### RADEY THOMAS YON CLARK

Attorneys & Counselors at Law

POST OFFICE BOX 10967 (32302)
301 SOUTH BRONOUGH STREET, SUITE 200
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
www.radeylaw.com

850-425-6654 phone 850-425-6694 fax KAREN ASHER-COHEN

CHRISTOPHER B. LUNNY

DONNA E. BLANTON

ELIZABETH McARTHUR

SUSAN F. CLARK

STEPHEN K. McDANIEL
TRAVIS L. MILLER

EDWARD B. COLE

THOMAS A. CRABB

KAVIS L. MILLE

BERT L. COMBS

JOHN RADEY

TONI A. EGAN

LISA C. SCOLES
HARRY O. THOMAS

JEFFREY L. FREHN

DAVID A. YON

October 7, 2008

Ms. Ann Cole Director of Office of Commission Clerk Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 080159-TP – In re: Joint Petition of Verizon Florida LLC, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida, Embarq Florida, Inc., Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom and Windstream Florida, Inc. to initiate rulemaking to reflect the changed telecommunications market

### Dear Ms. Cole:

Attached are the Post-Workshop Comments and rule matrices of the Petitioners in the above-referenced docket.

In compliance with the Stipulation entered into on this date by the Petitioners, the Competitive Carriers of the South, Inc. and Sprint Nextel, and filed separately in this docket, these Comments withdraw from consideration the market test rule proposed by the Petitioners in Attachment A of their Joint Petition for Rulemaking, filed March 14, 2008.

Sincerely,

s/Susan F. Clark
Susan F. Clark
Radey, Thomas Yon & Clark, P.A.
Attorney for the Petitioners

Attachments

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint petition to initiate rulemaking ) to adopt new rule in Chapter 25-24, F.A.C., ) amend and repeal Rules in Chapter 25-4, ) F.A.C., and amend rules in Chapter 25-9, ) F.A.C., by Verizon Florida LLC, BellSouth ) Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T ) Florida, Embarq Florida, Inc., Quincy ) Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom, and Windstream Florida, Inc.

**DOCKET NO. 080159-TP** 

FILED: 10-07-08

### Petitioners' Post-Workshop Comments to the September 10, 2008, Workshop

Verizon Florida LLC, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida, Embarq Florida, Inc., Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom, and Windstream Florida, Inc. (collectively, the "Petitioners") submit these Post-Workshop Comments.

### I. Introduction

The Petitioners appreciate the work of the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") and its Staff in moving forward with necessary rule changes proposed in the Joint Petition, and are encouraged by the rule revisions and repeals that were proposed by the Commission at its September 4, 2008, Agenda Conference. But much work remains to be done. The Petitioners demonstrated at the workshop (and in previous filings) that the Florida telecommunications marketplace is competitive and that the Commission should repeal or revise dozens of additional rules. If the Commission does not take action, Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers ("ILECs") will continue to be subject to asymmetrical regulation, which distorts the

competitive process and ultimately harms consumers.<sup>1</sup> In their comments below and in the attached matrices, Petitioners summarize the evidence of competition in Florida that they presented at the workshop; describe certain modifications to their rule change proposals; and explain why the rule changes are necessary.

### II. Telecommunications Competition is Thriving in Florida

The Petitioners noted at the workshop that from June 2001 to December 2007, residential ILEC access lines declined from 8.3 million to 5.7 million, a decrease of almost one-third, which occurred during a time when Florida's population was growing. As explained during the Petitioners' presentations, all ILECs have experienced substantial line losses because of the facilities-based competition that is occurring throughout Florida. Statewide, cable telephone service is available to 81% of Florida households and cable broadband is available to 94% of Florida households, which means that Voice over Internet Protocol ("VoIP") service also is widely available. Wireless service is virtually ubiquitous in Florida, with 99% of Florida households having access to at least three mobile providers. Current estimates are that 15.8% of households have "cut the cord" and disconnected wireline service in favor of wireless service, and that figure has been climbing steadily. The Petitioners' individual presentations demonstrated that these trends are being experienced in each ILEC's service territory. In summary:

• TDS Telecom lost approximately 1,250 total access lines (10%) and approximately 1,100 residential access lines (13%) during the period January 2006-August 2008. Within its small rural market, TDS Telecom faces robust competition for voice and data services from three facility-based providers, five wireless providers and pre-paid wireless providers. Of these providers, only TDS Telecom is regulated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The comments filed by the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC"), which were adopted by the Attorney General and AARP, ignore the fundamental issues in this proceeding: (1) Why the rules are necessary in a competitive environment and (2) Why the rules should apply to some competitors and not others.

