

1

Α. Yes. As shown in my Exhibit TWG-2, the analysis evaluated 4 5 the impact of the Agreement on retail customers through 2033, assuming a mix of generation additions beyond 2020 that FPL 6 7 considered to be potentially viable technologies in that time period. This included assumed additions of gas-fired combined 8 cycle units, integrated gasification combined cycle units and 9 10 nuclear units. The longer term results shown in Exhibit TWG -2 reinforce the conclusion one can draw from the results 11 12 through 2020: the Agreement is consistent with the interests of 13 FPL's retail customers. I want to caution again, however, that

the results of the analysis are necessarily more uncertain the
 further one goes out in time, especially into periods beyond the
 time horizon of FPL's currently identified unit additions.

4 Q. Has FPL updated the July 2007 analysis to reflect current
 5 assumptions?

Yes. In preparation for this filing, FPL performed an updated Α. 6 analysis in August of 2008 of the retail rate impact through 7 2020, using the most recent forecasts for load, fuel and 8 generation plans. The updated analysis continues to show a 9 favorable impact to FPL's retail customers, with the cumulative 10 benefit increasing to approximately \$435 million (nominal) 11 through 2020 from the \$110 million nominal cumulative benefit 12 shown in the July 2007 analysis. 13

14 The results of the updated analysis are summarized in the 15 following table:

			Maria Maria	
		,	Retail	Impact
	Base Case Resource Plan	LCEC Case Resource Plan	Yearly	Cumulative
Year			Millions \$	Millions \$
2010	WCEC 2	WCEC 2	(22)	(22)
2011	WCEC 3	WCEC 3	8	(15)
2012			10	(4)
2013	PCC conversion	PCC conversion	16	12
2014	PRV conversion	PRV conversion	78	90
2015			86	175
2016			73	248
2017			63	311
2018	TP 6 nuclear	TP 6 nuclear	55	366
2019			40	405
2020	TP 7 nuclear	TP 7 nuclear	29	435

2 This updated analysis provides additional confidence that the 3 Agreement is consistent with the interests of FPL's retail 4 customers.

5 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

The Agreement benefits LCEC customers by providing them Α. 6 with reliable, cost-effective power and increased diversity in the 7 sources of that power, without being disadvantageous to FPL's 8 own retail customers. The Agreement is thus a "win - win" 9 proposition for LCEC, FPL's customers and the state of Florida 10 The Commission should confirm that it concurs as a whole. 11 with these conclusions so that FPL can move forward with 12 implementing the Agreement. 13

- 14 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 15 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Fuel and Purchased power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor

DOCKET NO. 080001-EI

FILED: September 2, 2008

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Renae B. Deaton, who being first duly sworn deposes and says:

 My name is Renae B. Deaton. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"). My business address is 9250 West Flagler St., Miami, Florida, 33174.

2. I hold a Bachelor of Science in business administration and a Masters of Business Administration from Charleston Southern University. Since joining FPL in 1998 I have held positions in the rates and regulatory areas. Prior to joining FPL, I was employed at the South Carolina Public Service Authority (d/b/a Santee Cooper) for fourteen years where I held a variety of positions in the Corporate Forecasting, Rates, and Marketing Department and in generation plant operations.

3. I currently hold the position of Rate Development Manager with responsibilities for rate development and tariff administration.

4. The purpose of my affidavit is to submit for the Commission's confirmation the revisions to FPL's rates and charges resulting from application of the revised Generation Base Rate Adjustment ("GBRA") Factor for true-up of Turkey Point Unit 5 costs to be applied to meter readings made on and after January 1, 2009, and application of the initial GBRA factors resulting from the commercial operation of WCEC Units 1 and 2 to be applied to meter readings made on and after June 1 and November 1, 2009, respectively. Also, I provide the amount to be refunded through the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause ("CCRC") in order to adjust base revenues for the difference between the cumulative base revenues that have been or will have been collected since the implementation of the initial GBRA Factor on May 1, 2007 through December 30, 2008 and the cumulative base revenues that would have resulted if the revised GBRA Factor had been implemented on May 1, 2007.

Revised GBRA for True-up of Turkey Point Unit 5 costs

5. The Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-05-0902-S-EI, issued September 14, 2005 in Docket 050045-EI ("Settlement Agreement"), provided for a GBRA factor to be applied to FPL's rates upon the commercial in-service date of any power plant that is approved pursuant to the Florida Power Plant Siting Act ("PPSA") within the term of the Settlement Agreement. In Order No. PSC-06-1057-FOF-EI, the Commission approved the initial GBRA Factor for Turkey Point Unit 5 of 3.271%. This initial GBRA Factor was determined using the estimate of capital cost from the Turkey Point Unit 5 need determination.

6. As discussed in the affidavit of Dr. Morley dated September 1, 2006 in Docket No. 060001-EI ("Dr. Morley Affidavit") and pursuant to the Settlement

Agreement, once the actual capital costs of Turkey Point Unit 5 are known, a revised GBRA Factor is to be computed using the same data and methodology incorporated in the initial GBRA Factor, with the exception that Turkey Point Unit 5's actual capital costs will be used in lieu of the capital cost upon which the need determination was based.

7. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the GBRA is to be implemented by adjusting base charges and non-clause recoverable credits (e.g. the transformer rider credits and the curtailable service credits) by an equal percentage. The calculation of this percentage change in rates is based on the ratio of Turkey Point Unit 5's jurisdictional annual revenue requirement and the forecasted retail base revenues from the sales of electricity during the first twelve months of the unit's operation. This ratio is the GBRA Factor. The revised GBRA Factor is applied to FPL's current base charges and non-clause recoverable credits, adjusted to remove the initial GBRA Factor, to produce the revised base rate charges. I describe below in more detail the computation of the revised GBRA Factor.

8. The base revenue requirement revised for Turkey Point Unit 5's actual capital costs for the first twelve months of Turkey Point Unit 5's operation of \$123.22 million was provided by the accounting department based on FPL's books and records. The Jurisdictional Separation Factors consistent with the separation of costs incorporated in Docket 050045-EI are applied to this figure. As shown in Document No. RBD-1, the resulting jurisdictional revenue requirement is \$121.31 million.

9. Except for the revenue requirements associated with the actual capital costs, the revised GBRA Factor is computed using the same data used in the computation

of the initial GBRA Factor. This data includes billed retail base revenues from the sales of electricity and unbilled retail base revenues in the amount of \$3,876.80 million. This data is shown in Document No. RBD-2 and is the same as that shown in Dr. Morley's Affidavit.

10. The revised GBRA Factor is calculated based on the ratio of Turkey Point Unit 5's jurisdictional annual revenue requirement and the total retail base revenues from the sales of electricity over the first twelve months of Turkey Point Unit 5's commercial operation. The computation and resulting GBRA Factor is provided in Document No. RBD-3. Document No. RBD-4 shows the revised charges that result from removing the initial GBRA factor of 3.271%, and applying the revised GBRA Factor of 3.129% to FPL's current base charges and non-clause recoverable credits. These new charges will be applied to meter readings made on and after December 31, 2008.

11. Pursuant to the settlement agreement and consistent with the Dr. Morley Affidavit, once Turkey Point Unit 5's actual capital costs are known, if the unit's actual capital costs are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial GBRA Factor, a one-time credit is to be made through the capacity clause. The difference between the cumulative base revenues that have been or will have been collected since the implementation of the initial GBRA Factor on May 1, 2007 through December 30, 2008 and the cumulative base revenues that would have resulted if the revised GBRA Factor had been implemented on May 1, 2007 will be credited to customers through the CCRC with interest at the 30-day commercial paper rate as specified in Rule 25-6.109. The amount of the refund with interest is \$9.30 million and is shown on Document No. RBD-5.

WCEC Unit 1

12. As presented in Dr. Sim's affidavit, the projected base revenue requirement for the first twelve months of WCEC Unit 1's operation is \$140.70 million. The Jurisdictional Separation Factors consistent with the separation of costs incorporated in Docket 050045-E1 are applied to this figure. As shown in Document No. RBD-6, the resulting jurisdictional revenue requirement is \$138.52 million.

13. The GBRA Factor also requires computation of the retail base revenues from the sales of electricity during the first twelve months of WCEC Unit 1's commercial operation. Billed retail base revenues from the sales of electricity have been projected using the same load forecast incorporated in the Company's current capacity clause filing. Document No. RBD-7 shows the billed retail base revenues from the sales of electricity for the period June 2009 through May 2010 for all customer classes. Billed retail base revenues from the sales of electricity include customer, demand and energy charge revenues and non-clause recoverable credits. Thus, all the charges subject to the GBRA Factor are included in this revenue figure. In addition, unbilled retail base revenues are included in total retail base revenues from the sales of electricity in order to account for the collection lag resulting from the billing cycle. As shown in Document No. RBD-7, the total retail base revenues from the sales of electricity over the first twelve months of WCEC Unit 1's commercial operation are projected be \$3,866.34 million.

14. The GBRA Factor is calculated based on the ratio of WCEC Unit 1's jurisdictional annual revenue requirement and the total retail base revenues from the sales of electricity over the first twelve months of WCEC Unit 1's commercial operation. The computation and resulting GBRA Factor of 3.583%, is provided in Document No. RBD-

8. Document No. RBD-9 shows the revised charges that result from applying the GBRA Factor to FPL's current base charges and non-clause recoverable credits. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, these new charges will be applied to meter readings made on and after the commercial in service date of WCEC Unit 1, currently projected to occur in June 2009. FPL will submit for the FPSC staffs administrative approval revised tariff sheets reflecting these new charges prior to the actual commercial in service date.

15. Once WCEC Unit 1's actual capital costs are known, if the unit's actual capital costs are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial GBRA Factor for WCEC Unit 1, a one-time credit will be made through the capacity clause. In order to determine the amount of this credit a revised GBRA Factor will be computed using the same data and methodology incorporated into the initial GBRA Factor, with the exception that WCEC Unit 1's actual capital costs will be used in lieu of the capital cost the need determination was based on. On a going forward basis, base rates will be adjusted to reflect the revised GBRA Factor for WCEC Unit 1. The difference between the cumulative base revenues since the implementation of the initial GBRA Factor had been implemented during the same time period will be credited to customers through the capacity clause with interest at the 30-day commercial paper rate as specified in Rule 25-6.109.

WCEC Unit 2

16. As presented in Dr. Sim's affidavit, the base revenue requirement for the first twelve months of WCEC Unit 2's operation is \$129.10 million. The Jurisdictional Separation Factors consistent with the separation of costs incorporated in Docket 050045-
E1 are applied to this figure. As shown in Document No. RBD-10, the resulting jurisdictional revenue requirement is \$127.10 million.

17. The GBRA Factor also requires computation of the retail base revenues from the sales of electricity during the first twelve months of WCEC Unit 2's commercial operation. Billed retail base revenues from the sales of electricity have been projected using the same load forecast incorporated in the Company's current capacity clause filing. Document No. RBD-11 shows the billed retail base revenues from the sales of electricity for the period November 2009 through October 2010 for all customer classes. Billed retail base revenues from the sales of electricity include customer, demand and energy charge revenues and non-clause recoverable credits. Thus, all the charges subject to the GBRA Factor are included in this revenue figure. In addition, unbilled retail base revenues are included in total retail base revenues from the sales of electricity in order to account for the collection lag resulting from the billing cycle. As shown in Document No. RBD-11, the total retail base revenues from the sales of electricity over the first twelve months of WCEC Unit 2's commercial operation are projected be \$4,030.30 million.

18. The GBRA Factor is calculated based on the ratio of WCEC Unit 2's jurisdictional annual revenue requirement and the total retail base revenues from the sales of electricity over the first twelve months of WCEC Unit 2's commercial operation. The computation and resulting GBRA Factor, 3.154%, is provided in Document No. RBD-12. Document No. RBD-13 shows the revised charges that result from applying the GBRA Factor to FPL's current base charges and non-clause recoverable credits. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, these new charges will be applied to meter readings made on and after the commercial in service date of WCEC Unit 2, currently projected to occur in

November 2009. FPL will submit for the FPSC staffs administrative approval revised tariff sheets reflecting these new charges prior to the actual commercial in service date.

19. Once WCEC Unit 2's actual capital costs are known, if the unit's actual capital costs are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial GBRA Factor for WCEC Unit 2, a one-time credit will be made through the capacity clause. In order to determine the amount of this credit a revised GBRA Factor will be computed using the same data and methodology incorporated into the initial GBRA Factor, with the exception that WCEC Unit 2's actual capital costs will be used in lieu of the capital cost the need determination was based on. On a going forward basis, base rates will be adjusted to reflect the revised GBRA Factor for WCEC Unit 2. The difference between the cumulative base revenues that would have resulted if the revised GBRA Factor had been implemented during the same time period will be credited to customers through the capacity clause with interest at the 30-day commercial paper rate as specified in Rule 25-6.109.

Rener

Renae B. Deaton

I hereby certify that on this <u>19</u>th day of <u>hucust</u>, 2008 before me, an officer duly authorized in the State and County aforesaid to take acknowledgements, personally appeared Renae Deaton who is personally known to me, and she acknowledge before me that she executed this certification of signature as her free act and deed who did not take an oath.

I witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal in the State and County aforesaid as this 12^{h} day of August, 2008.

9



Monica Lynn Vadan

Notary Public State of Florida My Commission Expires: 12/18/10

Docket No 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. _____ Document No. RBD-1, Page 1 of 1 Separation Of Turkey Point Costs

	System (\$million)	Jurisdictional Factor	(\$million)
Capital Revenue Requirement	\$110.47	98.451%	\$108.76
Fixed O&M and Capital Replacement	11.67	98.439%	11.49
Variable O&M	1.07	98.439%	1.06
Total Revenue Requirement	\$123.22	98.450%	\$121.31

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. _____ Document No. RBD-2, Page 1 of 1 Retail Base Revenues For The First 12 Months Of Turkey Point Unit 5's Commercial Operation

1

1

j

	2007							
Customer Class	May	Jun	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	<u>Sep</u>	Oct	Nov	Dec
Residential	\$177,556,790	\$211,709,515	\$232,135,575	\$234,491,009	\$231,376,796	\$213,325,680	\$182,470,240	\$182,607,690
Commercial	\$110,034,335	\$116,414,425	\$119,549,579	\$120,386,791	\$121,202,000	\$117,655,699	\$110,678,360	\$109,159,045
Industrial	\$6,721,581	\$7,336,634	\$6,595,864	\$7,019,631	\$7,090,561	\$6,856,521	\$7,053,774	\$6,722,891
Street & Highway	\$3,609,645	\$3,614,911	\$3,620,177	\$3,625,443	\$3,630,924	\$3,636,405	\$3,641,886	\$3,647,641
Other	\$126,828	\$129,824	\$131,444	\$131,569	\$131,630	\$129,618	\$126,163	\$123,398
Railroads & Railways	\$216,036	\$234,346	\$228,319	\$229,827	\$235,330	\$234,390	\$229,719	\$223,926
Total Billed Retail Base Revenue	\$298,265,216	\$339,439,654	\$362,260,957	\$365,884,269	\$363,667,242	\$341,838,313	\$304,200,143	\$302,484,591

1

I

Customer Class	2008				,
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	12 Month Ending
Residential	\$201,744,675	\$172,631,949	\$171,395,250	\$166,113,281	\$2,377,558,448
Commercial	\$109,533,074	\$105,899,180	\$109,009,271	\$111,100,421	\$1,360,622,179
Industrial	\$6,808,629	\$7,175,460	\$6,966,301	\$6,893,599	\$83,241,446
Street & Highway	\$3,653,396	\$3,659,151	\$3,665,870	\$3,672,590	\$43,678,040
Other	\$121,682	\$121,175	\$122,327	\$124,222	\$1,519,880
Railroads & Railways	\$220,945	\$2 16,351	\$228,473	\$227,502	\$2,725,164
Total Billed Retail Base Revenue	\$322,082,401	\$289,703,266	\$291,387,491	\$288,131,614	\$3,869,345,157

	12 Month Ending
Total Billed Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$3,869,345,157
Unbilled Retail Base Revenues	\$7,457,421
Total Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$3,876,802,579

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

l

1

L

I

Docket No. 080001-E1 R. Deaton, Exhibit No. _____ Document No. RBD-3, Page 1 of 1 GBRA FACTOR

Jurisdictional Annualized Revenue Requirement	(\$million) \$121.31	source Doc. No. RBD-1 - trueup
Total Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$3,876.80	Doc. No. RBD-2 as filed
REVISED GBRA FACTOR [(A) / (B)]	3.129%	
INITIAL GBRA FACTOR as filed	3.271%	
Delta	-0.142%	

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 1 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
CURRENT						
RATE	TYPE OF	RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE	
•					[((5) - (4)) / (4)]	
<u>RS-1</u>	Residential Service					
	Customer Charge/Minimum	\$5.17	\$5.34	\$5.33	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	First 1,000 kWh	3.295	3.403	3.398	-0.1%	
	All additional kWh	4.295	4.435	4.429	-0.1%	
RST-1	Residential Service -Time of Use					
	Customer Charge/Minimum	\$8.20	\$8.47	\$8.46	-0.1%	
	with Lempson metering navment	\$5.17	\$5.34	\$5.33	-0.7%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	<i>43.11</i>	4J.JT	£6.5\$	-0.270	
	On-Peak	6 914	7 140	7 130	-0.1%	
	Off-Peak	2 123	7.140	7.130	-0.1%	
		4.127	2.172	2.107	-0.176	
	Lump-sum payment for time of use metering cost	\$145.60	\$150.36	\$150.16	-0.1%	
GS-1	General Service - Non Demand (0-20 kW)					
	Customer Charge/Minimum					
	Metered	\$8.24	\$8.51	\$8.50	-0.1%	
	Unmetered	\$5,49	\$5.67	\$5.66	-0.2%	
					•	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	3.802	3.927	3.921	-0.2%	
GST-1	General Service - Non Demand - Time of Use (0-20 kW)					
	Customer Charge/Minimum	\$11.27	\$11.64	\$11.62	-0.2%	
	with Lumn-sum metering navment	\$8.24	58 51	\$8.50	-0.1%	
			•0.2	•0.50	0.170	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	On-Peak	7.431	7.674	7.664	-0.1%	
	Off-Peak	2.143	2.213	2.210	-0.1%	
	Lump-sum payment for time of use metering cost	\$145.60	\$150.36	\$150.16	-0.1%	
CED 1	Canada Samina Damand (21,400,1310)					
GSD-1	Curtaria Service Demand (21-499 KW)	612.67	6 70 •0		0.00/	
	Customer Charge	\$32.05	\$33.10	\$33.05	-0.2%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)					
	Demand Charge - All kW (\$/kW)	\$4 .94	\$5.10	\$5,09	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.348	1.392	1.390	-0.1%	
	Minimum	\$135.70	\$140.20	\$130.04	-0.7%	
	3-615-11-11-11		#140.20	@1J7,74	-0.2/0	

I

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 2 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
CURRENT						
RATE	TYPE OF	RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE	
					[((5) - (4)) / (4)]	
GSDT-1	General Service Demand - Time of Use (21-499 kW)					
	Customer Charge	C28 00	\$20.74	\$20.10	0.10/	
	with Jump. stm metering payment	#10 AC	#37.24 #33.10	339.19	-0.1%	
	and bamp-sun metering payment	\$32.03	\$33.10	\$33.05	-0.2%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	¢4 Q4	\$5 10	\$5.00	0.38/	
		Ψ τ. Στ	33.10	\$3.09	-0.270	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	On-Peak	3.146	2 740	2 744	0.78/	
	Off-Peak	0.046	J.247	J.244	-0.276	
	Off TORK	0.805	0.893	0.892	-0,1%a	
	Lumn-sum navment for time of use metering cost	\$154 30	\$365.09	\$165.40	0.19/	
		(L,FCCQ	4303,70	\$303.46	-0,170	
GSLD-1	General Service Large Demand (500-1999 kW)					
		617.65	* >> * >	* ** - -		
	Customer Charge	237.22	\$38,78	\$38.72	-0.2%	
						
	Demand Charge (5/KW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.067	1.102	1.100	-0.2%	
	Minimum	\$2,897.55	\$2,993.78	\$2,988.72	-0.2%	
GSLDT-1	General Service Large Demand - Time of Use (500-1999 kW)					
	Customer Charge	\$37.55	\$38.78	\$38.72	-0.2%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	On-Peak	2113	2 182	2 170	0 194	
	Off-Peak	2.115	2.102	2.173	-0.1%	
		0.041	0.002	0.001	-0.2%	
	Mimimum	to 007 55	1 2 002 00	#2 000 - 2		
	1-summary	\$2,897.33	\$2,993.78	\$2,988.72	-0.2%	
CS 1	Curtailable Samine (500, 1000 LM)					
	Customer Charge	\$100.74	\$104.04	\$103.89	-0.1%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.068	1.103	1,101	-0.2%	
	Monthly Credit (\$ per kW)	(\$1.56)	(\$1.61)	(\$1.61)	0.0%	
		(····)	(*****)	(+1,01)	4.4.4	

1

1

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 3 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1 1

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
RATE	TYPE OF	RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE	
					[((5) - (4)) / (4)]	
CS-1	Curtailable Service (500-1999 kW) (continued)			<u> </u>		
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand					
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.56	\$1.61	\$1.61	0.0%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.36	\$3.47	\$3,47	0.0%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$0.99	\$1.02	\$1.02	0.0%	
	Minimum	\$2,960.74	\$3,059.04	\$3,053.89	-0.2%	
CST-1	Curtailable Service - Time of Use (500-1999 kW)					
	Customer Charge	\$100.74	\$104.04	\$103.89	-0.1%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	On-Peak	2.114	2.183	2.180	-0.1%	
	Off-Peak	0.641	0.662	0.661	-0.2%	
	Monthly Credit (per kW)	(\$1.56)	(\$1.61)	(\$1.61)	0.0%	
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand					
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.56	\$1.61	\$1.61	0.0%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.36	\$3.47	\$3.47	0.0%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$0.99	\$1.02	\$1.02	0.0%	
	Minimum	\$2,960.74	\$3,059.04	\$3,053.89	-0.2%	
GSLD-2	General Service Large Demand (2000 kW +)					
	Customer Charge	\$155,68	\$160.77	\$160.55	-0.1%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5,91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.064	1.099	1.097	-0.2%	
	Minimum	\$11,595.68	\$11,980.77	\$11,960.55	-0.2%	

ł

ŀ

I

í

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 4 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	····
DATE						
SCHEDUIE		RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT	
SCHEDOLL	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE	
GSI DT-2	General Service Lorgo Domand Time of the (2000 Hill 1)				[((5) - (4)) / (4)]	
	Outlongs Charge Demand - Time of Use (2000 kW +)					
	Customer Charge	\$155.68	\$160,77	\$160.55	-0.1%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5,90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	On-Peak On-Peak	2.219	2 292	2 288	_0.2%	
	Off-Peak	0.600	0.620	0.610	-0.2%	
		0.000	0.020	0.019	-0.2%	
	Minimum	\$11,595.68	\$11,980.77	\$11,960.55	-0.2%	
CS-2	Curtailable Service (2000 kW +)					
	Customer Charge	\$155.68	\$160,77	\$160.55	-0.1%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.064	1.099	1.097	-0.2%	
	Monthly Credit (per kW)	(\$1.56)	(\$1.61)	(\$1.61)	0.0%	
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand					
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.56	\$1.61	\$1.61	0.0%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.36	\$3.47	\$1.61	0.0%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$0,99	\$1.02	\$1.02	0.0%	
	Minimum	\$11,595.68	\$11,980.77	\$11,960.55	-0.2%	
CST-2	Curtailable Service -Time of Use (2000 kW +)					
	Customer Charge	\$155.68	\$160.77	\$160.55	-0.1%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	On-Peak	2.222	2 295	2 292	_0 1%	
	Off-Peak	0.600	0.620	0.619	-0.7%	
	Monthly Credit (ner kW)	(#1 54)	/#1 /11	v.u1/	-0.270	
		(91.30)	(21.01)	(\$1.61)	0.0%	
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand					
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1,56	\$ 1.61	\$1.61	0.0%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3,36	\$3.47	\$3.47	0.0%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$0.99	\$1.02	\$1.02	0.0%	

1

1

JILIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 5 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
RATE	TVPFOF	DATE DRIOD TO	(11 mm = 1) m			
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TDE CDDA	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT	
001100/0000		IPS UDRA	KAIE	RALE	INCREASE	
 GSI D-3	General Service Large Demand (2000 kW +)				[((5) - (4)) / (4)]	- <u> </u>
		\$366.20	£3.74 pp	63.00 A.		
	Customer Charge	06.0064	\$378.28	\$377.76	-0.1%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
				43.70	-0,270	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	0.553	0.571	0.570	-0.2%	
0.00						
GSLD1-3	General Service Large Demand - Time of Use (2000 kW +)					
	Customer Charge	\$366.30	\$378.28	\$377.76	-0,1%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$ 5.73	f £ 01	FF 00	a a a/	
	Bounded Charge One car (WKW)	#J.72	30.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	On-Peak	0.615	0.635	0.634	-0.2%	
	Off-Peak	0.493	0.509	0.508	-0.2%	
CS-3	Curtailable Service (2000 kW +)					
	Customer Charge	\$366.30	\$378.28	\$377.76	-0,1%	
	Demand Charge (\$4W)	P.C. 70	***			
	Demain Charge (#KW)	30.12	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	0.553	0 571	0 570	-0.7%	
				0.010	0.270	
	Monthly Credit (per kW)	(\$1.56)	(\$1.61)	(\$1.61)	0,0%	
				. ,		
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand					
	Recolling for last 30 months (per kW)	\$1.56	\$1.61	\$1.61	0.0%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.36	\$3.47	\$3.47	0.0%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$0.99	\$1.02	\$1.02	0,0%	
CST 3	Outstille Service Time of Lice (2000 1.W.)					
	Customet Chorne	F3((30	6070 op			
	Customet Charge	\$306.30	\$378.28	\$377.76	-0.1%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.72	\$5.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	
	- · · /			\$3.70	-0.270	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)					
	On-Peak	0.615	0.635	0.634	-0.2%	
	Off-Peak	0.493	0.509	0.508	-0.2%	
	Monthly Credit (ner kW)	(*****	(1 1 /)			
	monung cronit (per km)	(\$1.56)	(\$1.61)	(\$1.61)	0.0%	
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand					
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.56	\$1.61	\$1.61	0.0%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.36	\$3.47	\$1.07	0.0%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$0.99	\$1.02	\$1.02	0.0%	
			+	w1.02	0.070	

ł

ł

1

1

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 6 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

1

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
RATE SCHEDULE	TYPE OF CHARGE	RATE PRIOR TO TP5 GBRA	CURRENT RATE	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT INCREASE [((5) - (4)) / (4)]	
OS-2	Sports Field Service					·
	Customer Charge/Minimum	\$8.24	\$8.51	\$8.50	-0.1%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	5.656	5.841	5.833	-0.1%	
MET	Metropolitan Transit Service					
	Customer Charge	\$196,89	\$203.33	\$203.05	-0.1%	
	Base Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$9.57	\$9.88	\$9.87	-0.1%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	0.432	0.446	0.446	0.0%	
	Commercial/Industrial Demand Reduction Rider Monthly Administrative Adder					
	GSD-1	\$517,40	\$534.32	\$533.59	-0.1%	
	GSDT-1	\$511.45	\$528.18	\$527.45	-0.1%	
	GSLD-1, GSLDT-1	\$511.90	\$528.64	\$527.92	-0.1%	
	GSLD-2, GSLDT-2	\$393.77	\$406.65	\$406.09	-0.1%	
	GSLD-3, GSLDT-3	\$2,564.11	\$2,647.98	\$2,644.34	-0.1%	
CILC-1	Commercial/Industrial Load Control Program					
	Customer Charge					
	(G) 200-499kW	\$549.45	\$567.42	\$566.64	-0.1%	
	(D) above 500kW	\$549.45	\$567.42	\$566.64	-0.1%	
	(T) transmission	\$2,930.41	\$3,026.26	\$3,022.10	-0.1%	
	Base Demand Charge (\$/kW)					
	per kW of Max Demand All kW:					
	(G) 200-499kW per kW of Max Demand [.]	\$2.17	\$2.24	\$2.24	0.0%	
	(D) above 500kW	\$7.23	\$7.20	\$2.20	0.08/	
	(T) transmission	None	Jaz.50	\$2.30 None	0.0%	
	per kW of Load Control On-Peak:	TOR	14016	None	IN/A	
	(G) 200-499kW	\$1.03	\$1.06	\$1,06	0.0%	
	per kW of Load Control On-Peak:					
	(D) above 500kW	\$1.06	\$1.09	\$1.09	0.0%	
	(T) transmission	\$1.05	\$1.08	\$1.08	0.0%	
	per kW of Firm On-Peak Demand All kW:					
	(G) 200-499kW Per kW of Firm On-Peak Demand	\$4.39	\$4.53	\$4.53	0.0%	
	(D) above 500kW	\$5 .36	SE 74	** **	0.00/	
	(T) transmission	a).50 \$5 70	\$3.34 \$5.01	\$5,53	-0.2%	
		\$3.72	\$3.91	\$5.90	-0.2%	

.

1

-

1

1

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 7 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes 1

(I)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
UKRENI					
RATE SCHEDHE	TYPE OF	RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE
					[((5) - (4)) / (4)]
CILC-1	Commercial/Industrial Load Control Program (continued)	-			
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	On-Peak				
	(G) 200-499kW	0.949	0.980	0.979	-0.1%
	(D) above 500kW	0.660	0.682	0.681	-0.1%
	(T) transmission	0.487	0.503	0.502	-0.2%
	Off-Peak				
	(G) 200-499kW	0.949	0.980	0.979	-0.1%
	(D) above 500kW	0.660	0.682	0.681	-0.1%
	(T) transmission	0.487	0.503	0.502	-0.2%
SL-1	Street Lighting				
	Charges for FPL-Owned Units				
	Fixture				
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$2.55	\$7.67	63.77	0.74
	Sodium Vapor 9.500 lu 100 watts	63,33 63,63	3-3-3-0/ 10-1-74	33.00	-0.3%
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$3.02	\$3.74 \$2.94	33.73	-0.3%
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$5.72	33.04 \$5.07	33,84 65.90	0.0%
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$5.04	35.62 \$5.00	30.62 64 80	0.0%
	* Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts	\$3.98	\$1.50	33,07	-0.2%
	* Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts	\$6.00	\$4.01 \$6.20	54.00	-0.2%
	* Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$9.04	\$0.20	30.19 60.30	-0.2%
	* Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts	\$7.81	97.34 \$2.00	39,32 \$7.00	-0.2%
	* Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts	\$2.81	\$2,50	32.90	0.0%
	* Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts	\$2.84 \$4.74	\$2.95 \$4.00	32,93	0.0%
	* Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts	34.77 \$4.77	34.90 64.90	54,89	-0.2%
	* Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts	94.73 \$6.69	34.88 84.00	54.88	0.0%
	* Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$0.08	30.90 \$7.07	30.89	-0,1%
		40.05	J7.07	\$7,00	-0,1%
	Maintenance				
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$1.36	\$1.40	\$1.40	0.0%
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1,37	\$1.41	\$1.41	0.0%
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 Iu 150 watts	\$1,40	\$1.45	\$1.44	-0.7%
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1,79	\$1.85	\$1.85	0.0%
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$1,76	\$1.82	\$1.82	0.0%
	 Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts 	\$1.56	\$1.61	51.61	0.0%
	 Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts 	\$1.90	\$1.96	\$1.01	0.0%
	* Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$3,47	\$3.58	\$3.58	0.0%
	 Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts 	\$1.23	\$1,27	\$1.27	0.0%
	 Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts 	\$1.23	\$1.27	\$1.27	0.0%
	 Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts 	\$1.77	\$1.83	S1 27	0.0%
	 Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts 	\$1.75	\$1.81	\$1.80	-0.6%
	 Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts 	\$2.96	\$3.06	\$2.05	-0.3%
				4.7 1/-	-11 1 / 0

I

Docket No. 080001-Ei R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-4, Page 8 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

1

L

1

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
RATE SCHEDULE	TYPE OF CHARGE	RATE PRIOR TO TP5 GBRA	CURRENT RATE	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT INCREASE
	 Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts 	C2 88			[((5) - (4)) / (4)]
SL-1	Street Lighting (continued)	\$2.00	\$2.97	\$2.97	0.0%
	Energy Non-Fuel				
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	6 0.50			
	Sodium Vapor 9.500 lu 100 watts	\$0.59	\$0.61	\$0.61	0.0%
	Sedium Vanor 16 000 lu 150 watte	\$0.83	\$0.86	\$0.86	0.0%
	Sodium Vapor 22 000 lu 200 watte	\$1.22	\$1.26	\$1.26	0.0%
	Sodium Vapor 50 000 lu 400 watte	\$1.79	\$1.84	\$1.84	0.0%
	* Sodium Vapor 12 800 lu 150 watte	\$3.41	\$3.52	\$3.51	-0.3%
	* Sodium Vapor 27 500 lu 350 watts	\$1.22	\$1.26	\$1.26	0.0%
	* Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 units	\$2.35	\$2.43	\$2,43	0.0%
	* Morenny Verse 6 000 lu 140 wette	\$8.34	\$8.61	\$8.60	-0.1%
	Mercury Vapor 6,000 In 140 watts	\$1.26	\$1.30	\$1.30	0.0%
	Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts	\$1.57	\$1.61	\$1.61	0.0%
	Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts	\$2.11	\$2.18	\$2.18	0.0%
	Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts	\$3.25	\$3.35	\$3.35	0.0%
	Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts	\$5.52	\$5.70	\$5.69	0.0%
	 Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts 	\$7.81	\$8.07	\$8.05	-0.2%
	Total Charge-Fixtures, Maintenance & Energy			,	
	* Incandescent 1.000 lu 103 watts				
	* Incandescent 2 500 lu 202 watts	\$6.90	\$7.13	\$7.12	-0.1%
	* Incandescent 4 000 lu 327 watte	\$7.15	\$7.38	\$7.37	-0.1%
	* Incandescent 6 000 lu 448 watte	\$8.37	\$8.64	\$8.63	-0.1%
	* Incondescent 10 000 lu 400 umba	\$9.33	\$9.64	\$9.62	-0.2%
	Moundedein 10,000 m 070 walls	\$11.23	\$11.60	\$11.58	-0.2%

* These units are closed to new FPL installations

,

.

I.

Т

* The Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the street light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Rate Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor)

ł

T

1

1

ł

1

ì

Т

L

L

Charge for Customer-Owned Units				
Relamping and Energy				
Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$1.78	¢1 27	61 30	
Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1.50	31.32 \$1.50	\$1.32	0.0%
Sodium Vapor 16 000 lu 150 watts	31.J3	\$1.58	\$1,58	0.0%
Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 matta	\$1.92	\$1.98	\$1.98	0.0%
Sodium Vapor 22,000 tu 200 walls	\$2.49	\$2.57	\$2.57	0.0%
Sodium vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$4.12	\$4.25	54.75	0.0%
 Sodium Vapor 12,800 fu 150 watts 	\$2.15	\$2.22	\$7,20	0.0%e
 Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts 	\$3.00	\$2.22	\$2.22	0.0%
 Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts 	35.03	\$3.19	\$3.19	0.0%
* Mercury Vapor 6 000 In 140 matter	\$9.98	\$10.31	\$10.29	-0.2%
* Meroury Vaper 8 600 to 175 watts	\$1.95	\$2.01	\$2.01	0.0%
Merculy vapor 8,000 /u 175 watts	\$2.26	\$2.33	\$2.33	0.0%
 Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts 	\$2.85	\$7.94	52.33	0.0%
 Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts 	¢2.07	54.10	52.94	0.0%
	\$3.97	\$4.10	\$4.09	-0.2%

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 9 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		~—
CURRENT	(4)	(3)	(*)	(5)	(0)	
RATE	TYPE OF	RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE	
				ALL L	[((5) - (4)) / (4)]	
	* Mercury Vapor 39,500 tu 700 watts	\$7.08	\$7.31	\$7 20	.01%	
SL-1	Street Lighting (continued)	01.00	φ+.J1	31.30	-0.170	
	* Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$8.79	\$9.08	\$9.07	-0.1%	
	* Incandescent 1,000 lu 103 watts	\$2.45	\$2.53	\$7.53	0.0%	
	* Incandescent 2,500 lu 202 watts	\$3.16	\$3.26	\$3.26	0.0%	
	 Incandescent 4,000 lu 327 watts 	\$4.12	\$4.25	\$4 25	0.0%	
	* Incandescent 6,000 lu 448 watts	\$4.97	\$5.13	\$5.13	0.0%	
	* Incandescent 10,000 lu 690 watts	\$6.85	\$7.07	\$7.06	-0.1%	
	* Fluorescent 19,800 lu 300 watts	\$3.38	\$3.49	\$3.49	0.0%	
	 Fluorescent 39,600 lu 700 watts 	\$6.54	\$6.75	\$6.74	-0.1%	
	Energy Only ⁺					
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$0.59	\$0.61	\$0.61	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$0.83	\$0.86	\$0.86	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.22	\$1.26	\$1.26	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.79	\$1.84	\$1.84	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$3.41	\$3.52	\$3.51	-0.3%	
	 Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts 	\$1.22	\$1.26	\$1.26	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts	\$2.35	\$2.43	\$2.43	0.0%	
	 Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts 	\$8.34	\$8.61	\$8.60	-0.1%	
	 Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts 	\$1.26	\$1.30	\$1.30	0.0%	
	Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 1/5 watts	\$1.57	\$1.61	\$1.61	0.0%	
	 Mercury vapor 11,000 lu 200 watts Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 mette 	\$2 .11	\$2.18	\$2.18	0.0%	
	 Mercury Vapor 21,500 Ig 400 watts Mercury Vapor 20,600 J. 700 metts 	\$3.25	\$3,35	\$3.35	0.0%	
	Mercury vapor 39,300 ta 700 watts	\$5,52	\$5.70	\$5.69	-0.2%	
	 intercury vapor 60,000 [1] 1,000 watts Incondement 1,000 [n] 102 watts 	\$7.81	\$8.07	\$8.05	-0.2%	
	 Incandescent 1,000 Iu 103 watts Incandescent 2,500 ln 202 watts 	\$0.73	\$0.75	\$0.75	0.0%	
	 Incancescent 2,500 in 202 watts Incancescent 4,000 in 227 watts 	\$1.44	\$1,49	\$1.49	0.0%	
	Incondescent 6,000 lu 327 waits Incondescent 6,000 lu 449 waits	\$2.35	\$2,43	\$2.42	-0,4%	
	 Incandescent 0,000 lt 448 watts Incandescent 10,000 lt 600 watts 	\$3.20	\$3,30	\$3.30	0.0%	
	 Incandescent 10,000 to 300 watts Elucrossent 10,000 to 300 watts 	54.95	\$5.11	\$5.10	-0.2%	
	* Fluorescent 19,600 lu 700 watts	\$2.47	\$2.35	\$2.55	0.0%	
	Therescent 37,000 tu 700 watts	\$2.36	\$3,24	\$5.53	-0.2%	
	Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh)	2.029	2.095	2.092	-0,1%	
	Other Charges					
	Wood Pole	\$2.54	\$2.62	\$2.62	0.0%	
	Concrete Pole	\$3.49	\$3.60	\$3.60	0.0%	
	Fiberglass Pole	\$4.13	\$4.27	\$4.26	-0.2%	
	Underground conductors not under					
	paving (¢ per foot)	1.91	1.97	1.97	0.0%	
	Underground conductors under paving					
	(¢ per foot)	4.66	4.81	4,81	0.0%	

l

1

1

1

1 I

I	I	i	i	I	1	Í	I	t	I	Ι	ł	1	ţ	l	i	i	i	I
															Dock R. Deato Docu Summary e	et No. 0800 n, Exhibit No ment No. Ri Page 10 of Tariff Cha	01-El o BD-4, of 15 anges	
		(1)		(2)						(3)	(4)		(5)		(6)			•
		CURRENT		_							- ,		.,		~ ~			
	_	RATE SCHEDULE	,	TYPE (CHAR(DF 3E				RAT T	E PRIOR TO P5 GBRA	CURRENT RATE		PROPOSED RATE	P D 101	PERCENT NCREASE			
		SL-1	Street Lighti	ing (continu	1ed)		·		······					au	37-(4)/(4)/			•
	* The Pro Propose	posed Non-Fuel E d Non-Fuel Energ	inergy Charges v y Rate ≈ Curren Premium Li Non-Fuel Er	were calculat it Non-Fuel I ghting hergy (¢ per l	ed based on the Rate * (1 + GB	ne monthly kW RA Factor)	h usage of the	street light uni	it times the Pro	pposed Non-Fuel 1 2.029	Energy Rate 2.1	095	2.09	22	-0.1%			
		OL-1	Outdoor Lig Charges for Fixture Sodium Va	hting FPL-Owned	<u>Units</u> 70 watts					\$4.06	٤4	10	64	10	0.08/			
			Sodium Va	por 9,500 lu	100 watts					\$4.00	54	5.19 131	\$4. \$4	17	0.0%			
			Sodium Va	por 16,000 l	u 150 watts					\$4.31	\$4	.45	54. S4.	44	-0.2%			
			Sodium Va	por 22,000 l	u 200 watts					\$6.27	\$6	.48	\$6.	47	-0.2%			
			Sodium Va	.por 50,000 1	u 400 watts					\$6,67	\$6	89	\$6.	88	-0.1%			
			* Sodium Va	por 12,000 1	u 150 watts					\$4.61	\$4	.76	\$4.	75	-0.2%			
			 Mercury V 	apor 6,000 li	140 watts					\$3.12	\$3	.22	\$3.	22	0.0%			
			 Mercury V Mercury V 	apor 8,600 h	1175 watts					\$3.14	\$3	.24	\$3.	24	0.0%			
			· Wercury v	apor 21,500	10 400 wans					\$5.16	\$5	.33	\$5.	32	-0.2%			
			Maintenance Sodium Va		70													
			Sodium Va	por 9,800 fu nor 9,500 lu	100 watts					\$1.30 ±1.27	\$1	.40	SI.	40	0.0%			
			Sodium Va	nor 16.000 I	u 150 watts					31.37 \$1.40	ቅ J ሮ 1	.41	51.4	4 L 4 J	0.0%			
			Sodium Va	por 22,000 1	u 200 watts					\$1.70 \$1.79	31 \$1	.4J 85	31.	44 85	-0,7%			
			Sodium Va	por 50,000 I	u 400 watts					\$1.76	\$1	87	31. SI	82 82	0.0%			
			* Sodium Va	por 12,000	u 150 watts					\$1.56	\$1	61	51. ST	62 61	0.0%			
			* Mercury Va	apor 6,000 h	140 watts					\$1.23	\$1	.27	\$1.	27	0.0%			
			* Mercury Va	apor 8,600 lu	175 watts					\$1.23	\$1	.27	SL:	27	0.0%			
			 Mercury Va 	apor 21,500	iu 400 watts					\$1.75	\$1	.81	\$1.	BO	-0.6%			
			Energy Non-	Fuel ⁺														
			Sodium Va	por 5,800 lu	70 watts					\$0.59	\$0	.61	\$0.0	51	0.0%			
			Sodium Va	por 9,500 lu	100 watts					\$0.84	\$0	.86	\$0.1	86	0.0%			
			Sodium Va	por 16,000 l	u 150 watts					\$1.22	\$1	.26	\$1.3	26	0.0%			
			Sodium Va	por 22,000 [1	u 200 watts					\$1.79	\$ 1	.85	\$1,3	34	-0.5%			
			Sodium Va	por 50,000 li	u 400 watts					\$3.41	\$3.	.52	\$3.5	52	0.0%			
			 Sodium Va 	por 12,000 h	u 150 watts					\$1.22	\$t	.26	51.	26	0.0%			
			 Mercury Va 	apor 6,000 lu	140 watts					\$1.26	\$1	.30	\$1.	30	0.0%			
			 Mercury Va 	apor 8,600 lu	175 watts					\$1.57	\$1.	.61	\$1.6	51	0.0%			
			 Mercury Va 	apor 21,500	lu 400 watts					\$3.25	\$3.	.36	\$3.3	35	-0.3%			

.

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 11 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

l

1

I

1

CORRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
RATE	TYPE OF	RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE	
					[((5) - (4)) / (4)]	
OL-1	Outdoor Lighting (continued)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Charges for Customer Owned Units					
	Total Charge-Relamping & Energy					
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$1.28	\$1.32	\$1.32	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1.54	\$1.59	\$1.59	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.92	\$1.98	\$1.98	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$2.48	\$2.56	\$2.56	0.0%	
	Socium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$4.12	\$4.25	\$4.25	0.0%	
	* Marcura Vanor 6 000 h 140 matte	\$2.15 \$1.05	\$2.22	\$2.22	0.0%	
	Mercury Vapor 6,000 In 140 waits	\$1.95 \$2.24	\$2.01	\$2.01	0.0%	
	* Mercury Vapor 21 500 ht 400 watts	\$2.20 \$3.07	34.33 84.10	\$2.33	0.0%	
	in any tapoi 21,000 is too watto	\$3.97	\$4.10	54.09	-0.2%	
	Energy Only ⁺					
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$0.59	\$0.61	\$0.61	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$0.84	\$0.86	\$0.86	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.22	\$1.26	\$1.26	0.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.79	\$1.85	\$L.84	-0.5%	
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$3.41	\$3.52	\$3.52	0.0%	
	 * Sodium Vapor 12,000 lu 150 watts 	\$1.22	\$1.26	\$1.26	0.0%	
	 Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts 	\$1.26	\$1.30	\$1.30	0.0%	
	 Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts 	\$1.57	\$1.61	\$1.61	0.0%	
	 Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts 	\$3.25	\$3.36	\$3.35	-0.3%	
hese units are closed t	a new FPI installations					
The Proposed Non-Fue	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the d	outdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel	Energy Rate			
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the or ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor)	outdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel	Energy Rate			
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the o ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh)	putdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel 2.031	Energy Rate 2.097	2.095	-0.1%	
"he Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the cargy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (\$\not\not\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\nu\	putdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel 2.031	Energy Rate 2,097	2.095	-0.1%	
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the of ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole	putdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel 2.031 \$3.18	Energy Rate 2,097 \$3.28	2.095 \$3.28	-0.1%	
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the of ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole	putdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel 2.031 \$3.18 \$4.29	Energy Rate 2,097 \$3.28 \$4.43	2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42	-0.1% 0.0% -0.2%	
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the of ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole	outdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel 2.031 \$3.18 \$4.29 \$5.03	Energy Rate 2,097 \$3.28 \$4.43 \$5.19	2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19	-0.1% 0.0% -0.2% 0.0%	
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the of ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding	outdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel 2.031 \$3.18 \$4.29 \$5.03	Energy Rate 2,097 \$3.28 \$4.43 \$5.19	2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19	-0.1% 0.0% -0.2% 0.0%	
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the of ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding Trenching per foot	outdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel 2.031 \$3.18 \$4.29 \$5.03 \$0.015	Energy Rate 2,097 \$3.28 \$4.43 \$5.19 \$0.015	2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19 \$0.015	-0.1% 0.0% -0.2% 0.0% 0.0%	
The Proposed Non-Fue Praposed Non-Fuel En	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the of ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding Trenching per foot Down-guy, Anchor and Protector	outdoor light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel 2.031 \$3.18 \$4.29 \$5.03 \$0.015 \$1.85	Energy Rate 2,097 \$3.28 \$4.43 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91	2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91	-0.1% 0.0% -0.2% 0.0% 0.0%	
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En SL-2	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the of ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding Trenching per foot Down-guy, Anchor and Protector Traffic Signal Service	2.031 2.031 \$3.18 \$4.29 \$5.03 \$0.015 \$1.85	Energy Rate 2,097 \$3.28 \$4.43 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91	2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91	-0.1% 0.0% -0.2% 0.0% 0.0%	
The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En SL-2	el Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the of ergy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding Trenching per foot Down-guy, Anchor and Protector Traffic Signal Service Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	2.031 2.031 \$3.18 \$4.29 \$5.03 \$0.015 \$1.85	Energy Rate 2,097 \$3.28 \$4.43 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91 3.419	2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91 3.414	-0.1% 0.0% -0.2% 0.0% 0.0%	

ł

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 12 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
CURRENT		• /		PR (1007-7	
RATE	TYPE OF	RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE
					[((5) - (4)) / (4)]
	Standby and Supplemental Service				
	Customer Charge				_
	SST-1(D1)	\$123.63	\$127.67	\$127.50	-0.1%
	SST-1(D2)	\$123.63	\$127.67	\$127.50	-0.1%
	SST-1(D3)	\$178.57	\$184.41	\$184.16	-0.1%
	SST-1(T)	\$389.19	\$401.92	\$401.37	-0.1%
	Distribution Demand \$/kW Contract Standby Demand				
	SST-1(D1)	\$1.96	\$2.02	\$2.02	0.0%
	SST-1(D2)	\$2.30	\$2.38	\$2.37	-0.4%
	SST-1(D3)	\$2.02	\$2.09	\$2.08	-0.5%
	SST-1(T)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Reservation Demand \$/kW				
	SST-1(D1)	\$0.73	\$0.75	\$0.75	0.0%
	SST-1(D2)	\$0.72	\$0.74	\$0.74	0.0%
	SST-1(D3)	\$0.72	\$0.74	\$0.74	0.0%
	SST-1(T)	\$0.70	\$0.72	\$0.72	0.0%
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) \$/kW				
	SST-I(DI)	\$0.34	\$0.35	\$0.35	0.0%
	SST-1(02)	\$0.33	\$0.34	\$0.34	0.0%
	SST-1(D3)	\$0.33	\$0.34	\$0.34	0.0%
	SST-I(T)	\$0.33	\$0.34	\$0.34	0.0%
	Non-Fuel Energy - On-Peak (4 per kWh)				
	SST_1(D1)	0.685	0.707	0 706	-0.1%
	SST-1(07)	0.085 0.701	0.707	0.774	-0.1%
	SST_1/D3)	0.702	0.725	0.724	-0.1%
	SST-1(T)	0.628	0.649	0.648	-0.2%
	Non Fuel France Off Pask (4 nor 1976)				
	NON-FUCI ENCISY - OII-FORK (¢ DEL KWR)	0 605	0 707	0 704	0.184
	331-1(1/1) SET 1(1/2)	0.685	0.707	0,700	-U. 170 0. 18/
	551-1(<i>U</i> 2)	0.702	0.725	0.724	-0.1%
	551-1(D3)	0.694	0.717	0,716	~U, 1%
	SST-1(T)	0.628	0.649	0.648	-0.2%
ISST-1	Interruptible Standby and Supplemental Service				
	Customer Charge				
	Distribution	\$572.34	\$591.06	\$590.25	-0.1%
	Transmission	\$2,953.31	\$3,049,91	\$3,045.72	-0.1%

i

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-4, Page 13 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

۱

ľ

Ľ

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
CURRENT						
RATE	TYPE OF	RATE PRIOR TO	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	TP5 GBRA	RATE	RATE	INCREASE	
					[((5) - (4)) / (4)]	
ISST-1	Interruptible Standby and Supplemental Service (continued)					
~	Distribution Demand					
	Distribution	# 3.33	6 2 20	6 0.00		
	Tretemission	\$Z.23	\$2.30	\$2.30	0.0%	
	1186561155101	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Reservation Demand-Internatible					
	Distribution	£0.16	#0.16	60.10		
	Transmission	30.13	30.15	\$0.15	0.0%	
	1 121311135101	D U. 14	\$0.14	\$0.14	0.0%	
	Perspection Demand Firm					
	INSTANUL DEINARU-FILM	.				
	LASHTODION	\$0.72	\$0.74	\$0.74	0.0%	
	l tansmission	\$ 0.70	\$0.72	\$0.72	0.0%	
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) Firm Standby					
	Distribution	\$0.33	\$0.34	\$0.34	0.0%	
	Transmission	\$0.33	\$0.34	\$0.34	0.0%	
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) Interruptible Standby					
	Distribution	\$0.07	6 0.0 7			
	Transmitteion	\$0.07	50.07	\$0,07	0.0%	
	110(5)(0)	50.07	\$0.07	\$0.07	0.0%	
	Non-Fuel Energy - On-Peak (¢ per kWh)					
	Distribution	0.601	0.714	0.712	0.10/	
	Transmission	0.071	0.714	0.713	-0.1%	
	Non-Filel Fineray - Off. Peak (# ner kWh)	V.48/	0.503	0.502	-0.2%	
	Distribution	n /ni				
	Transmission	V.691	0,714	0.713	-0.1%	
	1 (ansmission	0.487	0.503	0.502	-0.2%	
wies-i	wireless internet Electric Service					
	Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh)	17.538	18.112	18.087	-0.1%	
TR	Transformation Rider					
	(ner kW of Billing Demand)	/#A 5/2				
	(for on putting Demand)	(\$0.36)	(\$0.37)	(\$0.37)	0.0%	
GSCU-1	GENERAL SERVICE CONSTANT USAGE					
		6 0 · · ·				
	Cusumer Charge.	\$9.14	\$9,44	\$9.43	-0.1%	
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges					
	Rose Energy Charges, All All All All All All All All All Al	A				
	Dase Lineigy Charge (p per K w n)*	2.371	2.449	2.445	-0.1%	

1

1

1

Ë

1

ĥ

¥

* The non-fuel energy charges will be assessed on the Constant Usage kWh

R

11

1

I

M

11

¥.

I.

1)	1)		D	1)	1	11	1)	L	11 l	1	I)	1)))	I)	11	1)	н	ון
															Docke R. Deator Docur Summary o	et No. 08000 a, Exhibit No nent No. RE Page 15 o f Tariff Chai	11-EI D-4, of 15 nges	
		(l)		(2)						(3)	(4)		(5)		(6)			
		RATE SCHEDULE		TYPE CHAR	OF GE				RAT	TE PRIOR TO	CURRE RATI	ENT	PROPOSED RATE]	PERCENT INCREASE ((5) - (4)) / (4)]			
		SDTR	SEASO Non-Fue Base Sea	NAL DEMAN I Energy Char Isonal Off-Pea	D -TIME OF U ges (¢ per kWh k kWh	SE RIDER (c	continued)			1.008					<u></u>	<u></u>		
			For custo For custo	omers with an a	Annual Maxim Annual Maxim Annual Maxim	um Demand 5 um Demand 5 um Demand 20	1 - 499 kw: 00 - 1999 kW: 000+ kW:			0.814 0.811		1.062 0.841 0.838	1.00 0.83 0.83	60 39 36	-0.2% -0.2% -0.2%			
			For custo	omers with an a	к wn Annual Maxim Annual Maxim Annual Maxim	um Demand 2 um Demand 50	1 - 499 kW: 00 - 1999 kW:			3.890 2.978		4.017 3.075	4.0) 3.07	12 71	-0.1% -0.1%			
			OPTION	A: Non-Sease Charges:	onal Standard I	Rate	000 F KW.			2.970		3.067	3.06	53	-0.1%			
			For custo	omers with an a	Charge per kW	of Non-Seaso	onal Maximum 1 - 499 kW:	Demand:		\$4 ,64		\$ 4.79	\$4.	79	0.0%			
			For custo For custo	omers with an a	Annual Maxim Annual Maxim	um Demand 50 um Demand 20	00 - 1999 kW: 000+ kW:			\$5,53 \$5,53		\$5.71 \$5.71	\$5." \$5	70 70	-0.2%			
			Non-Fue Non-Sea	l Energy Charg sonal Energy C	ges: (¢ per Non Charge:	-Seasonal kWł	1)								0,270			
			For custo	mers with an	Annual Maxim	um Demand 2	1 - 499 kW:			1.348		1.392	1.39	0	-0,1%			
			For custo	mers with an A	Annual Maxim	um Demand 50	00 - 1999 kW:			1.067		1.102	1,10	ю	-0.2%			
			Por cusa	OR	Siniuai Maxim	am Demanu 20	000+ KW;			1.064		1.099	1.09	7	-0.2%			
			OPTION Demand Non-Sea	B: Non-Sease Charges per k ³ sonal Demand	onal Time of U W of Non-Seas Charge :	se Rate onal Demand (occurring durir	g the Non-Sea	sonal On-Peak	period:								
			For custo For custo	mers with an A mers with an A	Annual Maxim Annual Maxim	um Demand 21 um Demand 50	l - 499 kW: 00 - 1999 kW:			\$4.64 \$5.53		\$4.79 \$5.71	\$4.7 \$5.7	79 70	0.0% -0.2%			
			OPTION Non-Fue	B: Non-Seaso Energy Charg	nal Time of U es: (¢ per kWh	se Rate	ΛΛ/† <u>K</u> ₩'			\$5.53		\$5.71	\$5.7	70	-0.2%			
			For custo	mers with an A mers with an A	kwn Annual Maxim Annual Maxim	um Demand 21 um Demand 50	l - 499 kW: 00 - 1999 kW:			3.146 2.113		3.249 2.182	3.244	ţ Ə	-0.2% -0.1%			
			For custo Non-Sea	mers with an A sonal Off-Peak	Annual Maxim kWh Annual Maximi	um Demand 20	000+ kW:			2.219		2.292	2.288	8	-0.2%			
			For custo	mers with an A	Annual Maxim	im Demand 50)0 - 1999 kW:)0 - 1999 kW:			0.641		0.893	0.892 0.661	2	-0.1% -0.2%			
			i or cusic		Manan Ivia Min	ani Demana 20	750 T K W.			0.600		0.620	0.619)	-0.2%			

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-5 Page 2 of 2 True-up Calculation for Turkey Point Unit 5

	REFUND	CUMULATIVE	INTEREST	CUM. REFUND	MONTHLY	CUMULATIVE
	ACCRUAL	REFUND	RATE	WITH INTEREST	INTEREST	INTEREST
Jan-07	0	0	0.0043875	0	0	0
Feb-07	0	0	0.0043875	0	0	0
Mar-07	0	0	0.0043917	0	0	0
Арг-07	158	158	0.0043875	159	0	0
May-07	428	586	0.0043833	588	2	2
Jun-07	488	1,075	0.0043875	1,080	4	6
Jul-07	505	1,580	0.0043792	1,591	6	11
Aug-07	559	2,139	0.0045375	2,159	8	20
Sep-07	517	2,655	0.0044458	2,686	11	31
Oct-07	469	3,124	0.0040708	3,167	12	43
Nov-07	389	3,513	0.0039458	3,569	13	56
Dec-07	379	3,892	0.0040542	3,963	15	71
Jan-08	380	4,272	0.0033583	4,357	14	85
Feb-08	363	4,635	0.0025708	4,732	12	97
Mar-08	386	5,021	0.0023833	5,130	12	108
Apr-08	409	5,430	0.0022792	5,551	12	121
May-08	453	5,883	0.0020333	6,015	12	132
Jun-08	499	6,382	0.0021958	6,528	14	146
Jul-08	523	6,905	0.0021958	7,066	15	161
Aug-08	538	7,444	0.0021958	7,621	16	177
Sep-08	521	7,964	0.0021958	8,159	17	194
Oct-08	457	8,421	0.0021958	8,634	18	213
Nov-08	414	8,835	0.0021958	9,067	19	232
Dec-08	209	9,044	0.0021958	9,296	20	252
TOTAL	9,043.692			-	252,397	

TOTAL REFUND

\$9,296,089

,

Docket No 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. _____ Document No. RBD-6, Page 1 of 1 Separation Of West County Unit 1 Costs

	(A)	(B)	(A) x (B)
	System (\$million)	Jurisdictional Factor	(\$million)
Capital Revenue Requirement	\$125.10	98.451%	123.16
Fixed O&M and Capital Replacement	14.30	98.439%	14.08
Variable O&M	1,30	98.439%	1.28
Total Revenue Requirement	\$140.70	98.450%	\$138.52

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-7, Page 1 of 1 Retail Base Revenues For The First 12 Months Of West County Unit 1's Commercial Operation

I

F

ł

1

1

1 I I

				2009			
Customer Class	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Residential	\$212,487,514	\$235,075,413	\$238,446,390	\$244,377,143	\$202,156,359	\$182,614,673	\$167,408,836
Commercial	\$123,583,765	\$127,485,858	\$125,636,438	\$131,760,475	\$115,545,365	\$120,515,286	\$114,656,278
Industrial	\$5,982,916	\$6,041,253	\$6,085,689	\$6,279,752	\$6,180,279	\$6,024,181	\$5,927,361
Street & Highway	\$3,717,432	\$3,721,901	\$3,726,379	\$3,730,719	\$3,734,976	\$3,739,808	\$3,745,046
Other	\$101,233	\$100,997	\$100,761	\$100,526	\$100,292	\$100,058	\$99,824
Railroads & Railways	\$217,868	\$222,651	\$220,036	\$225,470	\$222,890	\$218,399	\$216,382
Total Billed Retail Base Revenue	\$346,090,728	\$372,648,073	\$374,215,693	\$386,474,085	\$327,940,159	\$313,212,405	\$292,053,727

		2010			
Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	12 Month Ending
\$183,599,158	\$155,193,708	\$157,364,856	\$158,178,006	\$181,746,099	\$2,318,648,154
\$110,470,097	\$109,312,193	\$113,844,495	\$112,799,174	\$120,502,747	\$1,426,112,171
\$5,907,110	\$5,995,233	\$6,037,609	\$6,209,047	\$6,240,149	\$72,910,580
\$3,750,806	\$3,756,700	\$3,762,736	\$3,768,494	\$3,772,972	\$44,927,969
\$99,591	\$99,359	\$99,127	\$98,896	\$98,665	\$1,199,328
\$217,025	\$208,119	\$215,621	\$215,861	\$212,284	\$2,612,606
\$304,043,788	\$274,565,312	\$281,324,445	\$281,269,477	\$312,572,914	\$3,866,410,807
	<u>Jan</u> \$183,599,158 \$110,470,097 \$5,907,110 \$3,750,806 \$99,591 \$217,025 \$304,043,788	JanFeb\$183,599,158\$155,193,708\$110,470,097\$109,312,193\$5,907,110\$5,995,233\$3,750,806\$3,756,700\$99,591\$99,359\$217,025\$208,119\$304,043,788\$274,565,312	Jan Feb Mar \$183,599,158 \$155,193,708 \$157,364,856 \$110,470,097 \$109,312,193 \$113,844,495 \$5,907,110 \$5,995,233 \$6,037,609 \$3,750,806 \$3,756,700 \$3,762,736 \$99,591 \$99,359 \$99,127 \$217,025 \$208,119 \$215,621 \$304,043,788 \$274,565,312 \$281,324,445	Jan Feb Mar April \$183,599,158 \$155,193,708 \$157,364,856 \$158,178,006 \$110,470,097 \$109,312,193 \$113,844,495 \$112,799,174 \$5,907,110 \$5,995,233 \$6,037,609 \$6,209,047 \$3,750,806 \$3,756,700 \$3,762,736 \$3,768,494 \$99,591 \$99,359 \$99,127 \$98,896 \$217,025 \$208,119 \$215,621 \$215,861 \$304,043,788 \$274,565,312 \$281,324,445 \$281,269,477	JanFebMarAprilMay\$183,599,158\$155,193,708\$157,364,856\$158,178,006\$181,746,099\$110,470,097\$109,312,193\$113,844,495\$112,799,174\$120,502,747\$5,907,110\$5,995,233\$6,037,609\$6,209,047\$6,240,149\$3,750,806\$3,756,700\$3,762,736\$3,768,494\$3,772,972\$99,591\$99,359\$99,127\$98,896\$98,665\$217,025\$208,119\$215,621\$215,861\$212,284\$304,043,788\$274,565,312\$281,324,445\$281,269,477\$312,572,914

	<u>12 Month Ending</u>
Total Billed Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$3,866,410,807
Unbilled Retail Base Revenues	-\$74,140
Total Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$3,866,336,667

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

ł

1

ł

1

1

1

ţ

l

I

I

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-8, Page 1 of 1 GBRA FACTOR WCEC #1

Jurisdictional Annualized Revenue Requirement	(\$million) \$138.52	source Doc. No. RBD-5 as filed
Total Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$3,866.34	Doc. No. RBD-6 as filed
REVISED GBRA FACTOR [(A) / (B)]	3.583%	

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 1 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes ł

CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF			
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	DATE	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT
		KAIE AC OF MURO	With GBRA	INCREASE
RS-1	Residential Service	AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]
	- second - sea Bortratham	\$5.33	\$5.52	3.6%
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)			
	First 1,000 kWh	2.202		
	All additional kWh	3,398	3.520	3.6%
		4,429	4,588	3.6%
RST-1	Residential Service - Time of Use			
	Customer Charge/Minimum			
	with Lump-sum metering navment	\$8.46	\$8.76	3.5%
	Base Energy Charge (4 per kWh)	\$5.33	\$5.52	3.6%
	On-Peak			
	Off-Peak	7.130	7,385	3.6%
		2.189	2.267	3.6%
	Lump-sum payment for time of use metering cost	•••		
		\$150.16	\$155.54	3.6%
GS-1	General Service - Non Demand (0-20 kW)			
	Customer Charge/Minimum			
	Metered			
	Unmetered	\$8.50	\$8.80	3.5%
		\$5.66	\$5.86	3.5%
	Base Energy Charge (& per kWh)	7.02.		
		3.921	4.061	3.6%
GST-1	General Service - Non Demand - Time of Lise (0-20 kW)			
	Customer Charge/Minimum			
	with Lutin-sum metering navment	\$11.62	\$12.04	3.6%
	and outplace meeting payment	\$8,50	\$8.80	3.5%
	Base Energy Charge (& per kWh)			
	On-Peak			
	Off-Peak	7.664	7.939	3.6%
		2.210	2.289	3.6%
	Lump-sum payment for time of use metering cost	\$150 1Z	**	_
		9120.10	\$155,54	3.6%
GSD-1	General Service Demand (21-499 kW)			
	Customer Charge	£32.6-		
	•	\$33.05	\$34.23	3.6%
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)			
	Demand Charge - All kW (\$/kW)	\$5.00		_
		\$J.U9	\$5.27	3.5%
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1 30	1.446	A
		1.27	1,440	3.6%
	Minimum	\$120.04		

ł

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 2 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

Į

3

1

	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
RATE	TYDE OF				
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
		RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
GSDT-1	General Service Demand Time of Line (21 400 LUD	AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
	Customer Charge	6 42 + 0			
	with Lump-sum metering payment	\$39.19	\$40.59	3.6%	
	the same same more ing payment	\$33.05	\$34,23	3.6%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.09	\$5.27	3.5%	
	Base Energy Charge (4 nos 1.11/1)				
	Dase Energy Criarge (¢ per KWA)				
	Off Deal	3.244	3.360	3.6%	
	Olifican	0.892	0.924	3.6%	
	Lump-sum payment for time of use metering cost	\$365.48	\$378.57	3.6%	
GSLD-I	General Service Large Demand (500-1999 kW)				
	Customer Charge	\$38.72	\$40.11	3.6%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$4 00	87.11	7.474	
		\$9.70	20.11	3.6%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.1	1.139	3 5%	
				5.576	
	Miminum	\$2,988.72	\$3,095.11	3.6%	
GSLDT-1	General Service Large Demand - Time of Use (500-1999 kW)				
	Customer Charge	\$38.72	¢40.11	2 / 2	
	-	\$56.72	\$40.1T	3.6%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5,90	\$6 11	3.6%	
				2.070	
	Dase Energy Unarge (¢ per KWh)				
	Off.Deal	2.179	2.257	3.6%	
	VIITEAN	0.661	0.685	3.6%	
	Mimimum	\$2,988,72	\$3,095,11	2 60/	
		4447 50.75	\$3,073.11	3.0%	
<u>CS-1</u>	Curtailable Service (500-1999 kW)				
	Customer Charge.	\$103.89	\$107.61	3.6%	
	Demo-J Char (0.0-11)				
	Demana Charge (5/KW)	\$5.90	\$6.11	3.6%	
	Base Energy Charge (é ner kWh)	1.101			
		1.101	I. 14 0	3,5%	
	Monthly Credit (\$ per kW)	(\$1.61)	(\$1.47)	אל כ	
		(01.01)	(1.07)	3.7%	

L L

ł.

.

J.

ł

.

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 3 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes ĩ

Ĭ

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
CURRENT					
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
		AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
CS-1	Curtailable Service (500-1999 kW) (continued)				
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand				
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.61	\$1.67	3 7%	
/	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.47	\$3.59	3 5%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.02	\$1.06	3.9%	
	,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,		\$1.00	5.978	
	Minimum	\$3.653.89	\$3 167.61	3 60/	
		45,055.07	45,102.01	3.078	
CST-I	Curtailable Service -Time of Use (500-1999 kW)				
	Customer Charge	\$103.89	\$107.61	2 694	
		W105.07	4107.01	3.070	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.90	\$6.11	2 68/	
	- ····································	Ψ <i>σ.</i> 70	40.11	5.676	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	On-Peak	2 180	2 260	7 60/	
	Off-Peak	2,180	2.238	3.0%	
		0.001	0.085	3.0%	
	Monthly Credit (ner kW)	(\$1.41)	(ft (T)	1 70/	
	monung croate (por king	(\$1.01)	(\$1.07)	3.7%	
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand	<i>e</i>			
	Rebilling for last 36 months (ner kW)	£1.41	* 1 / *		
	Penalty Charge current month (nor kW)	31.01	\$1.67	3.7%	
	Forby Terminating Development (per KW)	\$3.47	\$3.59	3.5%	
	Early remination renarry charge (per kw)	\$1.02	\$1.06	3.9%	
	1 Gerimum				
	Manual	\$3,053.89	\$3,162.61	3.6%	
0300-2	General Service Large Demand (2000 kw +)				
	Customer Charge	\$160.55	\$166.30	3.6%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$5.90	\$6.11	3.6%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.097	1.136	3.6%	
	Minimum	\$11,960.55	\$12,386.30	3.6%	

1

1

ł

I I

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 4 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

I

1

1

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	,
CURRENT					
KATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
		AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
GSLDT-2	General Service Large Demand - Time of Use (2000 kW +)				
	Customer Charge	\$160.55	\$166.30	3.6%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.90	\$6.11	3.6%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	On-Peak	2.288	2.370	3.6%	
	OII-Peak	0.619	0,641	3.6%	
	Minimum	A11 0/0 FF			
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	\$11,900.55	\$12,386.30	3.6%	
CS-2	Curtailable Service (2000 kW +)				
	Customer Charge	\$160.55	¢166.20	7 60/	
		4100.33	\$100.30	2.076	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$5.90	\$6.11	3.6%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.097	1.136	3.6%	
	Monthly Credit (ner kW)	(61.71)	(41.67)		
	monuly order (per kwy)	(\$1.01)	(\$1.07)	3.1%	
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand				
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.61	\$1.67	3.7%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.47	\$3.59	3.5%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.02	\$1.06	3.9%	
	Minimum	\$11,960.55	\$12,386.30	3.6%	
CST-2	Curtailable Service Time of Use (2000 kW \pm)				
		£1/0.75	*****	- (4)	
	Castolity Clarge	\$100.55	\$166.30	3.6%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.90	\$6.11	3.6%	
			00.11	2.070	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	On-Peak	2.292	2.374	3.6%	
	Off-Peak	0.619	0.641	3.6%	
	Marthly Credit (nor hUD	·•			
	Montally Create (per KW)	(\$1.61)	(\$1.67)	3.7%	
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand				
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.61	CI 67	2 70/	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.47	\$1.07 \$2.50	2 50/	
	Early Tennination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.02	ور.دي ۱۵۸ (1	3.9%	
		01.02	41.00	\$.77¥	
	Minimum	\$11,960.55	\$12,386.30	3.6%	

ł

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 5 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE				
SCHEDITE		CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT
SCHEDULE	CHARVE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE
(101 D 1		AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]
GSLD-3	General Service Large Demand (2000 kW +)			
	Customer Charge	\$377.76	\$391.29	3.6%
	Demand Charge (\$4.11)			
	Demand Charge (WKW)	\$5.90	\$6.11	3.6%
	Base Energy Charge (é per kWh)	0.57	0.500	2.44/
		0,57	0.590	3.3%
GSLDT-3	General Service Large Demand - Time of Use (2000 kW +)			
	Customer Charge	\$277 76	£201 d0	
		\$377.70	\$391.29	3.6%
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.90	\$6.11	3.6%
		45.70	40.LL	3.076
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)			
	On-Peak	0.634	0.657	3.6%
	Off-Peak	0.508	0.526	3.5%
00.5				
	Curtaitable Service (2000 kW +)			
	Customer Charge	\$377.76	\$391.29	3.6%
	Demond Charge (\$430)			
	Colliand Charge (BKW)	\$5.90	\$6,11	3.6%
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	0.570	0.600	2.59/
		0.070	0.590	3.5%
	Monthly Credit (per kW)	(\$1.61)	(\$1.47)	3 70/
		(4(.01)	(31.07)	3.1%
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand			
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.61	\$1.67	3 7%
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3,47	\$3.59	3 5%
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.02	\$1.06	3.9%
			•1.00	5.770
CST-3	Curtailable Service - Time of Use (2000 kW +)			
	Customer Charge	\$377,76	\$391.29	3.6%
				2.070
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5,90	\$6.11	3.6%
	Ruse Frietow Charge (+ ner kWh)			
	Dase Dividig Charge (C Det KWII) On-Deak			
		0.634	0.657	3.6%
	VII-I VAN	0.508	0.526	3.5%
	Monthly Credit (per kW)	(4) (1)	/#1.2m\	2 70
		(\$1.61)	(\$1.67)	3.7%
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand			
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.61	¢1 67	3 79/
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.47	#1.07 \$2.60	J./70 2.50/
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.07	83,37 11.04	3.370
		91.04	31.00	3.9%

ł

1

1

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 6 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE SCHEDULE	TYPE OF CHARGE	CURRENT RATE AS OF 1/1/09	PROPOSED RATE With GBRA WCEC 1	PERCENT INCREASE [((4) - (3)) / (3)]
OS-2	Sports Field Service			
	Customer Charge/Minimum	\$8.50	\$8.80	3.5%
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	5.833	6.042	3.6%
MET	Metropolitan Transit Service			
	Customer Charge	\$203.05	\$210.32	3.6%
	Base Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$9.87	\$10.22	3.5%
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	0.446	0.462	3.6%
CDR	Commercial/Industrial Demand Reduction Rider			
	GSD-1	\$533.59	\$552.71	3.6%
	GSDT-1	\$527.45	\$546.35	3.6%
	GSLD-1, GSLDT-1	\$527.92	\$546.83	3.6%
	GSLD-2, GSLDT-2	\$406.09	\$420.64	3.6%
	GSLD-3, GSLDT-3	\$2,644.34	\$2,739.08	3.6%
CILC-1	Commercial/Industrial Load Control Program			
	Customer Charge			
	(G) 200–499kW	\$566.64	\$586.94	3.6%
	(D) above 500kW	\$566.64	\$586.94	3.6%
	(T) transmission	\$3,022.10	\$3,130.37	3.6%
	Base Demand Charge (\$/kW)			
	per kW of Max Demand All kW:			
	(G) 200-499kW	\$2.24	\$2.32	3.6%
	per kW of Max Demand:			
	(D) above 500kW	\$2.30	\$2.38	3.5%
	(1) transmission	None	None	N/A
	per kW of Load Control On-Peak:			
	(G) 200-499kW	\$1.06	\$ 1.10	3.8%
	per kw of Load Control On-Peak:			
	(D) above 500kW	\$1.09	\$1,13	3.7%
	(T) transmission	\$1.08	\$1.12	3.7%
	per kW of Firm On-Peak Demand All kW:			
	(G) 200-499kW	\$4.53	\$4.69	3.5%
	Per kW of Firm On-Peak Demand			
	(D) above 500kW	\$5.53	\$5.73	3.6%
	(T) transmission	\$5.90	\$6.11	3.6%

ļ

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 7 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes 1

(l)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
DATE	TYPE OF	010000		
SCUEDURE		CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT
SCHEDULE	UTAKUE	KA1E	With GBRA	INCREASE
<u> </u>		AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC I	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]
CILC-1	Commercial/Industrial Load Control Program (continued)			
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)			
	On-Peak			
	(G) 200-499kW	0.979	1,014	3.6%
	(D) above 500kW	0.681	0.705	3.5%
	(T) transmission	0.502	0.520	3.6%
	Off-Peak			
	(G) 200-4998W	0.979	1.014	3.6%
	(D) above DUUkW	0,681	0.705	3.5%
	(T) transmission	0.502	0.520	3.6%
SL-1	Street Lighting			
~~~~~	Charges for FPL-Owned Units			
	Fixture			
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$3.66	\$3.79	3.6%
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$3.73	\$3.86	3.5%
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$3,84	\$3.98	3.6%
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$5.82	\$6.03	3.6%
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$5.89	\$6.10	3.6%
	<ul> <li>* Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$4,00	\$4.14	3.5%
	* Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts	\$6,19	\$6,41	3.6%
	<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts</li> </ul>	\$9.32	\$9.65	3.5%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$2,90	\$3.00	3.4%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.93	\$3.03	3.4%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts</li> </ul>	\$4,89	\$5.07	3.7%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$4.88	\$5.05	3.5%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts</li> </ul>	\$6.89	\$7.14	3.6%
	* Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$7,06	\$7.31	3.5%
	Maintenance			
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	<b>\$1</b> 40	\$1.45	3.6%
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1.41	\$1.45	3.5%
	Sodium Vapor 16.000 lu 150 watts	\$1.44	\$1.40	3.5%
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.85	\$1.42	3.8%
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$1.82	\$1.92	3.8%
	* Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts	\$1.61	\$1.67	3 7%
	* Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts	\$1.96	\$0.0\$ \$0.0	3.6%
	* Sodium Vapor 140.000 lu 1.000 watts	\$1,50	\$2.05 \$1.71	3.6%
	* Mercury Vapor 6 000 lu 140 watts	\$1.07	43.71 \$1.27	3.0%
	* Mercury Vapor 8 600 hu 175 watts	\$1.27	#1.32 €1.27	3 0%
	* Mercury Vapor 11 500 lu 250 watts	φ1.27 C1 93	81.34 ¢1.00	3.9%
	* Mercury Vapor 21 500 lu 400 watts	\$1.03 \$1.93	\$1.90 ¢1.06	1 20%
	* Mercury Vapor 29 500 lu 700 watte	01.00 \$2.04	31.80	3.370
	interest in the state of the real states	\$3.VD	3.5.10	3.0%

Ĩ

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 8 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

Ĩ

I

I

Ł

ľ

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
		AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
	* Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$2.97	\$3,08	3.7%	
SL-1	Street Lighting (continued)				
	Energy Non-Fuel [*]				
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$0.61	\$0.63	3.3%	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$0.86	\$0,89	3.5%	
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.26	\$1,30	3.2%	
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.84	\$1.91	3.8%	
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$3.51	\$3,64	3.7%	
	<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.26	\$1,30	3.2%	
	<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.43	\$2.51	3.3%	
	<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts</li> </ul>	\$8.60	\$8.91	3.6%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.30	\$1.34	3.1%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.61	\$1,67	3.7%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.18	\$2.25	3.2%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$3.35	\$3.47	3.6%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts</li> </ul>	\$5.69	\$5.89	3.5%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts</li> </ul>	\$8.05	\$8,34	3.6%	
	Total Charge-Fixtures, Maintenance & Energy				
	* Incandescent 1,000 lu 103 watts	\$7.12	\$7.38	3.7%	
	* Incandescent 2,500 lu 202 watts	\$7.37	\$7.63	3.5%	
	* Incandescent 4,000 lu 327 watts	\$8.63	\$8.94	3.6%	
	* Incandescent 6,000 lu 448 watts	\$9.62	\$9.96	3.5%	
	* Incandescent 10,000 lu 690 watts	\$11.58	\$11.99	3.5%	

* These units are closed to new FPL installations

Ĩ

ŧ

i

Ĩ

ł

ł

* The Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the street light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Rate Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor)

١.

١

1

1

I

ł

ł

Ł

Charge for Customer-Owned Units			
Relamping and Energy			
Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$1.32	\$1.37	3.8%
Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1.58	\$1.64	3.8%
Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.98	\$2.05	3.5%
Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$2.57	\$2.66	3.5%
Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$4.25	\$4.40	3.5%
<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 12,800 iu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.22	\$2.30	3.6%
<ul> <li>* Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts</li> </ul>	\$3.19	\$3.30	3.4%
<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts</li> </ul>	\$10.29	\$10.66	3.6%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.01	\$2.08	3.5%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.33	\$2.41	3.4%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.94	\$3.05	3.7%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$4.09	\$4.24	3.7%

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 9 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes 1

(1) (1000ENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF	CIPRENT	PROPOSED PATE	PERCENT
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	PATE	Wet CRDA	NCDEASE
	CIBINOD	AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	
*	Margura Vanat 20 500 lu 700 matte	47 20	#CLC 1	1((4)-(5))/(5)]
1 12	Street Lighting (continued)	\$7.50	06.76	3.0%
	Maroury Vanar 60 000 in 1 000 units		¢0 30	7 58/
*	Intercury vapor 60,000 full,000 watts	39.07	\$9,39 \$2,00	3.3%
	Incandescent 1,000 to 103 waits	\$Z,33	\$4.04	3.0%
	Incandescent 2,000 to 202 wats	33.20 64.75	\$3.38	3.7%
	Incandescent 4,000 lu 327 waits	\$4.23 fr: 13	54.40 65.31	3.3%
	Incancescent 6,000 lu 448 wans	\$5,13 \$7.07	\$5,31	3.5%
	Incancescent 10,000 II 690 waits	\$7.06	\$7.31	3.3%
-	Fluorescent 19,800 lu 300 watts	\$3.49	\$3.62	3.7%
*	Fluorescent 39,600 lu 700 watts	\$6.74	\$6.98	3,6%
	Energy Only ⁺			
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$0.61	\$0.63	3.3%
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$0.86	\$0.89	3,5%
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.26	\$1,30	3.2%
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.84	\$1.91	3.8%
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$3.51	\$3.64	3.7%
*	Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts	\$1.26	\$1.30	3.2%
+	Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts	\$2.43	\$2.51	3.3%
*	Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$8.60	\$8.91	3.6%
*	Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts	\$1.30	\$1.34	3.1%
*	Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts	\$1.61	\$1.67	3.7%
*	Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts	\$2.18	\$2,25	3.2%
*	Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts	\$3,35	\$3.47	3.6%
*	Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts	\$5.69	\$5.89	3.5%
*	Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$8.05	\$8.34	3.6%
*	Incandescent 1,000 lu 103 watts	\$0.75	\$0.78	4.0%
*	Incandescent 2,500 lu 202 watts	\$1.49	\$1.54	3.4%
*	Incandescent 4,000 lu 327 watts	\$2.42	\$2.51	3.7%
*	Incandescent 6,000 lu 448 watts	\$3.30	\$3.42	3.6%
*	Incandescent 10,000 lu 690 watts	\$5.10	\$5.28	3.5%
+	Fluorescent 19,800 lu 300 watts	\$2.55	\$2.64	3.5%
*	Fluorescent 39,600 lu 700 watts	\$5.53	\$5,73	3.6%
	Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh)	2.092	2.167	3.6%
	Other Charges			
	Wood Pole	\$2.62	\$2,71	3.4%
	Concrete Pole	\$3.60	\$3.73	3.6%
	Fiberglass Pole	\$4.26	\$4.41	3.5%
	Underground conductors not under			
	paving (¢ per foot)	1 97	2.04	3.6%
	Underground conductors under naving		2.04	2.070

1

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.___ Document No. RBD-9, Page 10 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes 1

I

(1) (2) CLERENT	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE TYPE OF		PROPOSED PATE	PEDCENT
SCHEDULE CHARGE	PATE	With CAP A	NCREASE
	AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	IIYUADAGD [((4) - (3)) / (3)]
SL-1 Street Lighting (continued)			WY 2 2 11 2 14
tese units are closed to new FPL installations			
he Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the str	eet light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy	Rate	
roposed Non-Fuel Energy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor)			
PL-1 Premium Lighting			
Non-Luei Energy (¢ per kWh)	2.092	2.167	3.6%
OL-1 Outdoor Lighting	• • • • • • • • •		
Fixture			
Sodium Vapor 5,800 h 70 watts	\$4 10	\$4 34	3.6%
Sodium Vapor 9.500 lu 100 watts	97.17 \$4 30	\$4.54	3.5%
Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$4.50	\$4 AN	3.6%
Sodium Vapor 22.000 lu 200 watts	\$6.47	\$4.00 \$6.70	3.6%
Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	56 RR	\$7 13	3.6%
* Sodium Vapor 12,000 lu 150 watts	\$4.75	\$4.97	3.6%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$3.22	\$3.34	3.7%
* Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts	\$3.24	\$3.36	3.7%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$5.32	\$5.51	3.6%
Maintenance			
Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$1.40	\$1.45	3.6%
Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1.41	\$1.46	3.5%
Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.44	\$1.49	3.5%
Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.85	\$1.92	3.8%
Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$1.82	\$1.89	3.8%
<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 12,000 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.61	\$1.67	3.7%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.27	\$1.32	3.9%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.27	\$1.32	3.9%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.80	\$1.86	3.3%
Energy Non-Fuel ⁺			
Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$0.61	\$0.63	3.3%
Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$0.86	\$0.89	3.5%
Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.26	\$1.30	3.2%
Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.84	\$1.91	3.8%
Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$3.52	\$3.65	3.7%
<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 12,000 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.26	\$1,30	3.2%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.30	\$1,35	3.8%
* Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts	\$1.61	\$1,67	3.7%

I

1

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 11 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

ł

I

	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)
CURRENI	TYDE OF		PRODOSED RATE	DEDCENT
SCUEDIIE		DATE	Wet CED A	DICDEASE
301100000	CILAIGE	AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	INCREASE
01-1	Outdoor Lighting (continued)		WOLC I	
	Charges for Customer Owned Units			
	Total Charge-Relamping & Energy			
	Sodium Vanor 5 800 lu 70 unite	¢1.27	¢1 17	3.99/
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 in 100 watts	31.52 \$1.50	31.37 \$1.65	3,670
	Sodium Vapor 16 000 lu 150 watts	\$1.98	\$2.05	3.5%
	Sodium Vapor 22 000 lu 200 watts	\$7.56	\$2.65	3 5%
	Sodium Vapor 50 000 lu 400 watts	\$4.75	\$4.40	3.5%
	* Sodium Vapor 12 000 lu 150 watts	\$7.23	\$2.30	3.5%
	* Mercury Vapor 6 000 lu 140 watts	\$2.01	\$2.00	3.5%
	* Mercury Vapor 8 600 lu 175 watts	\$2.33	\$2.50	3.4%
	* Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts	54 09	\$4 74	3.7%
		04.07	\$7.24	2.170
	Energy Only ⁺			
	Sodium Vanor 5 800 lu 70 watts	\$0.61	\$0.63	3 3%
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$0.86	\$0.89	3.5%
	Sodium Vapor 16.000 lu 150 watts	\$1.26	\$1.30	3.2%
	Sodium Vapor 22.000 lu 200 watts	\$1.84	\$1.91	3.8%
	Sodium Vapor 50.000 lu 400 watts	\$3.52	\$3.65	3.7%
	* Sodium Vapor 12.000 lu 150 watts	\$1.26	\$1.30	3.2%
	* Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts	\$1.30	\$1.35	3.8%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.61	\$1.67	3.7%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$3,35	\$3,47	3.6%
	····, ····, ·························			2.079
These units are closed to	new FPL installations			
These units are closed to The Proposed Non-Fue	) new FPL installations Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light unit	it times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy	Rate	
These units are closed to The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel End	) new FPL installations   Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor)	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy	r Rate	
These units are closed to The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel End	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un argy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (\$ per kWh)	nit times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095	r Rate 2,170	3.6%
These units are closed to The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (\$\$ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u>	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095	v Rate 2.170	3.6%
These units are closed t The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (\$\$ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095 \$3.28	v Rate 2.170 \$3.40	3.6% 3.7%
These units are closed t The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42	v Rate 2.170 \$3.40 \$4.58	3.6% 3.7% 3.6%
These units are closed t The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19	r Rate 2,170 \$3,40 \$4,58 \$5,38	3.6% 3.7% 3.6% 3.7%
These units are closed t The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19	r Rate 2.170 \$3.40 \$4.58 \$5.38	3.6% 3.7% 3.6% 3.7%
These units are closed t The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (\$ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding Trenching per foot	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19 \$0.015	r Rate 2.170 \$3.40 \$4.58 \$5.38 \$0.016	3.6% 3.7% 3.6% 3.7% 6.7%
These units are closed t The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rrgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (\$ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding Trenching per foot Down-guy, Anchor and Protector	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91	<ul> <li>Rate</li> <li>2.170</li> <li>\$3.40</li> <li>\$4.58</li> <li>\$5.38</li> <li>\$0.016</li> <li>\$1.98</li> </ul>	3.6% 3.7% 3.6% 3.7% 6.7% 3.7%
These units are closed t The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En SL-2	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding Trenching per foot Down-guy, Anchor and Protector Traffic Signal Service	tit times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91	7 Rate 2,170 \$3,40 \$4,58 \$5,38 \$0,016 \$1,98	3.6% 3.7% 3.6% 3.7% 6.7% 3.7%
These units are closed t The Proposed Non-Fue Proposed Non-Fuel En SL-2	o new FPL installations I Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light un rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor) Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh) <u>Other Charges</u> Wood Pole Concrete Pole Fiberglass Pole Underground conductors excluding Trenching per foot Down-guy, Anchor and Protector <u>Traffic Signal Service</u> Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	ait times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy 2.095 \$3.28 \$4.42 \$5.19 \$0.015 \$1.91 3.414	7 Rate 2.170 \$3.40 \$4.58 \$5.38 \$0.016 \$1.98 3.536	3.6% 3.6% 3.7% 6.7% 3.7% 3.6%

ł

l

ł

Docket No. 080001-Ei R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 12 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

•

l

	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF			
SCHEDUI F	CHADGE	CURRENI	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT
SCHEDULE	CIEROE	KALE	With GBRA	INCREASE
88T-1	Standby and Sumplemental Service	AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]
	Cutomar Charge	~ <b></b>		
	SST 1(D)	<b></b>		
	SST-1(D1)	\$127.50	\$132.07	3.6%
	331-1(DZ)	\$127.50	\$132.07	3.6%
	551-1(D3)	\$184.16	\$190.76	3.6%
SS1-1(1)	\$401.37	<b>\$4</b> 15.75	3.6%	
	Distribution Demand \$/kW Contract Standby Demand			
	SST-1(D1)	\$2.02	\$2,09	3.5%
	SST-1(D2)	\$2.37	\$2.45	3.4%
	SST-1(D3)	\$2.08	\$2.15	3 4%
	SST-1(T)	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Reservation Demand \$/kW			
	SST-1(D1)	\$0.75	\$0.78	4.08/
	\$\$T-1(D2)	\$0.75	30.78	4.0%
	SST-1(D3)	90.74 \$0.74	\$0.77	4.1%
	SST-1(T)	<b>30</b> .74	30,77	4.1%
		\$0.72	30.75	4.2%
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) \$/kW			
	SST-I(D1)	\$0.35	\$0.36	2.9%
	SST-I(D2)	\$0.34	\$0.35	2.9%
	SST-1(D3)	\$0.34	\$0.35	2.9%
	SST-1(T)	\$0.34	\$0.35	2.9%
	Non-Fuel Energy - On-Peak (d per kWh)			
	SST-I(D1)	0 706	0.731	2.69/
	SST-1(D2)	0.708	0.731	3.3%
	SST-1(D3)	0.724	0.750	3.070
	SST-1(T)	0.716	0.742	3.0%
		0.648	0.671	3.5%
	Non-Fuel Energy - Off-Peak (¢ per kWh)			
	SST-1(D1)	0.706	0.731	3.5%
	SST-1(D2)	0.724	0.750	3.6%
	SST-1(D3)	0.716	0 742	3.6%
	SST-1(T)	0.648	0.671	3.5%
1007 1	Intermediale Para discond Complement 1.0			
	Customer Charge			
	Distribution	\$590.25	\$611.40	2 60/
	Transmission	#J70,23	3011.40	3.0%

j

i

1

ł

ł
Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 13 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

ł

Ĭ

I

ł

1

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
CURRENT					
KATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
		AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
ISST-1	Interruptible Standby and Supplemental Service (continued)				
	Distribution Demand				
	Distribution	\$2.30	\$2.38	3,5%	
	Transmission	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Reservation Demand-Interruptible				
	Distribution	\$0.15	\$0.16	6.7%	
	Transmission	\$0.14	\$0.15	7.1%	
	Reservation Demand-Firm				
	Distribution	\$0.74	\$0.77	4.1%	
	Transmission	\$0.72	\$0.75	4.2%	
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) Firm Standby				
	Distribution	\$0.34	\$0.35	2.9%	
	Transmission	\$0.34	\$0.35	2.9%	
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) Interruptible Standby				
	Distribution	\$0.07	\$0.07	0.0%	
	Transmission	\$0.07	\$0.07	0.0%	
	Non-Fuel Energy - On-Peak (¢ per kWh)				
	Distribution	0,713	0.739	3.6%	
	Transmission	0.502	0.520	3.6%	
	Non-Fuel Energy - Off-Peak (¢ per kWh)				
	Distribution	0.713	0.739	3.6%	
	Transmission	0.502	0.520	3.6%	
WIES-1	Wireless Internet Electric Service				
•	Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh)	18.087	18.735	3.6%	
^{TR}	I ransformation Rider				
	(ner kW of Billing Demond)	( <b>f</b> () <b>1 m</b> )	(** ***	2 76/	
	(her was or punith Demand)	(\$0.37)	(\$0.38)	2.7%	
GSCU-I	GENERAL SERVICE CONSTANT USAGE				
	Customer Charge:	\$9.43	\$9.77	3.6%	
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges:				
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)*	2.445	2.533	3.6%	

1

ł

ł

1

ł

I

1

1 1

l

1

1 1

* The non-fuel energy charges will be assessed on the Constant Usage kWh

Docket No. 080001-Ei R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 14 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

ł

.

I

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED PATE	DEDCENT
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	PATE	With GRD A	TERCEN I INCREASE
		AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	((4) (1)) (3)
HLFT-1	HIGH LOAD FACTOR - TIME OF USE			_(((4)*(5))*(5)]
	Customer Charge:			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand less than 500 kW:	\$39,19	\$40.59	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand less than 2000 kW:	\$38,72	\$40.11	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand of 2000 kW or more:	\$160.55	\$166.30	3.6%
	Demand Charges			
	On peak Demond Charges			
	For sustanties with an Annual Maximum Domand 21, 400 kW.	£7.03	<b>6</b> 7.27	7.694
	For outcomers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 KW.	\$7.02	\$7.27	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kw:	\$7.01	\$7.26	3.6%
	, For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kw:	\$7.01	\$7.26	3.6%
	Maximum Demand Charge:			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	\$1.50	\$1.55	3.3%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	\$1.54	\$1.60	3.9%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	\$1.52	\$1.57	3.3%
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges: (# ner kWh)			
	Base Energy Charge (e ner kWh):			
	On-Peak Period			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW-	1 599	1 645	2 60/
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW	0.400	0.517	3.0%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	0.499	0.517	3,0%
	Off-Peak Period	0.499	0.517	3.0%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	0.499	0.517	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	0.499	0.517	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	0.499	0.517	3.6%
\$DTD				
	Customer Charge:			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand less than 500 kW			
	Otherwise applicable Rate Schedule GSD-1	\$33,05	\$34 23	3.6%
	Otherwise applicable Rate Schedule GSDT-1	\$39.19	\$40.59	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand less than 2000 kW:	\$38,72	\$40.11	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand of 2000 kW or more:	\$160.55	\$166,30	3.6%
	Demand and Energy Charges during lune through Sentember (SEASONAL)			
	Demand Charges:			
	Seasonal On-Peak Demand Charge per kW of Seasonal On-Peak Demand:			
		<b>47</b>		
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	\$5.69	\$5.89	3.5%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	\$6.28	\$6.50	3.5%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	\$6.28	\$6,50	3.5%

I

ł

Docket No. 080001-EJ R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-9, Page 15 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

(I) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF	CURPENT	PROPOSED PATE	PERCENT
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GRD &	
•••		AS OF 1/1/09	WCEC 1	[((4), (3))/(3)]
SDTR	SEASONAL DEMAND - TIME OF USE RIDER (continued)			
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges (¢ per kWh);			
	Base Seasonal Off-Peak kWh			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	1.060	1.098	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	0.839	0.869	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	0.836	0,866	3.6%
	Base Seasonal On-Peak kWh			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	4.012	4.156	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	3.071	3.181	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	3.063	3.173	3.6%
	OPTION A: Non-Seasonal Standard Rate			
	Demand Charges:			
	Non-Seasonal Demand Charge per kW of Non-Seasonal Maximum Demand:			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	\$4,79	\$4 96	3.5%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	\$5.70	\$5,90	3.5%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	\$5.70	\$5.90	3.5%
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges: (¢ per Non-Seasonal kWh)			
	Non-Seasonal Energy Charge:			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	1.390	1.440	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	1.1	1.139	3.5%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	I.0 <b>97</b>	1.136	3.6%
	OR			
	OPTION B: Non-Seasonal Time of Use Rate			
	Demand Charges per kW of Non-Seasonal Demand occurring during the Non-Seasona	I On-Peak period:		
	Non-Seasonal Demand Charge :			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	\$4.79	\$4.96	3.5%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	\$5.70	\$5,90	3.5%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	\$5.70	\$5.90	3.5%
	OPTION B: Non-Seasonal Time of Use Rate			
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges: (¢ per kWh)			
	Non-Seasonal On-Peak kWh			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	3.244	3.360	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	2.179	2.257	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	2.288	2.370	3.6%
	Non-Seasonal Off-Peak kWh			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	0,892	0.924	3,6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	0.661	0.685	3.6%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW;	0.619	0.641	3.6%

Ì

1

i i

## Docket No 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-10, Page 1 of 1 Separation Of West County Unit 2 Costs

	(A)	(B)	(A) x (B)
	System (\$million)	Jurisdictional Factor	(\$million)
Capital Revenue Requirement	\$115.10	98.451%	113.32
Fixed O&M and Capital Replacement	12.70	98.439%	12.50
Variable O&M	1.30	98.439%	1.28
Total Revenue Requirement	\$129.10	98.450%	\$127.10

## Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-11, Page 1 of 1 Retail Base Revenues For The First 12 Months Of West County Unit 2's Commercial Operation

----

}

ł

ł

1

I

Ł

	2009			2010				
Customer Class	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	
Residential	\$189,157,756	\$173,407,095	\$190,177,516	\$160,754,299	\$163,003,238	\$163,845,524	\$188,258,061	
Commercial	\$124,728,886	\$118,664,669	\$114,333,067	\$113,138,102	\$117,823,773	\$116,736,045	\$124,712,365	
Industrial	\$6,225,276	\$6,123,589	\$6,104,776	\$6,192,035	\$6,239,371	\$6,413,692	\$6,446,427	
Street & Highway	\$3,873,806	\$3,879,231	\$3,885,198	\$3,891,302	\$3,897,555	\$3,903,520	\$3,908,157	
Other	\$103,643	\$103,401	\$103,159	\$102,919	\$102,679	\$102,439	\$102,200	
Railroads & Railways	\$226,224	\$224,135	\$224,801	\$215,576	\$223,347	\$223,595	\$219,890	
Total Billed Retail Base Revenue	\$324,315,590	\$302,402,119	\$314,828,517	\$284,294,233	\$291,289,963	\$291,224,815	\$323,647,100	

1

Ĩ

1

<u>Customer Class</u>	2010					
	<u>Ju</u> n	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	12 Month Ending
Residential	\$221,189,318	\$244,603,163	\$248,412,611	\$255,184,475	\$211,181,327	\$2,409,174,383
Commercial	\$131,324,065	\$135,402,754	\$133,395,897	\$139,907,540	\$122,950,435	\$1,493,117,598
Industrial	\$6,344,917	\$6,399,537	\$6,432,412	\$6,609,756	\$6,502,380	\$76,034,167
Street & Highway	\$3,912,364	\$3,916,471	\$3,920,704	\$3,924,908	\$3,929,136	\$46,842,352
Other	\$101,961	\$101,724	\$101,486	\$101,249	\$101,013	\$1,227,873
Railroads & Railways	\$225,674	\$230,629	\$227,920	\$233,549	\$230,876	\$2,706,215
Total Billed Retail Base Revenue	\$363,098,299	\$390,654,276	\$392,491,031	\$405,961,477	\$344,895,167	\$4,029,102,588

ł

1

I

1

•

.

1

1

1

	<u>12 Month Ending</u>
Total Billed Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$4,029,102,588
Unbilled Retail Base Revenues	\$1,198,239
Total Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$4,030,300,827

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-12, Page 1 of 1 GBRA FACTOR WCEC #2

Jurisdictional Annualized Revenue Requirement	(\$million) \$127.10	source Doc. No. RBD-9 as filed
Total Retail Base Revenues From the Sales of Electricity	\$4,030.30	Doc. No. RBD-10 as filed
REVISED GBRA FACTOR [(A) / (B)]	3.154%	

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-13, Page 1 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

.

1

1

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
CURRENT		(5)	(4)	(5)	
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	<b>BW 05 5 1</b>		
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CHILLOE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
BEI	b. (1. (1. 0. )	WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	[{((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
K3-1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Customer Charge/Minimum	\$5.52	\$5.60	2 10/	
			45.07	2.178	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	First 1,000 kWh	3 520	3 631	a 204	
	All additional kWh	1 599	5.031	3.2%	
		4.268	4.733	3.2%	
RST-1	Residential Service - Time of Use				
		\$8.76	\$9.04	3.2%	
	with Lump-sum metering payment	\$5.52	\$5,69	3.1%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)			2	
	On-Peak	7.385	7618	2 20/	
	Off-Peak	2 267	7.010	3.270	
		2.201	2.336	3.1%	
	Lump-sum payment for time of use metering cost	\$155.54	£160.46		
		0100.24	\$100.45	3.2%	
GS-1	General Service - Non Demand (0-20 kW)				
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Customer Charge/Minimum				
	Meterad				
	Unmetered	\$8.80	\$9.08	3.2%	
	Olimetelen	\$5.86	\$6.04	3 1%	
	Beer Francisco (d. 1995)				
	Dase Energy Charge (¢ per KWh)	4.061	4,189	3 2%	
	General Service - Non Demand - Time of Use (0-20 kW)				
	Customer Charge/Minimum	\$12.04	6 12 (2		
	with Lump-sum metering payment	¢12.04	\$12.42	3.2%	
		\$0.00	\$9.08	3.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	On-Peak	7 000			
	Off-Peak	7.939	8,189	3.1%	
		2.289	2.361	3.1%	
	Lumn-sum payment for time of use metering cost				
	Damp share payment for time of use metering cost	\$155.54	\$160.45	3.2%	
GSD 1	Concert Service Dense 4/21 (02110)				
	General Service Demand (21-499 kW)				
	Customer Charge	\$34,23	\$35 31	3 794	
			16.000	J.Z /0	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)				
	Demand Charge - All kW (\$/kW)	\$5 27	\$ 2 AA	2 08/	
		₩-> , 2 . 7	\$3.44	3.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1 44	1 405	2.10/	
		4.17	1.463	5.1%	
	Minimum	\$144.90	£140.57		
		WITT. /V	\$149,55	3.2%	

I.

ł

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 2 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

-F

1

1

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	*
RATE	TYPE OF				
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	DATE	TRUPUSED KATE	PERCENT	
		WITH WORD LOOP A		INCREASE	
GSDT-1	General Service Demand - Time of Use (21.499 kW)	WITH WCEC I OBKA	WITH WEEL 2 GBRA	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
	Customer Charge	\$40.50	\$ 41 av	2.78/	
	with Lump-sum metering payment	\$94.35 \$24.35	541.87	3.2%	
		\$34.23	\$33.31	3.2%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$5.27	\$5.44	3.2%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	On-Peak	3 340	2.444	3.38/	
	Off-Peak	0.024	3.400	3.2%	
		0.924	0.953	3.1%	
	Lump-sum payment for time of use metering cost	\$378.57	\$390.51	3.2%	
GSLD-1	General Service Large Demand (500-1999 kW)				
		# 46.14			
		\$40.11	\$41.37	3.1%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
				2.170	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.139	1.175	3.2%	
	Mimimum	\$3.095.11	\$3 101 37	2 10/	
		\$3,075.11	\$3,171.37	3.1%	
GSLDT-1	General Service Large Demand - Time of Use (500-1999 kW)				
	Customer Charge	\$40.11	\$41.37	3.1%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$ 4.30	2.10/	
		.90.11	\$0.30	3.1%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	On-Peak	2.257	2.328	3.1%	
	Off-Peak	0.685	0.707	3.2%	
	Mimimum	\$3.095.11	62 101 27	2.10/	
		ψυ ₃ 073.11	¢3,1¥1.37	3.170	
CS-I	Curtailable Service (500-1999 kW)				
	Customer Charge	\$107.61	\$111.00	3.2%	
	Domand Charge (Parto				
	Demand Charge (5/KW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1 14		2.04/	
		1.14	1.176	3.2%	
	Monthly Credit (\$ per kW)	(\$1,67)	(\$1.72)	3.0%	
		(+)	(41.72)	0.070	

ŧ

1

I

.

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-13, Page 3 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes 1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
		WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
CS-1	Curtailable Service (500-1999 kW) (continued)				
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand				
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.59	\$3.70	3.1%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.06	\$1.09	2.8%	
	Minimum	\$3,162.61	\$3,261.00	3.1%	
CST-1	Curtailable Service -Time of Use (500-1999 kW)				
	Customer Charge	\$107.61	\$111.00	3.2%	
	Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
	On-Peak	2.258	2.329	3.1%	
	Off-Peak	0.685	0.707	3.2%	
	Monthly Credit (per kW)	(\$1.67)	(\$1.72)	3.0%	
	Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand				
	Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%	
	Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.59	\$3.70	3.1%	
	Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.06	\$1.09	2.8%	
	Minimum	\$3,162.61	\$3,261.00	3.1%	
GSLD-2	General Service Large Demand (2000 kW +) Customer Charge	\$166.30	\$171.54	3.2%	
	Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.136	1.172	3.2%	
	Minimum	\$12,386.30	\$12,771.54	3.1%	

FILLING TO THE FILL F

۱.

J t

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 4 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes 1

	(1)					
~	ען) דייגבעסווי	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
L	DATE					
97	KAIE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
SU	JULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
			WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	[((4) • (3)) / (3)]	
G	SLDT-2	General Service Large Demand - Time of Use (2000 kW +)			· ····	
		Customer Charge	\$166.30	\$171.54	3.2%	
		Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
		Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
		Un-Feak	2.370	2.445	3.2%	
		Ult-Peak	0.641	0.661	3.1%	
		Minimum				
		Munum	\$12,386.30	\$12,771.54	3.1%	
	CS-2	Curtailable Service (2000 FW +)				
			A1// 30	A		
		Costonia Charge	\$166.30	\$171,54	3.2%	
		Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3 104	
				ψ0,39	2,170	
		Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	1.136	1.172	3.2%	
		Model and the constant				
		Monthly Credit (per kw)	(\$1.67)	(\$1.72)	3.0%	
		Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand				
		Rebilling for last 36 months (oer kW)	\$1.67	Ft 77	2.04/	
		Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$1.07	\$1.72 \$3.70	3.0%	
		Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.04	\$3.70 \$1.00	3.1% 3.80/	
			\$1.00	\$1.09	2.870	
		Minimum	\$12,386.30	\$12.771.54	3.1%	
		Curtailable Service - Time of Use (2000 kW +)				
		Customer Charge	\$166.30	\$171.54	3.2%	
		Demand Charge - On-Deal (\$4.37)				
		Some Charge - On't Car (J/KW)	30.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
		Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
		On-Peak	2 374	2 440	3 7%	
		Off-Peak	0.641	0.661	3 1%	
			0.041	0.001	5.170	
		Monthly Credit (per kW)	(\$1.67)	(\$1.72)	3.0%	
		Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtaliment Demand				
		Recording for last 50 months (per KW)	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%	
		Forally Charge-current month (per KW)	\$3.59	\$3.70	3.1%	
		carry remanation renaity charge (per KW)	\$1.06	\$1.09	2.8%	
		Minimum	\$13 386 20	£10 771 64	2.14/	
			\$12,380.3V	\$12,771.54	5.1%	

ł

E

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 5 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

.

	(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	· · · · · ·
	RATE SCHEDULE	TYPE OF CHARGE	CURRENT RATE WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	PROPOSED RATE With GBRA WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	PERCENT INCREASE I((4)-(1))/(1)	
	GSLD-3	General Service Large Demand (2000 kW +)				
		Customer Charge	\$391.29	\$403,63	3.2%	
		Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
		Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	0.59	0.609	3.2%	
	GSLDT-3	General Service Large Demand - Time of Use (2000 kW +)				
		Customer Charge	\$391.29	\$403.63	3.2%	
		Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
		Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
		On-Peak	0 657	0.678	3 704	
		Off-Peak	0,526	0.543	3.2%	
				0.010	5.274	
	CS-3	Curtailable Service (2000 kW +)				
		Customer Charge	\$391.29	\$403.63	3 2%	
				+	2.270	
		Demand Charge (S/kW)	\$6.11	\$6.30	3.1%	
		Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	0.590	0.609	3.2%	
		Monthly Credit (per kW)	(\$1.67)	(\$1.72)	3.0%	
		Charges for Non-Compliance of Curteil- and Demond		(******,		
		Rebilling for last 16 months (nor LW)				
		Reputing for last 30 months (per kw)	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%	
		Forthy Charge-Current month (per KW)	\$3.59	\$3.70	3.1%	
-		Early reminiation renaity charge (per kw)	\$1,06	\$1.09	2.8%	
	CST-3	Curtailable Service -Time of Use (2000 kW +)				
		Customer Charge	\$391.29	\$403.63	3.2%	
		Demand Charge - On-Peak (\$/kW)	\$6.11	\$6 .30	3.1%	
		Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)				
		On-Peak	0.667	0.400	•	
		Off-Peak	0.037	0.678	3.2%	
			0.526	0.543	3.2%	
		Monthly Credit (per kW)	(\$1.67)	(\$1.72)	3.0%	
		Charges for Non-Compliance of Curtailment Demand				
		Rebilling for last 36 months (per kW)	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%	
		Penalty Charge-current month (per kW)	\$3.59	\$1,72 \$1,70	3.1%	
		Early Termination Penalty charge (per kW)	\$1.06	\$1.09	2.8%	
		carly remmation remaily charge (ber kw)	\$1.06	\$1.09	2.8%	

ł

í

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-13, Page 6 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

J

ł

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED PATE	DEDCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	PATE	With CBP A	DIODEASE	
		WITH WORD 1 ODD A		INCREASE	
08.2	Parate Field Caracity	WITH WORL I GBRA	WITH WEEL 2 GBRA	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
03-2					
	Customer Charge/Minimum	\$8.80	\$9.08	3.2%	
	Rase Energy Charge (# per kWh)	6 0 4 3	(222	2.24/	
	base sherey charge (p per kwir)	0.042	0.233	3.2%	
MET	Metropolitan Transit Service				
		¢010.20	£316.05	2 DB/	
	Customer Charge	\$210.32	\$210.95	3.2%	
	Base Demand Charge (\$/kW)	\$10.22	\$10.54	3.1%	
		- -		2.170	
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	0.462	0.477	3.2%	
CDR	Commercial/Industrial Demand Reduction Rider Monthly Administrative Adder				
	GSD-1	\$552.71	\$570.14	3.2%	
	GSDT-1	\$546.35	\$563.58	3.2%	
	GSLD-1, GSLDT-1	\$546.83	\$564.07	3.2%	
	GSLD-2, GSLDT-2	\$420.64	\$433.91	3.2%	
	GSLD-3, GSLDT-3	\$2,739.08	\$2,825,46	3.2%	
		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,	0.270	
CILC-1	Commercial/Industrial Load Control Program				
	Customer Charge				
	(G) 200-499kW	\$586.94	\$605.45	3.2%	
	(D) above 500kW	\$586.94	\$605.45	3.2%	
	(T) transmission	\$3,130.37	\$3,229.09	3.2%	
	Base Demand Charge (\$/kW)				
	per kW of Max Demand All kW:				
	(G) 200-499kW	\$7 37	\$7.20	2 004	
	per kW of Max Demand:	ψL.32,	32.37	3.070	
	(D) above 500kW	\$7.79	t7 44	2 /0/	
	(T) transmission	Mon-	J2.40 Nono	J.476	
	and hill a fill and Control On Deale	TAOUC	None	D/A	
	per kw of Load Control Un-Peak;				
	(U) 200-499KW	\$1.10	\$1.13	2.7%	
	per kw of Load Control Un-Peak:				
	(D) above SUUkW	\$1.13	\$1.17	3.5%	
	(1) transmission	\$1.12	\$1.16	3.6%	
	per kW of Firm On-Peak Demand All kW:				
	(G) 200-499kW	\$4.69	54 84	3 2%	
	Per kW of Firm On-Peak Demand	- 1107	ψ 1.01	3.270	
	(D) above 500kW	\$5 73	\$ 5.91	3 1%	
	(T) transmission	\$6.11	CK 20	3 194	
		w0.11	DO-70	2.170	

1

ł

ł

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 7 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	CURRENT				
	KATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT
	SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE
·			WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]
	CILC-1	Commercial/Industrial Load Control Program (continued)	_		
		Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)			
		On-Peak			
		(G) 200-499kW	1.014	1.046	3.2%
		(D) above 500kW	0.705	0.727	3.1%
		(T) transmission	0.520	0.536	3.1%
		Off-Peak			
		(G) 200-499kW	1.014	1.046	3.2%
		(D) above 500kW	0.705	0.727	3.1%
		(T) transmission	0.520	0.536	3.1%
		Charges for FPL-Owned Units			
		Fixture			
		Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$3.79	\$3.91	3.2%
		Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$3.86	\$3,98	3.1%
		Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$3.98	\$4.11	3.3%
		Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$6.03	\$6.22	3.2%
		Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$6.10	\$6.29	3.1%
		* Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts	\$4.14	\$4.27	3.1%
		 Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts 	\$6.41	\$6.61	3.1%
		* Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$9.65	\$9.95	3.1%
		* Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts	\$3.00	\$3.09	3.0%
		 Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts 	\$3.03	\$3.13	3.3%
		 Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts 	\$5.07	\$5.23	3.2%
		 Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts 	\$5.05	\$5.21	3.2%
		 Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts 	\$7.14	\$ 7.37	3.2%
		 Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts 	\$7.31	\$7.54	3.1%
		Maintenance			
		Sedium Maner 5 200 in 70 mette	6 1 1		
		Sodium Venor 9,500 lu 100 vente	\$1.45	\$1.50	3.4%
		Sodium Vapor 16.000 ht 150 watts	\$1.46	\$1.51	3.4%
		Sodium Vapor 22 000 lu 200 wate	51.49	\$1.54	3.4%
		Sodium Vapor 50 000 Ju 200 watts	\$1.92	\$1.98	3.1%
		* Sodium Vanot 12 800 lu 150 unite	\$1.89	\$1.95	3,2%
		* Sodium Vapor 27 500 (u 150 watte	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%
		* Sodium Vapor 140.000 In 1.000 meter	\$2.03	\$2.09	3.0%
		* Margury Mapor 6 000 ht 140 watts	\$3.71	\$3.83	3.2%
		* Marcuny Vapor 9,600 In 175 watte	\$1.32	\$1.36	3.0%
		* Marcumy Vapor 11 500 in 250 matte	\$1.32	\$1.36	3.0%
		Marculury Vapor 11,000 IU 200 Walls	\$1.90	\$1.96	3.2%
		Maroury Vapor 20,500 In 400 Wans	\$1.86	\$1.92	3.2%
		Mercury vapor 59,500 Iu /00 waits	\$3.16	\$3.26	3.2%

1

1

ł

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 8 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

ł

Ì

ł

ł

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PFRCENT
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE
		WITH WCEC I GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	[((4) - (3))/(3)]
	* Mercury Vapor 60.000 lu 1.000 watts	\$3.08	\$3.18	3.7%
SL-1	Street Lighting (continued)	40.00	42.10	2.270
~~~~~~~~~~				
	Sodium Vapor 5.800 lu 70 watts	\$0.63	\$0.65	3.2%
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	50.89	\$0.92	3.4%
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.30	\$1.34	3.1%
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.91	\$1.97	3 1%
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$3.64	\$3.75	3.0%
	* Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts	\$1.30	\$1.34	3.1%
	* Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts	\$2.51	\$2.59	3.2%
	* Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$8.91	\$9.19	3.1%
	* Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts	\$1.34	\$1.39	3.7%
	* Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.25	\$2,32	3.1%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 Iu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$3.47	\$3.58	3.2%
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts</li> </ul>	\$5.89	\$6,08	3.2%
	* Mercury Vapor 60,000 lu 1,000 watts	\$8.34	\$8.60	3.1%
	Total Charge-Fixtures, Maintenance & Energy			
	* Incandescent 1.000 lu 103 watts	\$7 38	\$7.61	3 1%
	* Incandescent 2,500 lu 202 watts	\$7.63	\$7.87	3 1%
	* Incandescent 4,000 lu 327 watts	\$8,94	59.22	3.1%
	* Incandescent 6,000 lu 448 watts	\$9,96	\$10.27	3 1%
	* Incandescent 10.000 lu 690 watts	\$11.99	\$10.27	3.7%
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4.1.77	312.57	J.2.70