- From June 2004 to December 2007, Verizon's residential switched access lines decreased from 1.58 million to 1.07 million, a decline of about 32%. The decrease for the most recent period captured by the *Competition Report* (June 2006 to December 2007) was 19.1%, which shows that competition continues to intensify. Three cable companies provide voice service in the Tampa Bay region, and 99.9% of households in the area have access to four or more wireless carriers. Cable companies, VoIP providers and wireless carriers have all engaged in aggressive marketing campaigns in Verizon's service territory, using a steady stream of print advertisements and television commercials to inform consumers about their services.
- Since 2000, Windstream has experienced year over year access line loss, even while the population of Florida increased, indicating that competitive forces are at work. Windstream experienced total access line loss of approximately 10% from 2001 to July 2008. Windstream's competitors in Florida include non-traditional providers such as wireless, cable and VoIP providers, none of whom are subject to the same regulations as Windstream.
- There was a strong correlation (97%) between Embarq Florida Inc.'s residential access line growth and household growth from 1993-2000. However, the correlation falls apart with the significant increase of facilities-based competition (circa 2005) when the number of households continued to grow while access lines decreased dramatically. In 2007, although households increased to 1,439,700, the total number of residential access lines decreased to 1,143,684. Like the other ILECs, Embarq faces robust competition from cable, wireless and VoIP providers throughout its service territory. Regression analysis shows that without competition, Embarq's access line count by Year End 2007 would have been more than two million.
- Since 2000, AT&T Florida has seen a steady drop in both residential and business access lines. AT&T Florida's residential access lines decreased from close to 5,000,000 in 2000 to slightly less than 3,000,000 in 2008. AT&T Florida's biggest residential competitors are Comcast, Bright House and the wireless providers all companies that are not regulated by the Commission.

The Commission's Report on the Status of Competition in the Telecommunications

Industry as of December 31, 2007 ("Competition Report") confirms the Petitioners' assessment.

As the Commission stated:

Florida's communications market continues to evolve as new technologies and services become more widely accepted. Estimates of wireless substitution for wireline service have increased from prior years, and this trend is expected to continue in the near future. In the most recent reporting period, Florida cable companies expanded the number of markets in which they offer voice services. Finally, Vonage, a nationally known VoIP provider, reported an increased number of Florida subscribers since the last edition of the report; however, that number was filed as confidential. *These facts, coupled with continued residential access* 

line losses by ILECs, suggest an active market for voice communications services in many areas of Florida.

Competition Report, p. 3 (emphasis added).<sup>2</sup> The Commission's conclusion is unassailable and no party at the workshop presented substantial evidence to rebut it.

The Competitive Carriers of the South ("CompSouth") attempted to argue that ILECs' access line losses have been offset by gains in business lines. This argument is spurious. Mr. Gillan presented a chart that purported to show business line "gains" by AT&T Florida, but he failed to mention that the Commission does not regulate most of those lines, which are special access lines used by ILEC's competitors – wireless providers and CLECs – to provide residential and business services.<sup>3</sup> Special access lines are dedicated trunks and lines that connect a specific business location with a carrier's switch.<sup>4</sup> Because they are wholesale lines, they are not included in the line loss information the ILECs have provided in this proceeding. They do not "offset" the retail line loss and are, in fact, further indication of the level of retail competition ILECs face.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See also NERA's March 2008 report Intermodal Competition in Florida Telecommunications (attached to the Joint Petition as Attachment E) ("NERA Report"), which reached a similar conclusion, stating: "Intermodal competition is a major force in Florida today. It has already had a tremendous effect on the state's telecommunications market, and it will only intensify in the years to come." NERA Report, p. 72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Since AT&T Florida does not purchase these special access lines to provide service to its retail business customers, any growth in their use is just another indicator that other providers are providing service, which is reflected in the business line loss information provided in this proceeding.