* These units are closed to new FPL installations

ł

t

1

I

1

I

1

1

ł

Ĩ

1

ŧ

* The Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the street light unit times the Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Rate Proposed Non-Fuel Energy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor)

Charge for Customer-Owned Units			
Relamping and Energy			
Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$1.37	\$1.41	2.9%
Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1.64	\$1.69	3.0%
Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$2.05	\$2.11	2.9%
Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$2.66	\$2.74	3.0%
Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$4,40	\$4.54	3,2%
<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 12,800 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.30	\$2.37	3.0%
<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts</li> </ul>	\$3.30	\$3.40	3,0%
<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 140,000 lu 1,000 watts</li> </ul>	\$10.66	\$11.00	3.2%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.08	\$2.15	3.4%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.41	\$2.49	3.3%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 11,500 lu 250 watts</li> </ul>	\$3.05	\$3,15	3.3%
<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$4.24	\$4.37	3.1%

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 9 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes 1

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED PATE	BEDCENT
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBP A	DICERACE
		WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	WITH WCFC 2 GBP A	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 39,500 lu 700 watts</li> </ul>	17.56	MITTI WELE 2 ODICA	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]
SL-I	Street Lighting (continued)	\$7.30	\$7.80	3.2%
	* Mercury Vapor 60,000 [u 1.000 watts		<b>6</b> 0 5 <b>0</b>	
	<ul> <li>Incandescent 1,000 lu 103 watts</li> </ul>	\$7.37 \$2.63	39.69	3.2%
	* Incandescent 2,500 lu 202 watts	\$2.62	\$2.70	3.1%
	* Incandescent 4,000 lu 327 watts	33.38 \$4.40	\$3.49	3.3%
	* Incandescent 6,000 lu 448 watts	34.40 \$5.21	54.54	3.2%
	* Incandescent 10,000 lu 690 watts	15.56	\$5.48	3.2%
	* Fluorescent 19,800 Ju 300 watts	37.3	\$7.54	3.1%
	* Fluorescent 39.600 lu 700 watts	\$3,62 \$6,00	\$3.73	3.0%
	,	30.98	\$7.20	3.2%
	Energy Only ⁺			
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	<b>4</b> 0.72	<b>*</b> *	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	20.63	\$0,65	3.2%
	Sodium Vapor 16.000 lu 150 watts	\$0.89	\$0.92	3.4%
	Sodium Vapor 22.000 lu 200 watts	\$1.30	\$1.34	3.1%
	Sodium Vapor 50.000 lu 400 watts	\$1.91	\$1.97	3.1%
	* Sodium Vapor 12.800 lu 150 watts	\$3.64	\$3.75	3.0%
	* Sodium Vapor 27,500 lu 250 watts	\$1.30	\$1.34	3.1%
	* Sodium Vapor 140 000 lu 1 000 watts	\$2.51	\$2.59	3.2%
	* Mercury Vapor 6.000 lu 140 watts	\$8.91	59.19	3.1%
	* Mercury Vapor 8 600 In 175 watts	\$1.34	\$1.39	3.7%
	* Mercury Vapor 11 500 la 250 watts	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%
	* Mercury Vapor 21 500 lu 400 watts	\$2.25	\$2.32	3.1%
	* Mercury Vapor 39 500 Ju 700 watte	\$3.47	\$3.58	3.2%
	* Mercury Vapor 60 000 lu 1 000 watte	\$5.89	\$6.08	3.2%
	* Incandescent 1 000 bi 103 watte	\$8.34	\$8.60	3.1%
	<ul> <li>Incandescent 2 500 lu 202 watta</li> </ul>	\$0.78	\$0.80	2.6%
	* Incandescent 4 000 lu 202 watts	\$1.54	\$1.59	3.2%
	<ul> <li>Incandescent 6,000 lu 448 watta</li> </ul>	\$2.51	\$2.59	3.2%
	* Incandescent 10 000 lu 690 units	\$3.42	\$3.53	3.2%
	* Fluorescent 19,000 In 300 watts	\$5.28	<b>\$</b> 5.45	3.2%
	* Eluorecont 39.600 lu 700 matte	\$2.64	\$2.72	3.0%
	Thorescent 59,000 fu 700 watts	\$5.73	\$5.91	3.1%
	Non-Fuel Energy (¢ ner kWh)	B 4 4 4		
		2.167	2.235	3.1%
	Other Charges			
	Wood Pole	¢1 =1	**	
	Concrete Pole	32.7] 11.70	\$2.80	3.3%
	Fiberglass Pole	<b>3</b> 3.73	\$3.85	3.2%
	Underground conductors not under	\$4.41	\$4.55	3.2%
	paving (é per foot)			
	Underground conductors under paving	2.04	2.10	2.9%
	(¢ per foot)			
		4.98	5.14	3.2%

ł

l

				F Su	Docket No. 080001-EI L Deaton, Exhibit No Document No. RBD-13, Page 10 of 15 mmary of Tariff Changes
	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
RATE	TYPE OF				
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	CURRENI	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
001-44 022		WITH WCEC LGBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GRR &	INCREASE	
SL-1	Street Lighting (continued)		WITH WOLC 2 OBKA	((4) - (3))/(3)]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
* These units are closed to	new FPL installations				
* The Proposed Non-Fuel I Proposed Non-Fuel Ener,	Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the street light unit times t gy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor)	he Proposed Non-Fuel Energy I	Rate		
PL-1	Premium Lighting				
	Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh)	2.167	2.235	3.1%	
0L-1	Outdoor Lighting <u>Charges for FPL-Owned Units</u> Fixture Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$4.34	\$4.48	3 2%	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$4.45	\$4 59	3.1%	
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$4.60	\$4.75	3.3%	
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$6.70	\$6.91	3.1%	
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$7.13	\$7.35	3.1%	
	<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 12,000 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$4.92	\$5.08	3.3%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$3,34	\$3.45	3.3%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$3.36	\$3.47	3.3%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$5.51	\$5.68	3.1%	
	Maintenance				
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	<b>\$</b> 1.45	\$1.50	3 4%	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1.46	\$1.50 \$1.51	3 4%	
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.49	\$1.54	3.4%	
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.92	\$1.98	3.1%	
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$1.89	\$1.95	3.2%	
	<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 12,000 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.32	\$1.36	3.0%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.32	\$1.36	3.0%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.86	\$1.92	3.2%	
	Energy Non-Fuel*				
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$0.63	\$0.65	3.2%	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 fu 100 watts	\$0.89	\$0.92	3.4%	
	Sodium Vapor 10,000 lu 100 Watts	\$1.30	\$1.34	3.1%	
	Sodium Vapor 50 000 lu 200 Watts	\$1.91	\$1.97	3.1%	
	Socium Vance 12,000 in 150 mm	\$3.65	\$3.76	3.0%	
	* Marcuss Vanor 6 000 lu 140 watte	\$1.30	\$1.34	3.1%	
	Mercury Vapor 9 600 In 175 mete	\$1.35	\$1.39	3.0%	
	* Mercury Vapor 21 500 III (7.5 Walls	\$1.67	\$1.72	3.0%	
	trace only tapor 21,000 to 400 watts	\$3.47	\$3,58	3.2%	

Docket No. 080001-EI R. Deaton, Exhibit No. Document No. RBD-13, Page 11 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

ł

ł

1

1

(1)	(2)	(3)	(1)		<u> </u>
CURRENT		(5)	(4)	(5)	
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	DEDCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	NCDEASE	
		WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	INCREASE	
OL-1	Outdoor Lighting (continued)			[[((4) - (3))7 (3)]	
	Charges for Customer Owned Units	-			
	Total Charge-Relamping & Energy				
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$1.37	\$1.41	2 094	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$1.65	\$1.41	2.270	
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$2.05	\$2.11	2.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$2.65	\$2.11	3.0%	
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$4,40	\$4.54	3 7%	
	<ul> <li>* Sodium Vapor 12,000 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$2,30	\$2.37	3.0%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.08	\$2.57	3.4%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$2.41	\$2.15	2.470	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$4.24	\$4.37	3.1%	
			+	5.176	
	Energy Only ⁺				
	Sodium Vapor 5,800 lu 70 watts	\$0.63	\$0.65	2 79/	
	Sodium Vapor 9,500 lu 100 watts	\$0.89	\$0.00	3.2%	
	Sodium Vapor 16,000 lu 150 watts	\$1.30	\$0.92 €1.34	3,4%	
	Sodium Vapor 22,000 lu 200 watts	\$1.91	\$1.54 \$1.07	3.1%	
	Sodium Vapor 50,000 lu 400 watts	\$3.65	#1.27 \$2.74	3.1%	
	<ul> <li>Sodium Vapor 12,000 lu 150 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.30	\$3.70 \$1.24	3.0%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 6,000 lu 140 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.35	51.34	J.1%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 8,600 lu 175 watts</li> </ul>	\$1.67	\$1.37 \$1.77	3.0%	
	<ul> <li>Mercury Vapor 21,500 lu 400 watts</li> </ul>	\$3.47	\$1.72 \$3.50	3.0%	
<b>.</b>		+++++	426	3.2%	
hese units are closed to	new FPL installations				
The Proposed Non-Fuel	Energy Charges were calculated based on the monthly kWh usage of the outdoor light unit tim	tes the Proposed Non-Fuei Energy	Rate		
Proposed Non-Fuel Ene	rgy Rate = Current Non-Fuel Rate * (1 + GBRA Factor)	1			
	Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh)	2.170	2.238	3.1%	
	Other Charges				
	Wood Pole	\$3.40	¢7 21	3.30/	
	Concrete Pole	\$4 58	\$3.31 \$4.77	3.2%	
	Fiberglass Pole	\$\$ 78	\$4.72 te ce	J_1%	
	Underground conductors excluding	C C	\$0.55	5.2%	
	Trenching per foot	\$0.016	CA 017	6.384	
	Down-guy, Anchor and Protector	\$1.98	a0.017	0.3%	
		Ψ1.70	\$2.04	3.U%	
SL-2	Traffic Signal Service				
	Base Energy Charge (¢ per kWh)	3 536	2 440	2 364	
	Minimum charge at each point	\$7.79	3.048	3.2%	

l I

\$2.79

\$2.88

3.2%

ł

t

Ĩ

I

- E

1

1

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 12 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes ľ

(1) ריוא⊐בוספוזי)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF			
SCHEDULE		CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT
JOILDODD	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE
		WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]
	Standby and Supplemental Service			
	Customer Charge			
	SST-1(D1)	\$132.07	\$136.23	3.1%
	SST-1(D2)	\$132.07	\$136.23	31%
	SST-1(D3)	\$190.76	\$196.78	3.7%
	SST-1(T)	\$415.75	\$428.86	3.2%
				<b>D</b> . <b>D</b> , <b>T</b>
	Distribution Demand \$/kw Contract Standby Demand			
	SST-I(DI)	\$2.09	\$2.16	3.3%
	SST-1(D2)	\$2.45	\$2.53	3.3%
	SST-1(D3)	\$2.15	\$2.22	3.3%
	SST-1(T)	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Reservation Demand \$/kW			
	SST-1(D1)			
	\$\$T_1(D7)	\$0,78	\$0.80	2.6%
		\$0.77	\$0.79	2.6%
	551-1(D3)	\$0.77	\$0.79	2.6%
	551-1(1)	\$0,75	\$0.77	2.7%
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) \$/kW			
	SST-I(D1)			
	SST-1(D2)	\$0.36	\$0.37	2.8%
	SST_1(D3)	\$0.35	\$0.36	2.9%
	SST-1(D)	\$0.35	\$0.36	2.9%
	351-3(1)	\$0.35	\$0.36	2.9%
	Non-Fuel Energy - On-Peak (¢ per kWh)			
	SST-1(D1)	0.711	0.764	
	SST-1(D2)	0.751	0.754	3.1%
	SST-1(D3)	0.730	0.774	3.2%
	SST-I(T)	0.742	0.765	3.1%
		0.671	0.692	3.1%
	Non-Fuel Energy - Off-Peak (¢ per kWh)			
	SST-1(D1)	0 731	0 754	3 19/
	SST-1(D2)	0.750	0.754	3.1%
	SST-1(D3)	0.750	0.774	3.2%
	SST-1(T)	0.742	0.765	3.1%
		0.071	0.692	3.1%
ISST-1	Interruptible Standby and Supplemental Service			
	Customer Charge			
	Distribution	\$611.40	\$630.68	3.2%
	l ransmission	\$3,154.84	\$3,254,33	3 7%

ITIEITE ET TETT

ţ

I

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 13 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

1

ł

1

(1 CIPR	(2) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
CURF					
KA	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
SCHEI	DULE CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
- <u></u>		WITH WCEC 1 GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
ISST	Interruptible Standby and Supplemental Service (continued)				
	Distribution Demand				
	Distribution	\$7.38	\$7.46	3 404	
	Transmission	32.56 N/A	\$2.40 bt/A	J.470 DT/A	
		ivA	N/A	IVA	
	Reservation Demand-Interruptible				
	Distribution	\$0.16	\$0.17	6.3%	
	Transmission	\$0.15	\$0.15	0.0%	
			ψ0.15	0.076	
	Reservation Demand-Firm				
	Distribution	\$0.77	\$0.79	2 6%	
	Transmission	\$0.75	\$0.77	2.0%	
		<b>\$6.15</b>	\$0.77	2.770	
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) Firm Standby				
	Distribution	\$0.35	\$0.26	2.00/	
	Transmission	\$0.35	\$0.30 \$0.26	2.770	
		30.33	\$U.30	2.9%	
	Daily Demand (On-Peak) Interruptible Standby				
	Distribution	\$0.07	\$0.07	0.00/	
	Transmission	50.07	\$0.07	0.0%	
		30.07	30.07	0.0%	
	Non-Fuel Energy - On-Peak (¢ per kWh)				
	Distribution	0 739	0 76 2	2 10/	
	Transmission	0.539	0.762	3.176	
	Non-Fuel Energy - Off-Peak (& per kWh)	0.520	0.536	J. 1%	
	Distribution	0.730	6		
	Transmission	0.739	0.762	3,1%	
	Tallymson	0.520	0.536	3.1%	
WIEG	S.I. Window Internet Electric Courtes				
	Non-Fuel Energy (¢ per kWh)	18.735	19.326	3.2%	
	<b>-</b>				
	Transformation Rider				
	Transformer Credit				
	(per kW of Billing Demand)	(\$0.38)	(\$0.39)	2.6%	
			())))		
GSCU-1	GENERAL SERVICE CONSTANT USAGE				
	Customer Charge:	\$9.77	\$10.00	2 204	
	-	<i>\$7.11</i>	\$10.0a	3.470	
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges:				
	Base Energy Charge (d per kWh)*	2 522	a		
		2.333	2.613	3.2%	

* The non-fuel energy charges will be assessed on the Constant Usage kWh

1

ł

ł

ł

t

1

i 1

Ì

1

1

1

)

ļ

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 14 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes

1

l

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
CURRENT					
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT	PROPOSED RATE	PERCENT	
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	RATE	With GBRA	INCREASE	
		WITH WCEC I GBRA	WITH WCEC 2 GBRA	[(((4) - (3)) / (3)]	
HLFT-1	HIGH LOAD FACTOR - TIME OF USE				
	Customer Charge:				
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand less than 500 kW:	\$40.59	\$41.87	3.2%	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand less than 2000 kW;	\$40.11	\$41.37	3.1%	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand of 2000 kW or more:	\$166.30	\$171.54	3.2%	
	Demand Charges:				
	On-peak Demand Charge:				
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW;	\$7.2 <b>7</b>	\$7.50	3.2%	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW;	\$7.26	\$7.49	3.2%	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	\$7.26	\$7.49	3.2%	
	Maximum Demand Charge:				
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	\$1.55	\$1.60	3.2%	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW	\$1.60	\$1.65	3 1%	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	\$1.57	\$1.62	3.2%	
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges: (¢ per kWh)				
	Base Energy Charge (d per kWh)				
	On-Peak Period				
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW	1 645	1 697	3 704	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW	0.517	0.533	3.276	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW	0.517	0.533	2 194	
	Off-Peak Period	0.517	0.000	3.176	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW	0.517	0 613	1 10/	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW	0.517	0.555	3.176	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	0.517	0.533	3.1%	
<b>6</b> 77 <b>-7</b>					
SDTR	SEASONAL DEMAND - TIME OF USE RIDER				
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand less than 500 kW-				
	Otherwise applicable Rate Schedule GSD-1	\$24.02	676 11		
	Otherwise applicable Rate Schedule GSDT-1	ቅጋሣ.ራ3 ይለበ <0	000,01 641 07	3.470	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand less than 2000 kW	ምትህ.39 ሮለበ 11	341,37 #41,27		
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand of 2000 kW or more:	\$166.30	\$41.37 \$171.54	3.1%	
	Demand and Energy Charges during June through September (SEASONAL): Demand Charges:				
	Seasonal On-Peak Demand Charge per kW of Seasonal On-Peak Demand:				
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW	65 80	\$2 AD	3 39/	
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1900 kW	ድር ድር የደረጉ	90.06 C 70	3.2% 2.10/	
	For encouries with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ 1/07 KW.	90,JU	<b>\$6.70</b>	3.1%	
		\$0.3V	\$6.70	3.1%	

۱

Docket No. 080001-El R. Deaton, Exhibit No.____ Document No. RBD-13, Page 15 of 15 Summary of Tariff Changes 1 I

(1) CURRENT	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
RATE	TYPE OF	CURRENT		
SCHEDULE	CHARGE	DATE	PROPUSED KATE	PERCENT
	CALIND.	KAIE METH MCEC 1 ODD A	WITH UKOEG & GDD +	INCREASE
SUTE	SEASONAL DEMAND TIME OF USE BIDER (continued)	WITH WEEL TOBRA	WITH WCEC Z GBRA	[((4) - (3)) / (3)]
	Non Fuel Energy Charges (4 our LWA)	<b>—</b>		
	Base Second Off Beach With			
	For systemate with an Adnual Maximum Demand 21 400 LW	·		
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 1000 kW.	1.098	1,133	3.2%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW	0.869	0.896	3.1%
	Base Seasonal On-Peak kWh	0.866	0.893	3,1%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW	A 166	4 207	5 CD /
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW	4,130	4.287	3.2%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW	3,181	3.281	3.1%
		3.173	3.273	3.2%
	OPTION A. Non-Seasonal Standard Rate			
	Demand Charges:			
	Non-Seasonal Demand Charge per kW of Non-Seasonal Maximum Demand:			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	\$4,96	\$5.12	3 7%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	\$5,90	\$6.09	3.7%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	\$5.90	\$6,09	3.2%
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges: (¢ per Non-Seasonal kWh)			
	Non-Seasonal Energy Charge:			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	1.440	1.485	31%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	1.139	1.175	3.2%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	1.136	1.172	3.2%
	OR			0.2,0
	OPTION B: Non-Seasonal Time of Use Rate			
	Demand Charges per kW of Non-Seasonal Demand occurring during the Non-Seas	sonal On-Peak period:		
	Non-Seasonal Demand Charge :			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW;	\$4.96	\$5,12	3.2%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	\$5.90	\$6.09	3.2%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	\$5.90	\$6.09	3.2%
	OPTION B: Non-Seasonal Time of Use Rate			
	Non-Fuel Energy Charges: (¢ per kWh)			
	Non-Seasonal On-Peak kWh			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	3.360	3.466	3.2%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	2.257	2.328	3.1%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	2.370	2.445	3.2%
	Non-Seasonal Off-Peak kWh			
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 21 - 499 kW:	0.924	0.953	3.1%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 500 - 1999 kW:	0.685	0.707	3.2%
	For customers with an Annual Maximum Demand 2000+ kW:	0.641	0.661	3.1%

I.

Т

I

#### **BEFORE THE**

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Fuel and Purchase Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating ) Performance Incentive Factor ) DOCKET NO. 080001-EI

FILED: September 2, 2008

## **AFFIDAVIT**

## STATE OF FLORIDA MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Steven R. Sim who, being first duly sworn deposes and says:

1. My name is Steven R. Sim and I am currently employed by Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") as Senior Manager in the Resource Assessment & Planning ("RAP") Department.

2. I graduated from the University of Miami (Florida) with a Bachelor's degree in Mathematics in 1973. I subsequently earned a Master's degree in Mathematics from the University of Miami (Florida) in 1975 and a Doctorate in Environmental Science and Engineering from the University of California at Los Angeles ("UCLA") in 1979. In 1979 I joined FPL. From 1979 until 1991 I worked in various departments including Marketing, Energy Management Research, and Load Management, where my responsibilities concerned the development, monitoring, and cost- effectiveness of demand side management ("DSM") programs. In 1991 I joined my current department, then named the System Planning Department, as a Supervisor whose responsibilities included the cost- effectiveness analyses of a variety of individual supply and DSM options. In 2007 I assumed my present position.

3. In my role as Senior Manager in the RAP department, I oversee work designed to determine the magnitude and timing of FPL's resource needs and then develop the integrated resource plan through which FPL will meet those resource needs.

4. In 2005 FPL issued a Request for Proposal ("RFP") for capacity needs in 2009 - 2011 after the RAP department performed the work described above. In addition to my involvement in developing the RFP, I was responsible for performing the economic evaluation of West County Energy Center (WCEC) units

1 and 2and all capacity options received in response to the RFP. Through this RFP process, WCEC units 1 and 2 were selected as the best option to meet the future capacity needs of FPL's customers. Subsequent to the RFP process that selected WCEC 1 and 2 and, pursuant to the Florida Power Plant Siting Act ("PPSA"), the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC") issued Order No. PSC-06-0555-FOF-EI in Docket No. 060225-EI granting FPL's Petition for a Determination of Need to build WCEC Units 1 and 2. The Final Order of Certification under the PPSA was issued by the Governor and Cabinet sitting as the Siting Board on December 26, 2006.

5. The purpose of my affidavit and supporting documentation is to provide the base revenue requirements for the first 12-months of operation for WCEC units 1 and 2 that Renae Deaton uses to compute the Generation Base Rate Adjustment pursuant to the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission in Docket No. 050045-EI. Those base revenue requirements are as follows:

For WCEC unit 1:

a) Capital Cost	\$125.1 million
b) Fixed O&M and Capital Replacement	\$ 14.3 million
c) Variable O&M	<u>\$ 1.3 million</u>
d) Total base revenue requirements	
for first 12 months	\$140.7 million

For WCEC unit 2:

a) Capital Cost	\$115.1 million
b) Fixed O&M and Capital Replacement	\$ 12.7 million
c) Variable O&M	<u>\$ 1.3 million</u>
d) Total base revenue requirements	
for first 12 months	\$129.1 million

These first 12-month base revenue requirements were calculated using the projected total installed cost value of \$688.6 million for WCEC unit 1 and \$632.4 million for WCEC unit 2, and reflected in the Company's Petition for a Determination of Need and upon which Order No. PSC-06-0555-FOF-EI was based, using a rate of return on equity of 11.75% in accordance with the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-05-0902-S-EI in Docket No. 050045-EI, and based on in-service dates of June 1, 2009 for WCEC unit 1 and June 1, 2010 for WCEC unit 2.

6. The input values for the base revenue requirements are as follows for WCEC unit 1 (in 2009\$):

а.	Installed Capital cost =	\$688.6 million
b.	Fixed O&M cost =	\$4.61/kw-year
c.	Capital Replacement cost =	\$7.04/kw-year
d.	Variable O&M cost =	\$0.138/mwh

The input values for the base revenue requirements are as follows for WCEC unit 2 (in 2010 \$):

a.	Installed Capital cost =	\$632.4 million
b.	Fixed O&M cost =	\$3.07/kw-year
c.	Capital Replacement cost =	\$7.04/kw-year
d.	Variable O&M cost =	\$0.138/mwh

These cost input values are found on page J-1 of 1 of Appendix J of FPL's Need Study for Electrical Power Plant 2009 ("Need Study") document submitted in Docket No. 060225-EI. The capital cost values are also presented separately in Table III.G.1 on page 21 of the Need Study.

7. Attachment I provides the separate revenue requirement calculations for Capital, for Fixed O&M and Capital Replacement, and for Variable O&M. The document shows how the above 12-month values were calculated using the cost information previously provided in FPL's Need filing in Confidential Appendix C-3, "Fixed Cost Spreadsheets for Portfolios", and in Confidential Appendix C-4, "P-MArea Cases

for All Portfolios". Confidential Appendix C-3 provided the capital, fixed O&M, and capital replacement costs used above in the 12-month cost calculation and Confidential Appendix C-4 provided the variable O&M costs used above in the 12-month cost calculation.

8. In conclusion, the base revenue requirements for the first 12 months of operation are \$140.7 million for WCEC unit 1 and are \$129.1 million for WCEC unit 2. These values were calculated using the same starting point values and assumptions included in FPL's Need filing.

Steven R. Sim

I hereby certify that on this 29 day of 4 vgvst, 2008 before me, an officer duly authorized in the State and County aforesaid to take acknowledgements, personally appeared Steven R. Sim who is personally known to me, and he acknowledged before me that he executed this certification of signature as his free act and deed who did not take an oath.



Wonica Lynn ladur

Notary Public

State of Florida My Commission Expires: 12/19/10

## Attachment 1

# I. First 12-Month GBRA Costs for WCEC Unit 1:

a) Full-Ye	ar GBRA-Categ	ory Revenue R	equirements:		
Year	Capital Revenue Requirements (million \$)	Fixed O&M Revenue Requirements (million \$)	Variable O&M Revenue Requirements (million \$)	Capital Replacement Revenue Requirements (million \$)	Total Revenue Requirements (million \$)
2009 2010	73.9 122.8	3.3 5.9	0.7 1.3	5.0 8.7	82.9 138.6

## b) First 12-Month GBRA-Category Revenue Requirements: (includes 5 months of costs for 2010)

				Capital	,
	Capital	Fixed O&M	Variable O&M	Replacement	Total
	Revenue	Revenue	Revenue	Revenue	Revenue
Year	Requirements (million \$)				
2009	73.0	33	0.7	50	82.0
2003	10.0	0.0	V.(	0.0	02.9
2010	51.2	2.5	0.5	3.6	57.8
	125.1	5.7	1.3	8.6	140.7

# II. First 12-Month GBRA Costs for WCEC Unit 2:

a) Full-Ye	ar GBRA-Categ	ory Revenue F	lequirements:		······································
Year	Capital Revenue Requirements (million \$)	Fixed O&M Revenue Requirements (million \$)	Variable O&M Revenue Requirements (million \$)	Capital Replacement Revenue Requirements (million \$)	Total Revenue Requirements (million \$)
2010 2011	68.0 113.0	2.3 4.1	0.7 1.3	5,1 8.8	76.1 127.2
b) First 12 of cost	2-Month GBRA- s for 2011)	Category Reve	nue Requireme	nts: (includes	5 months
	Capital Revenue Requirements	Fixed O&M Revenue Requirements	Variable O&M Revenue Requirements	Capital Replacement Revenue Requirements	Total Revenue Requirements
Year	(million \$)	(million \$)	(million \$)	(million \$)	(million \$)
		<u>_</u>			
2010 2011	68.0 47.1	2.3 1.7	0.7 0.5	5.1 3.7	76.1 53.0

APPENDIX I

FUEL COST RECOVERY

EXHIBIT GJY-3 DOCKET NO. 080001-EI PAGES 1-4 SEPTEMBER 2, 2008

# APPENDIX I

# FUEL COST RECOVERY

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE	DESCRIPTION	<u>SPONSOR</u>
3	Projected Dispatch Costs	G. Yupp
3	Projected Availability of Natural Gas	G. Yupp
4	Projected Unit Availabilities and Outage Schedules	G. Yupp

			Florid	a Power	and Light	t Compar	iy					
	Proj	ected Dis	patch Co	sts and F	Projected	Availabi	ity of Nat	tural Gas				
January Through December 2009												
				·	•							
Heavy Oll	January	February	March	<u>April</u>	May	June	ylut	August	September	October	November	December
1.0% Sulfur Grade (\$/Bbl)	107.94	107.64	107.29	107.09	107.61	108.01	108.31	108.61	108.71	108.69	108.66	108.86
1.0% Sulfur Grade (\$/mmBtu)	16.87	16.82	16.76	16.73	16.81	16.88	16.92	16.97	16.99	16.98	16.98	17.01
								_				·
Light Oil	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	June	July	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	October	November	December
0.05% Sulfur Grade (\$/Bbi)	151.28	152.12	151.81	150.32	148.91	147.90	147.75	148.07	148.68	149.35	150.02	150.65
0.05% Sulfur Grade (\$/mmBtu)	25.95	26.09	26.04	25.78	25.54	25.37	25.34	25.40	25.50	25.62	25.73	25.84
												•
Natural Gas Transportation	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>june</u>	July	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	October	November	<u>December</u>
Firm FGT (mmBtu/Day)	750,000	750,000	750,000	839,000	874,000	874,000	874,000	874,000	874,000	839,000	750,000	750,000
Firm Gulfstream (mmBtu/Day)	535,000	535,000	535,000	535,000	535,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000
Non-Firm FGT (mmBtu/Day)	140,000	140,000	140,000	110,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	110,000	140,000	140,000
Non-Firm Gulfstream (mmBtu/Day)	280,000	280,000	140,000	80,000	80,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	110,000	140,000	140,000
Total Projected Daily Availability (mmBtu/Day)	1,705,000	1,705,000	1,565,000	1,564,000	1,539,000	1,669,000	1,669,000	1,669,000	1,669,000	1,754,000	1,725,000	1,725,000
Southeast Supply Header (SESH)**	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000
	**Note: The	SESH firm t	ransportatio	n does not pr	rovide increa	sed capacity	to FPL's pla	nts but does	increase FPL's	access to or	1-shore suppl	y.
Natural Gas Dispatch Price	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>Aprii</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	October	November	December
Firm FGT (\$/mmBtu)	10.43	10.45	10.28	9.77	9.74	9.83	9.94	10.01	10.05	10.14	10.39	10.79
irm Gulfstream (\$/mmBtu)	10.16	10.18	10.02	9.52	9.48	9.58	9.68	9.75	9.79	9.88	10.13	10.52
Non-Firm FGT (\$/mmBtu)	10.67	10.70	10.53	10.07	10.18	10.39	10.50	10.57	10.49	10.43	10.64	11.04
Non-Firm Gulfstream (\$/mmBtu)	10.76	10.78	10.62	10.12	10.08	10.17	10.28	10.35	10.39	10.48	10.72	11.11
									······································			
<u>Coal</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	March	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	June	July	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	October	November	December
Scherer (\$/mmBtu)	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2.26	2,26
SJRPP (\$/mmBtu)	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	3,15	3.15	3.15	3 15	3.15

The second secon

#### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT PROJECTED UNIT AVAILABILITIES & OUTAGE SCHEDULES <u>PERIOD OF: JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER, 2009</u>

1

.

I

Plant/Unit	Forced Outage Factor (%)	Maintenance Outage Factor (%)	Planned Outage Factor (%)	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date
Cape Canaveral 1	2.1	3.5	0.0	NONE			
Cape Canaveral 2	2.0	3.8	0.0	NONE			
Cutler 5	0.4	0.2	10.4	04/04/09 - 05/11/09			
Cutler 6	1.8	0.9	20.0	09/26/09 - 12/07/09			
Lauderdale 4	1.3	3.8	6.8	03/28/09 - 04/21/09			
Lauderdale 5	1.4	3.9	2.5	10/03/09 - 10/11/09			
Lauderdale GTs	1.0	7.2	0.0	NONE			
Fort Myers 2 CC	1.4	3.8	1.9	02/21/09 - 03/27/09 *			
Ft. Myers 3	3.0	3.2	0.0	NONE			
Ft. Myers GTs	0.3	1.3	0.9	05/02/09 - 05/21/09 *			
Manatee 1	0.8	2.6	0.0	NONE			
Manatee 2	0.7	2.8	20.0	02/21/09 - 05/04/09			
Manatee 3	2.4	3.1	1.9	09/12/09 - 10/09/09			
Martin 1	1.0	2.8	0.0	NONE			
Martin 2	0.8	3.4	17.8	09/19/09 - 11/22/09			
Martin 3	2.4	3.1	3.8	09/05/09 - 09/18/09			
Martin 4	2.5	2.7	2.9	10/03/09 - 10/23/09 *			
Martin 8 CC	2.3	3.2	7.7	05/16/09 - 06/12/09 *	10/10/09 - 10/30/09		
Port Everglades 1	3.2	1.5	0.0	NONE			
Port Everglades 2	2.3	1.8	25.5	09/12/09 - 12/13/09			
Port Everglades 3	2.2	5.4	6.0	03/28/09 - 04/18/09			
Port Everglades 4	2.3	5.0	0.0	NONE			
Port Everglades GTs	1.9	9.7	0.0	NONE			
Putnam 1	0.4	0.9	1.6	09/19/09 - 09/30/09 *			
Putnam 2	0.4	0.9	21.5	03/14/09 - 05/08/09	10/03/09 - 11/16/09 *		
Riviera 3	2.5	5.5	5.8	02/28/09 - 03/20/09			
Riviera 4	2.2	5.1	0.0	NONE			
Sanford 3	1.0	0.7	17.3	01/31/09 - 04/03/09			
Sanford 4 CC	1.4	3.9	5.8	09/26/09 - 11/06/09 *			
Sanford 5 CC	1.4	3.9	3.8	01/17/09 - 01/30/09 *	06/06/09 - 06/19/09 *	09/12/09 - 09/25/09 *	11/07/09 - 11/20/09 *
Turkey Point 1	1.8	5.4	18.1	02/07/09 - 04/13/09			
Turkey Point 2	2.4	4.8	0.0	NONE			
Turkey Point 3	1.1	1.1	9.6	03/01/09 - 04/05/09			
Turkey Point 4	1.1	1.1	11.0	10/25/09 - 12/04/09			
Turkey Point 5	2.4	3.2	4.9	05/30/09 - 06/16/09 *	06/13/09 - 06/30/09 *		
St. Lucie 1	1.2	1.2	0.0	NONE			
St. Lucie 2	1.1	1.1	9.9	04/27/09 - 06/02/09			
Saint Johns River Power Park 1	1.6	1.1	16.2	02/28/09 - 04/27/09			
Saint Johns River Power Park 2	1.9	0.9	0.0	NONE			
Scherer 4	1.9	1.0	0.0	NONE			
West County 1	3.9	0.0	0.0	NONE			
West County 2	3.0	0.0	0.0	NONE			

* Partial Planned Outage

ł

APPENDIX I	
FUEL COST RECOVERY	
2009 RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN	

GJY-4 DOCKET NO. 080001-EI EXHIBIT_____ PAGES 1-16 SEPTEMBER 2, 2008

## **APPENDIX I**

## FUEL COST RECOVERY

## 2009 RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE	DESCRIPTION	<u>SPONSOR</u>
3 – 11	2009 Risk Management Plan	G. Yupp
12 – 13	Trading and Risk Management Procedures Manual	G. Yupp
14 — 15	Energy Trading and Risk Management Policy	G, Yupp
16	Planned Position Strategy (PPS)	G. Yupp

# <u>Florida Power and Light Company (FPL)</u> <u>2009 Risk Management Plan</u>

FPL recognizes the importance of managing price volatility in the fuel and power it purchases to provide electric service to its customers. Further, FPL recognizes that the greater the proportion of a particular energy source it relies upon to provide electric services to its customers, the greater the importance of managing price volatility associated with that energy source.

FPL's risk management plan is based on the following guiding principles:

- a) A well-managed hedging program does not involve speculation or market timing. Its primary purpose is not to reduce FPL's fuel costs paid over time, but rather to reduce the variability or volatility in fuel costs over time.
- b) Hedging can result in significant lost opportunities for savings in the fuel costs to be paid by customers, if fuel prices actually settle at lower levels than at the time that hedges were placed. FPL does not predict or speculate on whether markets will ultimately rise or fall and actually settle higher or lower than the price levels that existed at the time hedges were put into place.
- c) Market prices and forecasts of market prices have experienced significant volatility and are expected to continue to be highly volatile and, therefore, FPL does not intend to "outguess the market" in choosing the specific timing for effecting hedges or the percentage or volume of fuel hedged.
- d) In order to balance the goal of reducing customers' exposure to rising fuel prices against the goal of allowing customers to benefit from falling fuel prices, it is appropriate to hedge a portion of the total expected volume of fuel purchases.

This Risk Management Plan includes supplemental information in response to recommendations in Staff's recent Review of Fuel Procurement Hedging Practices of Florida's Investor-Owned Electric Utilities.

## Overall Quantitative and Qualitative Risk Management Objectives (TFB-4, Item 1)

FPL's risk management objectives are to effectively execute a well-disciplined and independently controlled fuel hedging strategy to achieve the goals of fuel price stability (volatility minimization) and asset optimization. FPL's fuel hedging strategy aims to reduce fuel price volatility, while maintaining the opportunity to benefit from price decreases in the marketplace for FPL's customers.

### Fuel Procurement Risks (TFB-4, Item 3)

FPL encounters several potential risks associated with its fuel procurement activities. These risks are grouped into four categories as detailed below:

#### Market Risk

The risk of changes in economic fair value due to fluctuations in market prices, volatility, correlation, and interest rates will have a direct impact on any open or unhedged energy positions. The utility determines acceptable levels of risk for fuel procurement by performing various analyses that include forecasted/expected levels of activity, forecasted price levels and price changes, price volatility, and Value-at-Risk (VaR) calculations. The analyses are then presented to the Exposure Management Committee (EMC) for review and approval. The EMC is comprised of executive and senior management and has responsibility for developing and approving the company's risk strategies and objectives, including the overall hedging strategy. Approval is given to remain within specified VaR limits.

#### Credit Risk

Credit risk management includes appropriate creditworthiness review and monitoring processes, the request for collateral if deemed necessary, and the inclusion of contractual risk mitigation terms and conditions whenever possible. Such credit risk mitigations include collateral threshold amounts, cross default amounts, payment netting, and set-off agreements.

#### Liquidity Risk

Transacting Liquidity: The availability of market participants willing to transact or having credit quality to transact will have an impact on the utility's ability to execute hedging and risk management strategies.

Short-Term Funding Liquidity: Changes in underlying market parameters may impact movements of cash in relation to business activities. Positions that are balanced for fair value purposes, but unbalanced for cash flow purposes, may give rise to large swings in cash balances.

## **Operational Risk**

The physical risk associated with maintaining and operating generation assets. The potential risks that FPL encounters with its physical fuel procurement are fuel supply and transportation availability, product quality, delivery timing, weather, environmental, and supplier failure to deliver.

## Fuel Procurement Oversight/Policies and Procedures (TFB-4, Items 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9)

FPL provides its fuel procurement activities with independent oversight.

The President of FPL is responsible for authorizing all hedging activities. Changes in strategies and any deviations from the program are approved by the President of FPL prior to execution. In the absence of the President of FPL, the Chief Operating Officer (COO) or the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of FPL Group may also authorize any changes in strategies and deviations from the program. Program activity is included in the Monthly Operations Performance Review (MOPR) chaired by the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). In addition, the EMC meets monthly to review performance and discuss current procurement/hedging activities and monitors daily results of procurement activity.

The utility has a separate and independent middle office Risk Management department that provides oversight of fuel procurement activities. FPL has formal Policy and Procedures documents, signed by all employees, which include controls specifically related to the fuels hedging program. The Risk Management department ensures that the approved execution strategies are followed for each program. Daily, weekly, and monthly reporting is performed by the Risk Management department and distributed to a wide audience, including executive management. Credit reviews are performed by the Risk Management department and included in the reporting mentioned above. Execution strategies must be approved prior to the execution of any transactions and documented as a Planned Position Strategy (PPS). All hedge transactions are to be addressed within this strategy document. FPL is attaching two PPS documents with this plan; (1) a PPS that details FPL's hedging strategy for 2009; and (2) a PPS that details FPL's rebalancing strategy for 2009. FPL considers its PPS documents to be confidential. FPL has not created PPS documents for 2010 at this point.

Policy and Procedures

As part of this Risk Management Plan, FPL is attaching the latest FPL Group, Inc. Energy Trading and Risk Management Policy (Policy) and Trading and Risk Management Procedures Manual (Procedures). FPL updates the Policy and Procedures as necessary. For details that are not covered in this document, please refer to the Policy and Procedures. FPL considers its Policy and Procedures to be confidential.

FPL's corporate risk Policy delineates individual and group transaction limits and authorizations for all fuel procurement activities.

The Policy sets out FPL Group's approach to energy risk and the management of risk, as follows:

- Identification and definition;
- Quantification and measurements;

- Reporting;
- Authority to transact; and
- Ownership and roles and responsibilities.

The Procedures provide guidance that will promote efficient and accurate processing of transactions, effective preparation and distribution of information relating to trading and marketing activities, and efficient monitoring of the portfolio of risks, all within a well-controlled environment. The Procedures define VaR and duration limits for all forward activity, by portfolio. In addition, individual procurement strategies must be documented and approved by front and middle office management prior to deal execution.

FPL's deal execution and capture functions coordinate activities across relevant departments, personnel, and systems. This framework of activity properly links the responsibilities of personnel and provides a sufficient medium to resolve issues.

The Procedures clearly list authorized trading personnel, trading limits, tenors, and acceptable instruments. Access to the data entry privileges in the deal capture system is limited to only those individuals who are formally granted permissions to enter trades. All transactions are entered and managed through a centralized deal capture system that supports routine reporting, settlements, and review. Transaction record editing is managed through acceptable authorizations and processes. Credit information is available to traders on a timely basis through daily reporting produced by the credit section of the Risk Management department. Auditable records of all transactions are gathered and reviewed on a regular basis.

#### Deal Execution Details

FPL traders receive daily credit reports and credit watch lists from the Risk Management department to ensure that FPL does not enter into a trade with an unauthorized counterparty. FPL traders then select counterparties from this list to transact with as the hedging program is executed. FPL uses a market comparison approach to execute financial hedges. For natural gas, real-time prices can be observed by FPL through electronic tools, such as ICE (InterContinental Exchange), FutureSource, or over-the-counter brokers. Residual fuel oil swaps are not an exchange traded commodity and hence competing prices from counterparties, over-the-counter broker quotes, along with observed trends in crude oil prices, and estimated price differentials to crude oil prices, are used to determine the market value.

FPL traders generally execute trades with counterparties offering the best price for a given instrument. However, in a case where two or more counterparties are offering similar pricing, the traders will attempt to execute trades with the counterparty that has the least amount of credit exposure with FPL. This is done primarily to allow FPL to spread its risk among as many counterparties as
possible, but also affords the advantage of preventing the inadvertent telegraphing of FPL's commercial intentions to the market, thus helping to ensure favorable pricing for FPL's hedges.

#### 2008 Hedging Strategy (TFB-4, Items 2 and 8)

The principal focus of this Risk Management Plan, as will be the case for future plans, is on the hedging strategy that FPL intends to implement in the upcoming year for placing hedges on fuel purchases in the year or years thereafter. For example, as discussed below, FPL is presenting its 2009 hedging strategy that will apply to hedging FPL's projected 2010 fuel oil and natural gas requirements. However, for transitional purposes FPL is also including in this year's plan information pertaining to its 2008 hedging strategy for projected 2009 natural gas and heavy fuel oil requirements.

FPL plans to hedge a portion of its projected 2009 residual fuel oil and natural gas requirements during 2008. Absent special circumstances (e.g. a hurricane that FPL concludes will substantially impair market functions). FPL is implementing its 2008 hedging program within the following parameters:

#### **Natural Gas**

1) FPL will hedge approximately **of** its projected 2009 natural gas requirements within the Hedging Window during 2008. This hedge percentage is within FPL's system base load requirements. FPL will hedge approximately **of** each individual month's projected natural gas requirements.

2)	FPL will utilize to	
-	hedge its projected natural gas requirements.	
3)	FPL will execute its natural gas hedges for 2009 from through	

as shown below:

#### Hedging Window

During each month of the Hedging Window, FPL will hedge the percentages shown of its projected 2009 natural gas requirements. FPL will have flexibility within any given month to determine the appropriate timing for executing hedges.

#### Heavy Fuel Oil

1) FPL will hedge approximately for of its projected 2009 heavy fuel oil requirements. This represents a lower hedge percentage compared with previous years and is primarily driven by FPL's fuel switching capability. FPL dispatches its system based on real-time fuel prices which allows FPL to burn the lowest cost fuel at its dual-fired facilities on a daily basis. This fuel switching capability has caused significant variances in projected versus actual heavy fuel oil burns, particularly in the shoulder months (November – March). Therefore, FPL believes that a lower hedge percentage for fuel oil is appropriate to help mitigate the potential for being "over hedged". FPL will hedge approximately for each individual month's projected heavy fuel oil requirements.

to

- 2) FPL will utilize
- hedge its projected heavy fuel oil requirements.
  FPL will execute its heavy fuel oil hedges for 2009 from through as shown below:

#### Hedging Window

During each month of the Hedging Window, FPL will hedge the percentages shown of its projected 2009 heavy fuel oil requirements. FPL will have flexibility within any given month to determine the appropriate timing for executing hedges.

4) Re-balancing will be executed per the attached PPS. Once the initial monthly target volumes have been hedged, rebalancing will be executed to maintain the hedge percentages inside approved tolerance bands. The monthly tolerance bands for heavy fuel oil are +/- . Therefore, the minimum and maximum monthly hedge percentages are . Therefore, the respectively.

#### 2009 Hedging Strategy (TFB-4, Items 2 and 8)

FPL plans to hedge a portion of its projected 2010 residual fuel oil and natural gas requirements during 2009. Absent special circumstances (e.g. a hurricane that FPL concludes will substantially impair market functions). FPL will implement its hedging program within the following parameters:

#### **Natural Gas**

1) FPL will hedge approximately **and** of its projected 2010 natural gas requirements within the Hedging Window during 2009. This hedge percentage is consistent with 2009 hedge levels and is within FPL's system base load requirements. FPL will hedge approximately **base** of each individual month's projected natural gas requirements.

FPL will utilize to hedge its projected natural gas requirements.
 FPL will execute its natural gas hedges for 2010 from through through as shown below;

**Hedging Window** 

During each month of the Hedging Window, FPL will hedge the percentages shown of its projected 2010 natural gas requirements. FPL will have flexibility within any given month to determine the appropriate timing for executing hedges.