The lines start at a DS0 level (this line would only carry one voice path at a time) to serve a smaller location to very high capacity lines that are used by ILECs, Competitive Local Exchange Carriers ("CLECs"), Interexchange Carriers ("IXCs") and Wireless providers to serve large corporations or to provide a connection between the other providers' switches. In many cases, the lines are used with interoffice transport. The vast majority of the lines are purchased from the ILECs' interstate special access tariffs, not from any state tariffs. The Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") has previously determined that interstate access service can be used when 10% or more of the traffic is interstate.

The Communications Workers of America ("CWA") and AARP suggested that the ILECs' residential line losses were caused by the disconnection of secondary lines. The facts do not bear out this suggestion. For example, the vast majority of AT&T Florida's line losses during 2002 to 2007 were primary lines. During this period, AT&T Florida lost almost 1,050,000 residential lines. Of these losses, 65% were primary residential lines. For the same period, Verizon lost more than 713,000 residential switched access lines, less than 15% of which were secondary lines. Approximately 73% of Embarq's residential access line loss over this period was due to the loss of primary lines and approximately 27% was due to the loss of non-primary access lines.

The OPC and AARP acknowledged that competition exists in Florida, but argued that competitive providers may not offer rates, terms and conditions that are comparable to the ILECs' basic service. This concern is misplaced, however, because there are a sufficient number of low cost comparable offerings in the market from which consumers may choose. The Commission has noted "that customers appear to have access to services at a variety of rates as competitors have developed pricing strategies to gain customers." These low price offerings "may include overall discounts and/or matching an ILEC's price," while "[o]ther carriers have adopted a strategy of bundling basic local service with discounted toll service or vertical features (call waiting, caller ID, etc.) to compete with ILECs." Therefore, the Commission has concluded, "Residential consumers in Florida are finding communication alternatives to wireline services offered by the ILECs. Alternatives are being provided by CLECs, VoIP providers, and wireless providers. . . . [T]he Commission concludes that many Floridians are obtaining

<sup>6</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Competition Report, p. 71.

alternative services at rates, terms, and conditions acceptable to consumers."<sup>7</sup> In other words, customers can and do vote with their feet and are finding comparable alternatives to the ILECs' basic service.8

### Petitioners' Modifications to Relief Requested in Petition TIT.

In their Joint Petition for Rulemaking, filed March 14, 2008, the Petitioners proposed that certain obsolete rules listed in Attachment C to the Joint Petition be repealed or revised; that the Commission adopt the market test set forth in Attachment A to the Joint Petition; and that ILECs not be subject to the rules listed in Attachments A and B to the Joint Petition when the market test was met. The Petitioners modify their request as follows:

First, Petitioners modify their request with respect to the rules listed in Attachment C to their Joint Petition as noted in the "Item 3" rule matrix, which is attached to these Comments.

Second, consistent with the settlement reached with CompSouth and Sprint Nextel, the Petitioners hereby withdraw the market test rule set forth in Attachment A to their Joint Petition.

Third, Petitioners request that the following rules listed in Attachments A and B to their Joint Petition be repealed or revised as specified in the "Item 2" rule matrix attached to these Comments:9

Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., Periodic Reports

Rule 25-4.0201, F.A.C., Audit Access to Records

Rule 25-4.023, F.A.C., Report of Interruptions

Rule 25-4.066, F.A.C., Availability of Service

Rule 25-4.069, F.A.C., Maintenance of Plant and Equipment

Rule 25-4.070, F.A.C., Customer Trouble Reports

Rule 25-4.071, F.A.C., Adequacy of Service

Rule 25-4.072, F.A.C., Transmission Requirements

<sup>8</sup> Moreover, market evidence shows that ILECs are losing basic residential lines even faster than other residential lines. See separate comments being filed by Verizon Florida LLC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 73-74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Petitioners provide a detailed discussion of each rule in the attached "Item 2" and "Item 3" matrices.

```
Rule 25-4.073, F.A.C., Answering Time
```

Rule 25-4.083, F.A.C., Preferred Carrier Freeze<sup>10</sup>

Rule 25-4.085, F.A.C., Service Guarantee Program

Rule 25-4.107, F.A.C., Information to Customers

Rule 25-4.108, F.A.C., Initiation of Service

Rule 25-4.109, F.A.C., Customer Deposits

Rule 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing for Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies

Rule 25-4.112, F.A.C., Termination of Service by Customer

Rule 25-4.113, F.A.C., Refusal or Discontinuance of Service by Company

Rule 25-4.115, F.A.C., Directory Assistance

Rule 25-4.117, F.A.C., 800 Service

Rule 25-4.200, F.A.C., Application and Scope

Rule 25-4.202, F.A.C., Construction

Rule 25-4.210, F.A.C., Service Evaluation and Investigations

Rule 25-4.214, F.A.C., Tariff Filings

Rule 25-9.005, F.A.C., Information to Accompany Filings

Rule 25-9.020, F.A.C., Front Cover

Rule 25-9.021, F.A.C., Title Page

Rule 25-9.022, F.A.C., Table of Contents

Rule 25-9.023, F.A.C., Description of Territory Served

Rule 25-9.024, F.A.C., Miscellaneous

Rule 25-9.025, F.A.C., Technical Terms and Abbreviations

Rule 25-9.026, F.A.C., Index of Rules and Regulations

Rule 25-9.027, F.A.C., Rules and Regulations

Rule 25-9.029, F.A.C., Index of Rate or Exchange Schedules

Rule 25-9.030, F.A.C., Rate Schedules - General

Rule 25-9.032, F.A.C., Telephone Utility Exchange Schedules

Rule 25-9.045, F.A.C., Withdrawal of Tariffs

### IV. The Proposed Rule Revision and Repeals are Appropriate

The workshop concerned two sets of rules: rules that have become obsolete and should be eliminated or revised<sup>11</sup> and rules that should not apply in competitive markets.<sup>12</sup> As was

Rule 25-4.074, F.A.C., Intercept Service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The Petitioners propose that Rule 25-4.083, F.A.C., be revised, as detailed in the attached "Item 2" matrix, rather than repealed.

Rules contained on Staff's "Item 3" matrix as needing to be eliminated or revised were: Rules 25-4.019, F.A.C., Records and Reports in General; 25-4.022, F.A.C., Complaint – Trouble Reports, Etc.; 25-4.034, F.A.C., Tariffs; 25-4.046, F.A.C., Incremental Cost Data Submitted by Local Exchange Companies; 25-4.067, F.A.C., Extension of Facilities – Contribution in Aid of Construction; 25-9.034, F.A.C., Contracts and Agreements; and 25-9.044, F.A.C., Change of Ownership.

noted at the workshop, many of these rules were designed to substitute for market discipline, which did not exist when they were adopted. (T. 14). Because that rationale is no longer valid, the Commission should not take a "top-down" approach that assumes the rules should remain unless they are shown to be burdensome. Instead, the Commission should use a "bottom-up" approach that asks whether the Commission would adopt the rules if they were being proposed now for the first time. This approach is consistent with the Commission's statutory responsibility to eliminate any rules or regulations that will delay or impair the transition to competition or that are obsolete or unnecessary. The Petitioners provide a detailed discussion of each rule in question in the attached rule matrices. The Petitioners note that some of these rules also may need to be reassessed in light of the sunsetting of the ILECs' Carrier of Last Resort obligations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Rules contained on Staff's "Item 2" matrix as inapplicable in competitive markets were: Rules 25-4.0185, F.A.C., Periodic Reports; 25-4.023, F.A.C., Reports on Interruptions; 25-4.066, F.A.C., Availability of Service; 25-4.069, F.A.C., Maintenance of Plant and Equipment; 25-4.070, F.A.C., Customer Trouble Reports; 25-4.071, F.A.C., Adequacy of Service; 25-4.072, F.A.C., Transmission Requirements; 25-4.073, F.A.C., Answering Time; 25-4.074, F.A.C., Intercept Service; 25-4.083, F.A.C., Preferred Carrier Freeze; 25-4.085, F.A.C., Service Guarantee Program; 25-4.107, F.A.C., Information to Customers; 25-4.108, F.A.C., Initiation of Service; 25-4.109, F.A.C., Customer Deposits; 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing for Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies; 25-4.112, F.A.C., Termination of Service by Customer; 25-4.113, F.A.C., Refusal or Discontinuance of Service by Company; 25-4.114, F.A.C., Refunds; 25-4.115, F.A.C., Directory Assistance; 25-4.117, F.A.C., 800 Service; 25-9.005, F.A.C., Information to Accompany Filings; 25-9.020, F.A.C., Front Cover; 25-9.021, F.A.C., Title Page; 25-9.022, F.A.C., Table of Contents; 25-9.023, F.A.C., Description of Territory Served; 25-9.024, F.A.C., Miscellaneous; 25-9.025, F.A.C., Technical Terms and Abbreviations; 25-9.026, F.A.C., Index of Rules and Regulations; 25-9.027, F.A.C., Rules and Regulations; 25-9.029, F.A.C., Index of Rate or Exchange Schedules; 25-9.030, F.A.C., Rate Schedules - General; 25-9.032, F.A.C., Telephone Utility Exchange Schedules; 25-9.045, F.A.C., Withdrawal of Tariffs; 25-4.0201, F.A.C., Audit Access to Records; 25-4.200, F.A.C., Application and Scope; 25-4.202, F.A.C., Construction; 25-4.210, F.A.C., Service Evaluations and Investigations; and 25-4.214, F.A.C., Tariff Filings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Of course, if a rule is determined to be relevant and necessary in a competitive telecommunications market, it is harmful when it is applied to some competitors and not others.