4) FPL intends to rebalance its natural gas hedge positions during the year based on changes in forecasted market prices, projected unit outage schedules or changes in FPL's load forecast. Once the initial monthly target volumes have been hedged, rebalancing will be executed to maintain the hedge percentages inside approved tolerance bands. The monthly tolerance bands for natural gas are +/- Therefore, the minimum and maximum monthly hedge percentages are and and respectively.

#### Heavy Fuel Oil

- FPL will hedge approximately for of its projected 2010 heavy fuel oil requirements. This hedge percentage is consistent with 2009 hedge levels. FPL will hedge approximately for of each individual month's projected heavy fuel oil requirements.
- FPL will utilize to hedge its projected heavy fuel oil requirements.
   EPL will would be a feel will be deep for 2010 from the second secon
- 3) FPL will execute its heavy fuel oil hedges for 2010 from through the shown below:

#### Hedging Window

During each month of the Hedging Window, FPL will hedge the percentages shown of its projected 2010 heavy fuel oil requirements. FPL will have flexibility within any given month to determine the appropriate timing for executing hedges.

#### Hedging Window Modification



#### Reporting System for Fuel Procurement Activities (TFB-4, Items 13 and 14)

FPL reporting systems comprehensively identify, measure, and monitor all forms of risk associated with fuel procurement activities.

FPL's philosophy on reporting is that it should be timely, consistent, flexible, and transparent. Timely and consistent reporting of risk information is critical to the effective management of risk. The utility has sufficient systems capability for identifying, measuring, and monitoring all types of risk associated with fuel procurement activities. These systems include: deal capture, a database for maintaining current and historical pricing, deal information, and valuation models, and a reporting system that utilizes the information in the trade capture system and the database.

Specifically, several reports are available at FPL to monitor risk:

#### Daily Management Report

For each business day there should be a formal report produced in hard copy or electronically, for distribution to business and desk heads and members of the EMC. This report should detail the current energy, spot and forward, unrealized profit and loss, VaR, and position amounts. This report should be published only after proper and thorough discussion between Risk Management and desk heads, if necessary for clarification, and resolution of any issues raised.

Credit Exposure Reporting

For each business day there should be a formal report produced in hard copy or electronically, for distribution to business and desk heads and members of the EMC. This report should detail:

- Credit exposure against available limits, highlighting instances in which exposure exceeds available limits; and
- Current credit liabilities.

#### Exposure Management Committee Update

The Vice President Trading & Risk Management will provide a formal update to the EMC on a monthly basis. The agenda for the update will be agreed in advance with the EMC Chairman, but should as a minimum contain the following items:

Minutes of previous EMC update for approval;

- Summary and explanation of significant changes in market risk and fair value, including VaR backtesting results;
- Summary and explanation of significant changes in credit risk; and
- Exception to Risk Management Policy.

#### Hedge Program Limitations (TFB-4, Item 15)

FPL does not currently have any limitations in implementing certain hedging techniques that would provide a net benefit to customers.

# **Energy Marketing & Trading**

A division of Florida Power & Light Company.

## Trading and Risk Management

**Procedures Manual** 

Revision: December 18, 2007

**REDACTED VERSION OF CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS** 

TRADING AND RISK MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES MANUAL





### APPROVED BY THE EMC ON:

December 18,2007

(See EMC Meeting Minutes dated December 18, 2007. Please contact Risk Management at 304-5710)

## FPL Group, Inc. Energy Trading and Risk Management Policy



Gexa ENERGY

**REDACTED VERSION OF CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS** 

### ENERGY TRADING AND RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY

**REDACTED VERSION OF CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS** 

PLANNED POSITIONS STRATEGY

### APPENDIX II FUEL COST RECOVERY E SCHEDULES

`1

KMD-5 DOCKET NO. 080001-EI FPL WITNESS: K. M. DUBIN EXHIBIT PAGES 1-74 SEPTEMBER 2, 2008

#### APPENDIX II FUEL COST RECOVERY E SCHEDULES January 2009 – December 2009

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)	DESCRIPTION	SPONSOR
3a-3b 3c	Schedule E1 Fuel & Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause Calculation	K. M. Dubin
4	Schedule E1-A Calculation of Total True-up (Projected Period)	K. M. Dubin
4a-4b	Schedule E1-B REVISED 2008 Estimated/Actual True-up Calculation	K. M. Dubin
5	Schedule E1-C Calculation Generating Performance Incentive Factor and True-Up Factor	K. M. Dubin
6a-6b 6c-6d	Schedule E1-D Time of Use Rate Schedule	K. M. Dubin
7a-7b 7c-7d	Schedule E1-E Factors by Rate Group	K. M. Dubin
8a-8c	2007 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class	K. M. Dubin
9a-9b 10a 10b	Schedule E2 Monthly Summary of Fuel & Purchased	K. M. Dubin/
11a-11b	Power Cost Recovery Clause Calculation	G. Yupp/T. Jones
12-15	Schedule E3 Monthly Summary of Generating System Data	G. Yupp/T. Jones
16-60	Schedule E4 Monthly Generation and Fuel Cost by Unit	G. Yupp/T. Jones
61-62	Schedule E5 Monthly Fuel Inventory Data	G. Yupp/T. Jones
63-64	Schedule E6 Monthly Power Sold Data	G. Yupp/T. Jones
65-66	Schedule E7 Monthly Purchased Power Data	G. Yupp
67-68	Schedule E8 Energy Payment to Qualifying Facilities	G. Yupp
69-70	Schedule E9 Monthly Economy Energy Purchase Data	G. Yupp
71	Schedule E10 Residential Bill Comparison	K. M. Dubin
72	Schedule H1 Three Year Historical Comparison	K. M. Dubin
73-74	Cogeneration Tariff Sheets	K. M. Dubin

2

•. · · ·

. •

### FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 2009 -MAY 2009

	EGNIMATED FOR THE FERIOD. JANUARY 2009-WAY 2	(a)	(b)	(C)
		DOLLARS	MWH	¢/KWH
1 2	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3) Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (E2)	\$6,214,273,493 21,828,572	97,654,973 23,509,501	6.3635 0.0929
3	Fuel Related Transactions (E2)	2,611,519	0	0.0000
3a	Adjustment for WCEC 1 and 2	164,850,000	0	0.0000
4	Incremental Hedging Costs (E2)	694,510	0	
5	Fuel Cost of Sales to FKEC / CKW (E2)	(76,920,848)	(1,046,781)	7.3483
6	TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	\$6,327,337,245	96,608,192	6.5495
7	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of	351,329,743	11,735,650	2.9937
8	Economy) (E7) Energy Cost of Sched C & X Econ Purch (Florida) (E9)	37,799,891	377,794	10.0054
9	Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Florida) (E9)	78,482,053	818,206	9.5920
10	Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8)	235,952,993	5,572,282	4.2344
11	TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	\$703,564,680	18,503,933	3.8022
12	TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH (LINE 6 + LINE 11)		115,112,124	
13	Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E6)	(112,997,486)	(1,491,500)	7.5761
14	Gain on Economy Sales (E6)	(18,447,799)	(2,028,902)	0.9093
15	Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partpts) (E6)	(3,092,615)	(537,402)	0.5755
16	Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E6)	0	0	0.0000
16a	TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES	(\$134,537,900)	(2,028,902)	6.6311
19	Net inadvertent Interchange	0	0	
20	TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (LINE 6 + 11 + 18)	\$6,896,364,025	113,083,222	6.0985
21	Net Unbilled Sales	(44,697,230) **	(732,923)	(0.0421)
22	Company Use	20,689,092 **	339,250	0.0195
23	T & D Losses	448,263,662 **	7,350,409	0.4224
24	SYSTEM MWH SALES (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$6,896,364,025	106,126,486	6.4982
25	Wholesale MWH Sales (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$8,874,931	136,572	6.4982
26	Jurisdictional MWH Sales	\$6,887,489,094	105,989,914	6.4982
27	Jurisdictional Loss Multiplier	-	-	1.00056
28	Jurisdictional MWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses	\$6,891,346,088	105,989,914	6.5019
29	FINAL TRUE-UP EST/ACT TRUE-UP Jan 07- Dec 07 Jan 08 - Dec 08 (a) \$296,048.402 underrecovery	296,048,402	105,989,914	0.2793
30	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST	\$7,187,394,490	105,989,914	6.7812
31	Revenue Tax Factor			1.00072
32	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes	7,192,569,414		6.7861
33	GPIF ***	\$5,383,572	105,989,914	0.0051
33a	WCEC 1 and 2 Jurisdictionalized Savings	(\$18,597,500)	39,665,860	(0.0469)
		7 470 677 470	400 000 044	
34	Fuel Factor including GPIF (Line 32 + Line 33)	7,179,355,486	105,989,914	6.7443
35	FUEL FAUTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 CENTS/KW	¥Н		6.744

** For Informational Purposes Only *** Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

(a) 2007 Final True-Up under-recovery of \$121,036,106 included in August -December 2008 mid-course correction factor

## FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD: JUNE 2009 - OCTOBER 2009

		(a)	(b)	(c)
	-	DOLLARS	MWH	¢/KWH
1	- Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)	\$6,214,273,493	97,654,973	6.3635
2	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (E2)	21,828,572	23,509,501	0.0929
3	Fuel Related Transactions (E2)	2,611,519	D	0.0000
3a	Adjustment for WCEC 1 and 2	164,850,000	97,654,973	0.1688
4	Incremental Hedging Costs (E2)	694,510	0	
5	Fuel Cost of Sales to FKEC / CKW (E2)	(76,920,848)	(1,046,781)	7.3483
6	TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	\$6,327,337,245	96,608,192	6.5495
7	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of Economy) (E7)	351,329,743	11,735,650	2.9937
8	Energy Cost of Sched C & X Econ Purch (Florida) (E9)	37,799,891	377,794	10.0054
9	Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Florida) (E9)	78,482,053	818,206	9.5920
10	Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8)	235,952,993	5,572,282	4.2344
11	TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	\$703,564,680	18,503,933	3.8022
12	TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH (LINE 5 + LINE 13)		115,112,124	
13	Fuei Cost of Economy Sales (E6)	(112,997,486)	(1,491,500)	7.5761
14	Gain on Economy Sales (E6)	(18,447,799)	(2,028,902)	0.9093
15	Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partpts) (E6)	(3,092,615)	(537,402)	0.5755
16	Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E6)	0	0	0.0000
16a	TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES	(\$134,537,900)	(2,028,902)	6.6311
19	Net inadvertent interchange	0	O	
20	TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (LINE 6 + 11 + 18)	\$6,896,364,025	113,083,222	6.0985 ===========
21	Net Unbilled Sales	(44,697,243) **	(732,924)	(0.0421)
22	Company Use	20,689,092 **	339,250	0.0195
23	T & D Losses	448,263,662 **	7,350,409	0.4224
24	SYSTEM MWH SALES (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$6,896,364,025	106,126,486	6.4982
25	Wholesale MWH Sales (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	<b>\$8,874,93</b> 1	136,572	6.4982
26	Jurisdictional MWH Sales	\$6,887,489,094	105,989,914	6.4982
27	Jurisdictional Loss Multiplier	-	•	1.00056
28	Jurisdictional MWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses	\$6,891,346,088	105,989,914	6.5019
29	FINAL TRUE-UP EST/ACT TRUE-UP			
	Jan 07- Dec 07 Jan 08 - Dec 08 (a) \$296,048.402 underrecovery	296,048,402	105,989,914	0.2793
30	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST	\$7,187,394,490	105,989,914	6.7812
31	Revenue Tax Factor			1.00072
32	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes	7,192,569,414		6.7861
33	GPIF ***	\$5,383,572	105,989,914	0.0051
33a	WCEC 1 and 2 Jurisdictionalized Savings	(\$93,085,358)	49,552,905	(0.1880)
34	Fuel Factor including GPIF (Line 32 + Line 33)	7,197,952,986	105,989,914	6.6032
35	FUEL FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 CENTS/KWH	I		6.603
	** For Informational Rumoros Only			

1-1

** For Informational Purposes Only *** Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

(a) 2007 Final True-Up under-recovery of \$121,036,106 included in August -December 2008 mid-course correction factor

. . .

1 **.** .

## FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD: NOVEMBER 2009 - DECEMBER 2009

		(a)	(b)	(c)
		DOLLARS	MWH	¢/KWH
1	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)	\$6,214,273,493	97,654,973	6.3635
2	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (E2)	21,828,572	23,509,501	0.0929
3	Fuel Related Transactions (E2)	2,611,519	0	0.0000
3a	Adjustment for WCEC 1 and 2	164,850,000	97,654,973	0.1688
4	Incremental Hedging Costs (E2)	694,510	0	
5	Fuel Cost of Sales to FKEC / CKW (E2)	(76,920,848)	(1,046,781)	7.3483
6	TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	\$6,327,337,245	96,608,192	6.5495
7	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of	351,329,743	11,735,650	2.9937
8	Economy) (E7) Energy Cost of Sched C & X Econ Purch (Florida) (E9)	37,799,891	377,794	10.0054
9	Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Florida) (E9)	78,482,053	818.205	9.5920
10	Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8)	235,952,993	5,572,282	4.2344
11	TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	\$703,564,680	18,503,933	3.8022
12	TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH (LINE 5 + LINE 13)		115,112,124	
13	Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E6)	(112,997,486)	(1,491,500)	7.5761
14	Gain on Economy Sales (E6)	(18,447,799)	(2,028,902)	0.9093
15	Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partpts) (E6)	(3,092,615)	(537,402)	0.5755
16	Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E6)	0	0	0.0000
16a	TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES	(\$134,537,900)	(2,028,902)	6.6311
19	Net Inadvertent Interchange	0	0	
20	TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (LINE 6 + 11 + 18)	\$6,896,364,025	113,083,222	6.0985
21	Net Unbilled Sales	(44,697,243) **	(732,924)	(0.0421)
22	Company Use	20,689,092 **	339,250	0.0195
23	T & D Losses	448,263,662 **	7,350,409	0.4224
24	SYSTEM MWH SALES (Exci sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$6,896,364,025	106,126,486	6.4982
25	Wholesale MWH Sales (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$8,874,931	136,572	6.4982
26	Jurisdictional MWH Sales	\$6,887,489,094	105,989,914	6.4982
27	Jurisdictional Loss Multiplier	-	•	1.00056
28	Jurisdictional MWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses	<b>\$6</b> ,891,346,088	105,989,914	6.5019
29	FINAL TRUE-UP EST/ACT TRUE-UP Jan 07- Dec 07 Jan 08 - Dec 08 (a) \$296,048.402 underrecovery	296,048,402	105,989,914	0.2793
30	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST	\$7,187,394,490	105,989,914	6.7812
31	Revenue Tax Factor			1.00072
32	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes	7, 192, 569, 414		R 7881
33	GPIF ***	\$5 393 577	105 080 014	0.0064
		40,000,07Z	100,902,214	0.0031
33a	WCEC 1 and 2 Jurisdictionalized Savings	(\$52,955,000)	16,771,151	(0.3160)
34	Fuel Factor including GPIF (Line 32 + Line 33)	7,144,997,986	105,989,914	6.4752
35	FUEL FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 CENTS/KW	ин		6.475

** For Informational Purposes Only *** Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

(a) 2007 Final True-Up under-recovery of \$121,036,106 included in August -December 2008 mid-course correction factor

•• • • •

· • •

#### SCHEDULE E - 1A

#### CALCULATION OF TOTAL TRUE-UP (PROJECTED PERIOD) FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 2009 - DECEMBER 2009

1.	Estimated/Actual over/(under) recovery (January 2008 - September 2008)		\$ (296,048,402)
2.	Final over/(under) recovery (January 2007 - December 2007) included in August - December 2008 mid-course correction factor	\$ (121,036,106)	
3.	Total over/(under) recovery to be included in the January 2008 - December 2008 projected period (Schedule E1, Line 29)		\$ (296,048,402)
4.	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL SALES (MWH) (Projected period)		100,000,014

5. True-Up Factor (Lines 3/4) c/kWh:

(0.2793)

.

CALC	ULATION OF ACTUAL TRUE UP AMOUNT DA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY				;		
FOR TI	HE PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2008						
FFN	34	(I) ACTUAL	(2) ACTUAL	(3) ACTUAL	(I) VCLIVIT	(S) ACTUAL	(9) ACTUAL
ž	0.	IAN	FEB	MAR	APR	МАУ	NJU
<	First Cost of Surrow Mar Costa & Net Power Transactions 1 a Evel Cost of Surrow Mar Commission Section 24 and 24 and 20						
_	Fuer Loss of against Net Oeneration (See mue /2 Deliaw) h Incremental Mations (Tests	S 010236116 S	3 24/27/28/ 2 24/27/28/	5 150 062 680	472.675.312	507.605.752	614, X70, 942
	e Nuclear Foel Disposal Costs	S 800.276.1 S	1.762.352	5 065.710.1	6 CT C 000 1 2 2 2 404 5	5 662 542 1	1.076.641
	d Scherer Coal Cars Depreciation & Return	S 166'942 S	247.157 5	244,955 \$	241,532 5	2184,861	230,655
	e Blank Line	s 0 5	0	0	0	0	•
	f DOE D&D Fund Payment	S 0 S	4	0 0	9	0	=
	2 a Finel Cost of Power Sold (Per A6) b. Christ from Off Surteen Scho	S (12.447.913) S	(V.720.557) S	16.131.246) 5	(2.735.K40) S	(2.711.1.78) \$	15.458.8751
-	3 a Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Per A 2)	C (00008201) C		2((80/.000.1) 31.260.320.00	(10)(77)(2) (10)(7)(2)(2) (10)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)	2 (101,122)	(1.123.051.1)
	b Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (Per A8)	S 15.668.471 S	S EN9.826.71	15,647,959 [5	2 576,002,01	5 558-925.×1	19, 759,926
	c Blank Line	S 0 S	0	5 0	<u>s</u>	0	•
4	4 Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Per A9)	S 1.176.041 S	3.065.396 5	8,805.011 5	5 301.196 S	3.243.567 S	1,767.393
<del>*</del> 1	5 Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	5 365,742,075 5	388,685,004 5	431,046,642 5	512,813,567 \$	614,665,096 5	685,641,395
	6 Adjustments to Fuel Cost • Sales to Ele Kone Flore Cone (FEC) & Cite of Kone View View Cartur	5 2007 2001 11					
	b Every implance fuel Revenues - Account 456.225		24077717171	2 (0) ( 2) (0)	S (CICTH/C)	2 (19/ 3/679)	(8(5)587.1)
	c Inventory Adjustments	s (ser.23) s	7.755	23,605 5	13,106 5	5 01/2"61	(61.12)
	d Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms - Docket No. 13092	5	6 6	95.427 S	. 5	116.256 5	9
	7 Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	S 361,267,042 S	\$} 616'067,EBE	426,035,006 5	507,035,146 \$	608,115,702 5	611,698,319
	k Wh Sales Jurisdictional k Wh Sales	\$ 8.309.773.134 \$	7,454,101,515 \$	2 201.224.071.7	7.628.218.097 S	S 01409475	\$02,410,027,0
	2 Sale for Resale (excluding FKEC & CKW) 	S 655,962 S	5 11 112 5	295.189 5	\$ 116,924	642.321 5	5.EF 109
	3 Sub-Lional Sales (excluding F.K.E.C. & C.K.W.)	S 8.400.429,096 5	7,454,720,635	7,371,220,494 5	. 7,628,878,906 S	8,338,111,800	9,760,516,230
	6 Jarisdictional % of Total Sales (Bl/B3)	%61266'66	%69166'66	%00966'66	%55166766	34052303%	99.99384%
.u	True-up Calculation Juris Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)		2 Ch2.342.044	223 נווא 199	2 LIE 1F0 01F	2 OCA OLT 1AE	SAD DOT ALS
	Pael Adjustment Revenues Not Applicable to Period		5	~			Second second
	a Prior Period True-up (Collected)Reclanded This Period b GPPE, Net of Revenue Taxes (a)	S (0.610.188) S S (749.568) S	10.610.138) 5 (749.568) 5	2 (842.947) 2 (842.947)	(6.610.158) S (749.56x) S	(6.610.18%) 5(59.565) 5(59.565)	(0.660.18k) (749.568)
	e Prior Period True-up (Collected)Refunded This Period 3	5 457,455,324 (S	402.081.805 5	397.442.771	412 581 575 18	3 110 091 959	
	4 a Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7)	5 361,267,042 5	3 616,067,036	426,035,006 5	507,035,146 \$	608,115,702 S	612 869 119
	b Nuclear Fuel Expense - 100% Retail (Acct. 518.111)	s 0 5	0	÷.	0	9	0
	c R.I.F. Incremental Fuch-160% Retail d D&D Fund Payments -100% Retail	<u>s v</u>	<u>0</u> 00	00	<u>9 8</u>	<u>о</u> :	
	e Adj Total Fuel Const. & Net Power Transactions - Excluding 100%, Retail Items (C4a-C4b-C4a-C4d)	, ,		2	<u> </u>	>	
		S 361,267,042 S	383,730,319 \$	426,035,006 5	507,035,146 5	608,115,702 \$	611,698,319
	Jurnadictional Sets % of 1 and KWS Sate (Lac B - 6) 6 Juriadictional Total Fuel Coast & Net Power Transactions (Line C4e.x C5 x 1.00065(b)) +(Lines C4b.c.4)	%61266.66	99.99169%	%00966.66	99.39135%	29.99230%	9998606.00
		361,473,633 5	383,947,835 5	426,294,876 5	507,320,832 5	608,464,122 5	050'160'829
	True-up Pravision for the Maath - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line C3 - Line C6)	5 169'196'56 5	3 079,561,81	(28,852,105)	(94,739,257) \$	(154,103,209)	(140,755,811)
4	8 Interest Provision for the Month (Line Di 0) 9 Trans and Present Prevision Base of Basical Association Present.	5 (500,596) 5	1618'072)	(202,258)	5 (199'611)	(3557,358)	(812,852)
	2. A structure water structure begins to recent - Over(United) according by Defended Terretin Beninder of Denied - Over(United) Denovement	S (79.32.258) S	22.769.025 5	47,292,364 5	24,848,189 5	(63,600,543) \$	(211,660,921)
	<ul> <li>Determine the system of a state of the system of the system</li></ul>	\$ (authorizi) \$	6,610,188 55	2 291'019'9	6(01)'920'171) 9(01)'930'171)	(121,036,106) 5 6,610,188 5	(121,036,106) 6,610,188
=	b) FINGE TECHOID INSERTING TREE AND						
	C10)	5 (98,267,081) S	(73,743,742) \$	(719,187,917)	(184,636,649) \$	332,697,027) S	(467,655,502)

CALCULATION OF ACTUAL TRUE UP AMOUNT FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBE

_		ľ	i						
	JNE	ε	-	(8)	(6)	en la			
~].	NO.			ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	(12)	([])
<	Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions		$\frac{1}{1}$	NV NV	SEP	OCT	NUN	ES LIMATED	TOTAL
	P B Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (See line 75 helow)								PERIOD
	b Intremental Redging Costs		S OFFICE	6-18,733,841	528, JS6, 637	S 311/7#2/116			
	c Nucleur Fuel Disposal Costs	• •	22.025	501.005	64/11/69	50.005	2001145746	380.918.998	5,849,864,861
-	d Scherer Coal Cara Depreciation & Return	4 :	5 ft 7 070	015,670,1	530,519,1	1.760 T201		50.005	691,526
	e Blank Line	n :	214.830 5	5 100/282	0-1.162	THE DEC S		2029.287	22,118,840
	f DOE D&D Fund Payment	х v	5 0	0	e		875°27	S 225.703	276,958,5 3
	2 a Fuel Cost of Power Sold (Per A6)	× 1	<u>s</u>	0	0			5 •	
	b Gains from Off-System Sales	ч С (74	20,4291, S	2(358,417,61)	(4,144,8,141)	5 15 65 10 S	0	S 0	
	3 a Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Per A7)	ž į	1 7/PMA) 5	(1.47i, 760) S	(365.770).	5 LEV 141	(111-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11	S(11)972587121 5	(040,795,76)
	b Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (Per A8)			28.959,000	127,751,725	26.557.991	(1.2001.01)	5 (172371) 5	(18,692,207)
	c Blank Line	, cm,	5 207-00	211,732,000  5	19.668.0001	S 17.601 mm	Sec. Contrast	25.25,915 5	315,364,084
_	4 Energy Cost of Economy Punchases (Per A9)		2	<u>&lt;</u>	a	2	- MINT-TALING	20,594,mig 15	214,292,668
	5 Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions		S (17)	10.226.713 5	16.050.112	2 140,094,051 2	11 726 4 50	<b>9</b>	0
_	6 Adjustments to Fuel Cast	060/05	31.461 5	695,722,527 5	589,911,879	5612 791 950 S	10	9.682.775	94,770,014
	a Sales to Fla Keys Elect Coup (FKEC) & Civ of Key West (CZ V).			_			430,134,592	5 413,666,127 5	6,383,852,116
	b Energy Imbalance Fuel Revenues - Account 456.225		201628-07	(6.06x.265) S	16.150.6201	Shur 8820 0			
	c Inventory Adjustments	± .	5 (	5 2	-			\$ (((6)))(1)	(68.684,320)
	d Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms - Docket No. 13092	יד ד מע	2 0 4 0 1	0	0			• •	(2,051,728)
	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	24 199 2	S 717-0	s	0	=	e -	<u>s</u>	15,838
4				069,054,262 [5	583,731,259	\$ 902'E\$8'655	424.658.416	5 0 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	285,195
•	t Envirational and a set of the Sales							400///7/174 [2	6,313,417,301
	Sets for bound KWh Sales	S 9,757,418	1.917 <	2 10 10 10 VI					
	Sub-True Cate Control FKEC & CKW)	ч 2	5.667 S	SI MM GHO, I THEY I	0.0011811121100	9 0001112 050 6	8.624.742.000	8 314 100 miles	
-		5 9.758,09	9,604 5	10.471 558 000 6	5 (KHIÝ)72	S 000768F	427.000 S		105,852,773,165
-	Investment of a second s				2 000'01/'teri'ni	9,651,202,000 5	8,625,169,000 5	8.314 715 MM	209,800,0
	Jurtsectional % of Total Sales (B1/B3)	66'66	%69E	%10599.99					767, 145, 943, CUI
ų	Trae-un Calculation.				M 1966-64	3669465	%50566'66	%87696.99	99 9817044
	Juris Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)								LLI CEPT
7	Furt Adjustment Revenues Not Anothership of Bourt	5 544,703	5.015 S	66u.772.394 S	642.5×4.355  5	611.077 vel 1			
	a Prior Period True-up (Collected/Refunded This Period	ų					240.8-240.5	527.188.MN 5	6.239.473.610
	b GPIF, Net of Revenue Tates (a)	(9'9) <	1.188) S	2 (4810.188) S	(6.010,188)	ifi 610 (Kine)			
	c Prior Period True-up (Collected)Refunded This Period	6+/]	5 (895.6	5 (395,047)	S (345-64-2)	2 492 56X1 S	(240 C10 C10 C10 C10 C10 C10 C10 C10 C10 C1	16,610,1KS) S	(19.322,258)
-	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	371.113		(24,207,2211,5	124,207,22115	(24.207.22F) S	S (SOCKER)	1749,568) 5	(8,994,819)
4	<ul> <li>Adjusted Total Fuel Costs &amp; Net Fower Transactions (Line A-7)</li> </ul>		2 67	629,205,413 5	611,017,378 5	580,360,884 \$	515 105 act 1	(24.207.221) 5	(121.036,106)
_	b Nuclear Fuel Expense - 100% Retail (Acet. 518.111)	009/790	2 282.0	689,654,262 5	5 652,167,E82	559,853,706 14		S E06 179 cct	6,030,120,427
	c RTP Incremental Fuel -100% Retail	9 <b>1</b> 4	<u>× •</u>	<u>s</u>	5 13	5 0	2 919'9co'676	408,771,134 5	6.313.417,301
	d Luceu Frand Payments -100% Retail * A drittered from controls	רצו	<u>a 2</u>	<u>s</u> :	0	0		<u>s</u>	0
	- row row rust costs & rest rower Transactions - Excluding 100% Retail Items (C4a-C4b-C4c-C4d)		<u>.</u>	-	<u>s</u>	6 <u>S</u>	0	<u>~ ~</u>	0
~	Jurialiticanal Sales & of Total EUR Color of the A	<b>5</b> 682,866,	2 686	689.654.262			<u> </u>		
•	Jurisdictional Total Fire! Over 4 Nor Barres (Line 5-0)	166.66	269	20.99501%	C 607 10/ 00	529,853,706 5	424,658,416 5	408.771.114 S	-01 EIV EIZ Y
	1.00065(b)) +(Lines C4b.c.d)				AL IDACK.CO	99.99493%	%50566.66	×81E66.66	%61E66.66
~		5 683,267,	2 202	690,068,101 5	184 080 340 4				
-	True-up Provision for the Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line C2, Line C2)				el Ancimonium-	200,189,208 IS	424,913,410 5	\$ \$65,011,904	6,317,128,564
* *	Interest Provision for the Month (Line D10)	5 (145,922,4 5 (1,094,7	477) S 72215	(60,862,688) \$ (1 366 91915	26,937,009 5	20,171.676 5	20,392,554	201010	
	L True-up & Unstein Fronsian Deg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	S (346.619.1	2 (201	4 (717.004.1)	51(525(1821)	(1.133.295) 5	2 (66,513)		(287,008,137)
Ξ	<ul> <li>Determined Final Properties of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery</li> <li>Prior Period Truck Colored in Letter 2010 - 0.1</li> </ul>	5 (121,036,1	106) 5	2 (105,020,107)	(229'926'915)	(461,825,561)	2 (11,969,771)	> VULL 0CT 19C)	(9.040,265)
	b Prior Period True-up Consection (Acctuated) That Period	5 6.610,1	188 5	6,610,188 15	(121,030,106) 5 6.610,100 F	(121,036,106) 5	(121,036,106) \$	21(001,0001,000)	(1952,226,47)
=	End of Period Net True-up Amount Overf(Inder) Provided Finance		~	24,207,221 5	24 207 721 5	2 302 302 30	6,610,188 5	6,610,188 5	(001,050,121)
	C10) כו אינינייא איניייא אינייא איניא אינ				* Fart	C 177/07'+7	24,207,221 5	24,207,221 5	121.036,106
		· · · · · · · · · · · ·		the second se			-		

[296.048,402]

(296,048,402)

(412,756,446

(778,200,112)

(582,861,667) 5

(639,374,761) 5

(608,062,563) 5

#### SCHEDULE E - 1C

#### CALCULATION OF GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR AND TRUE - UP FACTOR FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 2009 - DECEMBER 2009

1. TOTAL AMOUNT OF ADJUSTMENTS:	301,431,974
A. GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE REWARD (PENALTY)	\$5,383,572
B. TRUE-UP (OVER)/UNDER RECOVERED	\$ 296,048,402

2. TOTAL JURISUICTIONAL SALES (MWH)
-------------------------------------

105,989,914

3. ADJUSTMENT FACTORS c/kWh:	0.2844
A. GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR	0.0051
B. TRUE-UP FACTOR	0.2793

|--|

100.00

#### DETERMINATION OF FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR TIME OF USE RATE SCHEDULES

JANUARY 2009 - MAY 2009

NET ENERGY FOR LOAD (%)

<b>, , , , , , , , , ,</b>	•	
		FUEL COST (%)
ON PEAK	31.07	34.90
OFF PEAK	68.93	65.10

100.00

#### FUEL RECOVERY CALCULATION

	TOTAL	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
1 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS	\$6,896,364,025	\$2,406,914,221	\$4,489,449,804
2 MWH SALES	106,126,486	32,978,092	73,148,394
3 COST PER KWH SOLD	6.4982	7.2985	6.1375
4 JURISDICTIONAL LOSS FACTOR	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056
5 JURISDICTIONAL FUEL FACTOR	6.5019	7.3026	6.1409
6 TRUE-UP	0.2793	0.2793	0.2793
7			
8 TOTAL	6.7812	7.5819	6.4202
9 REVENUE TAX FACTOR	1.00072	1.00072	1.00072
10 RECOVERY FACTOR	6.7861	7.5874	6.4248
11 GPIF	0.0051	0.0051	0.0051
11a FUEL SAVINGS DUE TO WCEC 1&2	(0.0469)	(0.0469)	(0.0469)
12 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	6.7443	7.5456	6.3830
13 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 c/KWH	6.744	7.546	6.383
HOURS: ON-PEAK	24.74	%	

URS:	ON-PEAK	24.74
	OFF-PEAK	75.26

6a

%

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY		SCHEDULE E - 1D Page 1 of 2	
DETERMINATION OF FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR TIME OF USE RATE SCHEDULES			
JUNE 2009 - OCTOBER 2009			
NET ENERGY FOR LOAD (%)			
		FUEL COST (%)	
ON PEAK	31.07	34.90	
OFF PEAK	68.93	65.10	
	100.00	100.00	

#### FUEL RECOVERY CALCULATION

	TOTAL	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
1 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS	\$6,896,364,025	\$2,406,914,221	\$4,489,449,804
2 MWH SALES	106,126,486	32,978,092	73,148,394
3 COST PER KWH SOLD	6.4982	7.2985	6.1375
4 JURISDICTIONAL LOSS FACTOR	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056
5 JURISDICTIONAL FUEL FACTOR	6.5019	7.3026	6.1409
6 TRUE-UP	0.2793	0.2793	0.2793
7		•	
8 TOTAL	6.7812	7.5819	6.4202
9 REVENUE TAX FACTOR	1.00072	1.00072	1.00072
10 RECOVERY FACTOR	6.7861	7.5874	6.4248
11 GPIF	0.0051	0.0051	0.0051
11a FUEL SAVINGS DUE TO WCEC 1&2	(0.1880)	(0.1880)	(0.1880)
12 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	6.6032	7.4045	6.2419
13 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 c/KWH	6.603	7.405	6.242
	04.74	D/	

HOURS:	ON-PEAK	24.74	%
	OFF-PEAK	75.26	%

6b

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPAN	ΙY		SCHEDULE E - 1D
ETERMINATION OF FUEL RECOVERY FA TIME OF USE RATE SCHEDULES	ACTOR		Page 1 of 2
NOVEMBER 2009 - DECEMBER 2009	9		
NET ENERGY FOR LOAD (%)			
			FUEL COST (%)
ON PEAK OFE PEAK	31.07		34.90
	00.93		65.10
	100.00		100.00
FUEL	RECOVERY CALCU	ULATION	
	TOTAL	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS	\$6,896,364,025	\$2,406,914,221	\$4,489,449,804
	106,126,486	32,978,092	73,148,394
	0,4982	1.2985	6,1375 1,00056
JURISDICTIONAL FUEL FACTOR	6,5019	7.3026	6.1409
TRUE-UP	0.2793	0.2793	0.2793
TOTAL	6 7812	7 5819	6 4202
REVENUE TAX FACTOR	1.00072	1.00072	1.00072
RECOVERY FACTOR	6.7861	7.5874	6.4248
GPIF	0.0051	0.0051	0.0051
a FUEL SAVINGS DUE TO WCEC 1&2	(0.3160)	(0.3160)	(0.3160)
	6.4752	7.2765	6.1139
TO NEAREST .001 c/KWH	6.475	7.277	6.114
HOURS: ON-PEAK	24.74	%	
OFF-PEAK	75.26	%	

6c

#### SCHEDULE E - 1D Page 2 of 2

OFF-PEAK

#### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

#### DETERMINATION OF SEASONAL DEMAND TIME OF USE RIDER (SDTR) FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS

# ON PEAK: JUNE 2009 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2009 - WEEKDAYS 3:00 PM TO 6:00 PM OFF PEAK: ALL OTHER HOURS

	NET ENERGY FOR LOAD (%)	FUEL COST (%)
ON PEAK	24.00	26.92
OFF PEAK	76.00	73.08
	100.00	100.00

#### SDTR FUEL RECOVERY CALCULATION

TOTAL

ON-PEAK

1 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS	\$6,896,364,025	\$1,856,207,757	\$5,040,156,268
2 MWH SALES	106,126,486	25,470,697	80,655,789
3 COST PER KWH SOLD	6.4982	7.2876	6.2490
4 JURISDICTIONAL LOSS FACTOR	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056
5 JURISDICTIONAL FUEL FACTOR	6.5019	7.2917	6.2525
6 TRUE-UP	0.2793	0.2793	0.2793
7			
8 TOTAL	6.7812	7.5710	6.5318
9 REVENUE TAX FACTOR	1.00072	1.00072	1.00072
10 SDTR RECOVERY FACTOR	6.7861	7.5765	6.5365
11 GPIF	0.0051	0.0051	0.0051
11a FUEL SAVINGS DUE TO WCEC 1 & 2	(0.1880)	(0.1880)	(0.1880)
12 SDTR RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	6.6032	7.3936	6.3536
13 SDTR RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 c/KWH	6.603	7.394	6.354

HOURS:	ON-PEAK	19.77	%
,	OFF-PEAK	80.23	%

Note: All other months served under the otherwise applicable rate schedule. See Schedule E-1D, Page 1 of 2.

#### FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP (ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)

#### SCHEDULE E - 1E Page 1 of 2

#### JANUARY 2009 - MAY 2009

(1)	(2) RATE	(3) AVERAGE	(4) FUEL RECOVERY	(5) FUEL RECOVERY
GROUP	SCHEDULE	FACTOR	LOSS MULTIPLIER	FACTOR
А	RS-1 first 1,000 kWh	6.744	1.00183	6.413
	all additional kWh	6.744	1.00183	7.413
А	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1, WIES-1	6.744	1.00183	6.757
A-1*	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1	6.569	1.00183	6.581
в	GSD-1	6.744	1.00178	6.756
С	GSLD-1 & CS-1	6.744	1.00078	6.750
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2 & MET	6.744	0.99318	6.698
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	6.744	0.95923	6.469
А	RST-1, GST-1 ON-PEAK	7.546	1.00183	7.559
	OFF-PEAK	6.383	1.00183	6.395
в	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), ON-PEAK	7.546	1.00177	7.559
	HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) OFF-PEAK	6.383	1.00177	6.394
с	GSLDT-1, CST-1, ON-PEAK	7.546	1.00093	7.553
	HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) OFF-PEAK	6.383	1.00093	6.389
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, ON-PEAK	7,546	0.99481	7.506
	HLFT-3 (2,000+) OFF-PEAK	6.383	0.99481	6.350
E	GSLDT-3.CST-3, ON-PEAK	7,546	0.95923	7.238
	CILC -1(T) OFF-PEAK & ISST-1(T)	6.383	0.95923	6.123
۴	CILC -1(D) & ON-PEAK	7.546	0.99371	7.498
	ISST-1(D) OFF-PEAK	6.383	0.99371	6.343

WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

#### FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP (ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)

SCHEDULE E - 1E Page 1 of 2

the second s

#### JUNE 2009 - OCTOBER 2009

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	RATE	AVERAGE	FUEL RECOVERY	FUEL RECOVERY
GROUP	SCHEDULE	FACTOR	LOSS MULTIPLIER	FACTOR
Δ	RS-1 first 1,000 kWh	6 603	1 00183	6 272
	all additional kWh	6 603	1 00183	7 272
		0.000	100100	1.616
А	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1, WIES-1	6.603	1.00183	6.615
A-1*	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1	6.428	1.00183	6.440
Б	CSD 1	6 602	1 00179	6 04 F
0	000-1	0.003	1.00176	0.010
С	GSLD-1 & CS-1	6.603	1.00078	6 608
				0.000
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2	6.603	0.99318	6.558
	& MET			
-		0.000		/
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	6.603	0.95923	6.334
`				
А	RST-1. GST-1 ON-PEAK	7.405	1.00183	7 418
	OFF-PEAK	6.242	1.00183	6.253
В	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), ON-PEAK	7.405	1.00177	7.418
	HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) OFF-PEAK	6.242	1.00177	6.253
<u>^</u>		7 405	4.00000	- 444
C	HIET 2 (500 1 000 kW/) OFF DEAK	7.405	1.00093	7.411
	112-1-2 (500-1,999 KW) OFF-PEAK	0,242	1.00095	0.248
p	GSLDT-2, CST-2, ON-PEAK	7,405	0.99481	7.366
	HLFT-3 (2,000+) OFF-PEAK	6.242	0.99481	6.209
E	GSLDT-3,CST-3, ON-PEAK	7.405	0.95923	7.103
	CILC -1(T) OFF-PEAK	6.242	0.95923	5.987
	& ISST-1(T)			
F		7 405	0 09371	7 358
1	ISST-1(D) OFF-PFAK	6 242	0.99371	6 203
			0.000.1	0.200

* WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

SCHEDULE E - 1E Page 1 of 2

#### FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP (ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)

#### NOVEMBER 2009 - DECEMBER 2009

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
		AVERAGE		
GROUP	SCHEDOLE	FACTOR	LOGG MOLTIPEIER	PACION
А	RS-1 first 1,000 kWh	6.475	1.00183	6.144
	all additional kWh	6.475	1.00183	7.144
А	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1, WIES-1	6.475	1.00183	6.487
A-1*	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1	6.300	1.00183	6.312
в	GSD-1	6.475	1.00178	6.487
С	GSLD-1 & CS-1	6.475	1.00078	6.480
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2 & MET	6.475	0.99318	6.431
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	6.475	0.95923	6.211
А	RST-1, GST-1 ON-PEAK	7.277	1.00183	7.290
	OFF-PEAK	6.114	1.00183	6.125
B	GSDT-1 CILC-1(G) ON-PEAK	7 277	1.00177	7,289
-	HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) OFF-PEAK	6.114	1.00177	6.125
•		7 077	4 00000	7.000
C	GSLD1-1, CS1-1, ON-PEAK HLFT-2 (500-1 999 kW) OFF-PEAK	7.277	1.00093	7.283 6.120
		0.114	1.00000	0.120
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, ON-PEAK	7.277	0.99481	7.239
	HLFT-3 (2,000+) OFF-PEAK	6.114	0.99481	6.082
Е	GSLDT-3,CST-3, ON-PEAK	7.277	0.95923	6.980
	CILC -1(T) OFF-PEAK	6.114	0.95923	5.865
	& ISST-1(T)			
F	CILC -1(D) & ON-PEAK	7.277	0.99371	7.231
•	ISST-1(D) OFF-PEAK	6.114	0.99371	6.075

• WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

#### SCHEDULE E - 1E Page 2 of 2

#### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

#### DETERMINATION OF SEASONAL DEMAND TIME OF USE RIDER (SDTR) FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS

# ON PEAK: JUNE 2009 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2009 - WEEKDAYS 3:00 PM TO 6:00 PM OFF PEAK: ALL OTHER HOURS

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) SDTR
GROUP	OTHER RAT	VISE APPLICABLE E SCHEDULE	AVERAGE FACTOR	FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
в	GSD(T)-1	ON-PEAK	7.394 6.354	1.00178	7.407 6.365
c	GSI D(T)-1		7 394	1 00084	7.400
0		OFF-PEAK	6.354	1.00084	6.359
D	GSLD(T)-2	ON-PEAK	7.394	0.99488	7.356
		OFF-PEAK	6,354	0.99488	0.321

Note: All other months served under the otherwise applicable rate schedule. See Schedule E-1E, Page 1 of 2.

#### Florida Power & Light Company 2007 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class

Line No	Rate Class	Voltage Level (Note 1)	Delivered MWH Sales	Expansion Factor	Delivered Energy at Generation	Delivered Efficiency	Losses	Fuel Cost Recovery Multiplier
1 RS-1		S	55,029,412	1.06901375	58,827,198	0.935442	3,797,786	1.00183
2 3 CILC-1	D	Р	1,121,774	1.04443473	1,171,619	0.957456	49,846	
4 CILC-1	D	S	2,058,416	1.06901375	2,200,475	0.935442	142,059	
5 CILC-1	D Total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3,180,190	1.06034380	3,372,094	0.943090	191,905	0.99371
6	-		<u>^</u>	4 0 4 4 9 4 7 9	0	0.000000	0	
7 CILC-1	G	۲ و	0 216 344	1.04443473	231 274	0.000000	14 931	
	G Total		216,344	1.06901375	231,274	0.935442	14,931	1.00183
10			210,044	1.00001010				
11 CILC-1 12	т	т	1,483,223	1.02355239	1,518,156	0.976990	34,933	0.95923
13 CS-1		P	25,688	1.04443473	26,830	0.957456	1,141	
14 CS-1		S	167,804	1.06901375	179,385	0.935442	11,581	
15 CS-1 T	otal		193,493	1.06575059	206,215	0.938306	12,722	0,99878
16		_			60 60 I	0.057450	4 405	
17 CS-2		P	31,619	1.04443473	33,024	0.957456	1,405	
18 US-2 40 CS-2 T	atol		00,002 85 501	1.00901375	90.624	0.933442	5 124	0.99332
19 03-2 10			80,001	1,00332410	50,024	0.340404		0.0000
21 CS-3		T	13,073	1.02355239	13,380	0.976990	308	0.95923
23 GS-1		S	6,177,278	1.06901375	6,603,595	0.935442	426,317	1.00183
25 GSCU-1	1	S	53,438	1.06901375	57,126	0.935442	3,688	1.00183
27 GSD-1		Р	53,541	1.04443473	55,920	0.957456	2,379	
28 GSD-1		S	23,306,452	1.06901375	24,914,918	0.935442	1,608,466	
29 GSD-1	Total		23,359,993	1.06895742	24,970,838	0.935491	1,610,845	1.00178
31 GSLD-1		Р	246,478	1.04443473	257,430	0.957456	10,952	
32 GSLD-1		S	5,476,249	1.06901375	5,854,186	0.935442	377,937	
33 GSLD-1	Total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5,722,727	1.06795513	6,111,616	0.936369	388,889	1.00084
35 GSLD-2		Р	277,986	1.04443473	290,338	0.957456	12,352	
36 GSLD-2		S	642,906	1.06901375	687,275	0.935442	44,369	0.00400
37 GSLD-2	Total		920,891	1.06159418	977,613	0.941980	56,722	0.99488
38 39 GSLD-3		т	246,708	1.02355239	252,519	0.976990	5,811	0.95923
ю И НІЕТ-1		Р	11 578	1 04443473	12.092	0.957456	514	
2 HLFT-1		S	1,185,975	1.06901375	1,267,824	0.935442	81,849	
13 HLFT-1	Total	······································	1,197,553	1.06877612	1,279,916	0.935650	82,363	1.00161
14 15 HLFT-2		Р	154.905	1.04443473	161.788	0.957456	6.883	
46_HLFT-2			4,824,038	1.06901375	5,156,963	0.935442	332,925	
THLFT-2	Total	·····	4,978,943	1.06824905	5,318,751	0.936111	339,808	1.00112
48		<u> </u>				0.057450	44.070	
19 HLFT-3		P	316,839	1.04443473	330,918	0.957455	14,079	
	Total	<u> </u>	1 046 400	1.06901375	1 110 934	0.941999	64 435	0,99486
52	i v(d)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,040,488	1.00101210	1,110,334	0.041000		5,00-100
3 MET		Р	91,321	1.04443473	95,378	0.957456	4,058	0.97880

8a

### Florida Power & Light Company 2007 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class

Line No	Rate Class	Voltage Level (Note 1)	Delivered MWH Sales	Expansion Factor	Delivered Energy at Generation	Delivered Efficiency	Losses	Fuel Cost Recovery Multiplier
55 56	OL-1	S	107,079	1.06901375	114,469	0.935442	7,390	1.00183
57 58	OS-2 OS-2	P S	18,373 -	1.04443473 1.06901375	19,190 -	0.957456 0.000000	816	
59	OS-2 Total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18,373	1.04443473	19,190	0.957456	816	0.97880
60 61	STDR-1	P	450	1.04443473	470	0.957456	20	
62	STDR-1	S	215,749	1.06901375	230,638	0.935442	14,890	4 00470
63   64	STDR-1 Total		216,199	1.06896258	231,108	0.935486	14,910	1.00178
65	STDR-2	P	48,889	1.04443473	51,061	0.957456	2,172	
66	STDR-2	S	200,515	1.06901375	214,353	0.935442	13,838	0.0070
67 68	STDR-2 Total		249,403	1.06419570	265,414	0.939677	16,011	0.99732
69	STDR-3	Р	32,334	1.04443473	33,770	0.957456	1,437	
70	STDR-3	S	34,066	1.06901375	36,417	0.935442	2,351	
71	STDR-3 Total		66,399	1.05704488	70,187	0.946034	3,788	0.99062
73 74	SL-1	S	473,449	1.06901375	506,123	0.935442	32,674	1.00183
75 76	SL-2	S	55,336	1.06901375	59,155	0.935442	3,819	1.00183
77	SST-1D	Р	5,346	1.04443473	5,584	0.957456	238	
78	SST-1D	S	0	1.06901375	0	0.000000	0	0.07000
79 [ 20	SSI-1D Iotal		5,346	1.04443473	5,584	0.957456	238	0.97880
31 : 32	SST-1T	т	86,461	1.02355239	88,497	0.976990	2,036	0.95923
33 <u> </u> 34	Rate Class Groups -							
35 36	CILC-1D / CILC-1G		3,396,533	1.06089603	3,603,369	0,942599	206,835	0.99423
7 8	GSDT-1 / HLFT-1		24,557,546	1.06894858	26,250,754	0.935499	1,693,208	1.00177
9	GSDT-1, CILC-1G & HLFT-1		24,773,890	1.06894915	26,482,028	0.935498	1,708,139	1.00177
1 2	GSLD-1 / CS-1		5,916,220	1.06788303	6,317,831	0.936432	401,611	1.00078
3 4	GSLDT-1, CST-1 & HLFT-2		10,895,163	1.06805030	11,636,582	0.936285	741,419	1.00093
15 16	GSLD-2 / CS-2		1,006,392	1.06145230	1,068,237	0.942105	61,845	0.99475
97 98	GSLD1-2, CS1-2 & HLF1-3		2,052,891	1.06151341	2,179,171	0.942051	66 719	0.99401
)0 11	GSLD-2, CS-2, CS-2 & MET		259 781	1.02355239	265 899	0.976990	6.118	0.95923
2			4 742 002	1 02355239	1.784.055	0.976990	41,052	0.95923
13	GSLDT-3, CST-3 & CILC-1T		1,743,003	1.02000203				
)3 )4 :5	GSLDT-3, CST-3 & CILC-1T OL-1 / SL-1		580,528	1.06901375	620,593	0.935442	40,064	1.00183

8b

# Florida Power & Light Company 2007 Actual Energy Losses by Rate Class

Line No	Rate Class	Voltage Level (Note 1)	Delivered MWH Sales	Expansion Factor	Delivered Energy at Generation	Delivered Efficiency	Losses	Fuel Cost Recovery Multiplier
109	Total FPSC		105,274,631	1.06765472	112,396,957	0.936632	7,122,325	1.00056
111	Total FERC Sales		1,454,667	1.02355239	1,488,928	0.976990	34,261	
113	Total Company		106,729,298	1.06705362	113,885,884	0.937160	7,156,586	
114 115	Company Use		129,737	1.06901375	138,691	0.935442	8,954	
117	Total FPL		106,859,035	1.06705600	114,024,575	0.937158	7,165,540	1.00000
119	Summary of Sales by Voltage:		1.					
21 22	Transmission		3,284,131	1.02355239	3,361,480	0.976990	77,349	
23 24	Primary		2,437,120	1.04443473	2,545,413	0.957456	108,293	
25 26	Secondary		101,008,047	1.06901375	107,978,991	0.935442	6,970,944	
27	Total		106.729.298	1.06705362	113.885.884	0.937160	7 156 586	

129

130 Note 1:

131 T = Transmission Voltage

132P = Primary Voltage133S = Secondary Voltage

	FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2009 - MAY 2009											
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)				
L	INE NO.	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	SUB-TOTAL	NO.			
2 <b>1</b>	A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION 1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL 1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT 1c ADJUSTMENT FOR WEST COUNTY 1 & 2 1d DOE DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING COSTS 1e INCREMENTAL HEDGING COSTS 2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD 2a GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES 3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER 3a QUALIFYING FACILITIES 4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES 4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW 5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4) 6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (#/KWH) 7 COST PER KWH SOLD (#/KWH) 7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	JANUARY \$407,161,518 2,029,287 227,871 13,737,500 0 51,942 (17,641,350) (3,434,011) 29,345,542 20,800,000 5,549,380 (5,540,213) \$452,287,465 8,394,330 5.3880 1.00056	FEBRUARY \$360,159,134 1,832,904 226,008 13,737,500 0 51,942 (14,113,749) (3,547,385) 27,880,979 17,852,000 2,896,743 (5,576,844) \$401,399,232 7,536,675 5.3259 1.00056	ESTIMATED MARCH \$436,696,407 1,546,366 224,145 13,737,500 0 88,438 (12,887,790) (1,767,209) 27,299,381 21,403,000 8,382,845 (5,474,473) \$489,248,610 7,664,649 6.3832 1.00056	APRIL \$459,222,843 1,793,384 222,283 13,737,500 0 53,520 (9,452,595) (912,002) 26,809,590 9,704,000 11,013,710 (5,821,752) \$506,370,481 7,603,911 6.6593 1.00056	MAY \$569,090,084 1,498,625 220,420 13,737,500 0 53,520 (7,127,668) (765,451) 29,430,031 21,327,100 13,743,720 (6,168,880) \$635,039,001 8,491,409 7,4786 1,00056	JUNE \$593,429,664 1,900,150 218,558 13,737,500 0 53,520 (7,054,681) (811,740) (811,740) (811,740) (811,743) (6,637,259) \$650,600,650 9,526,718 6.8292 1.00056	6 MONTH SUB-TOTAL \$2,825,759,649 10,600,716 1,339,285 82,425,000 0 352,882 (68,277,834) (11,237,798) 168,299,137 112,240,993 48,663,828 (35,219,419) \$3,134,945,438 49,217,692 6.3695 1.00056	LINE NO. A1 1a 1b 1c 1d 1e 2a 3 3a 4 4 4a 5 6 7 7			
	7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	5.3910	5.3289	6.3868	6.6631	7,4828	6.8330	6.3731	7b			
	9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.2941	0.3276	0.3221	0.3247	0,2907	0.2593	0.3010	9			
	10 TOTAL	5.6851	5.6565	6.7089	6.9878	7.7735	7.0923	6.6741	10			
	11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0041	0.0041	0.0048	0.0050	0.0056	0.0051	0.0048	11			
	12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	5.6892	5.6606	6.7137	6.9928	7.7791	7.0974	6.6789	12			
	13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0053	0.0060	0.0059	0.0059	0.0053	0.0047	0.0055	13			
	13a JURISDICTIONALIZED SAVINGS-WCEC 1&2	(0.0444)	(0.0494)	(0.0486)	(0.0490)	(0.0439)	0.0000	(0.0469)	) 13a			
	14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	5.6501	5.6172	6.6710	6.9497	7.7405	7.1021	6.6375	14			
	15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	5.650	5.617	6.671	6.950	7.741	7.102	6.638	15			

		FUEL & PL	FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2009 - MAY 2009								
	ING	(h)	(i)	(j) Estimated	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n) 12 MONTH			
	NO.	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	PERIOD	NO.		
	A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$683,941,154	\$692,871,394	\$639,279,703	\$569,416,842	\$417,071,062	\$385,933,690	\$6,214,273,493	A1		
	1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	1,979,519	1,979,519	1,915,663	1,874,120	1,496,482	1,982,553	\$21,828,572	1a		
	15 COAL CAR INVESTMENT	216,695	214,833	212,970	211,108	209,245	207,383	\$2,611,519	1b		
	1c ADJUSTMENT FOR WEST COUNTY 1 & 2	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	\$164,850,000	1c		
	1d DOE DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0	1d		
		53 520	74 028	53 520	53,520	53,520	53.520	\$694.510	1e		
	2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(6 334 043)	(14 231 863)	(4 250 289)	(5 472 641)	(6 711.083)	(10.812.349)	(\$116.090.101)	2		
	2 GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES	(634 111)	(1 653 071)	(353 664)	(478 138)	(1.204.331)	(2.886.686)	(\$18,447,799)	2a		
	3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	31 089 900	31 131 591	29 712 418	30 849 652	30 106 446	30,141,600	\$351,329,743	3		
	22 OUALIEVING FACILITIES	22 820 000	23 183 000	21 679 000	19 694 000	15 956 000	20,380,000	\$235,952,993	3a		
		0 044 038	8 946 982	17 323 707	17 029 993	7 883 060	6 490 247	\$116 281 945	4		
		(7 212 506)	(7 338 510)	(7 468 260)	(7 252 161)	(6 511 495)	(5 918 406)	(\$76,920,848)	4a		
		(1,212,000) 									
	5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$749,601,576	\$748,915,402	\$711,842,357	\$639,663,794	\$472,086,406	\$439,309,052	\$6,896,364,025	5		
	6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH) (Eyr) sales to EKEC / CKW)	10,261,393	10,257,659	10,640,511	8,965,734	8,658,115	8,125,387	106,126,486	6		
9	7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)	7.3051	7.3010	6.6899	7.1345	5.4525	5.4066	6.4982	7		
9	7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	7a		
	7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	7.3092	7.3051	6.6937	7.1385	5.4556	5.4097	6.5019	7b		
	9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.2409	0.2410	0.2323	0.2758	0.2852	0.3038	0.2793	9		
	10 TOTAL	7.5501	7.5461	6.9260	7.4143	5.7408	5.7135	6.7812	10		
	11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0054	0.0054	0.0050	0.0053	0.0041	0.0041	0.0049	11		
	12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	7.5555	7.5515	6.9310	7.4196	5.7449	5.7176	6.7861	12		
	13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0044	0.0044	0.0042	0.0050	0.0052	0.0055	0.0051	13		
-	13a JURISDICTIONALIZED SAVINGS-WCEC 1&2	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	(0.0469)	13a		
	14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	7.5599	7.5559	6.9352	7.4246	5.7501	5.7231	6.7443	14		
	15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	7.560	7.556	6.935	7.425	5.750	5.723	6.744	15		

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

I

1

SCHEDULE E2

ŧ

1

1

.