The OPC, Attorney General and AARP argue that certain service quality rules should be maintained even in competitive markets. Their position is based on at least two false assumptions. First, they argue (with no evidentiary support) that competition has been limited to higher end telecommunication services; as discussed above, that assertion is inaccurate. Second, they assume that competitive markets will not motivate ILECs to provide high quality service that helps them win and retain customers. The experience of the unregulated wireless industry refutes that claim. Wireless service quality has steadily increased over time as carriers have improved their networks and touted the quality of their service – all without regulators prompting them to do so. Wireline consumers likewise are in the best position to tell providers what service levels are important to them and the Commission should allow their choices to drive service quality.

The OPC, the Attorney General and AARP also miss the mark because they assume that the Commission's service quality rules, many of which date back at least to the Johnson Administration, reflect what consumers consider important today. As Windstream noted at the workshop, its market research has demonstrated that customers are more interested in first call resolution of their issues rather than having a live attendant pick up the phone within an arbitrary time period. As long as the customers reach a live attendant within a reasonable time, they are satisfied. Likewise, Verizon explained that consumers whose service is not restored within 24 hours rarely submit complaints, in part because most consumers can use cell phones while their wireline service is being restored. TDS Telecom offered an example related to installations under Rule 25-4.006, F.A.C., Availability of Service, which illustrated the subjective nature of the service quality rules. While Florida requires primary installations to be completed at least 90% of the time within three days, Virginia and North Carolina require this service to be

completed within five days. Thus, an installation done within four days would be outstanding service in Virginia and North Carolina, but would be considered sub-par in Florida. Further, many requests for new service today include Digital Subscriber Lines ("DSL") and TDS Telecom requires a minimum of five days to process a new installation with DSL, making it nearly impossible for such installations to meet the three day requirement.

In the final analysis, the OPC, the Attorney General and AARP fail to take into account the disparity that the current regulatory regime creates. Complying with outdated government rules requires ILECs to expend resources in a way that does not reflect their customers' priorities, which gives their competitors a significant cost advantage. That disparity explains the active participation in this case of the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc. ("FCTA"), which obviously has no incentive to improve ILECs' service quality and every reason to subject them to as much regulation as it can. <sup>14</sup> The Petitioners respond to FCTA's comments at the workshop regarding Rule 25-4.083, F.A.C., Preferred Carrier Freeze, in the attached "Item 2" matrix. In that response, the Petitioners explain that the rule should mirror the FCC rule, which goes into great detail as to what is required. FCTA has taken issue with the proposed revision to this rule, a rule that does not apply to FCTA members. The Commission should not play into the hands of the Petitioners' unregulated competitors and keep regulations that are not needed in competitive markets. Failure to act now risks market distortions that will harm Florida consumers.