]

1

I

~

l

• •		FUEL & P	SCHEDULE E2 Page 1 of 2						
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	
	LINE		ECRELLARY	ESTIMATED ~		MAV		6 MONTH	
		0/010/01		MARCOTT		INICA I	JOILE	000-101/16	1.0.
	A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$407,161,518	\$360,159,134	\$436,696,407	\$459,222,843	\$569,090,084	\$593,429,664	\$2,825,759,649	A1
	1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	2,029,287	1,832,904	1,546,366	1,793,384	1,498,625	1,900,150	10,600,716	1a
	1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT	227,871	226,008	224,145	222,283	220,420	218,558	1,339,285	1b
	1c ADJUSTMENT FOR WEST COUNTY 1 & 2	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	82,425,000	1c
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1d
1. 1.		E4 040	F1 040	00 400	E2 E20	E9 E90	E2 E20	353 893	10
•	A FUEL COST OF DOWER COND	31,94Z	31,942	00,430	03,020 (0.450,505)	03,320 (7,407,608)	23,020	302,002 (60.077.024)	10
	2 FUEL CUST OF POWER SOLD	{17,041,330}	(14,113,749)	(12,007,790)	(9,452,595)	(7,127,000)	(7,004,001)	(00,277,034)	~ ~
	2a GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES	(3,434,011)	(3,547,385)	(1,767,209)	(912,002)	(765,451)	(811,740)	(11,237,798)	28
	3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	29,345,542	27,880,979	27,299,381	26,809,590	29,430,031	27,532,613	108,298,137	3
	3a QUALIFYING FACILITIES	20,800,000	17,852,000	21,403,000	9,704,000	21,327,100	21,154,893	112,240,993	- 3a
1	4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES	5,549,380	2,896,743	8,382,845	11,013,710	13,743,720	7,077,431	48,663,828	4
	4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW	(5,540,213)	(5,576,844)	(5,474,473)	(5,821,752)	(6,168,880)	(6,637,259)	(35,219,419)	4a
	5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$452,287,465	\$401,399,232	\$489,248,610	\$506,370,481	\$635,039,001	\$650,600,650	\$3,134,945,438	5
	6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH) (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	8,394,330	7,536,675	7,664,649	7,603,911	8,491,409	9,526,718	49,217,692	6
	7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)	5.3880	5.3259	6.3832	6.6593	7.4786	6.8292	6.3695	7
		1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	7a
	7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (∉/KWH)	5.3910	5.3289	6.3868	6.6631	7.4828	6.8330	6.3731	7b
÷	9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.2941	0.3276	0.3221	0.3247	0.2907	0.2593	0.3010	9
	10 T <b>OTAL</b>	5.6851	5.6565	6.7089	6.9878	7.7735	7.0923	6.6741	10
	11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0041	0.0041	0.0048	0.0050	0.0056	0.0051	0.0048	11
÷	12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	5.6892	5.6606	6.7137	6.9928	7.7791	7.0974	6.6789	12
	· 13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0053	0.0060	0.0059	0.0059	0.0053	0.0047	0.0055	13
·.	13a JURISDICTIONALIZED SAVINGS-WCEC 1&2	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	(0.1958)	(0.1958)	13a
	14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	5.6945	5.6666	6.7196	6.9987	7.7844	6.9063	6.4886	14
	15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	5.695	5.667	6.720	6.999	7.784	6.906	6.489	15

	FUEL & PU	FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION FOR THE PERIOD JUNE 2009 - OCTOBER 2009									
LINE	(h)	(i)	(j) Estimated -	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n) 12 MONTH				
NO.	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	PERIOD	NO.			
A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$683,941,154	\$692,871,394	\$639,279,703	\$569,416,842	\$417,071,062	\$385,933,690	\$6,214,273,493	A1			
1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	1,979,519	1,979,519	1,915,663	1,874,120	1,496,482	1,982,553	\$21,828,572	1a			
15 COAL CAR INVESTMENT	216,695	214,833	212,970	211,108	209,245	207,383	\$2,611,519	1b			
1c ADJUSTMENT FOR WEST COUNTY 1 & 2	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	\$164,850,000				
1c DOE DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0	1c			
1d INCREMENTAL HEDGING COSTS	53,520	74,028	53,520	53,520	53,520	53,520	\$694,510	1d			
2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(6,334,043)	(14,231,863)	(4,250,289)	(5,472,641)	(6,711,083)	(10,812,349)	(\$116,090,101)	2			
2a GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES	(634,111)	(1,653,071)	(353,664)	(478,138)	(1,204,331)	(2,886,686)	(\$18,447,799)	2a			
3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	31,089,900	31,131,591	29,712,418	30,849,652	30,106,446	30,141,600	\$351,329,743	3			
3a QUALIFYING FACILITIES	22,820,000	23,183,000	21,679,000	19,694,000	15,956,000	20,380,000	\$235,952,993	3a			
4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES	9,944,038	8,946,982	17,323,797	17,029,993	7,883,060	6,490,247	\$116,281,945	4			
4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW	(7,212,596)	(7,338,510)	(7,468,260)	(7,252,161)	(6,511,495)	(5,918,406)	(\$76,920,848)	4a			
5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$749,601,576	\$748,915,402	\$711,842,357	\$639,663,794	\$472,086,406	\$439,309,052	\$6,896,364,025	5			
6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH)     (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	10,261,393	10,257,659	10,640,511	8,965,734	8,658,115	8,125,387	106,126,486	6			
7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)	7.3051	7.3010	6.6899	7.1345	5.4525	5.4066	6.4982	7			
7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	7a			
7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	7.3092	7.3051	6.6937	7.1385	5.4556	5.4097	6.5019	7b			
9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.2409	0.2410	0.2323	0.2758	0.2852	0.3038	0.2793	9			
10 TOTAL	7.5501	7.5461	6.9260	7.4143	5.7408	5.7135	6.7812	10			
11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0054	0.0054	0.0050	0.0053	0.0041	0.0041	0.0049	11			
12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	7.5555	7.5515	6.9310	7.4196	5.7449	5.7176	6.7861	12			
13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0044	0.0044	0.0042	0.0050	0.0052	0.0055	0.0051	13			
13a JURISDICTIONALIZED SAVINGS-WCEC 1&2	(0.1819)	(0.1820)	(0.1754)	(0.2083)	0.0000	0.0000	(0.1 <b>880</b> )	)			
14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	7.3780	7.3739	6.7598	7.2163	5.7501	5.7231	6.6 <b>032</b>	14			
15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	7.378	7.374	6.7 <b>60</b>	7.216	5.750	5.723	6.603	15			

i

	FUEL & P F	FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION FOR THE PERIOD NOVEMBER 2009 - DECEMBER 2009							
LINE	(a)	(b)	(c) ESTIMATED –	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g) 6 MONTH	LINE	
NO.	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	SUB-TOTAL	NO.	
A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$407,161,518	\$360,159,134	\$436,696,407	\$459,222,843	\$569,090,084	\$593,429,664	\$2,825,759,649	<b>A</b> 1	
1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	2,029,287	1,832,904	1,546,366	1,793,384	1,498,625	1,900,150	10,600,716	1a	
1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT	227.871	226,008	224,145	222,283	220,420	218,558	1,339,285	1b	
1c ADJUSTMENT FOR WEST COUNTY 1 & 2	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	82,425,000	1c	
1d DOE DECONTAMINATION AND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1d	
LECOMMISSIONING COSTS	54 040	E4 040	00 400	F2 520	E2 E20	62 620	252.002	1.	
10 INCREMENTAL REDGING COSTS	51,942	01,942	00,430	53,520	03,020	93,920 (7.054,094)	302,002		
2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(17,641,350)	(14,113,/49)	(12,887,790)	(9,452,595)	(7,127,668)	(7,054,681)	(68,277,834)	2	
2a GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES	(3,434,011)	(3,547,385)	(1,767,209)	(912,002)	(765,451)	(811,740)	(11,237,798)	. 2a	
3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	29,345,542	27,880,979	27,299,381	26,809,590	29,430,031	27,532,613	168,298,137	3	
3a QUALIFYING FACILITIES	20,800,000	17,852,000	21,403,000	9,704,000	21,327,100	21,154,893	112,240,993	3a	
4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES	5,549,380	2,896,743	8,382,845	11,013,710	13,743,720	7,077,431	48,663,828	4	
4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW	(5,540,213)	(5,576,844)	(5,474,473)	(5,821,752)	(6,168,880)	(6,637,259)	(35,219,419)	4a	
5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$452,287,465	\$401,399,232	\$489,248,610	\$506,370,481	\$635,039,001	\$650,600,650	\$3,134,945,438	5	
6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH)	8,394,330	7,536,675	7,664,649	7,603,911	8,491,409	9,526,718	49,217,692	6	
7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)	5.3880	5.3259	6.3832	6.6593	7.4786	6.8292	6.3695	7	
7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	7a	
7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	5.3910	5.3289	6.3868	6.6631	7.4828	6.8330	6.3731	76	
9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.2941	0.3276	0.3221	0.3247	0.2907	0.2593	0.3010	9	
10 TOTAL	5.6851	5.6565	6.7089	6.9878	7.7735	7.0923	6.6741	10	
11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0041	0.0041	0.0048	0.0050	0.0056	0.0051	0.0048	11	
12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	5.6892	5.6606	6.7137	6.9928	7.7791	7.0974	6.6789	12	
13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0053	0.0060	0.0059	0.0059	0.0053	0.0047	0.0055	13	
13a JURISDICTIONALIZED SAVINGS-WCEC 1&2	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	13a	
14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	5.6945	5.6666	6.7196	6.9987	7.7844	7.1021	6.6844	14	
15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	5.695	5.667	6.720	6.999	7.784	7.102	6.684	15	

# FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

SCHEDULE E2

1

11a

ł

	FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION FOR THE PERIOD NOVEMBER 2009 - DECEMBER 2009								
	(h)	(i)	(j) ESTIMATED	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n) 12 MONTH	LINE	
NO.	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	PERIOD	NO.	
A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$683,941,154	\$692,871,394	\$639,279,703	\$569,416,842	\$417,071,062	\$385,933,690	\$6,214,273,493	A1	
1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	1,979,519	1,979,519	1,915,663	1,874,120	1,496,482	1,982,553	\$21,828,572	1a	
1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT	216,695	214.833	212.970	211 108	209,245	207,383	\$2,611,519	1b	
1c ADJUSTMENT FOR WEST COUNTY 1 & 2	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13,737,500	13.737.500	13,737,500	\$164,850,000	1c	
1d DOE DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	1d	
10 INCREMENTAL HEDGING COSTS	53 520	74 028	53 520	53.520	53.520	53,520	\$694,510	1e	
2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(6 334 043)	(14 231 863)	(4,250,289)	(5.472.641)	(6,711,083)	(10.812.349)	(\$116.090.101)	2	
22 GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES	(634 111)	(1 653 071)	(353 664)	(478,138)	(1,204,331)	(2,886,686)	(\$18,447,799)	2a	
	31 080 000	31 131 501	20 712 418	30 849 652	30 106 446	30 141 600	\$351 329 743		
	22 820 000	23 183 000	20,712,410	10 60/ 000	15 056 000	20 380 000	\$235,952,993	32	
	22,020,000	20,100,000	17 222 707	17 020 003	7 883 060	6 400 247	\$116 281 045	4	
	5,544,030	(7 330 540)	17,525,757	(7 757 161)	(C 511 405)	(E 019 40G)	/\$76 020 9/91	40	
4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FREC / CRW	( <i>12,</i> 590)	(7,338,510)	(7,408,200)	(7,252,101)	(0,511,495)	(3,910,400)	(\$70,920,040)	48	
5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$749,601,576	\$748,915,402	\$711,842,357	\$639,663,794	\$472,086,406	\$439,309,052	\$6,896,364,025	5	
6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH)	10,261,393	10,257,659	10,640,511	8,965,734	8,658,115	8,125,387	106,126,486	6	
7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)	7.3051	7.3010	6.6899	7.1345	5.4525	5.4066	6.4982	7	
7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	7a	
75 JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	7.3092	7.3051	6.6937	7.1385	5.4556	5.4097	6.5019	7b	
9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.2409	0.2410	0.2323	0.2758	0.2852	0.3038	0.2793	9	
10 TOTAL	7.5501	7.5461	6.9260	7.4143	5.7408	5.7135	6.7812	10	
11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0054	0.0054	0.0050	0.0053	0.0041	0.0041	0.0049	11	
12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	7.5555	7.5515	6.9310	7.4196	5.7449	5.7176	6.7861	12	
13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0044	0.0044	0.0042	0.0050	0.0052	0.0055	0.0051	13	
13a JURISDICTIONALIZED SAVINGS-WCEC 1&2	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	(0.3063)	(0.3263)	(0.3160)	13a	
14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	7.5599	7.5559	6.9352	7.4246	5.4438	5.3968	6.4752	14	
15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	7.560	7.556	6.935	7.425	5.444	5.397	6.475	15	
170we	er a Light Company	arating Sv	etem Com	parativo Dr	ta by Fuol	Type	Schedu Page		
-------	-----------------------------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	---------------	----------------		
	Gene	lan-19	Eeb-09	Mar-09	λης-DQ	May-09	lun 00		
	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (\$)	Jan-03	160-03	mar-05	Apros	Way-09	2011-02		
1	Heavy Oil	\$8,946,311	(\$384,145)	\$2,248,477	\$23.824.659	\$69.157.869	\$66.057.242		
2	Light Oil	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
3	Coal	\$16,270,000	\$14,412,000	\$13,677,000	\$13,185,000	\$16,146,000	\$15,510,000		
4	Gas	\$370,181,207	\$335,545,279	\$411,634,930	\$411,281,184	\$474.271.215	\$499,353,422		
5	Nuclear	\$11,764,000	\$10,586,000	\$9,136,000	\$10,932,000	\$9.515.000	\$12,509,000		
6	Total	\$407,161,518	\$360,159,134	\$436,696,407	\$459,222,843	\$569,090,084	\$593,429,664		
	System Net Generation (MWH)								
7	Heavy Oil	55,727	624	16,572	148,731	428,323	386,895		
8	Light Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0		
9	Coal	640,236	568,237	548,383	526,709	628,073	602,628		
10	Gas	4,191,087	3,931,439	4,926,310	4,930,018	5,719,802	6,050,116		
11	Nuclear	2,185,554	1,974,049	1,665,445	1,931,485	1,614,028	2,046,473		
12	Total	7,072,604	6,474,349	7,156,710	7,536,943	8,390,226	9,086,112		
	Units of Fuel Burned								
13	Heavy Oil (BBLS)	87,465	1,050	25,136	222,718	642,484	611,842		
14	Light Oil (BBLS)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
15	Coal (TONS)	345,692	308,395	309,706	300,443	343,926	330,241		
16	Gas (MCF)	31,700,100	28,728,514	36,031,376	37,366,300	43,841,164	46,121,884		
17	Nuclear (MBTU)	24,370,624	22,012,168	18,477,214	21,533,546	18,079,122	22,819,234		
	BTU Burned (MMBTU)								
18	Heavy Oil	559,779	6,717	160,869	1,425,393	4,111,896	3,915,792		
19	Light Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0		
20	Coal	6,593,673	5,860,761	5,691,844	5,527,135	6,542,032	6,280,452		
21	Gas	31,700,100	28,728,514	36,031,376	37,366,300	43,841,164	46,121,884		
22	Nuclear	24,370,624	22,012,168	18,477,214	21,533,546	18,079,122	22,819,234		
23	Total	63,224,176	56,608,160	60.361.303	65.852.374	72.574 214	79.137.362		

THE PERMIT

	Florida Powe 8/31/2008	er & Light Company	Concrating Su	stom Com	moretive D	ofe by Eur		Schedule E	3
	0,01,2000		Generating Sys	stem Com	iparative D	ata by Fue	пуре	Page 2 of	4
			Jan-09	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Jun-09	
		Generation Mix (%MWH)							
	24	Heavy Oil	0.79%	0.01%	0.23%	1.97%	5.11%	4.26%	
	25	Light Oil	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	26	Coal	9.05%	8.78%	7.66%	6.99%	7.49%	6.63%	
	27	Gas	59.26%	60.72%	68.83%	65.41%	68.17%	66.59%	
	28	Nuclear	30.90%	30.49%	23.27%	25.63%	19.24%	22.52%	
	29	Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
		Fuel Cost per Unit							
	30	Heavy Oil (\$/BBL)	102.2845	0.0000	89.4524	106.9723	107.6414	107.9645	
	31	Light Oil (\$/BBL)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
	32	Coal (\$/ton)	47.0650	46.7323	44.1612	43.8852	46.9461	46.9657	
	33	Gas (\$/MCF)	11.6776	11.6799	11.4243	11.0067	10.8179	10.8268	
	34	Nuclear (\$/MBTU)	0.4827	0.4809	0.4944	0.5077	0.5263	0.5482	
		Fuel Cost per MMBTU (\$/MMBTU	i)						
-	35	Heavy Oil	15.9819	0.0000	13.9771	16.7144	16.8190	16.8694	
ډي	36	Light Oil	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
	37	Coal	2.4675	2.4591	2.4029	2.3855	2.4680	2.4696	
	38		11.6776	11.6799	11.4243	11.0067	10.8179	10.8268	
	39	Nuclear	0.4827	0.4809	0.4944	0.5077	0.5263	0.5482	
		BTU burned per KWH (BTU/KWH	)						
	40	Heavy Oil	10,045	10,764	9,707	9,584	9,600	10,121	
	41	Light Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	42	Coal	10,299	10,314	10,379	10,494	10,416	10,422	
	43	Gas	7,564	7,307	7,314	7,579	7,665	7,623	
	44	Nuclear	11,151	11,151	11,094	11,149	11,201	11,151	
		Generated Fuel Cost per KWH (cents/KWH)							
	45	Heavy Oil	16.0538	0.0000	13.5679	16.0186	16,1462	17.0737	
	46	Light Oil	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
	47	Coal	2.5413	2.5363	2.4941	2.5033	2.5707	2.5737	
	48	Gas	8.8326	8.5349	8.3558	8.3424	8.2917	8.2536	
	49	Nuclear	0.5383	0.5363	0.5486	0.5660	0.5895	0.6112	
	50	Total	5.7569	5.5629	6.1019	6.0930	6.7828	6.5312	

Flc 8/3	rida Power & Light Company 1/2008	nerating Sve	tem Comr	narative Da	ata hy Fuel	Type		Schedule E 3 Page 3 of 4
		lul-09		Sep-09	Oct-09	Nov-09	Dec-09	Total
	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (\$)	001-00	Aug-00	069-05	000-00	1104-05	000-00	rotar
1	Heavy Oil	\$112,431,885	\$112.380.694	\$97.801.563	\$89.810.805	(\$167.086)	(\$195,424)	\$581.912.849
2	Light Oil	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
3	Coal	\$16,433,000	\$16.571.000	\$16.384.000	\$17.075.000	\$16.663.000	\$17.640.000	\$189,966,000
4	Gas	\$542,079,269	\$550,967,700	\$512,606,140	\$450,309,037	\$390.671.148	\$354,426,114	\$5,303,326,644
5	Nuclear	\$12,997,000	\$12,952,000	\$12,488,000	\$12,222,000	\$9,904,000	\$14,063,000	\$139,068,000
6	Total	\$683,941,154	\$692,871,394	\$639,279,703	\$569,416,842	\$417,071,062	\$385,933,690	\$6,214,273,493
	System Net Generation (MWH)							
7	Heavy Oil	670,993	668,957	579,398	539,965	0	0	3,496,185
8	Light Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Coal	630,603	634,720	602,527	635,239	616,841	633,765	7,267,961
10	Gas	6,527,986	6,631,727	6,075,149	5,324,521	4,837,253	4,235,918	63,381,326
11	Nuclear	2,131,954	2,131,954	2,063,180	2,018,438	1,611,720	2,135,221	23,509,501
12	Total	9,961,536	10,067,358	9,320,254	8,518,163	7,065,814	7,004,904	97,654,973
	Units of Fuel Burned							
13	Heavy Oil (BBLS)	1,034,141	1,031,165	896,527	827,415	0	0	5,379,943
14	Light Oil (BBLS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Coal (TONS)	344,931	346,478	330,177	346,672	333,523	343,211	3,983,395
16	Gas (MCF)	49,852,992	50,451,900	46,697,668	40,015,440	33,705,344	29,393,414	473,906,096
17	Nuclear (MBTU)	23,769,566	23,769,566	23,002,796	22,483,316	17,881,176	23,800,286	261,998,614
	BTU Burned (MMBTU)							
18	Heavy Oil	6,618,504	6,599,453	5,737,771	5,295,456	0	0	34,431,630
19	Light Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	Coal	6,565,907	6,604,420	6,279,409	6,609,287	6,355,454	6,533,350	75,443,724
21	Gas	49,85 <b>2,99</b> 2	50,451,900	46,697,668	40,015,440	33,705,344	29,393,414	473,906,096
22	Nuclear	23,769,566	23,769,566	23,002,796	22,483,316	17,881,176	23,800,286	261,998,614
23	Total	86 806 969	87 425 339	81 717 644	74 403 409	57 941 974	59 727 050	845 780 064

		•••						D 00	<b>T</b> -
			Jul-09	Aug-09	Sep-09	Oct-09	Nov-09	Dec-09	10
		Generation Mix (%MWH)							
	24	Heavy Oil	6.74%	6.64%	6.22%	6.34%	0.00%	0.00%	3.58%
	25	Light Oil	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	26	Coal	6.33%	6.30%	6.46%	7.46%	8.73%	9.05%	7.44%
	27	Gas	65.53%	65.87%	65.18%	62.51%	68.46%	60.47%	64.90%
	28	Nuclear	21.40%	21.18%	22.14%	23.70%	22.81%	30.48%	24.07%
	29	Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
		Fuel Cost per Unit							
	30	Heavy Oil (\$/BBL)	108.7201	108.9842	109.0894	108.5438	0.0000	0.0000	108.163
	31	Light Oil (\$/BBL)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	32	Coal (\$/ton)	47.6414	47.8270	49.6219	49.2540	49.9606	51.3970	47.6895
	33	Gas (\$/MCF)	10.8736	10.9207	10.9771	11.2534	11.5908	12.0580	11.1907
	34	Nuclear (\$/MBTU)	0.5468	0.5449	0.5429	0.5436	0.5539	0.5909	0.5308
		Fuel Cost per MMBTU (\$/MMBTU)							
	35	Heavy Oil	16.9875	17.0288	17.0452	16.9600	0.0000	0.0000	16.9005
<u> </u>	36	Light Oil	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
UN	37	Coal	2.5028	2.5091	2.6092	2.5835	2.6218	2.7000	2.5180
	38	Gas	10.8736	10.9207	10.9771	11.2534	11.5908	12.0580	11.1907
	39	Nuclear	0.5468	0.5449	0.5429	0.5436	0.5539	0.5909	0.5308
		BTU burned per KWH (BTU/KWH)							
	40	Heavy Oil	9,864	9,865	9,903	9,807	0	0	9,848
	41	Light Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	42	Coal	10,412	10,405	10,422	10,404	10,303	10,309	10,380
	43	Gas	7,637	7,608	7,687	7,515	6,968	6,939	7,477
	44	Nuclear	11,149	11,149	11,149	11,139	11,094	11,147	11,144
		Generated Evel Cost ner KWH (cents/KWH	0						
	45	Heavy Oil	16 7560	16 7994	16.8799	16.6327	0.0000	0.0000	16 6442
	46	Light Oil	0 0000	0 0000	0.0000	0 0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	47	Coal	2 6059	2 6108	2 7192	2 6880	2 7013	2 7834	2 6137
	71 19	Gae	8 3030	8 3081	8 4379	£.0000 8 4573	8 0763	8.3672	8 3673
	40	Nuclear	0.0009 A 6008	0.0001	0.4070	0.4075	0.6145	0.6586	0.5015
-	43 50	Tatel	6 9659	6 8924	6 9500	6 6947	5.0140	5.5005	6 3635

1

Ĩ

ł

1

Florida Power & Light

# Schedule E4 Page: 1

1

Ĩ

Ī

1

raye.

				Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :		lan-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH	)	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	380	29,767 18,659	17.1	91.2	39.0	10,503	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF	S -> ->	 46,401 211,656	6,400,058 1,000,000	296,969 211,656	4,747,000 2,464,000	15.9472 13.2053
3 4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	378	14,751 20,968	12.7	92.8	41.€	6 10,536	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF	S -> ->	22,915 229,691	6,400,044 1,000,000	146,657 229,691	2,344,000 2,700,000	15.8904 12.8769
7 TURKEY POINT 3	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,331	Nucle	ar Oth	r ->	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	2,561,000	0.4924
9 TURKEY POINT 4	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,331	Nucle	ar Oth	- r ->	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	3,002,000	0.5772
10 11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,113	623,611	75.3	94.1	79.8	6,986	Gas	MCF	>	4,357,039	1,000,000	4,357,039	50,195,000	8.0491
12 ——— 13 LAUDERDALE 4	450	120,714	36,1	94.5	70.2	2 8,390	Gas	MCF	>	1,012,804	1,000,000	1,012,804	11,981,000	9.9251
14	447	243,758	73.3	94.5	73.3	- <u> </u>	Gas	MCF	->	1,959,611	1,000,000	1,959,611	23,210,000	9.5218
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	204		0.0	95.3	- <u></u>	0			-					
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	204		0.0	94.4		0			-			<u>_</u> _		
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3	382	22,654	8.0	92.0	43.6	5 11,025	Gas	MCF	->	249,773	1,000,000	249,773	2,975,000	13.1324
22 23 PT EVERGLADES 4	382	 15,666	5.5	92.7	47.7	7 10,893	Gas	MCF	->	170,658	1,000,000	170,658	2,038,000	13.0090
24 25 RIVIERA 3 26	274	8,369 8,561	8.3	91.6	49.8	3 10,645	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF	- .S -> ->	13,126 96,220	6,399,817 1,000,000	84,004 96,220	1,342,000 1,135,000	16.0354 13.2586
27 28 RIVIERA 4	283	9,774	4.6	92.7	44.3	3 10,971	Gas	MCF	->	107,232	1,000,000	107,232	1,278,000	13.0762
29 30 ST LUCIE 1	853	618,763	97.5	97.5	97.8	5 10,987	Nucle	ar Oth	- r->	6,798,424	1,000,000	6,798,424	3,632,000	0.5870
31		<u>_</u>							-					

I

I

1

.....

ł

ł

Florida Power & Light

# Schedule E4 Page: 2

1

ł

I

1

.

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	J	an-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	_	(H)		(i)	(L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	•	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 2	726	526,572	97.5	97.5	97,5	10,986	Nucle	ar Othr	->	5,785,382	1,000,000	5,785,382	2,569,000	0.4879
3334 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	382	42,327	14.9	94.5	39.3	10,813	Gas	MCF	->	457,723	1,000,000	457,723	5,422,000	12.8097
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 2	378	26,999	9.6	94.1	37.2	11,022	Gas	MCF	->	297,607	1,000,000	297,607	3,530,000	13.0744
37 38 CUTLER 5	65		0.0	99.3		0								
39	138	11,127	10.8	96.6	69.5	13,885	Gas	MCF	->	154,506	1,000,000	154,506	1,815,000	16.3115
41 42 FORT MYERS 2	1,471	627,290	57.3	94.7	76.6	7,150	Gas	MCF	->	4,485,239	1,000,000	4,485,239	52,399,000	8.3532
43 44 FORT MYERS 3A_B	332	37,460	15.2	93.8	84.8	11,040	Gas	MCF	->	413,584	1,000,000	413,584	4,894,000	13.0645
45 46 SANFORD 3	<b>1</b> 41		0.0	94.7		0								
47	967	358,009	49.8	94.4	83.4	7,242	Gas	MCF	->	2,592,964	1,000,000	2,592,964	30,658,000	8.5635
49 50 SANFORD 5	963	369,029	51.5	83.8	84.4	7,223	Gas	MCF	~>	2,665,809	1,000,000	2,665,809	31,493,000	8.5340
51	249	46,046	24.9	98.7	52.4	10,716	Gas	MCF	->	493,438	1,000,000	493,438	5,817,000	12.6329
53 54 PUTNAM 2		53,290	28.7	98.4	53.2	10,504	Gas	MCF	->	559,805	1,000,000	559,805	6,601,000	12.3869
55 56 MANATEE 1 57	806	2,571 3,168	1.0	96.6	47.5	10,878	— Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF	S-> ->	 4,605 32,965	6,399,566 1,000,000	29,470 32,965	471,000 395,000	18.3197 12.4673
58 59 MANATEE 2	780		0.0	95.6		0							<u></u>	
60 61 MANATEE 3	1,112	671,535	81.2	94.4	82.1	6,936	Gas	MCF	->	4,658,144	1,000,000	4,658,144	53,662,000	7.9910

,

.

ł

.

ł

64

67

١

ł

1

1

Ĩ

1

l

Ĩ

Ĭ

1

1

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 3

ł

1

I

ł

Jan-09 Estimated For The Period of : (L) (M) (F) (G) (H) (I) (J) (K) (A) (B) (C) (D) (E) Fuel Heat Fuel As Burned Fuel Cost Plant Fuel Net Net Capac Equiv Net Avg Net Fuel Fuel Cost per KWH Value Burned FAC Avail FAC Out FAC Heat Rate Type Burned Unit Capb Gen (C/KWH) (MW) (MWH) (%) (%) (%) (BTU/KWH) (Units) (BTU/Unit) (MMBTU) (\$) 15.6566 31,000 96.2 11,109 Heavy Oil BBLS -> 307 6,403,909 1,966 63 MARTIN 1 45.2 807 198 4.9 1,000,000 326,013 3,869,000 13.1940 29,324 Gas MCF -> 326,013 65 -11,000 15.4930 94.9 11,087 Heavy Oil BBLS -> 111 6,432,432 714 66 MARTIN 2 71. 3.3 44.3 812 218,800 1,000,000 218,800 2,606,000 13.2100 19,728 Gas MCF -> 68 ---12,203,000 9.0004 1,039,713 1,000,000 1,039,713 MCF -> 69 MARTIN 3 448 135,583 40.7 94.2 78.4 7,668 Gas 70 -----13,371,000 8.8939 71 MARTIN 4 462 150,339 43.7 94.7 80.1 7,566 Gas MCF -> 1,137,501 1,000,000 1,137,501 72 _____ 8.2716 73 MARTIN 8 MCF -> 3,771,673 1,000,000 3,771,673 43,465,000 525,475 94.1 78.1 1,112 63.5 7,177 Gas 74 — 75 FORT MYERS 1-12 0 617 0.0 98.4 76 _____ 77 LAUDERDALE 1-24 684 0.0 91.7 0 78 _____ 79 EVERGLADES 1-12 342 88.3 0 0.0 80 _____ 81 ST JOHNS 10 128 92,388 97.0 96.8 97.0 9,814 Coal TONS -> 36,183 25,060,249 906,755 2,864,000 3.1000 82 _____ 2,832,000 3.1028 TONS -> 896,693 83 ST JOHNS 20 91,273 97.1 96.6 9,824 Coal 35,782 25,059,890 127 96.6 84 -----TONS -> 273,727 17,500,005 4,790,224 10,574,000 2.3159 85 SCHERER 4 628 456,575 97.1 97.8 10,491 Coal 97.8 86 -----87 WCEC_01 1,335 0.0 0 0.0

88 ----89 WCEC_02 1,335 0.0 0.0 0 90 ----5.7568 407,156,000 91 TOTAL 24,381 7,072,612 8,939 63.224.244 -----_____ ====== _____

F

ł

ł

Company:	Florida Powe	er & Light								:	Schedule E4 Page: 4		
				Estimated F	for The Pe	riod of :	Feb	-09					
(A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		 1)	(1)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fi Ty	iel pe	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	380		0.0	19.5		0							
2 3 TURKEY POINT 2 4	378	624 4,683	2.1	92.8	25.5	11,909	Heavy Oi Gas	IBBLS -> MCF ->	1,050 56,488	6,397,143 1,000,000	6,717 56,488	-384,000 660,000	-61.5385 14.0938
5 6 TURKEY POINT 3	717	469,777	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclear	Othr ->	5,323,070	1,000,000	5,323,070	2,304,000	0.4904
8 TURKEY POINT 4	717	469,777	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclear	Othr ->	5,323,070	1,000,000	5,323,070	2,702,000	0.5752
9 10 TURKEY POINT 5	1,113	599,657	80.2	94.1	80:2	6,970	Gas	MCF ->	4,179,790	1,000,000	4,179,790	48,193,000	8.0368
11 12 LAUDERDALE 4	450	101,612	33.6	94.5	70.1	8,297	Gas	MCF ->	843,156	1,000,000	843,156	9,973,000	9.8148
13 14 LAUDERDALE 5	447	201,164	67.0	94.5	67.0	8,171	Gas	MCF ->	1,643,841	1,000,000	1,643,841	19,466,000	9.6767
15 – 16 PT EVERGLADES 1	204		0.0	95.3		0	<b></b>						
17 18 PT EVERGLADES 2	204	<u> </u>	0.0	94.4		0					·		
19 20 PT EVERGLADES 3	382	<u> </u>	0.0	92.0		0				<u></u>			
21 22 PT EVERGLADES 4	382		0.0			0				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
23 24 RIVIERA 3	274		0.0	88.3		0	***** <b>*</b> ***	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
25 26 RIVIERA 4	283		0.0	92.7		0						- <u></u>	
27 28 ST LUCIE 1	853	558,883	97.5	97.5	97.6	5 10,987	Nuclear	Othr ->	 6,140, <del>5</del> 10	1,000,000	6,140,510	3,269,000	0.5849
29	776	475 613	97.5	97.5	97 5	10 986	Nuclear		5.225.519	1.000.000	5.225.519	2,312,000	0.4861
	(A) Plant Unit 1 TURKEY POINT 1 2 3 TURKEY POINT 2 4 5 6 TURKEY POINT 3 7 8 TURKEY POINT 3 7 10 TURKEY POINT 4 9 10 TURKEY POINT 5 11 12 LAUDERDALE 4 13 14 LAUDERDALE 5 15 16 PT EVERGLADES 1 17 18 PT EVERGLADES 1 17 18 PT EVERGLADES 2 19 20 PT EVERGLADES 3 21 22 PT EVERGLADES 3 21 22 PT EVERGLADES 4 23 24 RIVIERA 3 25 26 RIVIERA 4 27 28 ST LUCIE 1 29 	Company:  Florida Powe    (A)  (B)    Plant  Net    Unit  Capb    (MW)  1    1  TURKEY POINT 1    2  380    2  378    4  380    2  378    4  378    5  3717    6  TURKEY POINT 2    378  717    7	Company:  Florida Power & Light    (A)  (B)  (C)    Plant  Net  Net    Unit  Capb  Gen    (MW)  (MWH)    1  TURKEY POINT 1  380    2	Company:  Florida Power & Light    (A)  (B)  (C)  (D)    Plant  Net  Net  Capac    Unit  Capb  Gen  FAC    (MW)  (MWH)  (%)    1  TURKEY POINT 1  380  0.0    2	Estimated F    (A)  (B)  (C)  (D)  (E)  Plant  Net  Capac  Equiv    Unit  Capb  Gen  FAC  Avail FAC    (MVV)  (MVV)  (MVVH)  (%)  (%)    1  TURKEY POINT 1  380  0.0  19.5    2	Company:    Florida Power & Light      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (Estimated For The Pe      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)      Plant    Net    Capb    Gen    FAC    Avail FAC    Out FAC      (MW)    (MWH)    (%)    (%)    (%)    (%)    Net      1 TURKEY POINT 1    380    0.0    19.5	Company:    Florida Power & Light      Estimated For The Period of :      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)      Plant    Net    Net    Capac    Equiv    Net    Avg Net      Unit    Capb    Gen    FAC    Avail FAC    Out FAC    Out FAC    Heat Rate      (MW)    (MWH)    (%)    0.0    19.5    0      2    23 TURKEY POINT 1    380    0.0    19.5    0      2    3 TURKEY POINT 2    378    624    2.1    92.8    25.5    11,909      4    4683    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -      7    775    97.5    97.5    97.5    11,331    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    -    - <th< td=""><td>Company:    Florida Power &amp; Light      Estimated For The Period of :    Fet      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (P      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (P      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (P      (MW)    (MW)    (MW)    (P    Avail FAC    Out FAC    Heat Rate    Ty      1    TURKEY POINT 1    380    0.0    19.5    0    2    3    TURKEY POINT 2    378    624    2.1    92.8    25.5    11,909    Heavy OI      4    4.683   </td><td>Company:  Florida Power &amp; Light    Estimated For The Period of :  Feb-09    (A)  (B)  (C)  (D)  (E)  (F)  (G)  (H)    Plant  Net  Capb  Gen  FAC  Avail FAC  Out FAC  Heat Rate  Type    Unit  Capb  Gen  FAC  Avail FAC  Out FAC  Heat Rate  Type    1  TURKEY POINT 1  380  0.0  19.5  0  2    2  3  TURKEY POINT 2  378  624  2.1  92.8  25.5  11,909  Heavy Oil BBLS &gt;    3  TURKEY POINT 3  717  469,777  97.5  97.5  97.5  11,331  Nuclear  Othr &gt;&gt;    6  TURKEY POINT 4  717  469,777  97.5  97.5  91.5  11,331  Nuclear  Othr &gt;&gt;    9  10  TURKEY POINT 5  1,113  599,657  80.2  94.1  80.2  6,970  Gas  MCF -&gt;    11  Luber DALE 4  450  101,612  33.6  94.5  70.1  8,297</td><td>Company:    Florida Power &amp; Light      Company:    Florida Power &amp; Light      Estimated For The Period of :    Feb-09      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)      Plant    Net    Capac    Equiv.    Net    Avg Net    Fuel    Fuel    Burned      Unit    Capac    FAC    (%)    0.0    19.5    0   </td><td>Company:    Florida Power &amp; Light    Estimated For The Period of :    Feb-09      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)    (J)      Plant    Net    Net    Capac    Equiv    Net    Avg Net    Fuel    Fuel    Fuel    Fuel    Unit    (MW)    (MW)    (W)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (C)    (D)    (C)    (D)    (B)    (D)    (D)<!--</td--><td>Company:    Florida Power &amp; Light    Schedule Expansion    Page:    4      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)    (J)    (P)      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)    (J)    (P)      Plant    Net    Capb    Gen    FAC    Avail FAC    Out FAC    Heat Rate    Type    Burned    Value    Burned    UMREU    Units    (BTU/Unit)    Burned    (Units)    (BTU/Unit)    (BTU/EXPOINT 2    378    62</td><td>Company:    Florida Power &amp; Light    Schoule Fa      Florida Power &amp; Light    Florida Power &amp; Light</td></td></th<>	Company:    Florida Power & Light      Estimated For The Period of :    Fet      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (P      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (P      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (P      (MW)    (MW)    (MW)    (P    Avail FAC    Out FAC    Heat Rate    Ty      1    TURKEY POINT 1    380    0.0    19.5    0    2    3    TURKEY POINT 2    378    624    2.1    92.8    25.5    11,909    Heavy OI      4    4.683	Company:  Florida Power & Light    Estimated For The Period of :  Feb-09    (A)  (B)  (C)  (D)  (E)  (F)  (G)  (H)    Plant  Net  Capb  Gen  FAC  Avail FAC  Out FAC  Heat Rate  Type    Unit  Capb  Gen  FAC  Avail FAC  Out FAC  Heat Rate  Type    1  TURKEY POINT 1  380  0.0  19.5  0  2    2  3  TURKEY POINT 2  378  624  2.1  92.8  25.5  11,909  Heavy Oil BBLS >    3  TURKEY POINT 3  717  469,777  97.5  97.5  97.5  11,331  Nuclear  Othr >>    6  TURKEY POINT 4  717  469,777  97.5  97.5  91.5  11,331  Nuclear  Othr >>    9  10  TURKEY POINT 5  1,113  599,657  80.2  94.1  80.2  6,970  Gas  MCF ->    11  Luber DALE 4  450  101,612  33.6  94.5  70.1  8,297	Company:    Florida Power & Light      Company:    Florida Power & Light      Estimated For The Period of :    Feb-09      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)      Plant    Net    Capac    Equiv.    Net    Avg Net    Fuel    Fuel    Burned      Unit    Capac    FAC    (%)    0.0    19.5    0	Company:    Florida Power & Light    Estimated For The Period of :    Feb-09      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)    (J)      Plant    Net    Net    Capac    Equiv    Net    Avg Net    Fuel    Fuel    Fuel    Fuel    Unit    (MW)    (MW)    (W)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (C)    (D)    (C)    (D)    (B)    (D)    (D) </td <td>Company:    Florida Power &amp; Light    Schedule Expansion    Page:    4      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)    (J)    (P)      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)    (J)    (P)      Plant    Net    Capb    Gen    FAC    Avail FAC    Out FAC    Heat Rate    Type    Burned    Value    Burned    UMREU    Units    (BTU/Unit)    Burned    (Units)    (BTU/Unit)    (BTU/EXPOINT 2    378    62</td> <td>Company:    Florida Power &amp; Light    Schoule Fa      Florida Power &amp; Light    Florida Power &amp; Light</td>	Company:    Florida Power & Light    Schedule Expansion    Page:    4      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)    (J)    (P)      (A)    (B)    (C)    (D)    (E)    (F)    (G)    (H)    (I)    (J)    (P)      Plant    Net    Capb    Gen    FAC    Avail FAC    Out FAC    Heat Rate    Type    Burned    Value    Burned    UMREU    Units    (BTU/Unit)    Burned    (Units)    (BTU/Unit)    (BTU/EXPOINT 2    378    62	Company:    Florida Power & Light    Schoule Fa      Florida Power & Light    Florida Power & Light

Florida Power & Light

## Schedule E4 Page: 5

1

I.

ł

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :		Feb-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)		(1)	(L)	 (K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuei Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	382	11,047	4.3	94.5	24.5	12,006	Gas	MCF	->	132,631	1,000,000	132,631	1,552,000	14.0496
33 34 CAPE CANAVERAL 2	378	6,318	2.5	94.1	23.5	12,184	Gas	MCF	->	76,978	1,000,000	76,978	900,000	14.2455
35 36 CUTLER 5	65		0.0	99.3		0	-						<u> </u>	
37 38 CUTLER 6	138	3,225	3.5	96.6	37.1	15,557	Gas	MCF	->	50,182	1,000,000	50,182	588,000	18.2303
39 40 FORT MYERS 2	1,471	700,375	70.9	90.2	73.6	7,124	Gas	MCF	->	4,989,950	1,000,000	4,989,950	58,743,000	8.3874
4142 FORT MYERS 3A_B	332	21,713	9.7	93.8	74.3	11,508	Gas	MCF	->	249,895	1,000,000	249,895	2,957,000	13.6184
43 44 SANFORD 3	141		0.0	0.0		0	-		•	<u>-</u>	<b></b>			<i>•</i>
45 46 SANFORD 4	967	340,214	52.4	94.4	92.3	7,176	Gas	MCF	->	2,441,691	1,000,000	2,441,691	28,850,000	8.4800
47 48 SANFORD 5	963	417,914	64.6	94.5	91.2	2 7,124	- Gas	MCF	->	2,977,291	1,000,000	2,977,291	35,275,000	8.4407
49 50 PUTNAM 1	249	27,993	16.7	98.7	41.5	 5 11,675	Gas	MCF	->	326,827	1,000,000	326,827	3,846,000	13.7392
51 52 PUTNAM 2	250		21.1	98.4	48.4	10,845	Gas	MCF	->	384,765	1,000,000	384,765	4,534,000	12.7803
53	806		0.0	96.6		0	-		•					
55 56 MANATEE 2	780		0.0	68.3		0	-						<u>.                                </u>	
57 58 MANATEE 3	 1,112	621,930	83.2	94.4	83.2	2 6,927	Gas	MCF	->	4,308,191	1,000,000	4,308,191	49,673,000	7.9669
59 60 MARTIN 1 61	807		0.0	96.2		0	-		- -					

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

.

1

ļ

Florida Power & Light

.

Schedule E4 Page: 8 1

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :		Feb-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	 (E)	(F)	(G)				(1)	 (L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avall FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Typ <del>e</del>		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Bumed (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
62 MARTIN 2	812		0.0	94.9	<u>.</u>	0	-						<u></u>	
63 — 64 MARTIN 3	448	122,447	40.7	94.2	82.8	7,592	Gas	MCF	~>	929,633	1,000,000	929,633	10,896,000	8.8985
66 MARTIN 4	462	128,672	41.4	94.7	86.0	7,490	Gas	MCF	->	963,804	1,000,000	963,804	11,318,000	8.7960
67 68 MARTIN 8	1,112	587,000	78.6	94.1	79.5	7,109	Gas	MCF	->	4,173,407	1,000,000	4,173,407	48,120,000	8.1976
70 FORT MYERS 1-12	817		0.0	98.4		0	-						<u></u>	<b></b>
71 – 72 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.7		0	-			<u> </u>				
74 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.3		0	-							-
76 ST JOHNS 10	128	77,021	89.5	93.3	92.9	9,840	Coal	TONS	;->	30,243	25,060,311	757,899	2,394,000	3.1082
78 ST JOHNS 20	127	79,165	92.8	97.1	92.8	9,849	Coal	TONS	; ;->	31,113	25,060,232	779,699	2,463,000	3.1112
80 SCHERER 4	628	412,051	97.7	97.1	97.7	10,491	Coal	TONS	;->	247,038	17,499,996	4,323,164	9,556,000	2.3191
82 WCEC_01	1,335		0.0	0.0		0	-					·		
84 WCEC_02	1,335		0.0	0.0		0	-							
86 TOTAL	24,381	6,474,350				8,743	_				<u></u>	56,608,167	360,160,000	5.5629

.

T

Company:	Florida Powe	er & Light										Schedule E4 Page: 7		
				Estimated f	For The Pe	riod of :	M	ar-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	-	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	F 1	⁻ uel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	380		0.0	0.0		0			-					
2 3 TURKEY POINT 2 4	378	14,363 12,871	9.7	92.8	52.2	10,293	Heavy ( Gas	DII BBLS MCF	-> >	21,699 141,471	6,400,065 1,000,000	138,875 141,471	1,941,000 1,640,000	13.5139 12.7414
6 TURKEY POINT 3	717		0.0	0.0		0			-	<u>`</u>				
8 TURKEY POINT 4	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclea	ur Othr∹	> -	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	2,981,000	0.5731
10 TURKEY POINT 5	1,113	694,551	83.9	94.1	83.9	6,923	Gas	MCF -	> -	4,808,842	1,000,000	4,808,842	54,073,000	7.7853
12 LAUDERDALE 4	450	111,096	33.2	82.3	80.9	8,145	Gas	MCF ~	> -	904,967	1,000,000	904,967	10,477,000	9.4305
14 LAUDERDALE 5	447	246,834	74.2	94.5	74.2	8,014	Gas	MCF -	> -	1,978,372	1,000,000	1,978,372	22,943,000	9.2949
15 16 PT EVERGLADES 1	204		0.0	95.3		0			-			·····		
17	204		0.0	94.4		0			-	<u> </u>				
19 20 PT EVERGLADES 3	382	12,146	4.3	80.1	44.8	11,033	Gas	MCF -	> -	134,017	1,000,000	134,017	1,564,000	12.8766
21 22 PT EVERGLADES 4	382	12,518	4.4	92.7		10,729	Gas	MCF -	> -	134,312	1,000,000	134,312	1,571,000	12.5496
23 24 RIVIERA 3 25	274	2,157 1,760	1.9	32.5	52.9	10,377	Heavy ( Gas	Dil BBLS MCF -	->> >	3,358 19,156	6,399,345 1,000,000	21,489 19,156	300,000 222,000	13.9082 12.6165
20 27 RIVIERA 4	283	7,227	3.4	92.7	50.1	10,825	Gas	MCF -	> ~	78,232	1,000,000	78,232	914,000	12.8474
29 ST LUCIE 1	853	618,763	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclea	ar Othr-i	> -	6,798,424	1,000,000	6,798,424	3,606,000	0.5828
29 ST LUCIE 1 30	853	618,763	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclea	ar Othr-	> _	6,798,424	1,000,000	6,798,424	3,606,000	0.5

22

ì

1

I

1

Florida Power & Light

ł

1

ļ

1

1

1

)

### Schedule E4 Page: 8

I

I

ļ

I

				Estimated i	For The Pe	riod of :	N	tar-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(1)	(L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
31 ST LUCIE 2	726	526,572	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nucle	ar Othr	->	5,785,382	1,000,000	5,785,382	2,550,000	0.4843
32 33 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	382	39,983	14.1	94.5	47.6	10,600	Gas	MCF	->	423,833	1,000,000	423,833	4,943,000	12.3627
34 35 CAPE CANAVERAL 2	378	23,371	8.3		37.5	5 10,961	Gas	MCF	->	256,192	1,000,000	256,192	2,978,000	12.7421
36 37 CUTLER 5	65	<u> </u>	0.0	99.3		0								
38 39 CUTLER 6	138	12,297	12.0	96.6	81.0	13,063	Gas	MCF	->	160,646	1,000,000	160,646	1,835,000	14.9226
40 41 FORT MYERS 2	<b>1,4</b> 71	851,237	77.8	77.4	77.8	7,072	Gas	MCF	->	6,020,449	1,000,000	6,020,449	69,434,000	8.1568
42 43 FORT MYERS 3A_B	332	48,101	19.5	93.8	89.4	10,774	Gas	MCF	->	518,252	1,000,000	518,252	5,984,000	12,4405
44 45 SANFORD 3	141		0.0	0.0		0	_							
46 47 SANFORD 4	967	444,185	61.7	94.4	94.5	5 7,121	Gas	MCF	->	3,163,302	1,000,000	3,163,302		8.2202
48 49 SANFORD 5	963	579,418	80.9	94.5	88.7	7,073	Gas	MCF	->	4,098,788	1,000,000	4,098,788	47,431,000	8.1860
50 51 PUTNAM 1	249	 51,973		98.7	67.8	9,785	Gas	MCF	->	508,561	1,000,000	508,561	5,866,000	11.2866
52 53 PUTNAM 2		 23,153		41.3	69.6	5 9,588	Gas	MCF	->	222,008	1,000,000	222,008	2,563,000	11.0700
54 55 MANATEE 1	806		0.0	96.6	- <u></u>	0			•					<u></u>
56 57 MANATEE 2	780		0.0	) 0.0	 I	0								<u> </u>
58 59 MANATEE 3	1,112	717,062	86.7	94.4	86.7	7 6,876	Gas	MCF	->	4,931,109	1,000,000	4,931,109	55,448,000	7.7327
60							_						<u> </u>	

Į

ł

Florida Power & Light

I

l

I

]

ł

1

### Schedule E4 Page: 9

i

ł

1

I

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :		Mar-09					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	•	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
61 MARTIN 1 62	807	52 22,756	3.8	96.2	56.5	10,881	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->		6,405,063 1,000,000	506 247,672	7,000 2,882,000	13.4615 12.6646
64 MARTIN 2	812		0.0	94.9		0	_						
66 MARTIN 3	448	156,107	46.8	94.2	91.0	7,489	Gas	MCF ->	1,169,154	1,000,000	1,169,154	13,363,000	8.5602
67 68 MARTIN 4	462	170,811	49.7	94.7	93.1	7,399	- Gas	MCF ->	1,263,987	1,000,000	1,263,987	14,478,000	8.4761
69	1,112	686,853	83.0	94.1	83.0	7,058	- Gas	MCF ->	4,848,053	1,000,000	4,848,053	54,514,000	7.9368
71	617		0.0	98.4		0	-		<u></u>	<u>,</u>	<u> </u>		
73 74 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684		0.0	91.7		0	-		<u> </u>	·			
75 76 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.3		0	-					<del>····</del>	
77 78 ST JOHNS 10	128		0.0	0.0		0	-				,		
79 80 ST JOHNS 20	127	91,808	97.2	97.1	97.2	9,820	Coal	TONS ->	35,978	25,060,315	901,620	3,075,000	3.3494
81 82 SCHERER 4	628	456,575	97.8	97.1	97.8	10,491	- Coal	TONS ->	273,727	17,500,005	4,790,224	10,602,000	2.3221
83 84 WCEC_01	1,335		0.0	0.0		0	-				<u> </u>		
85 86 WCEC_02	1,335		0.0	0.0		·	-		<u> </u>		·		
87 88 TOTAL	24,381	7,156,710			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8,434	-		<u></u>	<u> </u>	60,361,306	436,698,000	6.1019

I

Ι

t

I

ł

Florida Power & Light

1 1

I I

1

ł

1

1

1

# Schedule E4 Page: 10

1

ł

1

I

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	Ар	r-09					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(	H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fi Ty	иеі уре	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2	378	50,904 8,156	21.7	51.7	80.5	9,636	Heavy Oi Gas	il BBLS -> MCF ->	74,312 93,510	6,400,043 1,000,000	475,600 93,510	7,952,000 1,051,000	15.6216 12.8859
3 4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	376	46,458 34,389	29.9	92.8	78.5	9,911	Heavy O Gas	il BBLS -> MCF ->	68,389 363,587	6,399,991 1,000,000	437,689 363,587	7,318,000 4,098,000	15.7519 11.9165
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	421,625	84.5	84.5	97.5	11,330	Nuclear	Othr ->	4,777,394	1,000,000	4,777,394	2,637,000	0.6254
8 9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nuclear	r Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,779,000	0.5712
10	1,062	652,066	85.3	94.1	85.3	6,934	Gas	MCF ->	4,521,788	1,000,000	4,521,788	48,778,000	7.4805
12	440	49,575	15.7	28.4	96,3	8,012	Gas	MCF ->	397,214	1,000,000	397,214	4,471,000	9.0187
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	437	245,194	 77.9	94.5	77.9	8,078	Gas	MCF ->	1,980,700	1,000,000	1,980,700	22,240,000	9.0704
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	203	2,981	2.0	95.3	91.8	11,090	Gas	MCF ->	33,069	1,000,000	33,069	372,000	12.4782
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	203		0.0	94.4		0		<u> </u>					
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3	380	 18,292	6.7	36.8	57.3	10,694	Gas	MCF ->	195,633	1,000,000	195,633	2,196,000	12.0053
22 23 PT EVERGLADES 4	380	53,650		92.7	72.4	10,538	Gas	MCF ->	565,383	1,000,000	565,383	6,382,000	11.8957
24 25 RIVIERA 3 26	272	27,206 12,684	20.4	91.6	85.8	10,160	Heavy O Gas	iii BBL\$ -> MCF ->	41,019 142,757	6,400,083 1,000,000	262,525 142,757	4,386,000 1,606,000	16.1214 12.6618
27 28 RIVIERA 4	281	27,818	13.8	92.7	68.3	10,689	Gas	MCF ->	297,350	1,000,000	297,350	3,344,000	12.0212
29 30 ST LUCIE 1 31	839	588,980	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclear	r Othr->	6,471,126	1,000,000	6,471,126	3,421,000	0.5808

I

T

1

I

Florida Power & Light

I I I I I **I I I I I** I I I

# Schedule E4 Page: 11

ŀ

I

ļ

I

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Apr-09						
(A)	(8)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(i)	(J)	(K)	{L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	•	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 2	714	434,390	84.5	84.5	97.5	10,986	Nucle	ar Othr	->	4,772,632	1,000,000	4,772,632	2,096,000	0.4825
33 34 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	380	101,730	37,2	94.5	80.9	10,104	Gas	MCF	->	1,027,952	1,000,000	1,027,952	11,632,000	11.4342
35	376	<u>-</u> 55,044	20.3	94.1	67.2	10,418	Gas	MCF	->	573,470	1,000,000	573,470	6,479,000	11.7705
37	64	1,017	2.2	9.9	99.3	14,757	Gas	MCF	->	15,008	1,000,000	15,008	166,000	16.3273
40 CUTLER 6	137	27,389	27.8	96.6	94.7	12,832	Gas	MCF	->	 351,470	1,000,000	351,470	3,864,000	14.1081
41 42 FORT MYERS 2	1,389	766,190	76.6	94.7	84.7	7,108	Gas	MCF	->		1,000,000	5,446,812	60,522,000	7.8991
43 44 FORT MYERS 3A_B	304	89,041	40.7	93.8	94.5	10,834	Gas	MCF	~>	964,685	1,000,000	964,685	10,782,000	12.1090
45 46 SANFORD 3	139		0.0	88.1		0								<u> </u>
47 48 SANFORD 4	909	498,882	76.2	94.4	87.4	7,143	Gas	MCF	->	3,563,560	1,000,000	3,563,560	39,676,000	7.9530
49 50 SANFORD 5	905	492,846	75.6	94.5	77.6	7,253	Gas	MCF	->	3,574,714	1,000,000	3,574,714	39,362,000	7.9867
51 52 PUTNAM 1	239	79,606	46.3	98.7	93.6	9,046	Gas	MCF	->	720,179	1,000,000	720,179	8,082,000	10.1525
53 54 PUTNAM 2	240		0.0	0.0	••	0								<u>.</u> .
55 56 MANATEE 1 57	798	10,371 6,914	3.0	96.6	67.7	10,785	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF	} -> ->	18,164 70,176	6,400,132 1,000,000	 116,252 70,176	1,942,000 791,000	18,7253 11,4401
59 MANATEE 2	772		0.0	) 0.0	• •	0	-	<u> </u>						
61 MANATEE 3 62	1,061	649,006	85.0	94.4	85.0	6,936	Gas 	MCF	->	4,501,740	1,000,000	4,501,740	48,562,000	7.4825

26

1

I

1

I

Florida Power & Light

I

ł

1

t

### Schedule E4 Page: 12

ł

I

i

1

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :		Apr-09						
(A)	(8)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	_	(H)	-	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
3 MARTIN 1	796	9,805 58,032	11.8	96.2	77.5	10,785	Heavy Gas	OII BBLS MCF	; -> ->	14,806 636,901	6,400,176 1,000,000	94,761 636,901	1,583,000 7,118,000	16.1448 12.2656
6 MARTIN 2 7	799	3,986 27,643	5.5	94.9	76.1	10,856	Heavy Gas	OII BBLS	3 -> ->	6,026 304,800	6,399,934 1,000,000	38,566 304,800	644,000 3,401,000	16.1565 12.3034
9 MARTIN 3	417	149,114	49.7	94.2	86.8	7,565	Gas	MCF	->	1,128,049	1,000,000	1,128,049	12,398,000	8.3144
1 MARTIN 4	431	189,222	61.0	94.7	95.4	7,411	Gas	MCF	->	1,402,400	1,000,000	1,402,400	15,431,000	8.1550
2 3 MARTIN 8	1,049	633,539			83.9	7,092	Gas	MCF	->	4,493,398	1,000,000	4,493,398	48,472,000	7.6510
4	588	,	0.0	98.4		0	_				<u></u>		<del></del>	
76	678			91.7		0	-							
/8	339		0.0	88.3		0	-					<u> </u>		
0	125	8,211		9.7	91.2	9,953	Coal	TONS	->	3,261	25,061,944	81,727		3.2517
33 ST JOHNS 20	124	81,443	91.2	97.1	91.2	9,960	- Coal	TONS	->	32,372	25,060,175	811,248	2,648,000	3.2514
34 35 SCHERER 4	624	437,055	97.3	97.1	97.3	10,603	- Coal	TONS	->	264,809	17,500,009	4,634,160	10,270,000	2.3498
86 87 WCEC_01	1,219	<u> </u>	0.0	0.0		0	~							
38 39 WCEC_02	1,219	<del></del>	0.0	0.0		0	-	<u> </u>						
HU HI TOTAL	23,472	7,536,944			·	8,737	_					65,852,377	459,219,000	6.0929

l

ł

ł

1

ł

ł

ł

I

1

l

Florida

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 13

- F

Ł

i

Ì

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :	May-09					
(A)	(8)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(!)	(L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH	Fuel Type )	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2	378	123,300 8,538	46.9	91.2	93.5	9,534	Heavy Oil BBLS -> Gas MCF ->	179,030 111,212	6,400,000 1,000,000	1,145,792 111,212	19,279,000 1,233,000	15.6358 14.4408
4 TURKEY POINT 2	376	66,659 42,823	39.1	92.8	88.2	9,805	Heavy Oil BBLS -> Gas MCF ->	97,567 449,107	6,399,982 1,000,000	624,427 449,107	10,507,000 5,020,000	15.7623 11.7226
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nuclear Othr ->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	3,132,000	0.6230
9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	Nuclear Othr ->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	2,861,000	0.5691
11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,062	658,434	83.3	91.1	83.3	6,961	Gas MCF ->	4,583,921	1,000,000	4,583,921	48,419,000	7.3537
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	440	231,956	70,9	94.5	82.9	8,104	Gas MCF ->	1,879,786	1,000,000	1,879,786	20,702,000	8.9250
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	437	243,664	74.9	94.5	82.5	8,024	Gas MCF ->	1,955,295	1,000,000	1,955,295	21,552,000	8.8450
17 PT EVERGLADES 1	203		D.0	95.3		0						
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	203		0.0	94.4	·	0	- <u></u>					<u></u>
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	380	46,954 35,847	29.3	92.0	83.5	10,152	Heavy Oil BBLS -> Gas MCF ->	70,567 389,028	6,399,960 1,000,000	451,626 389,028	7,592,000 4,320,000	16.1690 12.0514
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25	380	41,286 30,873	25.5	92.7	79.5	10,213	Heavy Oil BBLS -> Gas MCF ->	62,379 337,755	6,399,990 1,000,000	399,225 337,755	6,712,000 3,752,000	16.2573 12.1532
27 RIVIERA 3 28 29	272	45,467 14,250	29.5	91.6	91.9	10,037	Heavy Oil BBLS -> Gas MCF ->	68,264 162,528	6,399,977 1,000,000	436,888 162,528	7,345,000 1,805,000	16.1546 12.6664
30 RIVIERA 4 31	281	52,656	25.2	92.7	78.7	10,540	Gas MCF ->	555,012	1,000,000	555,012	6,162,000	11.7023

i

1

1

.

I

1

J

Florida Power & Light

1

I.

1

# Schedule E4 Page: 14

Ì

ł

Ŧ

.

I

				Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :		May-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)		(i)	(J)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 1	839	608,613	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 10,986	Nucl	ear Othr	->	6,686,833	1,000,000	6,686,833	3,522,000	0.5787
33 34 ST LUCIE 2	714		0.0	0.0		0	_			<u></u>				
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 37	380	27,385 107,500	47.7	94.5	91.5	i 9,923	Heavy Gas	y Oil BBLS MCF	3 -> ->	39,986 1,082,685	6,399,940 1,000,000	255,908 1,082,685	4,304,000 12,137,000	15.7166 11.2902
39 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 40	376	15,052 60,937	27.2	94.1	71.2	2 10,284	Heav Gas	OII BBLS	3-> ~>	22,424 637,959	6,400,018 1,000,000	143,514 637,959	2,413,000 7,113,000	16.0311 11.6728
42 CUTLER 5	64	6,102	12.8	64.0	99.3	3 14,855	Gas	MCF	->	90,644	1,000,000	90,644	984,000	16,1267
44 CUTLER 6	137	25,459	25.0	96.6	94.3	12,910	Gas	MCF	->	328,671	1,000,000	328,671	3,533,000	13.8774
46 FORT MYERS 2	1,389	863,591	83.6	94.7	83.6	7,101	Gas	MCF	->	6,132,811	1,000,000	6,132,811	66,846,000	7.7405
48 FORT MYERS 3A_B	304	84,650	37.4	93.8	95.4	10,809	Gas	MCF	->	915,042	1,000,000	915,042	9,994,000	11.8062
49 50 SANFORD 3	139		0.0	97.9		0	-					·······		
51 52 SANFORD 4	909	445,191	65.8	94.4	95.8	7,125	Gas	MCF	->	3,172,130	1,000,000	3,172,130	34,736,000	7.8025
53	905	599,108	89.0	94.5	89.0	7,073	Gas	MCF	->	4,237,977	1,000,000	4,237,977	45,879,000	7.6579
56 PUTNAM 1	239	94,867	53.4	98.7	96.3	8,967	- Gas	MCF	->	850,718	1,000,000	850,718	9,320,000	9.8243
57	240	53,181	29.8	73.0	69.0	9,630	- Gas	MCF	->	512,165	1,000,000	512,165	5,644,000	10.6127
59 60 MANATEE 1 61	798	10,388 6,925	2.9	96.6	67.8	10,783	– Heavy Gas	/ Oil BBLS MCF	3-> ->		6,399,912 1,000,000	116,408 70,286	1,957,000 789,000	18.8390 11.3932

1 1 1

F

ł

I

1

1

I

Florida Power & Light

I

I

1

Schedule E4 Page: 15

1

I

I

ł

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :		May-09					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	· _	(H)	(1)	(L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
63 MANATEE 2 64	772	30,353 20,235	8.8	83.3	91.0	10,616	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	51,679 206,323	6,400,027 1,000,000	330,747 206,323	5,561,000 2,313,000	18.3211 11.4305
66 MANATEE 3	1,081	671,870	85.1	94.4	85.1	6,932	Gas	MCF ->	4,658,036	1,000,000	4,658,036	49,202,000	7.3231
68 MARTIN 1 69	796	17,136 106,939	21.0	96.2	76.4	10,778	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	25,846 1,171,889	6,400,101 1,000,000	165,417 1,171,889	2,781,000 12,889,000	16.2290 12.0526
70 71 MARTIN 2 72	799	4,343 69,220	12.4	94.9	70.8	10,928	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	6,554 762,000	6,399,603 1,000,000	41,943 762,000	705,000 8,398,000	16.2330 12.1323
74 MARTIN 3	417	252,012	81.2	94.2	87.5	7,488	Gas	MCF ->	1,887,257	1,000,000	1,887,257	20,323,000	8.0643
76 MARTIN 4	431	273,879	85.4	94.7	87.3	7,418	Gas	MCF ->	2,031,795	1,000,000	2,031,795	21,886,000	7.9911
78 MARTIN 8	1,049	659,095	84.5	81.9	84.4	7,084	Gas	MCF ->	4,669,135	1,000,000	4,689,135	49,319,000	7.4828
79 80 FORT MYERS 1-12	588		0.0	87.8	· <u> </u>	0	-			<del></del>	······		
81 82 LAUDERDALE 1-24	678	<u> </u>	0.0	91.7		0	_		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
B3 B4 EVERGLADES 1-12	339	·	0.0	88.3		0	_			<u> </u>	······		
85 86 ST JOHNS 10	125	87,884	94.5	96.6	94.5	9,928	- Coal	TONS ->	34,817	25,060,172	872,520	2,756,000	3.1360
87	124	86,751	94.0	97.1	94.0	9,939	– Coal	TONS ->	34,407	25,059,988	862,239	2,723,000	3.1389
99 90 SCHERER 4	624	453,438	97.7	97,1	97.7	10,601	- Coal	TONS ->	274,701	17,500,024	4,807,274	10,667,000	2.3525
92 WCEC_01 93	1,219	,	0.0	0.0	· <u></u>	0	-				·		

ł

1

ł

1

.

I

I

I

ſ

I

F

•

۰.

Company:	Florida Pow	er & Light								Schedule E4 Page:	16	
				Estimated I	For The Pe	mod of :	May-09					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	 (H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
94 WCEC_02	1,219		0.0	0.0		0						
96 TOTAL	23,472	8,390,226				8,650				72,574,213	569,087,000	6.7827
96 TOTAL	23,472 =======	8,390,226				8,650 				72,574,213 =====	569,087,000 =====	6.7827 ======
								د				
									-			

TEREFICE TEREFICE

**31** 

•

ł

# Florida Power & Light

Ι

ł

ł

ł

Į

1

Í

ł

I

I

# Schedule E4 Page: 17

ţ

Ĩ

ŧ

ł

				Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :	JL	un-09					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	 (E)	(F)			(H)	(1)	(J)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	ן ד ו	Fuel Гуре	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2	378	33,321 7,572	15.0	91.2	96,6	9,675	Heavy ( Gas	Dii BBL\$ -> MCF ->	48,323 86,395	6,400,017 1,000,000	309,268 86,395	5,221,000 982,000	15.6688 12.9687
4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	376	17,672 10,194	10.3	92.8	92.6	9,862	Heavy ( Gas	Dil BBLS -> MCF ->	25,868 109,276	6,399,876 1,000,000	 165,552 109,276	2,795,000	15.8160 12.1048
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nuclea	ar Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	3,021,000	0.6210
9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nuclea	ar Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,759,000	0.5671
11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,062	647,450	84.7	40.8	84.7	6,939	Gas	MCF ->	4,492,794	1,000,000	4,492,794	47,570,000	7.3473
13 LAUDERDALE 4	440	200,534	63.3	94.5	80.1	8,193	Gas	MCF ->	1,643,134	1,000,000	1,643,134		9.0454
15 LAUDERDALE 5	437	208,655	66.3	94.5	82.0	8,081	Gas	MCF ->	1,686,292	1,000,000	1,686,292	18,625,000	8.9262
17 PT EVERGLADES 1	203		0.0	95.3		0							
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	203		0.0	94.4		0							
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3	380		0.0	92.0	<u></u>	0						<u></u>	
22 23 PT EVERGLADES 4	380		0.0	92.7		0			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	i
24 25 RIVIERA 3	272		0.0	91.6		0	<u></u>	·		<u> </u>	······································		
20 27 RIVIERA 4	281		0.0	92.7		0			<del></del>		··································		
28 29 ST LUCIE 1 30	839	588,980	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 10,987	Nuclea	ar Othr->	6,471,126	1,000,000	6,471,126	3,397,000	0.5768

F

ł

I

ł

1

l

I

1

I

33

Florida Power & Light

١

1

1

I

i

ł

1

i

l

I

# Schedule E4 Page: 18

1

l

T

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :	J	lun-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	. <del>.</del>	·(H)		(1)	(J)	 (К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuei Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cosl per KWH (C/KWH)
31 ST LUCIE 2	714	484,511	94.3	94.3	97.5	10,986	Nucle	ar Othr	->	5,323,320	1,000,000	5,323,320	3,333,000	0.6879
32	380	723 36,704	13.7	94.5	94.7	10,111	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF	S -> ->	1,055 371,694	6,401,896 1,000,000	6,754 371,694	114,000 4,236,000	15.7676 11.5409
36 CAPE CANAVERAL 2	376		0.0	94.1		0								<del></del>
37 38 CUTLER 5	64	254	0.6	99.3	99.3	15,052	Gas	MCF	->	3,827	1,000,000	3,827	43,000	16.9425
40 CUTLER 6	137	8,503	8.6	96.6	98.5	5 13,138	Gas	MCF	->	111,713	1,000,000	111,713	1,208,000	14.2073
42 FORT MYERS 2	1,389	831,954	83.2	94.7	83.2	. 7,106	Gas	MCF	->	5,912,201	1,000,000	5,912,201	64,197,000	7.7164
43 44 FORT MYERS 3A_B	304	23,460	10.7	93.8	96.5	5 10,844	Gas	MCF	->	254,418	1,000,000	254,418	2,816,000	12.0036
46 SANFORD 3	139		0.0	97.9		0	_					·		
47 48 SANFORD 4	909	220,659	33.7		97.9	7,263	Gas	MCF	->	1,602,768	1,000,000	1,602,768	17,514,000	7.9371
49 50 SANFORD 5	905	576,918		83.5	88.5	7,079	Gas	MCF	->	4,084,208	1,000,000	4,084,208	44,615,000	7.7333
51	239	47,085	27.4	98.7	97.5	9,051	Gas	MCF	->	426,207	1,000,000	426,207	4,716,000	10.0159
53 54 PUTNAM 2	240	39,320	22.8	98.4	74.1	9,591	Gas	MCF	->	377,150	1,000,000	377,150	4,173,000	10.6131
55 56 MANATEE 1 57	798	102,472 113,645	37.6	96.6	48.0	10,482	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF	3 -> ->	167,911 1,190,841	6,400,010 1,000,000	1,074,632 1,190,841	18,127,000 13,055,000	17.6897 11.4876
58 59 MANATEE 2 60	772	143,659 140,466	51.1	95.6	63.7	10,360	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF	S-> ->	231,064 1,464,853	6,399,997 1,000,000	1,478,809 1,464,853	24,945,000 16,090,000	17.3640 11.4547

Florida Power & Light

# Schedule E4 Page: 19

I

ł

				Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :		Jun-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(1)	(J)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
62 MANATEE 3	1,061	651,712	85.3	94.4	85.3	6,927	Gas	MCF ·	.>	4,515,042	1,000,000	4,515,042	47,805,000	7.3353
63 64 MARTIN 1 65	796	56,858 247,432	53.1	96.2	62.3	10,439	Heavy Gas	OII BBLS	-> ->	87,778 2,614,949	6,399,975 1,000,000	561,777 2,614,949	9,475,000 28,674,000	16.6643 11.5886
66 67 MARTIN 2 68	799	32,190 235,529	46.5	94.9	56.8	10,540	Heavy Gas	/ Oil BBLS MCF	->	49,844 2,502,793	6,399,948 1,000,000	318,999 2,502,793	5,380,000 27,386,000	16.7133 11.6274
69 70 MARTIN 3	417	218,798	72.9	94.2	87.6	7,515	Gas	MCF -	->	1,644,362	1,000,000	1,644,362	17,767,000	8.1203
71 72 MARTIN 4	431	244,914	78.9	94.7	87.4	7,437	Gas	MCF	~	- <u> </u>	1,000,000	1,821,442	19,690,000	8.0396
73 74 MARTIN 8	1,049	635,963	84.2		84.2	2 7,085	Gas	MCF	->	4,506,097	1,000,000	4,506,097	49,065,000	7.7151
76 FORT MYERS 1-12	588		0.0	98.4		0	_							
77 78 LAUDERDALE 1-24	678		0.0	91.7		0	_				<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	·	<u> </u>
79 80 EVERGLADES 1-12	339		0.0	88.3		0	-							
81 82 ST JOHNS 10	125	 84,155	93.5	96.8	93.5	5 9,938	- Coal	TONS	~>	33,374	25,059,837	836,347	2,641,000	3.1383
83 84 ST JOHNS 20	124		92.9	97.1	92.9	9,950	- Coal	TONS	->	32,927	25,059,647	825,139	2,606,000	3.1428
85 86 SCHERER 4	624	435,552		97.1	97.0	10,604	– Coal	TONS	->	263,941	17,499,998	4,618,967	10,263,000	2.3563
87 88 WCEC_01	1,219	702,394	80.0	96.1	80.0	6,690	- Gas	MCF	->	4,699,433	1,000,000	4,699,433	49,757,000	7.0839
89 90 WCEC_02	1,219			 0.0		0	-							
91							_							

ł

Comp	bany:	Florida Powe	er & Light								Schedule E4 Page:	20	
					Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :	Jun-09					
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	- <u></u> (!)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
	Plant Unit	Net Capb (MVV)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
92 TOT	AL	23,472	9,086,109				8,710				79,137,365	593,434,000	6.5312

-

Company:	Florida Powe	er & Light						_				Schedule E4 Page: 2	21	
				Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :		Jul-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)		 ()	(L)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	I	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2	378	61,275 5,660	23.8	91.2	97.3	9,615	Heav Gas	y Oil Bi M(	 BLS -> )F ->	88,854 74,952	6,399,959 1,000,000	568,662 74,952	9,666,000 841,000	15.7748 14.8592
3 4 TURKEY POINT 2 5	376	44,121 3,061	16.9	92.8	96.5	9,695	Heav Gas	/ Oil Bi MC	 3LS -> XF ->	64,528 44,483	8,399,950 1,000,000	412,976 44,483	7,020,000 505,000	15.9108 16.4984
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	- Nucl	ear C		5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	3,110,000	0.6187
9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,330	- Nucl	ear C	 ithr ->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	2,840,000	0.5649
11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,062	688,224	87.1	94.1	87.1	6,907	Gas	MC	 /F ->	4,753,630	1,000,000	4,753,630	50,446,000	7.3299
3 LAUDERDALE 4	440	226,979	69.3	94.5	83.6	8,113	Gas	M	 ;F ->	1,841,527	1,000,000	1,841,527	20,393,000	8.9845
5 LAUDERDALE 5	437	239,189	73.6	94.5	85.5	7,993	Gas	M		1,911,878	1,000,000	1,911,878	21,182,000	8.8558
7 PT EVERGLADES 1	203		0.0	95.3		0	_							
9 PT EVERGLADES 2	203		0.0	94.4		0	-							<u> </u>
21 PT EVERGLADES 3	380	18,818 4,268	8.2	92.0	94.9	9,979	Heav Gas	/ Oil BI MC	 3LS -> XF ->	28,164 50,126	6,400,121 1,000,000	180,253 50,126	3,061,000 577,000	16.2663 13.5195
24 PT EVERGLADES 4	380		0.0	92.7		0	_							<u></u>
26 RIVIERA 3	272		0.0	91.6		0	-							
28 RIVIERA 4	281	<u> </u>	0.0	92.7		0	-				<u> </u>			<u>_</u>
30 ST LUCIE 1	839	608,613	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nucl	ear O	 thr ->	6,686,833	1,000,000	6,686,833	3,497,000	0.5746

Florida Power & Light

# Schedule E4 Page: 22

1

)

I

1

1

				Estimated I	or The Pe	riod of :	J	u)-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	-	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	ן ד י	⁻ uel ⊽pe		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTV)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 2	714	517,926	97.5	97,5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ır Oth	г->	5,690,445	1,000,000	5,690,445	3,550,000	0.6854
33 34 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 35 36	380	46,115 12,039	20.6	94.5	96.9	9,727	Heavy ( Gas	Di BBL MCF	S -> ->	67,277 135,122	6,400,003 1,000,000	430,573 135,122	7,315,000 1,536,000	15.8625 12.7591
37 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 38	376	29,343 10,476	14.2	94.1	94.6	9,930	Heavy ( Gas	XII BBL MCF	S -> ->	43,509 116,979	6,399,963 1,000,000	278,456 116,979	4,731,000 1,353,000	16.1231 12.9159
40 CUTLER 5	64	2,224	4.7	99.3	99.3	14,951	Gas	MCF	->	33,260	1,000,000	33,260	362,000	16.2748
42 CUTLER 6	137	13,632	13.4	96.6	98.5	13,162	Gas	MCF	->	 179,427	1,000,000	179,427	1,942,000	14.2460
44 FORT MYERS 2 45	1,389	873,809	84.6	94.7	84.6	7,090	Gas	MCF	->	6,195,645	1,000,000	6,195,645	67,459,000	7.7201
46 FORT MYERS 3A_B	304	41,348	18.3	93.8	96.5	i 10,825	Gas	MCF	->	447,619	1,000,000	447,619	4,966,000	12.0103
48 SANFORD 3	139		0.0	97.9		0			-					
50 SANFORD 4	909	246,239	36.4	94.4	82.3	7,447	Gas	MCF	->	1,833,950	1,000,000	1,833,950	20,068,000	8.1498
52 SANFORD 5	905	603,719	89.7	94.5	89.7	7,063	Gas	MCF	->	4,264,609	1,000,000	4,264,609	46,786,000	7.7496
54 PUTNAM 1	239	54,215	30.5	98.7	97.8	9,040	Gas	MCF	->	490,116	1,000,000	490,116	5,436,000	10.0267
56 PUTNAM 2	240	44,279	24.8	98.4	76.2	9,513	Gas	MCF	->	421,257	1,000,000	421,257	4,672,000	10.5513
57 58 MANATEE 1 59	798	133,996 130,061	44.5	96.6	53.5	10,309	Heavy ( Gas	Dil BBL MCF	S-> ->	214,441 1,349,797	6,399,989 1,000,000	1,372,420 1,349,797	23,311,000 14,867,000	17.3968 11.4308
61 MANATEE 2 62	772	184,886 162,751	60.5	95.6	72.2	10,243	Heavy Gas	Dil BBL MCF	S -> _>	292,752 1,687,391	6,399,994 1,000,000	1,873,611 1,687,391	31,825,000 18,668,000	17.2133 11.4703

37

I

I

ł

I

1

ł

Florida Power & Light

1

I

1

1

1

1

1

1

### Schedule E4 Page: 23

1

1

ł

ł

ł

# Estimated For The Period of : Jul-09

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	_	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
64 MANATEE 3	1,061	684,712	86.7	94.4	86.7	6,909	Gas	MCF ->	4,731,073	1,000,000	4,731,073	50,208,000	7.3324
66 MARTIN 1 67	796	98,074 262,756	60.9	96.2	69.5	10,324	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	150,855 2,759,965	6,399,980 1,000,000	965,469 2,759,965	16,398,000 30,589,000	18.7200 11.6416
69 MARTIN 2 70 71	799	54,364 268,778	54.4	94.9	63.4	10,419	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	83,763 2,830,925	6,399,998 1,000,000	536,083 2,830,925	9,105,000 31,292,000	16.7482 11.6423
72 MARTIN 3	417	262,661	84.7	94.2	86.9	7,485	Gas	MCF ->	1,966,132	1,000,000	1,966,132	21,290,000	8.1055
74 MARTIN 4	431	276,334	86.2	.94.7	87.2	7,416	Gas	MCF ->	2,049,489	1,000,000	2,049,489	22,209,000	8.0370
76 MARTIN 8	1,049	667,819	85.6	94.1	85.6	7,065	Gas	MCF ->	4,718,639	1,000,000	4,718,639	51,745,000	7.7484
77 78 FORT MYERS 1-12	588		0.0	98,4		0							<u></u> _
80 LAUDERDALE 1-24	678		0.0	91.7		0	_						
81 82 EVERGLADES 1-12	339		0.0	88.3		0					<u> </u>		<u> </u>
83	125	88,979	 95.7	96.8	95.7	9,920	Coal	TONS ->	35,225	25,060,014	882,739	2,882,000	3.2390
85 86 ST JOHNS 20	124	87,890	95.3	97.1	95.3	9,930	Coal	TONS ->	34,830	25,059,661	872,828	2,849,000	3.2416
87	624	453,734	97.8	97.1	97.8	10,601	Coal	TONS ->	274,877	17,499,978	4,810,341	10,702,000	2.3587
90 WCEC_01	1,219	742,755	81.9	96.2	81.9	6,684	Gas	MCF ->	4,965,002	1,000,000	4,965,002	52,689,000	7.0937
92 WCEC_02	1,219		0.0	0.0		0	_		<u>.</u>	<u> </u>			<u></u>
au							_						

38

Ł

I.

C	Company:	Florida Pow	er & Light								Schedule E4 Page:	24	
					Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :	Jul-09					
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)		(F)	(G)	(H)		(J)		(L)	(M)
	Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fue! Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
94 ⁻	TOTAL	23,472	9,961,534				8,714				86,806,967	683,941,000	6.8658

I F I I F I I F I I F I I I I I I I

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 25

ł

1

I

1

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :	Aug-09						
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
<b>Plant</b> Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2	378	78,997 6,048	30.2	91.2	97.0	) 9,589	Heavy Oil BBLS Gas MCF -:	-> > >	114,562 82,338	6,399,993 1,000,000	733,196 82,338	12,493,000 934,000	15.8145 15.4438
4 TURKEY POINT 2	376	53,849 8,226	22.2	92.8	96.5	5 9,726	Heavy Oil BBLS Gas MCF -:	-> >	78,756 99,746	6,400,008 1,000,000	504,039 99,746	8,588,000 1,147,000	15.9483 13.9438
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nuclear Othr -	> _	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	3,100,000	0.6167
8 9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nuclear Othr -	>	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	2,830,000	0.5630
11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,062	713,922	90.4	94.1	90.4	4 6,861	Gas MCF -	> _	4,898,795	1,000,000	4,898,795	52,184,000	7.3095
12 13 LAUDERDALE 4	440	223,115	68.2	. 94.5	86.8	8,047	Gas MCF -	> _	1,795,589	1,000,000	1,795,589	 19,972,000	8.9514
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	437	252,045	77.5	94.5	88.2	2 7,913	Gas MCF -	» —	1,994,440	1,000,000	1,994,440	22,200,000	8.8080
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	203		0.0	95.3	·	0							<u>.</u> .
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	203		0.0	94.4	·	0	<del></del>			<u></u>			
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	380	35,309 7,602	15.2	92.0	94.1	9,980	Heavy Oil BBLS Gas MCF -	 -> >	52,861 89,980	6,399,936 1,000,000	338,307 89,980	5,759,000 1,039,000	16.3103 13.6673
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25 26	380	22,899 2,714	9,1	92.7	93.6	9,981	Heavy Oil BBLS Gas MCF -:	-> >	34,399 35,496	6,399,953 1,000,000	220,152 35,496	3,748,000 409,000	16.3675 15.0711
27 RIVIERA 3	272		0.0	91.6		0	. <u> </u>						
29 RIVIERA 4 30	281		0.0	92.7	·	0					· ·		

1 1 1

1

40

L

ł

1

I

.

1

1

Florida Power & Light

I

## Schedule E4 Page: 26

1

ł

I

1

1

1

				Estimated I	for The Pe	riod of :	A	ug-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	·	(H)		(1)	(J)	(K)	(L.)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	ו ד )	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cos per KWF (C/KWH
1 ST LUCIE 1	839	608,613	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	->	6,686,833	1,000,000	6,686,833	3,485,000	0.572
3 ST LUCIE 2	714	517,926	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Oth	r->	5,690,445	1,000,000	5,690,445	3,538,000	0.683
5 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	380	47,143 25,554	25.7	94.5	97.1	9,797	Heavy ( Gas	Oil BBL MCF	s> ->	68,773 272,109	6,399,997 1,000,000	440,147 272,109	7,495,000 3,136,000	15.898 12.272
8 CAPE CANAVERAL 2	376	31,255 24,479	19.9	94.1	92.6	10,022	Heavy ( Gas	Oil BBL MCF	S -> ->	46,350 261,948	6,400,043 1,000,000	296,642 261,948	5,052,000 3,028,000	16.163 12.369
IT CUTLER 5	64	4,004	8.4	99.3	99.3	14,996	Gas	MCF	->	60,047	1,000,000	60,047	656,000	16.382
IS CUTLER 6	137	15,926	15.6	96.6	98.5	13,129	Gas	MCF	->	209,105	1,000,000	209,105	2,268,000	14.240
5 FORT MYERS 2	1,389	890,419	86.2	94.7	86.2	7,068	Gas	MCF	~>	6,293,668	1,000,000	6,293,668	68,739,000	7.719
7 FORT MYERS 3A_B	304	39,588	17.5	93.8	96.5	10,841	Gas	MCF	->	429,193	1,000,000	429, 193	4,780,000	12.074
9 SANFORD 3	139	· ·	0.0	97.9		0	_		-			·		
1 SANFORD 4	909	260,698	38.6	94.4	97.9	7,236	Gas	MCF	->	1,886,417	1,000,000	1,886,417	20,753,000	7.960
3 SANFORD 5	905	618,933	91.9	94.5	91.9	7,032	Gas	MCF	->	4,352,726	1,000,000	4,352,726	47,872,000	7.734
4 5 PUTNAM 1	239	56,552	31.8	98.7		9,038	Gas	MCF	->	511,138	1,000,000	511,138	5,690,000	10.061
7 PUTNAM 2	240	46,994	26.3	98.4	77.1	9,481	Gas	MCF	->	445,564	1,000,000	445,564	4,960,000	10.554
0 19 MANATEE 1 10	798	112,367 112,404	37.9	96.6	51.3	10,421	Heavy ( Gas	Oil BBL MCF	S -> ->		6,399,997 1,000,000	1,173,241 1,169,289	19,977,000 12,918,000	17.778 11.492

1

I

1

I

Company	Florida Powe	er & Light		. <u></u> .							Schedule E4 Page: 2	27	
				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :		Aug-09					
(A)	(8)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	 (L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
62 MANATEE 2 63	772	174,414 152,214	56.9	95.6	71.0	10,283	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	278,346 1,577,425	6,399,991 1,000,000	1,781,412 1,577,425	30,332,000 17,511,000	17.3908 11.5042
65 MANATEE 3	1,061	716,421	90.8	94.4	90.8	6,854	Gas	MCF ->	4,910,964	1,000,000	4,910,964	52,314,000	7.3021
67 MARTIN 1 68	796	82,739 276,900	60.7	96.2	63.5	10,297	Heavy Gas	OII BBLS -> MCF ->	127,639 2,886,550	6,399,995 1,000,000	816,889 2,886,550	13,908,000 32,114,000	16.8095 11.5977
70 MARTIN 2 71 72	799	29,985 264,377	49.5	94.9	58.9	10,485	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	46,161 2,790,980	6,399,948 1,000,000	295,428 2,790,980	5,030,000 30,962,000	18.7751 11.7113
73 MARTIN 3	417	251,437	81.0	94.2	88.5	i 7,474	- Gas	MCF ->	1,879,388	1,000,000	1,879,388	20,425,000	8.1233
75 MARTIN 4	431	219,714	68.5	94.7	73.5	7,530	Gas	MCF ->	1,654,514	1,000,000	1,654,514	17,994,000	8.1897
77 MARTIN 8	1,049	691,089	88.6	94.1	88.5	7,019	Gas	MCF ->	4,851,175	1,000,000	4,851,175	53,555,000	7.7494
78 79 FORT MYERS 1-12	588	·	0.0	98.4		0	_						
8081 LAUDERDALE 1-24	678		0.0	91.7		0	_		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
82 83 EVERGLADES 1-12	339		0.0	88.3		0	-			······	<u></u>		
84 85 ST JOHNS 10	125	91,136	98.0	96.8	98.0	9,907	Coal	TONS ->	36,029	25,060,118	902,891	2,947,000	3.2336
85	124	89,794	97.3	97.1	97.3	9,918		TONS ->	35,539	25,059,737	890,598	2,907,000	3.2374
89 SCHERER 4	624	453,790	97.8	97.1	97.8	10,601	Coal	TONS ->	274,910	17,500,025	4,810,932	10,717,000	2.3617
90	1,219	750,353	82.7	96.2	82.7	6,681	Gas	MCF ->	5,013,311	1,000,000	5,013,311	53,404,000	7.1172

Fiorida Power & Light

ł

1

1

ł

I

1

1

Ĭ

ŀ

l

ł

ł

I

ł

1

1

ł

I

92 —

Company:		Florida Pow	ver & Light								Schedule E4 Page:	28	
					Estimated F	For The Pe	riod of :	Aug-09					
(A)		 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plar Uni	ht t	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
93 WCEC_02		1,219		0.0	0.0		0	<u></u>					
95 TOTAL		23,472	10,067,359				8,684				87,425,330	692,870,000	6.8823

E.

I

Florida Power & Light

1

1 1

1

I

I

1

1

Schedule E4 Page: 29

1

I

				Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :		Sep-09					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	_	(H)	(!)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	378	71,206 10,290	29.9	91.2	97.1	9,626	Heavy Gas	Oi) BBLS -> MCF ->	103,258 123,676	6,400,008 1,000,000	660,852 123,676	11,272,000 1,387,000	15.8301 13.4788
4 TURKEY POINT 2	376	40,111 16,014	20.7	92.8	96.3	9,782	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	58,665 173,597	6,400,051 1,000,000	375, <b>4</b> 59 173,597	6,404,000 1,978,000	15.9657 12.3515
7 TURKEY POINT 3	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.8	5 11,330	Nucle	ear Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,989,000	0.6144
9 TURKEY POINT 4	693	486,491	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nucle	ar Othr->	5,512,394	1,000,000	5,512,394	2,728,000	0.5608
11 TURKEY POINT 5	1,062	661,089	86.5	94.1	86.5	5 6,918	Gas	MCF ->	4,573,758	1,000,000	4,573,758	49,079,000	7.4240
13 LAUDERDALE 4	440	216,693	68.4	94.5	82.9	8,137	Gas	MCF ->	1,763,300	1,000,000	1,763,300	19,730,000	9.1050
14 15 LAUDERDALE 5	437	232,625	73.9	94.5	84.2	8,023	Gas	MCF ->	1,866,538	1,000,000	1,866,538	20,898,000	8.9836
16 17 PT EVERGLADES 1	203	4,701	3.2	95.3	96.5	5 10,530	— Heavy	Oil BBLS ->	7,735	6,400,517	49,508		17.7196
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 2	203		0.0	34.6	·,	0	_						
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 3 22	380	14,659 14,198	10.6	92.0	94.9	10,126	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	21,941 151,798	6,399,845 1,000,000	140,419 151,798	2,393,000 1,731,000	
23 24 PT EVERGLADES 4 25	380	7,149 7,149	5.2	92.7	94.1	10,167	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	10,737 76,652	6,399,925 1,000,000	68,716 76,652	1,171,000 875,000	16.3799 12.2402
27 RIVIERA 3 28 29	272	7,371 3,159	5.4	91.6	96.8	10,092	Heavy Gas	OII BBLS -> MCF ->	11,047 35,565	6,399,837 1,000,000	70,699 35,565	1,205,000 406,000	16.3478 12.8526
30 RIVIERA 4 31	281	10,226	5.1	92.7	91.0	10,517	Gas	MCF ->	107,557	1,000,000	107,557	1,206,000	11.7934

44

I

ļ

ł

I

1

Florida Power & Light

t

ł

1

1

1

T

ł

1

Schedule E4 Page: 30

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :	S	iep-09 						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	·	(H)		(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	)	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 1	839	588,980	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nucle	ar Oth	>	6,471,126	1,000,000	6,471,126	3,360,000	0.5705
33	714	501,219	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nucle	ar Oth	r->	5,506,882	1,000,000	5,506,882	3,411,000	0.6805
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 37	380	15,918 54,255	25.7	94.5	96.7	9,992	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF	S -> ->	23,222 552,579	6,400,009 1,000,000	148,621 552,579	2,534,000 6,268,000	15.9191 11.5528
39 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 40	376	3,817 42,401	17.1	94.1	93.8	10,237	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF	S-> ->	5,660 436,934	6,400,177 1,000,000	36,225 436,934	618,000 5,004,000	16.1907 11.8017
4142 CUTLER 5	64	2,542	5.5	99.3	99.3	3 15,052	Gas	MCF	->	38,268	1,000,000	38,268	421,000	16.5618
43 44 CUTLER 6	137	12,687	12.9	80.5	98.5	5 13,143	Gas	MCF	>		1,000,000	166,748	1,823,000	14.3687
46 FORT MYERS 2	1,389	844,127	84.4	94.7	84.4	7,094	Gas	MCF	->	5,988,315	1,000,000	5,988,315	65,800,000	7.7950
47 48 FORT MYERS 3A_B	304	41,934	19.2	93.8	96.5	5 10,828	Gas	MCF	->	454,095	1,000,000	454,095	5,084,000	12.1238
49 50 SANFORD 3	139	1,731	1.7	97.9	77.8	3 11,264	Gas	MCF	•	19,500	1,000,000	19,500	218,000	12.5924
51 52 SANFORD 4	909	292,729	44.7	86.5	97.9	7,199	Gas	MCF	->	2,107,616	1,000,000	2,107,616	23,275,000	7.9510
5354 SANFORD 5	905	581,577	89.3	83.5	89.3	3 7,070	Gas	MCF	->	4,112,025	1,000,000	4,112,025	45,531,000	7.8289
56 PUTNAM 1	239	42,297	24.6	79.0	77.3	9,571	Gas	MCF	~>	404,833	1,000,000	404,833	4,536,000	10.7241
57	240	43,334	25.1	98.4	76.5	5 9,513	Gas	MCF	~>	412,243	1,000,000	412 243	4,618,000	10.6568
60 MANATEE 1 61 62	798	129,033 124,656	44.2	96.6	53.9	9 10,315	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF	.S -> >	20 <del>6</del> ,757 1,293,585	6,399,986 1,000,000	1,323,242 1,293,585	22,555,000 14,402,000	17.4800 11.5534

**\$** 

1

ł

1

I

Florida Power & Light

# Schedule E4 Page: 31

ł

Ł

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :		Sep-09					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	· –	(H)	(1)	(J)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH	)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
63 MANATEE 2 64	772	179,041 157,606	60.6	95.6	71.4	10,244	Heavy Gas	+ Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	283,644 1,633,521	6,400,001 1,000,000	1,815,322 1,633,521		17.2826 11.5579
66 MANATEE 3	1,061	538,631	70.5	79.4	70.5	7,138	Gas	MCF ->	3,844,922	1,000,000	3,844,922	41,258,000	7.6598
67 68 MARTIN 1 69	796	73,513 273,008	60.5	96.2	66.8	10,331	Heavy Gas	/ Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	> 113,149 2,855,770	6,399,995 1,000,000	724,153 2,855,770	12,342,000 31,725,000	16.7889 11.6206
70 ———— 71 MARTIN 2 72	799	32,879 158,588	33.3	56.9	63.1	10,405	Heavy Gas	/ Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	50,712 1,667,755	6,399,965 1,000,000	324,555 1,667,755	5,532,000 18,529,000	16.8253 11.6837
74 MARTIN 3	417	100,962	33.6	50.3	74.3	7,607	Gas	MCF ->	768,075	1,000,000	768,075	8,407,000	8.3269
76 MARTIN 4	431	230,752	74.4	94.7	80.4	7,477	Gas	MCF ->	1,725,440	1,000,000	1,725,440	18,892,000	8.1872
77 78 MARTIN 8	1,049	640,158	84.8	94.1	84.8	7,079	Gas	MCF ->	4,532,189	1,000,000	4,532,189	49,683,000	7.7611
79 80 FORT MYERS 1-12	588		0.0	98.4		0	_			<u></u>		<u></u>	<u> </u>
81 82 LAUDERDALE 1-24	678		0.0	91.7	·	0			·····				
83 84 EVERGLADES 1-12	339		0.0	88.3		0	- <u>-</u>					<u>.                                    </u>	
85	125	84,026	93.4	96.8	93.4	9,937	Coal	TONS ->	33,322	25,060,140	835,054	3,058,000	3.6393
87 88 ST JOHNS 20	124	83,097	93.1	97.1	93.1	9,948	Coal	TONS ->	32,988	25,060,052	826,681	3,027,000	3.6427
89 90 SCHERER 4	624	435,404	96.9	97.1	96.9	10,605	Coal	TONS ->	263,867	17,500,006	4,617,674	10,300,000	2.3656
91	1,219	719,733	82.0	96.1	82.0	6,684	Gas	MCF ->	4,810,809	1,000,000	4,810,809	51,622,000	7.1724
93						·	· -		······				

.

46

I

t

Compa	iny:	Florida Powe	er & Light				_				Schedule E4 Page:	32	
					Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :	Sep-09					
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
	Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
94 WCEC	_02	1,219		0.0	0.0		0		······	<u>_</u>		<u> </u>	
96 TOTAI	L	23,472	9,320,256				8,768				81,717,637	639,277,000	6.8590

BELT FERTINI FELT

· 47

Ł
T

I.

I.

Т

÷.

1

Florida Power & Light

1

1

1

ł

Schedule E4 Page: 33

ş

1

J

1

I

l

					Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :	C	)ct-09						
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	· .	(H)		(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
	Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH	)	Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 2 3	TURKEY POINT 1	378	43,242 10,676	19.2	91.2	96.4	9,673	Heavy Gas	OII BBL MCF	S-> ->	62,706 120,237	6,400,010 1,000,000	401,319 120,237	6,811,000 1,383,000	15.7509 12.9540
4 5 6	TURKEY POINT 2	376	16,754 9,182	9.3	92.8	95.6	9,838	Heavy Gas	Oil BBL MCF	S-> ->	24,505 98,349	6,399,918 1,000,000	156,830 98,349	2,662,000	15.8887 12.3394
7	TURKEY POINT 3	693	502,707	97.5	97.5	97.5	i 11,330	Nuclea	ar Oth	г->	5,696,144	1,000,000	5,696,144	3,077,000	0.6121
9	TURKEY POINT 4	693	389,192	75.5	75.5	97.5	5 11,330	Nucle:	ar Oth	r ->	4,409,894	1,000,000	4,409,894	2,174,000	0.5586
11	TURKEY POINT 5	1,062	761,748	96.4	94.1	96.4	6,801	Gas	MCF	->	5,181,355	1,000,000	5,181,355	57,282,000	7.5198
13	LAUDERDALE 4	440	103,428	31.6	94.5	98.4	8,098	Gas	MCF	->	837,638	1,000,000	837,638	9,586,000	9.2683
14	LAUDERDALE 5	437	74,406	22.9	67.1		8,036	Gas	MCF	->	597,930	1,000,000	597,930	6,850,000	9.2063
16	PT EVERGLADES 1	203		0.0	95.3		0			-				<u> </u>	
18 19	PT EVERGLADES 2	203		0.0	0.0		0							<u></u>	•· <i>p</i>
20 21	PT EVERGLADES 3	380	14,428	5.1	92.0	94.9	10,369	Gas	MCF		149,619	1,000,000	149,619	1,726,000	11.9625
22 23	PT EVERGLADES 4	380	11,478	4.1	92.7	94.4	10,406	 Gas	MCF	->	119,441	1,000,000	119,441	1,378,000	12.0061
24 25 26	RIVIERA 3	272	4,422 1,895	3.1	91,6	96.8	10,092	Heavy ( Gas	DII BBL MCF	S -> ->	6,628 21,339	6,399,970 1,000,000	 42,419 21,339	719,000 245,000	16.2596 12.9267
27 28	RIVIERA 4	281		0.0	92.7		0	_	-						<i></i> -
29 30	ST LUCIE 1	839	608,613	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclea	ar Othi	->	6,686,833	1,000,000	6,686,833	3,459,000	0.5683
0							·								

I

ł

I

1

1

1

1

ļ

ł

1

ł

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 34

Ł

ł

**,**,a

ł

I

				Estimated	For The Pe	eriod of :		Oct-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)		(I)	(L)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 ST LUCIE 2	714	517,926	97.5	97.5	97.5	i 10,986	Nucle	ar Othr	->	5,690,445	1,000,000	5,690,445	3,512,000	0.6781
33 34 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	380	37,652	13.3	94.5	95.3	10,116	Gas	MCF ·	->	380,909	1,000,000	380,909	4,394,000	11.6700
35 36 CAPE CANAVERAL 2	376	17,110	6.1	94.1	94.8	10,279	Gas	MCF ·	->	175,881	1,000,000	175,881	2,029,000	11.8588
37 38 CUTLER 5	64		0.0	99.3		0						<u></u>		
39	137		0.0	0.0		o	_			<u> </u>				
41	1,389	680,567	65.9	94.7	92.4	4 7,069	Gas	MCF	->	4,811,457	1,000,000	4,811,457	54,469,000	8.0035
43 44 FORT MYERS 3A_B	304	30,791	13.6	93.8	96.5	5 10,855	Gas	MCF	->	334,261	1,000,000	334,261	3,814,000	12.3868
46 SANFORD 3	139		0.0	97.9		0								
47 48 SANFORD 4	909	 389,711	57.6	47.2	97.9	9 7,126	Gas	MCF	->	2,777,414	1,000,000	2,777,414	31,438,000	8.0670
49 50 SANFORD 5	905	382,324		94.5	83.7	7 7,280	Gas	MCF	->	2,783,519	1,000,000	2,783,519	31,571,000	8.2576
51 52 PUTNAM 1	239	46,737	26.3	98.7	97.8	- <u></u> 3 9,049	Gas	MCF	->	422,944	1,000,000	422,944	4,826,000	10.3258
53 54 PUTNAM 2	240	27,394	15.3	52.4	52.8	B 10,650	Gaş	MCF	->	291,750	1,000,000	291,750	3,335,000	12.1743
55 56 MANATEE 1 57	798	174,130 142,303	53.3	96.6	56.9	9 10,098	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF	;-> ->	270,166 1,466,522	6,399,991 1,000,000	1,729,060 1,466,522	29,323,000 16,799,000	16.8397 11.8051
58 59 MANATEE 2 60	772	236,872 183,716	73.2	95.6	75.0	9 10,051	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS MCF	5-> ->	364,904 1,892,096	6,400,009 1,000,000	2,335,389 1,892,096	39,605,000 21,728,000	16.7200 11.8270
61														······

1

1

1

l

1

1

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 35

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :		Oct-09					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	,	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
62 MANATEE 3	1,061	761,624	96.5	87.5	96.5	6,796	Gas	MCF ->	5,176,368	1,000,000	5,176,368	57,373,000	7.5330
63 64 MARTIN 1 65	796	64,545 339,112	68.2	96.2	68.2	10,177	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	98,506 3,477,870	6,399,996 1,000,000	630,438 3,477,870	10,691,000 39,711,000	16.5636 11.7103
67 MARTIN 2	799		0.0	0.0		0	_						
69 MARTIN 3	417	114,891	37.0	94.2	95.7	7,551	Gas	MCF ->	867,553	1,000,000	867,553	9,772,000	8.5054
70 71 MARTIN 4	431	120,824	37.7	62.6	89.6	5 7,519	Gas	MCF ->	908,588	1,000,000	908,588	10,242,000	8.4768
72 73 MARTIN 8	1,049	222,789	28.6	30.3	96.1	6,946	Gas	MCF ->	1,547,541	1,000,000	1,547,541	17,592,000	7.8963
74 75 FORT MYERS 1-12	588		0.0	98.4	- <u>-</u> .	0	-						
77 LAUDERDALE 1-24	678	<u> </u>	0.0	91.7		0	-						
78 79 EVERGLADES 1-12	339		0.0	88.3		0	~						
80 81 ST JOHNS 10	125	91,407	98.3	96.8	98.3		Coal	TONS ->	36,130	25,060,089	905,421	3,187,000	3.4866
82 83 ST JOHNS 20	124	90,043	97.6	97.1	97.6	9,916	– Coal	TONS ->	35,632	25,059,918	892,935	3,143,000	3.4906
84 85 SCHERER 4	624	453,790	97.8	97.1	97.8	3 10,601	 Coal	TONS ->	274,910	17,500,025	4,810,932	10,744,000	2.3676
86 87 WCEC_01	1,219	839,738	92.6	96.2	92.6	5 6,638	Gas	MCF ->	5,574,858	1,000,000	5,574,858	61,632,000	7.3394
88 89 WCEC_02	1,219	£ <del>82</del>	0.0	0.0	- <u></u> )	0	-		<u>.</u>				<u>.</u>
90 91 TOTAL	23,472	8,518,166				8,735	-				74,403,496	569,415,000	6.6847
		======											

1

l

I

}

1

I

1

1

ļ

ł

l

1

Florida Power & Light

}

I

1

I

ł

I

ł

Ŧ

1

1

Schedule E4 Page: 36

1

ł

1

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	No	v-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(	H)		(i)	(J)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	F Tj	ueł ype		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	380		0.0	91.2		0						<u></u>	~	<u></u> ,
3 TURKEY POINT 2	378		0.0	92.8		0								
4 5 TURKEY POINT 3	717	503,332	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclear	Othr	->	5,703,297	1,000,000	5,703,297	3,070,000	0.6099
6 7 TURKEY POINT 4	717		0.0	0.0		0						<u> </u>		
9 TURKEY POINT 5	1,113	659,910	82.4	94.1	83.3	6,925	Gas	MCF	->	4,570,002	1,000,000	4,570,002	54,037,000	8.1885
11 LAUDERDALE 4	450	22,041	6.8	94.5	75.4	8,252	Gas	MCF	->	181,894	1,000,000	181,894	2,144,000	9.7274
13 LAUDERDALE 5	447	46,955	14.6	94.5	74.5	8,170	Gas	MCF	->	383,661	1,000,000	383,661	4,515,000	9.6156
15 PT EVERGLADES 1	204		0.0	95.3		0							<u>, ,,,,,,,,,,</u>	
17 PT EVERGLADES 2	204		0.0	0.0		0						<u> </u>		
19 PT EVERGLADES 3	382		0.0	92.0		0	<u> </u>				·			
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 4	382		0.0	92.7		0							<u> </u>	<u> </u>
22 23 RIMERA 3	274		0.0	91.8		0								<u> </u>
24 25 RIVIERA 4	283		0.0	92.7		 0								
26 27 ST LUCIE 1	853	598,803	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,987	Nuclear	r Othr	->	6,579,119	1,000,000	6,579,119	3,391,000	0.5663
28 29 ST LUCIE 2 30	726	509,586	97.5	97.5	97.5	10,986	Nuclear	Othr	->	5,598,761	1,000,000	5,598,761	3,443,000	0.6756

j

I

ļ

1

1

1

Florida Power & Light

I

F

I

ł

1

1

1

1

1

}

ł

ł

1

### Schedule E4 Page: 37

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :		Nov-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	_	(H)		(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
31 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	382		0.0	94.5		0	-					<u></u>		
32	378		0.0	94.1		0	-					<u> </u>		
34 ———— 35 CUTLER 5	65		0.0	99.3		0	_							
36	138		0.0	0.0		0	-							
38 39 FORT MYERS 2	1,471	553,771	52.3	94.7	80.4	7,121	Gas	MCF	->	3,943,608	1,000,000	3,943,608	45,942,000	8.2962
40 41 FORT MYERS 3A_B	332	849		93.8	63.9	12,212	Gas	MCF	->	10,367	1,000,000	10,367		14.3715
42 43 SANFORD 3	<b>14</b> 1		0.0	97,9		0	-		•		<u></u>			<u> </u>
44 45 SANFORD 4	967	300,623	43.2	84.9	95.4	7,160	Gas	MCF	->	2,152,628	1,000,000	2,152,628	24,997,000	8.3151
46 47 SANFORD 5	963	291,753	42.1	83.5	82.8	7,325	Gas	MCF	->	2,137,329	1,000,000	2,137,329	24,807,000	8.5028
48	249	4,319	2.4	98.7	72.3	9,645	Gas	MCF	->	41,656	1,000,000	41,656	491,000	11.3692
50 51 PUTNAM 2	250	8,266	4.6	72.2	82.7	9,241	Gas	MCF	->	76,394	1,000,000	76,394	903,000	10.9237
52 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	806		0.0	96.6		0	-					• <b></b> ••••		
54 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	780		0.0	95.6		0	-			<u> </u>			·	
56 57 MANATEE 3	1,112	699,205	87.3	94.4	87.3	6,875	Gas	MCF	->	4,807,304	1,000,000	4,807,304	55,091,000	7.8791
59 MARTIN 1	807	4,862	0.8	96.2	31.7	7 10,899	Gas	MCF	->	52,988	1,000,000	52,988	619,000	12.7324

I

I

I.