### VI. Conclusion

For the reasons discussed above, the Petitioners respectfully request that the Commission make the rule changes they have requested.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Prolonging the disparity in regulation gives FCTA's members, who are not regulated by the Commission, a competitive advantage over the regulated ILECs.

### Respectfully submitted,

### /s/ Susan F. Clark

Susan F. Clark
Lisa C. Scoles
Radey Thomas Yon & Clark, P.A.
301 S. Bronough Street, Suite 200
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 425-6654 (phone)
Attorneys for the Petitioners

### /s/ Dulaney L. O'Roark III

Dulaney L. O'Roark III
P. O. Box 110, MC FLTC0007
Tampa, Florida 33601-0110
(678) 259-1449 (phone)
Attorney for Verizon Florida LLC

### /s/ E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.

E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.
Tracy W. Hatch
Manuel A. Gurdian
c/o Gregory R. Follensbee
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(305) 347-5558 (phone)
Attorneys for BellSouth Telecommunications,
Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida

### /s/ Lisa S. Foshee

Lisa S. Foshee
J. Phillip Carver
AT&T Southeast
675 West Peachtree Street, Suite 4300
Atlanta, Georgia
(404) 335-0710 (phone)
Attorneys for BellSouth Telecommunications,
Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida

### /s/ Susan S. Masterton

Susan S. Masterton 1313 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 599-1560 (phone) Attorney for Embarq Florida, Inc.

### /s/ Peter R. Healey

Peter R. Healy 525 Junction Road, Suite 7000 Madison, WI 53717 (608) 664-4117 (phone) Attorney for TDS Telecom

### /s/ J. Jeffry Wahlen

J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 (850) 224-9115 (phone) Attorney for Windstream Florida, Inc.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been sent via electronic mail or U.S. Mail this 7<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2008, upon the following:

Cynthia Miller, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
cmiller@psc.state.fl.us

Kathryn Cowdery, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
kcowdery@psc.state.fl.us

Samantha Cibula, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
scibula@psc.state.fl.us

David A. Knouch, Esq.
Florida Cable Telecomm. Assoc. Inc.
246 E. 6th Avenue
Suite 100
Tallahassee, FL 32303
dkonuch@fcta.com

Marsha E. Rule, Esq.
Rutledge Law Firm
215 South Monroe Street
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551
marsha@reuphlaw.com

Ms. Gail Marie Perry Communications Workers of America PO Box 1766 Pompano Beach, FL 33601 cwacouncil@earthlink.net

Michael B. Twomey, Esq. AARP P.O. Box 5256 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256 miketwomey@talstar.com

Bill McCollum, Esq./Cecilia Bradley, Esq. Office of the Attorney General The Capitol – PL01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1052 <a href="mailto:iwmjr@cfl.rr.com">iwmjr@cfl.rr.com</a> <a href="mailto:cecilia.bradley@myfloridalegal.com">cecilia.bradley@myfloridalegal.com</a>

Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq.
Competitive Carriers of the South, Inc.
c/o Anchors Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
vkaufman@asglegal.com

Mr. Wink Infinger
Department of Management Services
4030 Esplanade Way, Suite 160C
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0950
Wink.Infinger@dms.myflorida.com

Scott Boyd, Esq.
Administrative Procedures Committee
Holland Building, Room 120
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300
Boyd.scott@leg.state.fl.us

Ms. Carolyn Mason
Department of Management
Communication & Information Technology
4030 Esplanade Way
Suite 125
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0950
Carolyn.mason@dms.myflorida.com

Floyd R. Self, Esq. Messer Law Firm 2618 Centennial Place Tallahassee, FL 32308 fself@lawfla.com

Ms. Carolyn Ridley
Time Warner Telcom
555 Church Street
Suite 2300
Nashville, TN 37219
Carolyn.Ridley@TWTelecom.Com

Mr. Douglas C. Nelson Sprint Nextel 233 Peachtree St., NE, Suite 2200 Atlanta, GA 30303 douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com

Ms. Rebecca Ballesteros Intrado Communications, Inc. 1601 Dry Creek Drive Longmont, CO 80503 Rebecca.Ballesteros@Intrado.com

Howard E. Adams, Esq. Pennington Law Firm Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095 gene@penningtonlaw.com