E E

1

Florida Power & Light

I

I

I

]

1

1

1

1

1

I

1

1

I

Schedu<del>le</del> E4 Page: 38

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :		Nov-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)		(I)	(J)	 (K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fue! Type		Fuel Bumed (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
61 MARTIN 2	812		0.0	25.3		0	_							
63 MARTIN 3	448	98,547	30.6	94.2	84.9	7,563	Gas	MCF	->	745,354	1,000,000	745,354	8,626,000	8.7532
65 MARTIN 4	462	109,142	32.8	94.7	80.1	7,561	Gas	MCF	->	825,255	1,000,000	825,255	9,551,000	8.7510
67 MARTIN 8	1,112	565,970	70.7	94.1	86.7	7,046	Gas	MCF	->	3,988,252	1,000,000	3,988,252	46,811,000	8.2709
68 69 FORT MYERS 1-12	617		0.0	98.4	· <u> </u>	0	-		•					
71 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684	<u> </u>	0.0	91.7	·	0	_		-					<u> </u>
72 73 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.3	- <u> </u>	0	-		-					
74 75 ST JOHNS 10	128	87,916	95.4	96.8	95.4	9,823	Coal	TONS	\$->	34,463	25,059,803	863,636	3,162,000	3.5966
76 77 ST JOHNS 20	127	87,078	95.2	97.1	95.2	2 9,831	Coal	TONS	3->	34,163	25,059,802	856,118	3,135,000	3.6002
78 79 SCHERER 4	628	441,847	97.8	97.1		3 10,491	Coal	TONS	}->	264,897	17,500,013	4,635,701	10,366,000	2.3461
80 81 WCEC_01	1,335	767,275	79.8	96.1	79.8	6,632	Gas	MCF	->	5,088,861	1,000,000	5,088,861	58,235,000	7.5898
83 WCEC_02	1,335	703,766	73.2	97.0	74.0	6,678	Gas	MCF	->	4,699,792	1,000,000	4,699,792	53,783,000	7.6422
85 TOTAL	24,381	7,065,814				8,200	-		•	<u> </u>		57,941,975	417,241,000	5.9051

I

I

1

I

Florida Power & Light

1

.

1

I

i

I

I

ł

Ť

1

.

l

Schedule E4 Page: 39

;

F

1

I

ł

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :	De	c-09					
(A)	 (B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(	H)	(1)	(J)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	F( T)	uel Ype	Fuei Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1	380		0.0	91.2		0							
2 3 TURKEY POINT 2	378		0.0	92.8		0					······		
4 5 TURKEY POINT 3	717	520,110	97.5	97.5	97.5	11,331	Nuclear	Othr ->	5,893,410	1,000,000	5,893,410	3,161,000	0.6078
7 TURKEY POINT 4	717	469,777	88.1	88.1	97.5	11,331	Nuclear	Othr->	5,323,070	1,000,000	5,323,070	3,866,000	0.8229
9 TURKEY POINT 5	1,113	611,157	73.8	94.1	81.3	6,960	Gas	MCF ->	4,253,735	1,000,000	4,253,735	52,082,000	8.5219
11 LAUDERDALE 4	450		0.0	94.5	·	0							
3 LAUDERDALE 5	447	4,350	1.3	94.5	74.9	8,077	Gas	MCF ->	35,137	1,000,000	35,137	433,000	9.9538
5 PT EVERGLADES 1	204		0.0	95.3		0				<u></u>			
17 PT EVERGLADES 2	204		0.0	54.8		0					<u></u>		
18 19 PT EVERGLADES 3	382		0.0	92.0		0							
20 21 PT EVERGLADES 4	382	<u> </u>	0.0	92.7		0							
22 23 RIVIERA 3	274		0.0	91.6		0			<u></u>				
24	283		0.0	92.7	·	0							
27 ST LUCIE 1	853	618,763	97.5	97.5	97.5	i 10,987	Nuclear	Othr ->	6,798,424	1,000,000	6,798,424	3,491,000	0.5642
28	726	526,572	97.5	97.5	97.5	5 10,986	Nuclear	Othr ->	5,785,382	1,000,000	5,785,382	3,545,000	0.6732
30 02				·	•								

I

I

1

1

l

1

I

i

1

1

i

I

1

1

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 40

}

1

ł

ŀ

				Estimated I	For The Pe	eriod of :		Dec-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)		(1)	(L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuei Burned (MMBTU)	As Bumed Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
31 CAPE CANAVERAL 1	382		0.0	94.5	·	0						<u> </u>		
32 33 CAPE CANAVERAL 2	378		0.0	94.1		0	_							
34	65		0.0	99.3		0	_							
36 37 CUTLER 6	138		0.0	74.8	· · · ·	0	-							,_
38 39 FORT MYERS 2	1,471	551,436	50.4	94.7	76.0	7,149	- Gas	MCF	->	3,942,467	1,000,000	3,942,467	47,786,000	8.6657
40	332		0.0	93.8		0	-							
42 43 SANFORD 3	141		0.0	97.9		0	-		•	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<del></del>	
4445 SANFORD 4	967	228,651	31.8	94.4	90.9	7,172	Gas	MCF	->	1,639,976	1,000,000	1,639,976	19,826,000	8.6708
46 47 SANFORD 5	963	197,467	27.6	94.5	81.4	7,327	Gas	MCF	->	1,446,900	1,000,000	1,446,900	17,488,000	8.8562
48 49 PUTNAM 1	249		0.0	98.7		0	-							
50	250		0.0	98.4		0	-							
52	806		0.0	96.6		0	-							
54 55 MANATEE 2	780	<u> </u>	0.0	95.6		0	_		•					<del></del>
56 57 MANATEE 3	1,112	697,796	84.3	94.4	86.6	6,891	Gas	MCF	->	4,808,849	1,000,000	4,808,849	57,447,000	8.2326
58 59 MARTIN 1	807	<del></del>	0.0	96.2		0	-							
60							-				·			

55

I

ł

Ì

.

I

H

Florida Power & Light

1

1

ŀ

.

1

i

i

1

1

I

.

Schedule E4 Page: 41

)

ļ

ł

I

				Estimated I	For The Pe	riod of :		Dec-09						
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	 (G)	-	(H)		 (I)	(J)	(К)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	N <del>e</del> t Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type		Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
61 MARTIN 2	812		0.0	94.9		0	_							
62 63 MARTIN 3	448	4,762	1.4	94.2	75.9	7,746	Gas	MCF	->	36,889	1,000,000	36,889	446,000	9.3652
65 MARTIN 4	462	27,263	7.9	94.7	78.7	7,603	Gas	MCF	->	207,288	1,000,000	207,288	2,503,000	9.1809
67 MARTIN 8	1,112	502,306	60.7	94.1	83.7	7,098	Gas	MCF	->	3,565,402	1,000,000	3,565,402	43,446,000	8.6493
69 FORT MYERS 1-12	617		0.0	98.4		0	-							
71 LAUDERDALE 1-24	684	<u>,</u>	0.0	91.7	,	0	-			<u> </u>			<u></u>	
73 EVERGLADES 1-12	342		0.0	88.3		0	-			··				
75 ST JOHNS 10	128	89,157	93.6	96.8	93.6	9,834	Coal	TONS	->	34,990	25,059,789	876,842	3,474,000	3.8965
77 ST JOHNS 20	127	88,448	93.6	97.1	93.6	9,842	Coal	TONS	i->	34,740	25,060,046	870,586	3,450,000	3.9006
79 SCHERER 4	628	456,160	97.7	97.1	97.7	10,491	Coal	TONS	;->	273,481	17,500,016	4,785,922	10,716,000	2.3492
80 81 WCEC_01	1,335	757,521	76.3	96.2	76.3	6,658	Gas	MCF	->	5,044,268	1,000,000	5,044,268	60,257,000	7.9545
83 WCEC_02	1,335	653,207	65.8	97.0	65.8	6,755	Gas	MCF	->	4,412,505	1,000,000	4,412,505	52,710,000	8.0694
85 TOTAL	24,381	7,004,904	0.0			8,526	-					59,727,051	386,127,000	5.5122

56

I.

I.

l

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 42

¥.

۱

1

ł

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :	Ja	an-09	Thru	Dec-09			
 (A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	 	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)	F T	Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
1 TURKEY POINT 1 2 3	379	492,012 75,600 0	15.8	74.7	77.2	9,682	Heavy C Gas	)ii BBL\$ -> MCF ->	717,446 903,975 0	6,400,005 1,000,000	4,591,658 903,975 0	77,441,000 10,275,000 0	15.7397 13.5913 0.0000
4 5 TURKEY POINT 2 6 7	377	315,362 162,412 0	14.5	92.8		9,911	Heavy C Gas		463,942 1,765,794 0	6,399,983 1,000,000	2,969,221 1,765,794 0	49,195,000 20,115,000 0	15.5995 12.3852 0.0000
9 TURKEY POINT 3	703	5,418,764	88.0	88.1	97.3	11,331	Nuclea	ar Othr->	61,399,945	1,000,000	61,399,945	32,162,000	0.5935
11	703	5,336,560	86.7	86.8	97.3	11,331	Nuciea	ar Othr->	60,468,468	1,000,000	60,468,468	31,522,000	0.5907
15 TURKEY POINT 5	1,083	7,971,818	84.0	89.5	85.2	6,921	Gas	MCF ->	55,175,447	1,000,000	55,175,447	612,338,000	7.6813
16	444	1,607,744	41.3	88.0	81,7	8,149	Gas	MCF ->	13,101,006	1,000,000	13,101,006	147,568,000	9.1786
20 LAUDERDALE 5	441	2,238,838	57.9	92.2	79.4	8,037	Gas	MCF ->	17,993,696	1,000,000	17,993,696	204,114,000	9.1170
21 22 PT EVERGLADES 1 23 24	203	2,981 4,701 0	0.4	95.3	94.4	10,749	Gas Heavy C	MCF -> Dil BBLS ->	33,069 7,735 0	1,000,000 6,400,517	33,069 49,508 0	372,000 833,000 0	12.4782 17.7196 0.0000
25 26 PT EVERGLADES 2	203	0	0.0	70.3	0.0				0		0	0	0.0000
27 28 PT EVERGLADES 3 29 30 21	381	129,435 115,740 0	7.3	86.5	75.2	10,281	Gas Heavy C	MCF -> Dil BBLS ->	1,409,974 173,533 0	1,000,000 6,399,964	1,409,974 1,110,605 0	16,128,000 18,805,000 0	12.4604 16.2476 0.0000

I

1

1

1

I

ł

I

1

1

)

l

)

ł

F

1

١

Florida Power & Light

I

ļ

i

1

ł

ł

)

Schedule E4 Page: 43

)

J

1

ł

1

١

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :	J	Jan-09	Thru	Dec-09			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	 (M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	'Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		F⊔еі Туре	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
32 PT EVERGLADES 4 33 34	381	134,047 71,334 0	6.2	92.7	74.7	10,360	Gas Heavy	MCF -> Oil BBLS -	1,439,69 > 107,51	6 1,000,000 5 6,399,972 0	1,439,696 688,093 0	16,405,000 11,631,000 0	12.2383 16.3050 0.0000
35 36 RIVIERA 3 37 38	273	94,992 42,308 0	5.7	86.3	80.5	10,164	Heavy Gas	oil BBLS - MCF ->	> 143,44 477,56	2 6,399,967 4 1,000,000 0	918,024 477,564 0	15,297,000 5,419,000 0	16.1035 12.8083 0.0000
40 RIVIERA 4 41	282	107,700 0	4.4	92.7	69.2	10,635	Gas	MCF ->	1,145,38	2 1,000,000 0	1,145,382 0	 12,904,000 0	11.9814 0.0000
42 43 ST LUCIE 1	845	7,215,367	97.5	5 97.5	97.5	10,987	Nucle	ar Othr->	79,275,61	1 1,000,000	79,275,611	41,530,000	0.5756
44 45 ST LUCIE 2 46	719	5,538,813	87.9	87.9	97,6	10,987	Nucle	ar Othr->	60,854,59	5 1,000,000	60,854,595	33,859,000	0.6113
48 CAPE CANAVERAL 1 49 50	381	468,791 137,284 0	18.2	94.5	76.0	10,097	Gas Heavy	MCF -> Oil BBLS -	4,837,23 > 200,31	6 1,000,000 3 6,399,999 0	4,837,236 1,282,003 0	55,256,000 21,762,000 0	11.7869 15.8518 0.0000
51 52 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 53 54	377	267,134 79,467 0	10.5	5 94.1	66.6	10,354	Gas Heavy	MCF -> Oil BBLS -	2,833,94 > 117,94	7 1,000,000 3 6,400,015 0	2,833,947 754,837 0	32,414,000 12,814,000 0	12.1340 16.1249 0.0000
56 CUTLER 5 57 58	64	16,143 0	2.9	88.9	98.7	14,932	Gas	MCF →	241,05	2 1,000,000 0	241,052 0	2,632,000	16.3044 0.0000
59 CUTLER 6 60 61	137	130,245 0	10.8	3 77.3	88.3	13,148	Gas	MCF ->	1,712,46	7 1,000,000 0	1, <b>712,46</b> 7 0		14.4927 0.0000

I

I

1

ŀ

1

I.

Florida Power & Light

1

I

ł

1

1

1

ł

1

T

I

Schedule E4 Page: 44

J

1

1

ł

				Estimated	For The Pe	riod of :		Jan-09	Thru	Dec-09			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	-	(H)	(1)	(L)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
2 FORT MYERS 2	1,423	9,034,764	72.5	92.9	81.8	7,102	Gas	MCF ->	64,162,6	2 1,000,000	64,162,622	722;336,000	7.9951
3 4 FORT MYERS 3A_B 5	316	458,936	16.6	93.8	91.3	10,876	Gas	MCF ->	4,991,4	1,000,000	4,991,411	56,193,000	12.2442
6 7 SANFORD 3 8	140	1,731 0	0.1	81.0	77.4	11,264	Gas	MCF ->	19,5	0 1,000,000 0	19,500 0	 218,000 0	12.5924 0.0000
0 SANFORD 4	933	4,025,790	49.2	89.0	92.3	7,187	Gas	MCF ->	28,934,4	1,000,000	28,934,417	328,304,000	8.1550
2 SANFORD 5	929	5,711,006	70.2	90.9	86.5	7,133	Gas	MCF ->	40,735,8	4 1,000,000	40,735,894	458,110,000	8.0215
4 PUTNAM 1 5	243	551,691	25.9	97.1	80.2	9,419	Gas	MCF ->	5,196,6	1,000,000	5,196,617	58,626,000	10.6266
6 7 PUTNAM 2 8	244	374,687 0	17.5	77.2	65.1	9,883	Gas	MCF ->	3,703,1	01 1,000,000 0		 42,003,000 0	11.2102 0.0000
9 0 MANATEE 1 11 22	801	675,328 640,076 0	18.7	96.6	53.0	10,322	Heavy Gas	- Oil BBLS - MCF ->	> 1,083,5 6,643,40	6,399,993 1 1,000,000 0	6,934,725 6,643,461 0	117,663,000 74,016,000 0	17.4231 11.5636 0.0000
4 MANATEE 2 55	775	949,225 816,988 0	26.0	76.5	71.2	10,235	Heavy Gas	- OII BBLS MCF ->	> 1,502,38 8,461,60	6,400,000 8 1,000,000 0	9,615,290 8,461,608 0	163,211,000 94,526,000 0	17.1941 11.5701 0.0000
8 MANATEE 3	1,082	8,081,501	85.2	92.5	85.5	6,911	Gas	MCF ->	55,851,74	0 1,000,000	55,851,740	618,041,000	7.6476
0 MARTIN 1 10	801	402,920 1,621,122 0	28.9	96.2	65.9	10,371	Heavy Gas	- Oil BBLS - MCF ->	<ul> <li>618,90</li> <li>17,030,50</li> </ul>	6,400,000 7 1,000,000 0	3,961,376 17,030,567 0	67,216,000 190,190,000 0	16.6822 11.7320 0.0000

I

1

1 1 1 1

Florida Power & Light

Schedule E4 Page: 45

i

I

1

I

L

				Estimated	For The Per	iod of :		Jan-09	Thru	Dec-09			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)		(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
Plant Unit	Net Capb (MW)	Net Gen (MWH)	Capac FAC (%)	Equiv Avail FAC (%)	Net Out FAC (%)	Avg Net Heat Rate (BTU/KWH)		Fuel Type	Fuel Burned (Units)	Fuel Heat Value (BTU/Unit)	Fuel Burned (MMBTU)	As Burned Fuel Cost (\$)	Fuel Cost per KWH (C/KWH)
94 MARTIN 2 95 96	804	157,818 1,043,863 0	17.1	78.0	60.5	10,514	Heavy Gas	Oil BBLS -> MCF ->	► 243,171 11,078,052 0	6,399,974 1,000,000	1,556,288 11,078,052 0	26,407,000 122,574,000 0	16.7326 11.7423 0.0000
97 98 MARTIN 3	430	1,867,322	49.6	90.6	85.3	7,530	Gas	MCF ->	14,061,558	1,000,000	14,061,558	155,916,000	8.3497
100 MARTIN 4	444	2,141,865	55.1	92.0	84.0	7,466	Gas	MCF ->	15,991,502	1,000,000	15,991,502	177,565,000	8.2902
103 102 MARTIN 8	1,075	7,018,056	74.5	86.8	84.2	7,077	Gas	MCF ->	49,664,960	1,000,000	49,664,960	555,787,000	7.9194
103 104 FORT MYERS 1-12	600	0		97.5	0.0	0			o		0	0	0.0000
105 106 LAUDERDALE 1-24	681	o	0.0	91.7	0.0	0	- -		0		0		0.0000
107	340	0	0.0	88.3	0.0	0			0		0		0.0000
109 110 ST JOHNS 10 111	126	882,280	79.8	81.1	95.2	9,886	Coal	TONS ->	348,037	25,060,068	8,721,831	29,632,000	3.3586
112	125	1,039,711	94.8	97.1	94.8	9,894	Coal	TONS ->	410,471	25,059,953	10,286,384	34,858,000	3.3527
114 115 SCHERER 4	625	5,345,971	97.6	97.1	97.6	10,557	 Coal	TONS ->	3,224,885	17,500,009	56,435,515	125,477,000	2.3471
116 117 WCEC_01	1,267	5,279,789	82.2	<b>96.</b> 1	81.1	6,666	 Gas	 MCF ->	35,196,541	1,000,000	35,196,541	387,596,000	7.3412
118 119 WCEC_02	1,267	1,356,974	69.5	97.0	73.5	6,715	 Gas	MCF ->	9,112,297	1,000,000	9,112,297	106,493,000	7.8478
120 ——— 121 TOTAL	23,851	97,654,984			·	<b>8,66</b> 1	_				845,780,127	6,214,625,000	6.3639

ł

Ì

_

Schedule: E5 Page : 1

#### System Generated Fuel Cost Inventory Analysis Estimated For the Period of : January 2009 thru June 2009

		January 2009	February 2009	March 2009	April 2009	May 2009	June 2009
Heavy	DII						
1 Purcha	SOS:	07.468	44.040	005 405	407 747	1 090 494	1 011 84
Z Units	(BBLS)	9/,400	41,049	295,135	497,717	107 7286	1,011,041
Amour	st (arenca) st (\$)	10,544,000	4,488,000	31,707,000	50,139,000	115,214,000	109,312,000
; Burned							
Units	(BBLS)	67,466	1,050	25,136	222,716	642,484	611,841
Unit C	ost (\$/BBLS)	102.2719	-365.6528	89.4127	106.9733	107.6398	107.9647
Amour	nt (\$)	8,945,311	-384,145	2,247,477	23,824,659	69,156,869	66,057,242
Endian	investors.						
Units	(BBLS)	3,120,000	3,160,000	3,430,000	3,675,000	4,102,000	4,501,997
Unit Cr	ost (\$/BBLS)	104.5744	104.6354	104,8580	105.0150	105.3084	105.5498
Amour	it (\$)	326,272,000	330,648,000	359,656,000	385,930,000	431,975,000	475,185,000
Light O							
Purcha	385:						
Units	(BBLS)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unit Co	ost (\$/BBLS)	0.0000	0,000,0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
, Amour	nt (\$)	C	0	0	0	U	U
Burned							
Units	(BBLS)	0	0	D	C	0	D
Unit Co	st (\$/BBLS)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Amour	it (S)	0	0	Û	0	0	0
E a d'a	In contrast						
i Enging Linika	Inventory: (DDI C)	756 762	758 762	756 762	756 762	756,762	756,762
Units Unit Cr	(S/BBLS)	148,8566	148,8566	148.8566	148.6566	148.8566	146.8566
Amour	it (\$)	112,649,000	112,649,000	112;649,000	112,649,000	112,649,000	112,649,000
		•					
Coal - S	URPP						
j							
/ Purcha	S85'						
i Units	(Tons)	71,987	61,358	35,978	36,634	69,225	66,301
Unit Co	ost (\$/Tons)	79.1474	79.1421	85.4689	81.8039	79.1477	79.1391
Amour	it ( <b>S</b> )	5,696,000	4,856,000	3,075,000	2,915,000	5,479,000	6,247,000
Burnad							
i tinits	(Tops)	71.967	61,368	35.978	35,634	69,225	66,301
Unit Co	ost (\$/Tons)	79.1474	79.1421	85.4689	81.8039	79.1477	79.1391
Amour	it (\$)	5,696,000	4,856,000	3,075,000	2,915,000	5,479,000	5,247,000
'Ending	Inventory:	57 502	57 501	57,500	57.500	57,501	57.501
i Unit Unit Cr	(101a) hst (S/Tons)	57.8241	57.8251	57,6261	57.8261	57.8251	57.8251
Amour	nt (\$)	3,325,000	3,325,000	3,325,000	3,325,000	3,325,000	3,325,000
			•				
Coal - S	SCHERER						
3							
t 5 Pumbo	595.						
s ⊢uruata 5 Units	(MBTU)	4.790.223	4,323,165	4,790,223	4,634,158	4,807,268	4,618,968
Unit C	ost (\$/MBTU)	2.2074	2.2104	2.2133	2.2162	2.2189	2.2219
Arnour	nt (\$)	10,574,000	9,556,000	10,602,000	10,270,000	10,667,000	10,263,000
J Burned	(NART)  )	A 700 222	4 323 164	4 700 273	4,634 158	4,607.268	4,618,968
⊢ Units 2 Unit≏	st (S/MBTU)	2.2074	2.2104	2.2133	2.2162	2.2169	2.2216
3 Amour	nt (\$)	10,574,000	9,556,000	10,602,000	10,270,000	10,687,000	10,263,000
	• •						
5 Ending	Inventory:				4 600 100	4 600 490	4 630 433
Units	(MBTU) (CAARTIN	4,529,450	4,029,450	4,029,450	4,028,433 2 1273	4,028,433	2.1273
r Unit Ci 8 Amour	∠a. (auwna⊐i⊔) ht (\$1)	6.1473 9.848.000	≤.1≤/3 9,848.000	9,648.000	9,648,000	9,848,000	9,848,000
2 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		010-10,000		-,-,-			
Gas							
1							
2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
, oumea 1. Units	(MCF)	31.700.096	28,728.508	36,031.375	37,366,304	43,841,163	45,121,887
5 Unit C	ost (\$/MCF)	11.6776	11.6799	11.4243	11.0068	10.8179	10.8288
6 Amour	nt (\$)	370,182,207	335,546,279	411,634,930	411,262,184	474,271,215	499,352,422
7	-						
B Nuclea	r						
و م							
1 Burneri							
2 Units	(MBTU)	24,370,626	22,012,169	18,477,216	21,533,546	18,079,121	22,819,234
3 Unit C	ost (\$/MBTU)	0.4827	0.4810	0.4945	0.5077	0.5263	0.5482
4 Amour	nt (\$)	11,764,000	10,587,000	9,137,000	10,932,000	9,515,000	12,510,000

Schedule: E5 Page : 2

#### System Generated Fuel Cost inventory Analysis Estimated For the Period of : July 2009 thru December 2009

		July 2009	August 2009	September 2009	October 2009	November 2009	December 2009	Total
Heavy Oli								
1 Purchases: 2 Units 3 Unit Cost 4 Amount	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	1,034,141 108.3421 112,041,000	108.6433 108.6433 112,029,000	627,230 108.7464 68,209,000	622,280 108.7163 67,652,000	0 0,0000 0	0 0.0000 0	6,297,507 108.1912 681,335,000
5 6 Burned: 7 Units 8 Unit Cost 9 Amount	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	1,034,141 108,7210 112,432,885	1,031,163 108,9834 112,379,694	896,525 109.1008 97,811,563	827,414 108,5440 89,810,805	0 0,0000 -167,086	0 0.0000 -195,424	5,379,936 108.1648 581,919,849
0 1 Ending Inveni 2 Units 3 Unit Cost 4 Amount 5 6 Light Oil	tory: (BBLS) ' (\$/BBLS) (\$)	4,501,999 105,5498 475,185,000	4,501,998 105.5498 475,185,000	4,232,702 105.3478 445,905,000	4,027,558 105.1769 423,607,000	4,027,571 105.1768 423,607,000	4,027,571 105.1768 423,607,000	4,027,571 105,1768 423,807,000
7 8 9 Purchases: 0 Units 1 Unit Cost 2 Amount 3	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0,0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0000.0 0
4 Burned: 15 Units 16 Unit Cost 17 Amount	(BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	0 0.0000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0020,0 0	0 00000 0	0 0.0000 0	0 0000.0 0	0 0.0000 0
o 9 Ending Inveni 0 Units 1 Unit Cost 2 Amount 3 4 Coal - SJRPF	tory: (BBLS) (\$/BBLS) (\$)	756,762 148,8566 112,649,000	756,762 148,8566 112,649,000	756,762 148.8566 112,849,000	756,762 148.8566 112,649,000	756,762 148,8566 112,649,000	756,762 148,8566 112,649,000	756,762 148,8566 112,549,000
5 Units 8 Units 9 Unit Cost 0 Amount 1 2 Burned: 3 Units 4 Unit Cost	(Tons) (\$/Tons) (\$) (Tons) (\$rfons)	70,055 81,8072 5,731,000 70,055 81,8072	71,568 81,8103 5,865,000 71,588 81,8103	66,311 91.7495 6,084,000 66,311 91.7495	71,762 88,2082 6,330,000 71,762 88,2082	68,627 91,7669 6,297,000 68,627 91,7669	69,731 99,2959 6,924,000 69,731 99,2959 8,924,000	758,517 85.0198 64,489,000 758,517 85.0198
5 Amount 6 7 Ending Inveni 8 Units 9 Unit Cost 0 Amount	(\$) (Tons) (\$/Tons) (\$)	57,501 57,8251 3,325,000	57,501 57,8251 3,325,000	57,501 57,8251 3,325,000	57,501 57.8251 3,325,000	57,501 57.8251 3,325,000	57,501 57.8251 3,325,000	57,501 57.8251 3,325,000
51 52 Coal - SCHEI 53	RER							
54 55 Purchases: 56 Units 57 Unit Cost 58 Amount 59	(MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)	4,810,348 2.2248 10,702,000	4,810,925 2,2276 10,717,000	4,617,673 2,2306 10,300,000	4,810,925 2.2333 10,744,000	4,635,696 2.2361 10,366,000	4,785,918 2.2391 10,716,000	56,435,488 2.2234 125,477,000
0 Burned: 11 Units 12 Unit Cost 13 Amount 14	(MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)	4,810,348 2.2248 10,702,000	4,810,925 2.2276 10,717,000	4,617,673 2.2306 10,300,000	4,810,925 2.2333 10,744,000	4,635,698 2.2361 10,366,000	4,785,918 2.2391 10,716,000	56,435,488 2.2234 125,477,000
5 Ending Inven 6 Units 7 Unit Cost 8 Amount 9 0 Gas	tory: (MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)	4,629,433 2,1273 9,848,000	4,629,433 2.1273 9,848,000	4,629,433 2.1273 9,848,000	4,629,433 2.1273 9,848,000	4,629,450 2.1273 9,848,000	4,629,450 2,1273 9,848,000	4,629,450 2,1273 9,848,000
72 73 Burned: 74 Units 75 Unit Cost 76 Amount 77 78 Nuclear	(MCF) (\$/MCF) (\$)	49,862,992 10.8738 542,079,269	50,451,891 10,9206 550,966,700	46,699,161 10.9771 512,622,140	40,015,439 11.2534 450,310,037	33,705,342 11.5908 390,671,148	29,393,416 12.0 <b>580</b> 354,425,114	473,907,574 11.1907 5,303,343,644
79 30 31 Burned: 32 Units 33 Unit Cost 34 Amount	(MBTU) (\$/MBTU) (\$)		23,759,586 0.5449 12,953,000	23,002,796 0,5429 12,488,000	22,483,316 0,5436 12,222,000	17,881,177 0.5539 9,904,000	23,800,286 0.5909 14,063,000	261,998,619 0.5308 139,072,000

and the second secon

•

i

Т

í

Schedule: E6 Page : 1

ł

1

I

ł

I

I

ł

### POWER SOLD

----

_____

ł

Ł

I

I.

Estimated for the Period of : January 2009 thru December 2009

.

_____

(1)	(2)	(3) Type	(4) Total	(5) MWH	(6) MWH From	(7A) Fuel	(7B) Total	(8) Total \$ For	(9) Total	(10) \$ Gain
Month	Sold To	& Schedule	MWH Sold	Wheeled From Other Systems	Own Generation	Cost (Cents / KWH) C	Cost Cents / KWH	Fuel Adjustment (6) * (7A)	Cost \$ (6)*(7B)	From Off System Sales
January 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	254,095 46,084		254,095 46,084	6.836 0.587	8.401 0.587	17,370,970 270,380	21,347,408 270,380	3,434,011 0
Total			300,180	0	300,180	5.877	7.202	17,641,350	21,617,788	3,434,011
February 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	255,749 41,625		255,749 41,625	5.423 0.585	7.018 0.585	13,870,449 243,300	17,948,708 243,300	3,547,385 0
Total			297,373	0	297,373	4.746	6.118	14,113,749	18,192,008	3,547,385
March 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	171,796 46,084	-	171,796 46,084	7.346 0.582	8.575 0.582	12,619,435 268,355	14,731,902 268,355	1,767,209 0
Total			217,881	0	217,881	5.915	6.885	12,887,790	15,000,257	1,767,209
April 2009	St.Lucie Rei.	OS	97,896 43,866		97,896 43,866	9.395 0.581	10.632 0.581	9,197,642 254,954	10,408,493 254,954	912,002 0
Total			141,761	0	141,761	6.668	7.522	9,452,595	10,663,447	912,002
May 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	64,004 45,332		64,004 45,332	10.726 0.579	12.141 0.579	6,865,212 262,456	7,770,571 262,456	 765,451 0
Total			109,336	0	109,336	6.519	7.347	7,127,668	8,033,027	765,451
June 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	69,716 43,866		69,716 43,866	9.756 0.577	11.141 0.577	6,801,655 253,026	7,767,121 253,026	 811,740 0
Total			113,582	0	113,582	6.211	7.061	7,054,681	8,020,146	811,740

1

_____

1 1

Schedule: E6 Page : 2

4

I

I

I

### POWER SOLD

----

Estimated for the Period of : January 2009 thru December 2009

_

(1) Month	(2) Sold To	(3) Type & Schedule	(4) Total MWH Sold	(5) MWH Wheeled From Other Systems	(6) MWH From Own Generation	(7A) Fuel Cost (Cents / KWH)	(7B) Total Cost Cents / KWH	(8) Total \$ For Fuel Adjustment (6) * (7A)	(9) Total Cost <b>\$</b> (6)*(7B)	(10) \$ Gain From Off System Sales
July 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	61,278 45,332		61,278 45,332	9.912 0.575	11.137 0.575	6,073,579 260,464	6,824,337 260,464	 634,111 0
Total			106,610	0	106,610	5.941	6.646	6,334,043	7,084,801	634,111
August 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	123,601 45,332		123,601 45,332	11.304 0.572	12.870 0.572	13,972,395 259,468	15,907,998 259,468	1,653,071 0
Total			168,934	0	168,934	8.425	9.570	14,231,863	16,167,466	1,653,071
September 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	37,821 43,866		37,821 43,866	10.577 0.570	11.735 0.570	4,000,155 250,134	4,438,379 250,134	353,664 0
Total			81,687	0	81,687	5.203	5.740	4,250,289	4,688,513	353,664
October 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	49,117 45,332		49,117 45,332	10.618 0.568	11.804 0.568	5,215,165 257,476	5,797,590 257,476	 478,138 0
Total			94,449	0	94,449	5.794	6.411	5,472,641	6,055,066	478,138
November 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	 112,199 44,598		112,199 44,598	5.757 0.566	7.014 0.566	6,458,734 252,348	7,869,565 252,348	 1,204,331 0
Total			156,796	0	156,796	4.280	5.180	6,711,083	8,121,913	1,204,331
December 2009	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	194,229 46,084		194,229 46,084	5.433 0.565	7.120 0.565	 10,552,095 260,254	13,829,597 260,254	 2,886,686 0
Total			240,314	0	240,314	4.499	5.863	10,812,349	14,089,850	2,886,686
Period	St.Lucie Rel.	OS	1,491,500 537,402	0	1,491,500 537,402	7.576 0.575	9.027 0.575	112,997,486 3,092,615	134,641,669 3,092,615	
Total			2,028,902	2 0	2,028,902	5.722	6.789	116,090,101	137,734,284	18,447,799

1

1

I.

-

_

-

_

_

_

-

-

-

____

### Purchased Power

(Exclusive of Economy Energy Purchases)

Estimated for the Period of : January 2009 thru December 2009

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8A)	(8B)	(9)
Month	Purchase From	Type & Schedule	Total Mwh Purchased	Mwh For Other Utilities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
2009 January	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		691,300 39,221 277,075 20,352			691,300 39,221 277,075 20,352	2.709 0.488 3.100 9.032		18,727,000 191,321 8,589,000 1,838,221
Total			1,027,948			1,027,948	2.855		29,345,542
2009 February	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		614,819 35,425 237,333 40,704			614,819 35,425 237,333 40,704	2.709 0.486 3.106 9.048		16,655,000 172,028 7,371,000 3,682,950
Total			928,281			928,281	3.004	·	27,880,979
2009 March	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		691,904 39,221 138,131 42,000			691,904 39,221 138,131 42,000	2.709 0.485 3.349 8.906		18,743,000 190,029 4,626,000 3,740,353
Total			911,256		<u> </u>	911,256	2.996		27,299,381
2009 April	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rei. SJRPP PPAs		643,607 32,355 135,637 52,049			643,607 32,355 135,637 52,049	2.709 0.482 3.248 9.246		17,435,000 156,053 4,408,000 4,812,538
Total			863,648			863,648	3.104		26,809,590
2009 May	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		681,847 0 264,076 32,224			681,847 0 254,076 32,224	2.709 0.100 3.135 8.314		18,471,000 0 8,280,000 2,679,031
Total			978,147			978,147	3,009		29,430,031
2009.000000 June	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		647,277 36,088 251,611 22,048			647,277 36,088 251,611 22,048	2.709 0.688 3.139 8.397		17,534,000 248,202 7,899,000 1,851,411
Total			957,024			957,024	2.877		27,532,613
Period Total	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs	:	3,970,754 182,311 1,303,863 209,377			3,970,754 182,311 1,303,863 209,377	2.709 0.525 3.158 8.885		107,565,000 957,634 41,171,000 18,604,503
Total		:	5,666,305			5,666,305	2.970		168,298,137

-

-

-

-----

-

-

-

_

Company: Florida Power & Light

### Purchased Power

(Exclusive of Economy Energy Purchases)

Estimated for the Period of : January 2009 thru December 2009

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	 (8A)	(8B)	(9)
Month	Purchase From	Type & Schedule	Type Total & Mwh hedule Purchased		Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total Cost ) (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ Fou Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
200 <del>9</del> July	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		685,647 38,577 267,149 42,400			685,647 38,577 267,149 42,400	2.709 0.686 3.239 8.489		18,574,000 264,458 8,652,000 3,599,442
Total			1,033,773	<u></u>		1,033,773	3.007		31,089,900
2009 August	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rei. SJRPP PPAs		691,809 38,577 271,704 39,008	·		691,809 38,577 271,704 39,008	2.709 0.683 3.236 8.552		18,741,000 263,610 8,791,000 3,335,981
Total			1,041,098			1,041,098	2.990		31,131,591
2009 September	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rei. SJRPP PPAs		650,666 37,333 253,235 30,528			650,666 37,333 253,235 30,528	2.709 0.680 3.638 8.584		17,626,000 253,890 9,212,000 2,620,528
Tota!			971,762			971,762	3.058		29,712,418
2009 October	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rei. SJRPP PPAs		691,915 38,577 272,175 27,136			691,915 38,577 272,175 27,136	2.709 0.678 3.488 8.664		18,743,000 261,491 9,494,000 2,351,161
Total			1,029,803		<u>-</u> -	1,029,803	2.996		30,849,652
2009 November	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rei. SJRPP PPAs		665,554 37,956 265,082 25,440			665,554 37,956 265,082 25,440	2.709 0.676 3.596 8.998		18,029,000 256,458 9,532,000 2,288,988
Total			994,032			994,032	3.029 -		30,106,446
2009 December	Sou, Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rel. SJRPP PPAs		679,185 39,221 270,295 10,176			679,185 39,221 270,295 10,176	2.709 0.673 3.895 9.350		18,399,000 264,144 10,527,000 951,456
Total			998,877			998,877	3.018		30,141,600
Period Total	Sou. Co. (UPS + R) St. Lucie Rei. SJRPP PPAs		8,035,530 412,552 2,903,503 384,065			8,035,530 412,552 2,903,503 384,065	2.709 0.611 3.354 8.788		217,677,000 2,521,684 97,379,000 33,752,059
Total			11,735,850 			11,735,650	2.994		351,329,743

### Schedule: E8 Page : 1

### Energy Payment to Qualifying Facilities

### Estimated for the Period of : January 2009 thru December 2009

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8A)	(88)	(9)
Month	Purchase From	Type & Schedule	Total Mwh Purchased	Mwh For Other Utilities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Totai Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
2009 January	Qual. Facilities		530,208			530,208	3.923	3.923	20,800,000
Total			530,208			530,208	3.923	3.923	20,800,000
2009 February	Qual. Facilities		472,451			472,451	3.779	3.779	17,852,000
Total			472,451			472,451	3.779	3.779	17,852,000
2009 March	Qual. Facilities		534,811			534,811	4.002	4.002	21,403,000
Total			534,811			534,811	4.002	4.002	21,403,000
2009 April	Qual. Facilities		247,750			247,750	3.917	3.917	9,704,000
Total			247,750			247,750	3.917	3.917 	9,704,000
2009 May	Qual. Facilities		512,6 <del>6</del> 4			512,664	4.160	4.160	21,327,100
Total			512,664	•		512,664	4.160	4.160	21,327,100
2009 June	Qual. Facilities		505,009			505,009	4.189	4.189	21,154,893
Total			505,009			505,009	4.189 	4.189	21,154,893
Period Total	Qual. Facilities		2,802,893			2,802,893	4.004	4.004	112,240,993
Total			2,802,893			2,802,893	4.004	4.004	112,240,993

### Schedule: E8 Page : 2

5

#### . - 30 . .

### Energy Payment to Qualifying Facilities

### Estimated for the Period of : January 2009 thru December 2009

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8A)	(8B)	(9)
Month	Pu	rchase From	Type & Schedule	Total Mwh Purchased	Mwh For Other Utilities	Mwh For Interruptible	Mwh For Firm	Fuel Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total Cost (Cents/Kwh)	Total \$ For Fuel Adj (7) x (8A)
2009 July	Qual.	Facilities		523,352			523,352	4.360	4.360	22,820,000
Total	-			523,352 			523,352	4.360	4.360	22,820,000
2009 August	Qual.	Facilities		507,387			507,387	4.569	4.569	23,183,000
Total	-			507,387			507,387	4.569	4.569	23,183,000
2009 September	Qual.	Facilities		464,994			464,994	4.662	4.662	21,679,000
Totai				464,994			464,994 	4.662	4.662	21,679,000
2009 October	Qual.	Facilities		396,984			396,984	4.961	4.961	19,694,000
Total	-			396,984			396,984	4.961	4.961	19,694,000
2009 November	Qual.	Facilities		399,498			399,498	3.994	3.994	15,956,000
Total	-			399,498 			399,498	3.994	3.994	15,956,000
2009 December	Quai. I	Facilities		477,174			477,174	4.271	4.271	20,380,000
Total	-			477,174			477,174	4.271	4.271	20,380,000
Period Total	Qual. i	Facilities		5,572,282			5,572,282	4.234	4.234	235,952,993
Total	_			5,572,282			5,572,282	4.234	4.234	235,952,993

-

-

Schedule: E9 Page : 1

and the second second

# Economy Energy Purchases

-----

	(1) Month	(2) Purchase From	(3) Type & Schedule	(4) Total MWH Purchased	(5) Transaction Cost (Cents/KWH)	(6) Total \$ For Fuel ADJ (4) * (5)	(7A) Cost If Generated (Cents / KWH)	(7B) Cost If Generated (\$)	(8) Fuel Savings (7B) - (6)
1 2	January 2009	Florida Non-Florida	, OS OS	14,482 58,322	7.454 7.664	1,079,432 4,469,948	8.610 8.634	1,246,837 5,035,283	167,405 565,335
3 4 5	Total			72,804	7.622	5,549,380	8.629	6,282,120	732,740
6 7 8	February 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	16,189 36,000	5.029 5.785	814,143 2,082,599	6.882 6.865	1,114,059 2,471,360	299,916 388,760
9 10 11	Totai			52,189	5.550	2,896,743	6.870	3,585,419	688,676
12 13 14	March 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	23,272 76,800	8.333 8.390	1,939 <b>,</b> 249 6,443,596	9.796 9.782	2,279,848 7,512,507	340,599 1,068,912
15 16 17	Total		·	100,072	8.377	8,382,845	9.785	9,792,355	1,409,511
18 19 20	April 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	20,288 79,017	11.172 11.070	2,266,577 8,747,132	12.584 12.566	2,553,046 9,929,363	286,469 1,182,231
21 22 23	Total	*		99,305	11.091	11,013,710	12.570	12,482,410	1,468,700
24 25 26	May 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	24,681 89,640	11.502 12.165	2,838,760 10,904,960	13.354 13.237	3,296,051 11 <b>,865,766</b>	457,291 960,806
27 28 29	Tota!			114,321	12.022	13,743,720	13.262	15,161,816	1,418,097
30 31 32	June 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	15,858 41,269	11.679 12.662	1,852,000 5,225,432	13.441 13.494	2,131,463 5,568,966	279,463 343,534
33 34 35	Total			57,127	12.389	7,077,431	13.479	7,700,429	622,997
36 37 38	Period Total	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	114,770 381,049	9.402 9.939	10,790,161 37,873,667	10.997 11.123	12,621,303 42,383,245	1,831,142 4,509,578
39 40 41	Total			495,819	9.815	48,663,828	11.094	55,004,548	6,340,720

Schedule: E9 Page : 2

### Economy Energy Purchases

			Estimated Fo	or the Period of	of : January 2007	- 7 Thru Decemb	er 2007		
	(1) Month	(2) Purchase From	(3) Type & Schedule	(4) Total MWH Purchased	(5) Transaction Cost (Cents/KWH)	(6) Total \$ For Fuel ADJ (4) * (5)	(7A) Cost If Generated (Cents / KWH)	(7B) Cost If Generated (\$)	(8) Fuel Savings (7B) - (6)
1	July 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	28,697 48 579	12.560 13.050	3,604,245 6 339 793	14.237 14.330	4,085,533	<b>4</b> 81,289 621 798
3 4	Total			77,276	12.868	9,944,038	14.296	11,047,125	1,103,087
5 6 7 8	 August 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	 19,175 49,735	13.159 12.916	2,523,228 6,423,754	 14.154 13.830	2,714,019 6,878,439	190,791 454,685
9 10 11	Total			68,910	12.984	8,946,982	13.920	9,592,458	645,476
12 13 14	September 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	67,695 64,196	13.565 12.681	9,182,954 8,140,843	14.516 14.045	9,826,698 9,016,321	643,744 875,479
15 16 17	Total			131,891	13.135	17,323,797	14.287	18,843,020	1,519,223
18 19 20	October 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	55,711 85,322	<b>12.421</b> 11.850	6, <b>919,682</b> 10,110,311	14.055 13.964	7,829,936 11,914,281	<b>910,253</b> 1,803,970
21 22 23	Total			141,033	12.075	17,029,993	14.000	19,744,216	2,714,223
24 25 26	November 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	46,917 97,696	5.525 5.415	2,592,346 5,290,714	6.633 6.611	3,112,147 6,459,112	519,801 1,168,399
27 28 29	Total			144,613	5.451	7,883,060	6.619	9,571,260	1,688,199
30 31 32	December 2009	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	44,830 91,628	<b>4.879</b> 4.696	2,187,275 4,302,972	6.451 6.454	2,891,984 5,913,771	704,710 1,610,799
33 34 35	Total			136,458	4.756	6,490,247	6.453	8,805,755	2,315,508
36 37 38	Period Total	Florida Non-Florida	OS OS	377,794 818,206	10.005 9.592	37,799,891 78,482,053	11.403 10.942	43,081,621 89,526,761	5,281,730 11,044,708
40 41	Total			1,196,000	9.723	116,281,945	11.088	132,608,382	16,326,437

### COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

1

Í

ł

I

1

I.

#### SCHEDULE E10

1

ł

ì

ł

		CURRENT	PRELIMINARY	PRELIMINARY DIFFERENCE JAN 09 - MAY 09 \$ %		PRELIMINARY	DIFFER	ENCE	PRELIMINARY	DIFFERENCE	
		AUG 08 - DEC 08	<u>JAN 09 - MAY 09</u>			JUNE 09 - OCT 09	5	<u>%</u>	NOV 09 - DEC 09	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>
	BASE	\$39.37	\$39.31	(\$0.06)	-0.15%	\$40.72	<b>\$1.4</b> 1	3.59%	\$42.00	\$1.28	3.14%
71	FUEL	\$60.21	<b>\$6</b> 4.13	\$3.92	6.51%	\$62.72	(\$1.41)	-2.20%	\$61.44	(\$1.28)	-2.04%
	CONSERVATION	\$1.45	\$2.04	\$0.59	40.69%	\$2.04	\$0.00	0.00%	\$2.04	\$0.00	0.00%
	CAPACITY PAYMENT	\$5.46	\$8.55	\$3.09	56.59%	\$8.55	\$0.00	0.00%	\$8.55	\$0.00	0.00%
	ENVIRONMENTAL	\$0.40	\$0.94	\$0.54	135.00%	<b>\$0.94</b>	<b>\$0.0</b> 0	0.00%	\$0.94	\$0.00	0.00%
	STORM RESTORATION SURCHARGE	<u>\$1.11</u>	<u>\$1.45</u> *	<u>\$0.34</u>	<u>30.63%</u>	<u>\$1.45</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>0.00%</u>	<u>\$1.45</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>0.00%</u>
	SUBTOTAL	\$108.00	\$116.42	\$8.42	7.80%	\$116.42	\$0.00	0.00%	\$116.42	\$0.00	0.00%
	GROSS RECEIPTS TAX	<u>\$2.77</u>	<u>\$2.99</u>	\$0.22	7.94%	<u>\$2.99</u>	\$0.00	0.00%	<u>\$2.99</u>	\$0.00	0.00%
	TOTAL	<u>\$110.77</u>	<u>\$119.41</u>	\$8.64	7.80%	<u>\$119.41</u>	\$0.00	0.00%	<u>\$119.41</u>	\$0.00	0.00%

1

1

)

1

* Storm Charge effective November 1, 2008

.

#### Schedule H1

#### GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA BY FUEL TYPE

				PERIOD		DIFFERENC	E (%) FROM PR	RIOR PERIOD
		ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED/ACTUAI	PROJECTED	}		
		IAN - DEC	IAN - DEC	JAN - DEC	JAN - DEC	COLUMN 2)	(COLUMN 3)	(COLUMN 4)
		JAN - DEG	000 - 020	0000 0000	2000 2000			
		2005 - 2006	2007 - 2007	2008 - 2008	2009-2009			
		(COLUMN 1)	(COLUMN 2)	(COLUMN 3)	(COLUMN 4)	(COLUMN 1)	(COLUMN 2)	(COLUMN 3)
	FUEL COST OF SYSTEM NET	GENERATION (\$)						
		700 000 040	024 002 845	663 091 982	817 755 849	16.5	(28.2)	(6.6)
		/92,823,810	824,080,040	000,001,000			(40.7)	(400.03
		3,022,019	6,521,641	2,632,929	·	- 041	(48.7)	(100.0)
	3 COAL	130,166,710	149,663,170	158,818,588	190,200,000	15.0	4.6	21.3
	4 GAS	3 988 536 281	4 473 222.671	5.307.779.635	5.310.555.644	12.2	18,7	0.1
		00 040 444	01 045 404	444 669 248	141 654 000	/5.83	22.4	28.6
	NIGLEAR	30,043,144	81,240,401	(1),000,210	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
1	OTHER	0	0	0		0.0	0,0	u.u
		5 011 482 072	5.643.771.728	6.242.178.330	6.260.165.493	12.6	10.6	0.3
		1			1			
	SYSTEM NET GENERATION					1 <u>F</u>		
	B HEAVY OIL	9,585,826	9,651,216	5,900,534	3,715,276	0.7	(38.9)	(37.0)
	LIGHT OIL	25,951	27.033	14,410	0	4.2	(45.7)	(100.0)
	COAL	A 100 170	6 255 626	6 599 540	7 277 030	111	(3.7)	10.3
	U COAL	0,100,123	0,000,020	0,040,040	00.000.354			
1	GAS	56,965,272	59,300,494	61,380,716	63,290,754	/ .	3.3	
13	NUCLEAR	23,532,578	21,899,288	23,804,145	24,010,237	(6.9)	8,7	0.9
1	OTHER	n 1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		+·····*				1	r	
						4	10.043	
- 14	TOTAL (MWH)	95,297,756	97,733,657	97,699,445	98,293,297	] [1.5	(0.04)	0.6
	UNITS OF FUEL BURNED							
	HEAVY OIL (Bbi)	15.208 754	15.523.650	9.025.279	5.710.881	1.5	-41.86	(36.7)
10		10,000,104		01000		1 1007	-77 86	(100.0)
16		39,600	114,332	31,3/8		1 - 108./	-7 6.30	(100.0)
17	COAL (TON)	749,567	_803,110	765,182	760,629	1 7.1	-4.72	(0.6)
12	GAS (MCF)	437,700,179	447,353,401	458,525,901	474,579,592	22	4.73	1.3
41	NUCLEAR (MMBT)	257 601 608	240 216 287	259 208,670	267.643.738	(6.8)	7.91	3.3
		1			-	1 1	0.0	0.0
20	OTHER (TONS)	0	v		U0	]	0.0	0.0
	BTU'S BURNED (MMBTU)							
21	HEAVY OIL	97,243,909	99,303,877	60,591,637	36,549,503	2.1	(39.0)	(38.7)
	UCHTON	017 784	381 540	180 303	n	75.2	(52.7)	(100.0)
		217,701	301,340	100,000	76 650 050		(2.7)	10.7
23	COAL	64,086,288	70,529,786	68,253,838	75,530,252	<u> </u>	(3.2)	10,7
24	GAS	452,949,944	461,001,723	475,966,620	474,579,592	1.8	3.2	(0.3)
25		257 691 696	240.216.287	259,208,670	267.643.738	(6.8)	7.9	3.3
	OTUED	201,001,000	<u> </u>		0	1 00	0.0	0.0
- 20	UIRES	<u> </u>	······································	v	¥			
						┥ ┝━━━━━		
27	TOTAL (MMBTU)	872,189,620	<b>57</b> 1,433,213	864,201,068	654,303,085	(0,1)	(0.8)	(1.2)
	GENERATION MIX (%MWH)							
28	BEAVY OIL	0.05	0.88	6.04	3.78%			
20		8.85	5,00	0.04	0.000			
29		0.03	0.03	<u> </u>	0.00%		· · · ·	
30	COAL	6.41	7.01	6.75	7,40%		<b></b> ł	
31	GAS	59.18	60,68	62.63	64,39%			
20	NUCLEAR	24.44	72.41	24.36	24.43%	•••		•
	ADDEAN	47.77	17,44		0.000			
33	OTHER	•	· ·	<b>_</b>	0.00%		<u> </u>	
- 34	TOTAL (%)	100.00	100.00	100,00	100.00%	-	•	
	FUEL COST REP UNIT					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				70 4705	409 1721	440	22.4	47.2
35	INCAVT UIL (WBDR)	51,8361	59.5285	601e.61	100.1721	19.0	43.4	
36	LIGHT OIL (\$/Bbl)	76.3139	48.2949	90.2810	0.0000	{36.7}	8,98	(100.0)
37	COAL (\$/TON)	47,6288	52,4253	72.2637	47.7000	9.6	37.8	{34.0}
38	GAS (SMCF)	0.1125	0.9993	11.3287	11,1900	9.7	13.3	(1.2)
	NUCLEAR (SAR (DT))	0.1144	0.9704	N 4707	0 6202	4.4	13.4	22.9
39	INUGLEMIK (AMMBTU)	0.3765	0.3798	0.4307	0.0233			
40	OTHER (S/TON)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0,0	0,0
	FUEL COST PER MMBTU (SM	MBTU)						
41	HEAVY OIL	8.1540	9.3058	10.9436	16.9019	14.5	17.6	54.5
49	LIGHT OIL	13.8764	14.479	15,7120	0.0000	4.3	8.6	(100.0)
	COAL		n 4004	1 9076	3 4144	4 # 1		9.0
43		2.0310	4.1223	4.28(0	4.7104			<u>;;</u> [
- 44	GAS	8.8057	9.7033	11.1516	11.1900	10.2	14.9	0.3
45	NUCLEAR	0.3758	0.3798	0.4307	0.5293	1.1	13.4	22.9
46	OTHER	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0	0.0
				2 0004	מלקר ל	4.4.4		
47	TOTAL (WMMBTU)	5.7459	6.4/64	12231	1,2610	12.7	11.9	<u></u>
	BTU BURNED PER KWH (BTU/	KWH)	1			<b></b>		
48	HEAVY OIL	10.145	10.289	10,269	9,838	1.4	(0.2)	(4.2)
40	LIGHT ON	9 100	14 114	12 512	0	68.2	(11.3)	(100.0)
	00041	0,082		10,012	20 97A	14 61		0.4
50	CUAL	10,300	10,288	10,342	(0,3/9	[1,0]	0.0	
51	GAS	7,949	7,774	7,754	7,495	(2.2)	(0.3)	(3.3)
52	NUCLEAR	10,950	10,969	10,889	11,147	0.2	(0.7)	2.4
53	OTHER	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					· · · · ·		T	
					a	14 41	10.01	/1 P)
54	I O LAL (BTURWH)	9,057	8.916	8,645 <u>}</u>	5,66,5	(1.6)	[0.6])	11.01
	GENERATED FUEL COST PER	KWH (c/KWH)						
ss İ	HEAVY OIL	8.2718	9.5749	11.2378	16.6275	15.8	17.4	48.0
			20.4252	10 8505	0 0000	75.4	(3.6)	(100.0)
20	CON UL	11.0403	20.42.30	10.000	0.0000			10.0
57	COAL	2,1101	2.1834	2.3752	2.6137	3.5	0.0	10.0
58	GAS	6.9992	7.5433	8.8473	8.3907	7.8	14.6	(3.0)
59	NUCLEAR	0.4115	0.4167	0.4590	0.5900	1.3	12.8	25.8
60	OTHER	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0	0.0
~		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	4.0000			{
61	TOTAL (CKWH)	5.2042 {	5.7746	6.3892 [	6.3689	11.0	10.6	(0,3)

Note: Schorer coal is reported in MMETU's only. Scherer coal is not included in YONS.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

(Continued from Sheet No. 10.100)

## ESTIMATED AS-AVAILABLE AVOIDED ENERGY COST

For informational purposes only, the estimated incremental As-Available Energy costs for the next four periods are as follows. In addition, As-Available Energy cost payments will include .0048¢/kWh for variable operation and maintenance expenses.

Applicable Period	On-Peak ∉/KWH	Off-Peak ¢/KWH	Average ¢/KWH
October 1, 2008 – March 31, 2009	8.20	6.42	6.96
April 1, 2009 – September 30, 2009	11.68	10.91	11.14
October 1 2009 – March 31 2010	7.89	6.47	6.91
April 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010	11.86	10.68	11.04

A MW block size ranging from 58 MW to 65 MW has been used to calculate the estimated As-Available Energy cost.

## DELIVERY VOLTAGE ADJUSTMENT

The Company's actual hourly As-Available Energy costs shall be adjusted according to the delivery voltage by the following multipliers:

Delivery Voltage	Adjustment Factor
Transmission Voltage Delivery	1.0000
Primary Voltage Delivery	1.0204
Secondary Voltage Delivery	1.0444

For informational purposes the Company's projected annual generation mix and fuel prices are as follows:

# PROJECTED ANNUAL GENERATION MIX AND FUEL PRICES

		Genera (	tion by F %)	uel Type	2		Price by Fuel Type (\$/MMBTU)				
<u>Year</u>	Nuclear	<u>Oil</u>	Gas	<u>Coal</u>	Purchased Power	Nuclear	<u>Oil</u>	Gas	<u>Coal</u>		
2009	20	3	57	6	14	.63	13.35	10.24	2.30		
2010	18	4	61	6	11	.71	14.54	9.71	2.31		
2011	18	1	67	6	8	.77	12.43	8.54	2.16		
2012	19	1	66	5	8	.79	12.46	8.58	2.15		
2013	20	0	66	5	8	.81	11.62	7.81	2.18		
2014	19	0	68	5	8	.83	11. <b>9</b> 0	8.21	2.23		
2015	18	0	69	5	8	.85	12.17	8.52	2.74		
2016	18	0	73	5	3	.88	12.89	8.93	2.78		
2017	18	0	74	5	3	.90	12.32	9.32	2.82		
2018	21	1	71	4	3	.91	14.10	9.72	2.86		

NOTE: The Company's forecasts are for illustrative purposes, and are subject to frequent revisions. Amounts may not add to 100% due to rounding.

(Continued on Sheet No. 10.102)

Issued by: S. E. Romig, Director, Rates and Tariffs Effective:

### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

----

Customer		Customer		
Rate Schedule	Charge(\$)	Rate Schedule	Charge(\$)	
GS-1	<b>8.5</b> 1	CST-1	104.04	
GST-1	11.64	GSLD-2	160.77	
GSD-1	33.10	GSLDT-2	160.77	
GSDT-1	39.24	CS-2	160.77	
RS-1	5:34	CST-2	160.77	
RST-1	8.47	GSLD-3	378.28	
GSLD-1	38.78	CS-3	378.28	
GSLDT-1	38.78	CST-3	378.28	
CS-1	104.04	GSLDT-3	378.28	

#### B. Interconnection Charge for Non-Variable Utility Expenses:

The Qualifying Facility shall bear the cost required for interconnection, including the metering. The Qualifying Facility shall have the option of (i) payment in full for the interconnection costs upon completion of the interconnection facilities (including the time value of money during the construction) and providing a surety bond, letter of credit or comparable assurance of payment acceptable to the Company adequate to cover the interconnection costs, (ii) payment of monthly invoices from the Company for actual costs progressively incurred by the Company in installing the interconnection facilities, or (iii) upon a showing of credit worthiness, making equal monthly installment payments over a period no longer than thirty-six (36) months toward the full cost of interconnection. In the latter case, the Company shall assess interest at the rate then prevailing for the thirty (30) days highest grade commercial paper rate, such rate to be specified by the Company thirty (30) days prior to the date of each installment payment by the Qualifying Facility.

#### C. Interconnection Charge for Variable Utility Expenses:

The Qualifying Facility shall be billed monthly for the cost of variable utility expenses associated with the operation and maintenance of the interconnection facilities. These include (a) the Company's inspections of the interconnection facilities and (b) maintenance of any equipment beyond that which would be required to provide normal electric service to the Qualifying Facility if no sales to the Company were involved.

In lieu of payments for actual charges, the Qualifying Facility may pay a monthly charge equal to a percentage of the installed cost of the interconnection facilities necessary for the sale of energy to the Company. The applicable percentages are as follows:

Equipment Type	Charge
Metering Equipment	0.171%
Distribution Equipment	0.237%
Transmission Equipment	0.123%

#### D. Taxes and Assessments

The Qualifying Facility shall be billed monthly an amount equal to any taxes, assessments or other impositions, for which the Company is liable as a result of its purchases of As-Available Energy produced by the Qualifying Facility. In the event the Company receives a tax benefit as a result of its purchases of As-Available Energy produced by the Qualifying Facility, the Qualifying Facility shall be entitled to a refund in an amount equal to such benefit.

#### TERMS OF SERVICE

(1) It shall be the Qualifying Facility's responsibility to inform the Company of any change in the Qualifying Facility's electric generation capability.

(Continue on Sheet No. 10.104)

Issued by: S. E. Romig, Director, Rates and Tariffs Effective:

# APPENDIX III

### CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

KMD-6 DOCKET NO. 080001-EI FPL WITNESS: K. M. DUBIN EXHIBIT PAGES 1-7 SEPTEMBER 2, 2008

# APPENDIX III CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)	DESCRIPTION	<u>SPONSOR</u>
3	Projected Capacity Payments	K. M. Dubin
3a-3b	REVISED – 2008 Capacity Estimated/actual True-up Calculation	K. M. Dubin
4	Calculation of Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class	K. M. Dubin
5	Calculation of Capacity Recovery Factor	K. M. Dubin
6-7	Capacity Costs – 2009 Projections	G. J. Yupp

2. SHORT TERM CAPACITY PAYMENTS	3,933,560	4,117,810	3,490,284	3,643,864	3,495,364	4,454,740	4,454,740	4,454,740	4,454,740	3,495,364	3,495,364	3,829,060	\$47,319,630
3. CAPACITY PAYMENTS TO COGENERATORS	\$27,667,653	\$27,667,653	\$27,667,653	\$27,667,653	\$27,667,653	\$27,667,653	<b>\$27,66</b> 7,653	\$25,419,531	\$25,419,531	\$25,419,531	\$25,419,531	\$25,419,531	\$320,771,227
4. SJRPP SUSPENSION ACCRUAL	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$200,486	\$2,405,832
5. RETURN REQUIREMENTS ON SJRPP SUSPENSION LIABILITY	(\$463,915)	(\$465,769)	(\$467,623)	(\$469,477)	(\$471,331)	(\$473,186)	(\$475,040)	(\$476,894)	(\$478,748)	(\$480,602)	(\$482,456)	(\$484,310)	(\$5,689,352)
6. INCREMENTAL PLANT SECURITY COSTS	\$ 2,615,962	\$ 2,618,791	\$ 2,622,187	\$ 2,619,644	\$ 2,616,826	\$ 2,617,190	\$ 2,616,746	\$ 2,617,210	\$ 2,622,330	\$ 2,617,683	\$ 2,618,111	\$ 2,636,580	\$31,439,262
7. TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRICITY BY OTHERS	207,880	219,338	206,670	192,819	599,562	600,275	590,775	591,966	584,863	177,681	185,181	197,626	\$4,354,655
8. TRANSMISSION REVENUES FROM CAPACITY SALES	(542,427)	(530,874)	(345,258)	(298,850)	(139,908)	(153,726)	(116,647)	(282,532)	(84,560)	(104,287)	(206,499)	(390,616)	(\$3,198,384)
9. SYSTEM TOTAL	\$52,263,536	\$52,471,771	\$52,018,735	\$52,200,475	\$52,612,988	\$53,657,770	\$53,583,049	\$51,168,863	\$51,362,979	\$49,970,193	\$49,874,054	\$50,052,493	\$621,136,906
10. JURISDICTIONAL % *													98.76729%
14. JURISDICTIONALIZED CAPACITY PAYMENTS													\$613,480,069
12. SJRPP CAPACITY PAYMENTS INCLUDED IN THE 1968 TAX SAVINGS REFUND DOCKET													(\$56,945,592)
13. 2007 FINAL TRUE-UP – overrecovery/(underrecovery) (\$3,707,455)		2008 EST \ ACT	1RUE-UP over (\$26,832,716)	ecovery/(underror	cavery)								<b>(\$30,540,170)</b>
14. NUCLEAR COST RECOVERY - TOTAL COST						·							\$258,406,183
15. Turkey Point Unit 5 GBRA True-Up													(\$9,296,089)
18 . TOTAL (Lines 10+11+12+13+14)													\$836,184,761
17. REVENUE TAX MULTIPLIER													1.00072
18. TOTAL RECOVERABLE CAPACITY PAYMENTS													<u>\$836,786,814</u>
CALCULATION OF JURISDICTIONAL %													
AT GEN.(MW)         %           FPSC         16,436         98.7           FERC         230         1.2	5729% 3271%												
TOTAL <u>18,666</u> <u>100,0</u>	2000%												

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPÂNY PROJECTED CAPACITY PAYMENTS JANUARY 2009 THROUGH DECEMBER 2009

ł

MAY JUNE

ł

ļ

1

PROJECTED

\$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,336 \$18,644,386 \$18,644,386 \$18,644,386 \$18,644,386 \$18,646 \$18,646 \$186 \$18,646 \$186 \$1866 \$186 \$1866 \$186

ļ

L

JULY AUGUST SEPTEMBER OCTOBER NOVEMBER DECEMBER TOTAL

I

Ĩ

I

JANUARY FEBRUARY MARCH APRIL

ł

.

ł

.

ŝ

L

1

1. CAPACITY PAYMENTS TO NON-COGENERATORS

.

I

Ì

* BASED ON 2007 ACTUAL DATA

t

CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE			r	[·			1
CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT				•		+	+
FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2008					1 I		
		(i)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN
		2008	2008	2008	2008	2008	2008
1. Payments to Non-cogenerators (LIPS & SIRPP)		\$16 441 906	\$15 031 274	\$17.621.045	£17 557 000	£17,177,046	F16 200 100
		\$10,941,200	\$13,251,214	J17,021,040	417,557,000	\$17,177,243	\$10,300,100
2. Short-Term Capacity Purchases CCR		4,023,700	4,023,700	3,550,815	3,572,590	3,572,590	4,513,750
					· · · · ·		
3. QF Capacity Charges		27,397,913	26,863,012	27,042,396	26,627,952	27,067,859	27,436,774
An CIDDD Currentine Account	<u> </u>						
			106,228	200,486	200,486	200,486	200,486
4b. Return on SJRPP Suspension Liability		(442,101)	(443.955)	(445 373)	(447 227)	(449 ()81)	(450.025)
			1.1.1.1.1.1.1	(1/0,2/2)	(((),))	(442,001)	(450,555)
5. Okeelanta Settlement (Capacity)		0	Ó	0	0	0	0
<ul> <li>Incremental Plant Security Costs-Order No. PSC-02-1761</li> </ul>		1,452,104	1,932,592	2,453,342	1,926,590	1,877,587	2,015,843
7 Transmission of Electricity by Others		570 147	510 000	720 414	(10.014	(12.00)	
		529,103	339,869	/20,134	619,914	612,094	600,189
8. Transmission Revenues from Capacity Sales		(583.059)	(477,977)	(275.441)	(135 249)	(171 448)	(296.626)
			( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	(200,0)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(2) 0,0207
9. Total (Lines 1 through 8)		\$ 49,114,371	\$ 48,474,744	\$ 50,867,403	\$ 49,922,055	\$ 49,887,332	\$ 50,319,580
10. Jurisdictional Separation Factor (a)		98.76048%	98.76048%	98.76048%	98.76048%	98.76048%	98.76048%
11. Jurisdictional Canacity Charges		48 505 588	47 871 990	50 716 801	40 202 161	40.368.060	40 606 860
	·	40,000,000	47,075,005	50,250,851	49,303,202	49,200,909	49,093,839
12. Capacity related amounts included in Base				·····		1	
Rates (FPSC Portion Only) (b)		(4,745,466)	(4,745,466)	(4,745,466)	(4,745,466)	(4,745,466)	(4,745,466)
17 Inciditational Constitution Australia A							
13. Jurisdictional Capacity Charges Authorized		<b>3</b> 43,760,122	<b>3</b> 43,128,423	\$ 45,491,425	\$ 44,557,796	\$ 44,523,503	\$ 44,950,393
14. Canacity Cost Recovery Revenues		\$ 41 500 197	17 559 419	17 693 136	A 20.040.054	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(Net of Revenue Taxes)		41,500,197	3 37,338,428	3 37,083,130	3 38,849,804	3 42,225,331	3 48,534,965
15. Prior Period True-up Provision		(1,632,608)	(1,632,608)	(1,632,608)	(1,632,608)	(1,632,608)	(1,632,608)
16. Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues Applicable							
		ə <u>59,867,590</u>	3 35,925,820	36,050,529	37,217,256	40,592,729	<b>\$</b> 46,902,357
17. True-up Provision for Month - Over// Loder)	· · · ·						
Recovery (Line 16 - Line 13)		(3.892.533)	(7 202 601)	(9 440 896)	(7 340 510)	(3 030 773	1 851 844
		(3,050,050)		(2,177,050)	(1,570,550)	(3,730,773)	1,751,704
18. Interest Provision for Month		(82,039)	(73,077)	(83,863)	(95,795)	(101,289)	(92,692)
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19. True-up & Interest Provision Beginning of		(19,591,292)	(21,933,256)	(27,576,328)	(35,468,480)	(41,272,207)	(43,671,662)
Monun - Over/(Under) Recovery						<b> </b>	
20. Deferred True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery		(3 707 455)	(1 707 455)	(1 707 450	(1 707 455)	(2 707 464)	(1 707 445)
		(0,101,10)	(0,00,00)	(5,707,433)	(3,107,433)	(3,707,455)	[{3,107,455]
21. Prior Period True-up Provision		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		·	<u> </u>	
- Collected/(Refunded) this Month		1,632,608	1,632,608	1,632,608	1,632,608	1,632,608	1,632,608
	_	<u> </u>		1		L	
22. [End of Period True-up - Over/(Under)		t (07.710.711)			<b>.</b>		1
		a (20,640,711)	<b>a</b> (31,283,783)	<b>3</b> (39,175,935)	3 (44,979,662)	5 (47,379,117)	\$ (43,887,237)
•		[2,341,963.83]	(5,643,072.50)	(7,892,152.01)	(5,803,726.31)	(2,399,455.11)	3,491,880.19
	Notes:	(a) Per K. M. Dub	In's Testimony Appe	ndis [1] Page 3. filod	Sentember 1, 2004	<u>+</u>	
		(b) Per FPSC Orde	r No. PSC-94-1092-1	OF-El, Docket No.	40001-EL as adjust	td in August 1993 n	J
		E.L Hoffman's T	estimony Appendix	IV, Docket No. 9300	1-El, filed July 8, 19	993.	
					· · · · ·	T	·

CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE				<u> </u>	1	}	<u></u>	<u> </u>	1
CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT					1		~ ~ · · · ·		+
FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2008									
									1
		(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	
		ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED		
		<u>JUL</u>	AUG	SEP	<u>0CT</u>	NOV	DEC		LINE
		2008	-2008	2008	2008	2008	2008	TOTAL	NO.
1. Payments to Non-cogenerators (UPS & SJRPP)		\$15 841 542	\$17,003,360	\$17 003 360	\$17,002,360	SU7 001 160	\$17,003,160		
		010,041,040	317,003,500	\$17,005,500	317,003,300	\$17,005,560	517,003,300	\$201,886,913	<u>+-</u>
2. Short-Term Capacity Purchases CCR		4,464,250	4,554,010	4,554,010	3.441.144	3 441 144	3 884 130	47 596 033	1 -
						<u>0,((,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>		47,590,055	<b>2</b> .
3. QF Capacity Charges		27,462,232	26,968,428	26,968,428	26,968,428	26,968,428	26,968,428	324,740,277	3.
4a. SJRPP Suspension Accrual		200,486	200,486	200,486	200,486	200,486	200,486	2,405,832	4a.
					·····				
40. Return on SJRPP Suspension Liability		(452,790)	(454,644)	(456,498)	(458,352)	(460,206)	(462,060)	(5,423,221)	4b.
5 Okcelanta Settlement (Canacity)				<u> </u>	·······			L	
S. Okonana Schenkin (Capacity)		0	<u>U</u>	0	UU	0	0	0	5.
6. Incremental Plant Security Costs-Order No. PSC-02-1761		1 637 559	1 294 566	3 794 566	3 304 565	2 204 566	1 204 566	20 769 444	<u> </u>
		1,007,000	5,154,500		3,234,300	5,294,500	5,294,300	29,708,444	. 0.
7. Transmission of Electricity by Others		582,872	490,600	478,989	490,561	498.061	510,506	6 672.953	7
									- <u></u>
8. Transmission Revenues from Capacity Sales		(80,521)	(273,580)	(83,690)	(113,906)	(251,705)	(573,519)	(3,316,722)	8.
	-								
9. Total (Lines I through 8)		49,655,630	\$ <u>51,783,226</u>	\$ <u>51,9</u> 59,651	<b>S</b> 50,826,287	\$ 50,694,134	\$ 50,826,097	\$ 604,330,509	9.
10 Inviditional Secondary Enter (a)							ļ		ļ
To. Juriscictional Separation Pactor (a)		98.76048%	98.76048%	98.76048%	98.76048%	98.76048%	98.76048%	<u>N/A</u>	10.
11 Invisdictional Capacity Charges		49 040 139	51 141 263	51 315 601	50 107 185	50 0/15 770	50.100.000		
		47,040,135	31,173,303	31,515,001	30,190,203	30,003,770	50,196,097	596,839,712	<u> </u>
12. Capacity related amounts included in Base					·				12
Rates (FPSC Portion Only) (b)		(4,745,466)	(4,745,466)	(4,745,466)	(4,745,466)	(4.745.466)	(4,745,466)	(56 945 592)	14.
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>		(00,040,072)	
13. Jurisdictional Capacity Charges Authorized	S	44,294,673	\$ 46,395,897	\$ 46,570,135	\$ 45,450,819	\$ 45,320,304	\$ 45,450,631	\$ 539,894,120	13.
	-								-
14. Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues	\$	48,367,588	\$ 52,796,672	\$ 51,343,319	\$ 48,893,886	\$ 43,695,958	\$ 42,122,639	\$ 533,571,989	14
(Net of Revenue Taxes)									
16 Duine Davied Trace on Development									
		(1,632,608)	(1,632,608)	(1,532,608)	(1,632,608)	(1,632,608)	(1,632,608)	(19,591,292)	15.
16 Canacity Cost Recovery Revenues Applicable				<u> </u>			<u>├──</u> ────	·	
to Current Period (Net of Revenue Taxes)	5	46 734 980	S 51 164 064	E 49 710 711	5 47 261 278	T 42.061.150	£ 40.400.031	F 513 000 (07	
					4 41,201,270	42,003,330	3 40,490,031	3 513,980,097	10.
17. True-up Provision for Month - Over/(Under)		••			· -··-		├────┥		i
Recovery (Line 16 - Line 13)		2,440,307	4,768,168	3.140.577	1.810.460	(3 256 951)	(4 960 600)	(75 013 472)	17
								- <u>(63,76,76</u> )	
18. Interest Provision for Month		(85,271)	(74,620)	(63,412)	(55,188)	(53,451)	(58,595)	(919.293)	18.
								<u> </u>	
19. True-up & Interest Provision Beginning of		(40,179,782)	(36,192,138)	(29,865,983)	(25,156,211)	(21,768,332)	(23,446,129)	(19,591,292)	19.
Month - Over/(Under) Recovery					ļ	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
70 Deferred True up - Over/Under) Resources		(3 303 100	(3 838				]		
20. Deterred True-up - Over(Older) Recovery		(3,707,455)	(3,707,455)	(3,707,455)	(3,707,455)	(3,707,455)	(3,707,455)	(3,707,455)	20.
21. (Prior Period True-up Provision							· · ·		i
- Collected/(Refunded) this Month		1.632.608	1 632 608	1 632 608	1 632 409		1 632 609	10 601 202	
					1,052,000	1,00%,008	1,032,008	19,391,292	
22. End of Period True-up - Over/(Under)				<u> </u>	<b> -</b>				<u> </u>
Recovery (Sum of Lines 17 through 21)	S	(39,899,593)	\$ (33,573,438)	\$ (28,863,666)	\$ (25,475.787)	\$ (27,153,584)	\$ (30,540,170)	5 (30,540,170)	22.
		3,987,643.72	6,326,154.97	4,709,772.18	3,387,879,13	(1,677.797.04)	(3,386.586.70)		
		······			· ····································				r'
	Notes: (a) ]	Per K. M. Dubi	n's Testimony Apper	ndix III Page 3, filed	September 4, 2007.				
I - I	(b) 1	Per FPSC Orde	r No. PSC-94-1092-F	OF-EI, Docket No. 9	40001-EI, as adjuste	d in August 1993, pe	r		
	E	L Hoffman's T	estimony Appendix I	V, Docket No. 9300	I-EI, filed July 8, 19	93			( )
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

TETESTICE ETTEL

#### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY CALCULATION OF ENERGY & DEMAND ALLOCATION % BY RATE CLASS JANUARY 2009 THROUGH DECEMBER 2009

Т

1

1

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	AVG 12CP	Projected	Projected	Demand	Energy	Projected	Projected	Percentage	Percentage
Rate Schedule	Load Factor	Sales at	AVG 12 CP	Loss	Loss	Sales at	AVG 12 CP	of Sales at	of Demand at
	at Meter	Meter	at Meter	Expansion	Expansion	Generation	at Generation	Generation	Generation
	(%)	(kwh)	(kW)	Factor	Factor	(kwh)	(kW)	(%)	(%)
RS1/RST1	65.077%	55,403,306,419	9,718,567	1.08663620	1.06901375	59,226,896,463	10,560,547	52.33820%	56.97040%
G\$1/GST1	64.480%	6,219,248,803	1,101,055	1.08663620	1.06901375	6,648,462,497	1,196,446	5.87518%	6.45440%
GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1 (21-499 kW)	76.435%	24,942,068,687	3,725,073	1.08655195	1.06894858	26,661,788,803	4.047.485	23,56075%	21.83474%
OS2	95.627%	18,498,130	2,208	1.05506701	1.04443473	19,320,090	2.330	0.01707%	0.01257%
GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2 (500-1,999 kW)	81.083%	11,220,287,833	1,579,680	1.08535318	1.06805030	11,983,831,786	1,714,511	10.58999%	9.24918%
GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3(2,000+ kW)	89.478%	2,133,689,890	272,215	1.07696203	1.08151341	2,264,940,431	293,165	2.00150%	1.58152%
GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3	93.476%	261,545,665	31,941	1.02836156	1.02355239	267,705,691	32,847	0.23657%	0.17720%
ISST1D	111.786%	0	0	1,05506701	1.04443473	0	0	0.00000%	0.00000%
ISST1T	111.422%	0	0	1.02836156	1.02355239	0	0	0.00000%	0.00000%
SST1T	111.422%	87,048,226	8,918	1.02836156	1.02355239	89,098,420	9,171	0.07874%	0.04947%
SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3	111.786%	5,382,413	550	1.05506701	1,04443473	5,621,580	580	0.00497%	0.00313%
CILC D/CILC G	92.489%	3,419,610,773	422,070	1.07580614	1.06089603	3,627,851,508	454,065	3.20589%	2.44952%
CILC T	93.565%	1,493,300,492	182,193	1.02836156	1.02355239	1,528,471,292	187,360	1.35069%	1.01074%
MET	72.366%	91,941,054	14,503	1.05506701	1.04443473	96,026,431	15,302	0.08486%	0.08255%
OL1/SL1/PL1	653.334%	584,472,455	10,212	1.08663620	1.06901375	624,809,092	11,097	0.55214%	0.05986%
SL2, GSCU1	113.244%	109,513,160	11,039	1.08663620	1.06901375	117,071,074	11,995	0.10345%	0.06471%
TOTAL		105,989,914,000	17,080,224			113,161,895,158	18,536,901	100.00%	100.00%

1

(1) AVG 12 CP load factor based on actual calendar data.

1

I

(2) Projected kwh sales for the period January 2009 through December 2009.

(3) Calculated: Col(2)/(8760 hours * Col(1))

(4) Based on 2007 demand losses.

(4) based on 2007 demand losses.
(5) Based on 2007 energy losses.
(6) Col(2) * Col(5).
(7) Col(3) * Col(4).
(8) Col(6) / total for Col(6)

4

I

(9) Col(7) / total for Col(7)

#### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY CALCULATION OF CAPACITY PAYMENT RECOVERY FACTOR JANUARY 2009 THROUGH DECEMBER 2009

1

ł

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Data Oaka dula	Percentage	Percentage	Energy	Demand	Total	Projected	Billing KW	Projected	Capacity	Capacity
Rate Schedule	of Sales at	of Demand at	Related Cost	Related Cost	Capacity	Sales at	Load Factor	Billed KW	Recovery	Recovery
	Generation	Generation			Costs	Meter		at Meter	Factor	Factor
	(%)	(%)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(kwh)	(%)	(kw)	(\$/kw)	(\$/kwh)
RS1/RST1	52.33820%	56.97040%	\$33,689,164	\$440,049,979	\$473,739,143	55,403,306,419	-	-	-	0.00855
GS1/GST1/WIES1	5.87518%	6.45440%	\$3,781,747	\$49,854,997	\$53,636,744	6,219,248,803	-	-	-	0.00862
GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1 (21-499 kW)	23.56075%	21.83474%	\$15,165,633	\$168,655,628	\$183,821,261	24,942,068,687	47.36064%	72,142,643	2.55	-
OS2	0.01707%	0.01257%	\$10,990	\$97 089	\$108,079	18,498,130	-	_	-	0.00584
GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2 (500-1,999 kW)	10.58999%	9.24918%	\$6,816,587	\$71,442,372	\$78,258,959	11,220,287,833	62.66433%	24,527,921	3.19	-
GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3 (2,000+ kW)	2.00150%	1.58152%	\$1,288,333	\$12,215,963	\$13,504,296	2,133,689,890	68.48888%	4,267,646	3.16	-
GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3	0.23657%	0.17720%	\$152,275	\$1,368,710	\$1,520,985	261 545,665	76.00256%	471,407	3.23	-
ISST1D	0.00000%	0.00000%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	41.32527%	0	**	-
ISSTIT	0.00000%	0.00000%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	11.39886%	0	**	-
SST1T	0.07874%	0.04947%	\$50,681	\$382,149	\$432,830	87,048,226	11.39886%	1,046,106	**	-
SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3	0.00497%	0.00313%	\$3,198	\$24,168	\$27,368	5,382,413	41.32527%	17,842	**	-
CILC D/CILC G	3.20589%	2.44952%	\$2,063,577	\$18,920,544	\$20,984,121	3,419,610,773	74.45869%	6,291,271	3.34	-
CILC T	1.35069%	1.01074%	\$869,418	\$7,807,149	\$8,676,567	1,493,300,492	75.82759%	2,697,721	3.22	-
MET	0.08486%	0.08255%	\$54,621	\$637,623	\$692,244	91,941,054	60.06395%	209,688	3.30	-
OL1/SL1/PL1	0.55214%	0.05986%	\$355,401	\$462,404	\$817,805	584,472,455	-	-	-	0.00140
SL2/GSCU1	0.10345%	0.06471%	\$66,592	\$499,823	\$566,415	109,513,160	-	-	-	0.00517
TOTAL			\$64,368,217	\$772,418,597	\$836,786,814	105,989,914,000		111,672,245		

Note: There are currently no customers taking service on Schedules ISST1(D) and ISST1(T). Should any customer begin taking service on these schedules during the period, they will be billed using the applicable SST1 factor.

(1) Obtained from Page 2, Col(8)
(2) Obtained from Page 2, Col(9)
(3) (Total Capacity Costs/13) * Col (1)
(4) (Total Capacity Costs/13 * 12) * Col (2)
(5) Col (3) + Col (4)
(6) Projected kwh sales for the period January 2009 through December 2009
(7) (kWh sales / 8760 hours)/((avg customer NCP)(8760 hours))
(8) Col (6) / ((7) *730)
(9) Col (5) / (8)
(10) Col (5) / (6)

Totals may not add due to rounding.

Uh

ĩ

#### CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTORS FOR STANDBY RATES

Demand = Charge (RDD)	(Total col 5)/(Do	<u>c 2, Total coi 7)(,10) (Doc 2, coi 4)</u> 12 months
Sum of Daily Demand = Charge (DDC)	(Total col 5)/(Do	<u>c 2, Total col 7)/(21 onceak days) (Doc 2, col 4)</u> 12 months
	CAPACITY REC RDC	OVERY FACTOR SDD
00710	<u>** (\$/kw)</u>	** (\$ <u>/kw)</u>
ISSTID	\$0.40 \$0.39	\$0.18 \$0.18
SST1T	\$0.39	\$0.18
SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3	\$0.40	\$0,19

# Florida Power & Light Company Schedule E12 - Capacity Costs Page 1 of 2

1

ł

1

For the Year

2009

I

Contract	Capacity MW	Term Start	Term End	Contract Type
Cedar Bay	250	1/25/1994	12/31/2024	QF
Indiantown	330	12/22/1995	12/1/2025	QF
Palm Beach Solid Waste Authority	50	4/1/1992	3/31/2010	QF
Broward North - 1987 Agreement	45	4/1/1992	12/31/2010	QF
Broward North - 1991 Agreement	11	1/1/1993	12/31/2026	QF
Broward South - 1987 Agreement	50.6	4/1/1991	8/1/2009	QF
Broward South - 1991 Agreement	3.5	1/1/1993	12/31/2026	QF
Southern Co UPS	931	7/20/1988	5/31/2010	UPS
JEA - SJRPP	375	4/2/1982	9/30/2021	JEA

1

1

1

1

1

1

1 1

j.

1

I.

1

ł

-

ł

QF = Qualifying Facility

UPS= Unit Power Sales Agreement with Southern Company

JEA = SJRPP Purchased Power Agreements 9

2009 Capacity	2009 Capacity in Dollars												
	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Year-to-date
	40 442 050	40 442 050	40 442 050	40 442 050	10 112 050	10 442 059	10 /12 050	10 440 050	40 843 DED	10 440 050	10 412 050	40 442 050	404 007 500
Cedar Bay	10,413,958	10,413,958	10,413,935	10,415,955	10,413,950	10,413,956	10,413,956	10,413,950	10,415,956	10,413,956	10,413,958	10,413,958	124,967,500
ICL	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	10,417,923	125,015,074
SWAPBC	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	2,298,750	27,585,000
BN-SOC	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	2,018,138	24,217,650
<b>BN-NEG</b>	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	292,600	3,511,200
BS-SOC	2,248,122	2,248,122	2,248,122	2,248,122	2,248,122	2,248,122	2,248,122	0	0	0	0	0	15,736,853
<b>BS-NEG</b>	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	93,100	1,117,200
SoCo	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	11,965,552	143,586,622
SJRPP	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	6,678,785	80,145,414
Total	46,426,927	46,426,927	46,426,927	46,426,927	46,426,927	46,426,927	46,426,927	44,178,805	44,178,805	44,178,805	44,178,805	44,178,805	545,882,513

1 Florida Power & Light Company

1

1

I

1

I

2 Docket No. 080001-El

1

3 Schedule E12

4 Page 2 of 2

5		
	_	 

6	Contract	Counterparty	Identification	Contract End Date
- 7[	1	Southern Company (Oleander)	Other Entity	May 31, 2012
8	2	Reliant Energy Services (Indian River)	Other Entity	December 31, 2009
9[	3	Bear Energy, LP	Other Entity	December 31, 2009
10	4	Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc.	Other Entity	April 30, 2009
11				

12

ł

#### 13 Capacity in MW

4	Contract	<u>Jan-09</u>	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	<u>May-09</u>	<u>Jun-09</u>	<u>Jul-09</u>	Aug-09	Sep-09	Oct-09	Nov-09	Dec-09
15	1	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	158
16	2	567	567	567	567	_567	567	567	567	567	567	567	567
17[	3	106	106	105	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106
18	4	38	105	-	54		•	-	-	-			-
19	Total	869	936	830	885	831	831	831	831	831	831	831	831

1

I

١

1

1

1

I

ł

ł

ł

20 21

#### 22 Capacity in Dollars

23	Contract	Jan-08	Feb-08	<u>Mar-08</u>	Apr-08	May-08	<u>Jun-08</u>	<u>Jul-08</u>	Aug-08	Sep-08	Oct-08	Nov-08	Dec-08
24	1												
25	2												
26[	3												
27[	4												
28	Total	3,933,560	4,117,810	3,490,284	3,643,864	3,495,364	4,454,740	4,454,740	4,454,740	4,454,740	3,495,364	3,495,364	3,829,060
29													

(1)

-J 30 Total Short Term Capacity Payments for 2009 47,319,630 31

(1) September 2, 2008 Projection Filing, Appendix III, page 3, line 2

32 33

34 35

36

37

38
## **APPENDIX IV**

FUEL COST RECOVERY - NON-LEVELIZED BILL

**E SCHEDULES** 

1

_ -- -

KMD-7 DOCKET NO. 080001-EI FPL WITNESS: K. M. DUBIN EXHIBIT PAGES 1-8 SEPTEMBER 2, 2008

## APPENDIX IV

## FUEL COST RECOVERY - NON-LEVELIZED BILL

## E SCHEDULES January 2009 – December 2009

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)	DESCRIPTION	SPONSOR
3	Schedule E1 Fuel & Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause Calculation	K, M. Dubin
4	Schedule E1-D Time of Use Rate Schedule	K. M. Dubin
5	Schedule E1-E Factors by Rate Group	K. M. Dubin
6-7	Schedule E2 Monthly Summary of Fuel & Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause Calculation	K. M. Dubin/ G. Yupp/T. Jones
8	Schedule E10 Residential Bill Comparison	K. M. Dubin

## FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

#### FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

## ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD: JANUARY 2009 -DECEMBER 2009

	LISTIMATED FOR THE FERIOD. JANUART 2009-DECEN	(a)	(b)	(c)
		DOLLARS	MWH	¢/KWH
1	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3) Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (E2)	\$6,214,273,493 21,828,572	97,654,973	6.3635 0.0929
- 3	Fuel Related Transactions (E2)	2 611 519	20,000,001	0.0025
4	Incremental Hedging Costs (E2)	694 510	0	0.0000
5	Fuel Cost of Sales to FKEC / CKW (E2)	(76,920,848)	(1.046.781)	7,3483
6	TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	\$6.162.487.245	96.608.192	6.3788
7	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Exclusive of Economy) (E7)	351,329,743	11,735,650	2.9937
8	Energy Cost of Sched C & X Econ Purch (Florida) (E9)	37,799,891	377,794	10.0054
9	Energy Cost of Other Econ Purch (Non-Florida) (E9)	78,482,053	818,206	9.5920
10	Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8)	235,952,993	5,572,282	4.2344
11	TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	\$703,564,680	18,503,933	3.8022
12	TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH (LINE 6 + LINE 11)		115,112,124	
13	Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E6)	(112,997,486)	(1,491,500)	7.5761
14	Gain on Economy Sales (E6)	(18,447,799)	(2,028,902)	0.9093
15	Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partpts) (E6)	(3,092,615)	(537,402)	0.5755
16	Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E6)	0	0	0.0000
17	TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES	(\$134,537,900)	(2,028,902)	6.6311
18	Net Inadvertent Interchange	0	0	
19	TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (LINE 6 + 11 + 17)	\$6,731,514,025	113,083,222	5.9527 •••
20	Net Unbilled Sales	(43,628,792) **	(732,923)	(0.0411)
21	Company Use	20,194,542 **	339,250	0.0190
22	T & D Losses	437,548,412 **	7,350,409	0.4123
23	SYSTEM MWH SALES (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$6,731,514,025	106,126,486	6.3429
24	Wholesale MWH Sales (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	\$8,662,785	136,572	6.3429
25	Jurisdictional MWH Sales	\$6,722,851,240	105,989,914	6.3429
26	Jurisdictional Loss Multiplier	-	-	1.00056
27	Jurisdictional MWH Sales Adjusted for Line Losses	\$6,726,616,037	105,989,914	6.3465
28	FINAL TRUE-UP Jan 07- Dec 07 (a) EST/ACT TRUE-UP Jan 08 - Dec 08 \$296,048.402 underrecovery	296,048,402	105,989,914	0.2793
29	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL COST	\$7,022,664,439	105,989,914	6.6258
30	Revenue Tax Factor			1.00072
31	Fuel Factor Adjusted for Taxes	7,027,720,757		6.6306
32	GPIF ***	\$5,383,572	105,989,914	0.0051
33	Fuel Factor including GPIF (Line 32 + Line 33)	7,033,104,329	105,989,914	6.6357
34	FUEL FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 CENTS/KW	/н		6.636

** For Informational Purposes Only *** Calculation Based on Jurisdictional KWH Sales

(a) 2007 Final True-Up under-recovery of \$121,036,106 included in August -December 2008 mid-course correction factor

	FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	(		SCHEDULE E - 1D
DE	TERMINATION OF FUEL RECOVERY FAU TIME OF USE RATE SCHEDULES	CTOR		raye i ui z
	JANUARY 2009 - DECEMBER 2009			
	NET ENERGY FOR LOAD (%)			
				FUEL COST (%)
	ON PEAK	31.07		34.90
	OFF PEAK	68.93		65.10
		100.00		100.00
	FUEL	RECOVERY CALC	ULATION	
		TOTAL	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • •
1	TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANS	\$6,731,514,025	\$2,349,379,583	\$4,382,134,442
2		100,120,400	32,970,092	73,148,394
4		1 00056	1 00056	1 00056
5		6.3465	7 1281	5 9941
6	TRUE-UP	0.2793	0.2793	0.2793
7	TOTAL	6.6258	7,4074	6.2734
9	REVENUE TAX FACTOR	1.00072	1.00072	1.00072
10	RECOVERY FACTOR	6.6306	7,4127	6.2779
11	GPIF	0.0051	0.0051	0.0051
12	RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	6.6357	7.4178	6.2830
13	RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 c/KWH	6.636	7.418	6.283
	HOURS: ON-PEAK	24.74	%	
	OFF-PEAK	75.26	%	

#### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

#### FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP (ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)

## SCHEDULE E - 1E Page 1 of 2

JANUARY 2009 - DECEMBER 2009

.

(1)	(2) DATE		(4)	(5)
GROUP	SCHEDULE	FACTOR	LOSS MULTIPLIER	FACTOR
А	RS-1 first 1,000 kWh all additional kWh	6.636 6.636	1.00183 1.00183	6.305 7.305
A	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1, WIES-1	6.636	1.00183	6.648
A-1*	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1	6.465	1.00183	6.477
В	GSD-1	6.636	1.00178	6.648
С	GSLD-1 & CS-1	6.636	1.00078	6.641
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2 & MET	6.636	0.99318	6.590
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	6.636	0.95923	6.365
A	RST-1, GST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	7.418 6.283	1.00183 1.00183	7.431 6.295
В	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), ON-PEAK HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) OFF-PEAK	7.418 6.283	1.00177 1.00177	7.431 6.294
С	GSLDT-1, CST-1, ON-PEAK HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) OFF-PEAk	7.418 < 6.283	1.00093 1.00093	7.425 6.289
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, ON-PEAK HLFT-3 (2,000+) OFF-PEAK	7.418 6.283	0.99481 0.99481	7.379 6.250
E	GSLDT-3,CST-3, ON-PEAK CILC -1(T) OFF-PEAK & ISST-1(T)	7.418 6.283	0.95923 0.95923	7.115 6.027
F	CILC -1(D) & ON-PEAK ISST-1(D) OFF-PEAK	7.418 6.283	0.99371 0.99371	7.371 6.243

* WEIGHTED AVERAGE 16% ON-PEAK AND 84% OFF-PEAK

		FUEL & P	URCHASED POWE FOR THE PERIOD	R COST RECOVER JANUARY 2009 - D	Y CLAUSE CALCU ECEMBER 2009	JLATION		Page 1 of 2		
1	NE	(a)	(b)	(C) ESTIMATED -	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g) 6 MONTH	LINE	
1	10.	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH	APRIL	MAY	JUNE	SUB-TOTAL	NO.	
	A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$407,161,518	\$360,159,134	\$436,696,407	\$459,222,843	\$569,090,084	\$593,429,664	\$2,825,759,649	Aſ	
	1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	2,029,287	1,832,904	1,546,366	1,793,384	1,498,625	1,900,150	10,600,716	1a	
	1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT	227,871	226,008	224,145	222,283	220,420	218,558	1,339,285	1b	
	1c DOE DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
	1d INCREMENTAL HEDGING COSTS	51,942	51,942	88,438	53,520	53,520	53,520	352,882	1d	
	2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(17,641,350)	(14,113,749)	(12,887,790)	(9,452,595)	(7,127,668)	(7,054,681)	(68,277,834)	) 2	
	2a GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES	(3,434,011)	(3,547,385)	(1,767,209)	(912,002)	(765,451)	(811,740)	(11,237,798)	) 2a	
	3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	29,345,542	27,880,979	27,299,381	26,809,590	29,430,031	27,532,613	168,298,137	3	
	3a QUALIFYING FACILITIES	20,800,000	17,852,000	21,403,000	9,704,000	21,327,100	21,154,893	112,240,993	3a	
	4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES	5,549,380	2,896,743	8,382,845	11,013,710	13,743,720	7,077,431	48,663,828	4	
	4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW	(5,540,213)	(5,576,844)	(5,474,473)	(5,821,752)	(6,168,880)	(6,637,259)	(35,219,419)	4a	
9	5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$438,549,965	\$387,661,732	\$475,511,110	\$492,632,981	\$621,301,501	\$636,863,150	\$3,052,520,438	5	
	6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH) (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	8,394,330	7,536,675	7,664,649	7,603,911	8,491,409	9,526,718	49,217,692	6	
	7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)	5.2244	5.1437	6.2040	6.4787	7.3168	6.6850	6.2021	7	
	7a JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	7a	
	7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	5.2273	5.1466	6.2074	6.4823	7.3209	6.6888	6.2056	7b	
	9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.2941	0.3276	0.3221	0.3247	0.2907	0.2593	0.3010	9	
	10 TOTAL	5.5214	5.4742	6.5295	6.8070	7.6116	6.9481	6.5066	10	
	11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0040	0.0039	0.0047	0.0049	0.0055	0.0050	0.0047	11	
	12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	5.5254	5.4781	6.5342	6.8119	7.6171	6.9531	6.5113	12	
	13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0053	0.0060	0.0059	0.0059	0.0053	0.0047	0.0055	13	
	14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	5.5307	5.4841	6.5401	6.8178	7.6224	6.9578	6.5168	14	
	15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	5.531	5.484	6.540	6.818	7.622	6.958	6.517	15	

I I

1

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

1

1

ł

SCHEDULE E2

١

ł

I

ļ

1

1

ł

		FUEL & PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2009 - DECEMBER 2009							
L	INF	(h)	(i)	(j) ESTIMATED -	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n) 12 MONTH	
Ī	NO.	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER	PERIOD	NO.
	A1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM GENERATION	\$683,941,154	\$692,871,394	\$639,279,703	\$569,416,842	\$417,071,062	\$385,933,690	\$6,214,273,493	A1
	1a NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL	1,979,519	1,979,519	1,915,663	1,874,120	1,496,482	1,982,553	\$21,828,572	1a
	1b COAL CAR INVESTMENT	216,695	214,833	212,970	211,108	209,245	207,383	\$2,611,519	1b
	1c DOE DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0 \$0	1c
	1d INCREMENTAL HEDGING COSTS	53,520	74,028	53,520	53,520	53,520	53,520	\$694,510	1d
	2 FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(6,334,043)	(14,231,863)	(4,250,289)	(5,472,641)	(6,711,083)	(10,812,349)	(\$116,090,101)	2
	2a GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES	(634,111)	(1,653,071)	(353,664)	(478,138)	(1,204,331)	(2,886,686)	(\$18,447,799)	2a
	3 FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	31,089,900	31,131,591	29,712,418	30,849,652	30,106,446	30,141,600	\$351,329,743	3
	3a QUALIFYING FACILITIES	22,820,000	23,183,000	21,679,000	19,694,000	15,956,000	20,380,000	\$235,952,993	3a
	4 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES	9,944,038	8,946,982	17,323,797	17,029,993	7,883,060	6,490,247	\$116,281,945	4
	4a FUEL COST OF SALES TO FKEC / CKW	(7,212,596)	(7,338,510)	(7,468,260)	(7,252,161)	(6,511,495)	(5,918,406)	(\$76,920,848)	, 4a
	5 TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS (SUM OF LINES A-1 THRU A-4)	\$735,864,076	\$735,177,902	\$698,104,857	\$625,926,294	\$458,348,906	\$425,571,552	\$6,731,514,025	5
L	6 SYSTEM KWH SOLD (MWH) (Excl sales to FKEC / CKW)	10,261,393	10,257,659	10,640,511	8,965,734	8,658,115	8,125,387	106,126,486	
	7 COST PER KWH SOLD (¢/KWH)	7.1712	7.1671	6.5608	6.9813	5.2939	5.2376	6.3429	7
	72 JURISDICTIONAL LOSS MULTIPLIER	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1.00056	1. <b>00056</b>	1.00056	1.00056	7a
	7b JURISDICTIONAL COST (¢/KWH)	7.1752	7.1711	6.5645	6.9852	5.2968	5.2405	6.3465	7b
	9 TRUE-UP (¢/KWH)	0.2409	0.2410	0.2323	0.2758	0.2852	0.3038	0.2793	9
	10 TOTAL	7.4161	7.4121	6.7968	7.2610	5.5820	5.5443	6.6258	10
	11 REVENUE TAX FACTOR 0.00072	0.0053	0.0053	0.0049	0.0052	0.0040	0.0040	0.0048	11
	12 RECOVERY FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	7.4214	7.4174	6.8017	7.2662	5.5860	5.5483	6.6306	12
	13 GPIF (¢/KWH)	0.0044	0.0044	0.0042	0.0050	0.0052	0.0055	0.0051	13
	14 RECOVERY FACTOR including GPIF	7.4258	7.4218	6.8059	7.2712	5.5912	5.5538	6.6357	14
	15 RECOVERY FACTOR ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001 ¢/KWH	7.426	7.422	6.806	7.271	5.591	5.554	6.636	15

# FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

I

)

1

1

1

ł

-

1

SCHEDULE E2

L

ł

ļ

I

#### COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

1

1

J

1

1

1

#### SCHEDULE E10

ł

ł

ł

ļ

1

.

	CURRENT AUG 08 - DEC 08	PRELIMINARY JAN 09 - MAY 09	DIFFEF \$	RENCE	PRELIMINARY JUNE 09 - OCT 09	DJFFE S	RENCE <u>%</u>	PRELIMINARY NOV 09 - DEC 09	DIFFE §	RENCE
BASE	\$39.37	<b>\$</b> 39.31	(\$0.06)	-0.15%	\$40.72	\$1.41	3.59%	\$42.00	\$1.28	3.14%
FUEL	\$60.21	<b>\$</b> 63.05	\$2.84	4.72%	\$63.05	\$0.00	0.00%	\$63.05	\$0.00	0.00%
CONSERVATION	\$1.45	\$2.04	\$0.59	40.69%	\$2.04	\$0.00	0.00%	\$2.04	\$0.00	0.00%
CAPACITY PAYMENT	\$5.46	\$8.55	\$3.09	56.59%	\$8.55	\$0.00	0.00%	\$8.55	\$0.00	0.00%
ENVIRONMENTAL	\$0.40	\$0.94	\$0.54	135.00%	<b>\$</b> 0.94	\$0.00	0.00%	\$0.94	\$0.00	0.00%
STORM RESTORATION SURCHARGE	<u>\$1.11</u>	<b>\$1.45</b> •	<u>\$0.34</u>	<u>30.63%</u>	<u>\$1.45</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>0,00%</u>	<u>\$1.45</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>0.00%</u>
SUBTOTAL	\$108.00	\$115.34	\$7,34	6.80%	\$116.75	\$1.41	1.22%	\$118.03	\$1.28	1.10%
GROSS RECEIPTS TAX	<u>\$2.77</u>	<b>\$2.96</b>	\$0.19	6.86%	<u>\$2.99</u>	\$0.03	1.01%	<u>\$3.03</u>	\$0.04	1.34%
TOTAL	<u>\$110.77</u>	<u>\$118.30</u>	\$7.53	6.80%	<u>\$119.74</u>	\$1.44	1.22%	<u>\$121.06</u>	\$1,32	1.10%

* Storm Charge effective November 1, 2008