J.R. Kelly
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
OPC WEBSITE@LEG.STATE.FL.US

/s/ Susan F. Clark Susan F. Clark

P. L. Mad Applied to Competition Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies	ILEC Comments	High Action Commission
LA, DUNYICE NOTES.	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of	-
25-4,0185 Periodic Reports.  Each local exchange telecommunications company shall file with the Commission's Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement the Each local exchange telecommunications company shall file with the Commission of this rule by reference. Form PSC/CMP 28, entitled information required by Commission Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), which is incorporated into this rule by reference. Form PSC/CMP 28, entitled "Engineering Data Requirements," may be obtained from the Commission's Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement.  "Engineering Data Requirements," may be obtained from the Commission's Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement.  "Engineering Data Requirements," may be obtained from the Commission's Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement the "Engineering Data Requirements," may be obtained from the Commission's Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement.	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. These reports as well as service rules were developed when there was no competition or competitive alternatives. Such reports are not needed in a competitive environment. No other carrier in today's competitive market is required to file these reports.	
semiannually by the small LECs and shall be filled on or before the end of the month following the reporting period.  (2) The information required by Schedule 19 of Form PSC/CMP 28 shall be reported on a semiannual basis and shall be filed on or before the end of the month following the second and fourth quarters.  Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.01(4), 364.03, 364.17, 364.183(1) FS. History-New 12-14-86, Amended 7-20-89, 12-27-94, 3-10-96, 4-3-05.	This rule requires the small ILECs and large ILECs to file residential information on completed service orders (Schedule 2), held applications (Schedule 3), access line data (Schedule 8), held service – trouble reports (Schedule 11), answer time – repair office (Schedule 15), answer time – business office (Schedule 16) and central office NXX data (Schedule 19). All small ILECs are required to file semi-annually, while the large ILECs are required to file quarterly.	
	These reports require the Petitioners to use substantial resources to collect data, put it into report formats, ensure the reports are filed with the Commission, and then deal with the questions, investigations and allegations on service issues that may arise. This process can involve many people and is time-consuming.	
	Although the Petitioners do not believe such reports are needed, to the extent the information they provide may be desired, such data is provided for staff's competition report.	
	Staff's proposed revision to this rule does not address the ILECs' concerns because it would require that reports continue to be filed.	
25-4.023 Report of Interruptions.  (1) The Commission shall be informed of any major interruptions to service that affect 1,000 or more subscribers for a period of 30 minutes or more (1) The Commission shall be informed of any major interruptions to service that affect 1,000 or more subscribers for a period of 30 minutes or more (1) The Commission shall be informed of any major interruptions to service that affect 1,000 or more subscribers for a period of 30 minutes or more (1) The Commission shall be informed of any major interruptions to service that affect 1,000 or more subscribers for a period of 30 minutes or more (1) The Commission shall be informed of any major interruptions to service that affect 1,000 or more subscribers for a period of 30 minutes or more (1) The Commission shall be informed of any major interruptions to service that affect 1,000 or more subscribers for a period of 30 minutes or more (1) The Commission shall be informed of any major interruptions to service that affect 1,000 or more subscribers for a period of 30 minutes or more (1) The Commission shall be informed of any major interruptions to service that affect 1,000 or more subscribers for a period of 30 minutes or more (1) The Commission shall be informed or more (1) The Commissio	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Competitors of wireline providers do not have to meet a similar requirement.	
as soon as it comes to the attention or the uning. The Company small provisions interruption is restored.  (2) Indicate a converted all Florida service interruption reports made to the Federal Communications Commission in accordance with the provisions	Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations already require similar information by specifying that wireline remnunications providers must electronically notify the FCC remnunications providers must electronically notify the FCC	
(2) in addition, a copy or an ironius sective metalegian control of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 of Title 47; Code of Federal Regulations; Notification of Common Carriers of Service Disruptions (Effective April 12, 1996) of Part 63 of Chapter 1 o	within 120 minutes of discovering an outage of more than 30 minutes that: "(1) Potentially affects at least 900,000 user minutes of either telephony or paging; (2) Affects at least 1,350 DS3	
Amended 10-1-96, 4-3-05.	(4) Potentially affects a 911 special facility 47 C.F.R. § 4.9(f). The report must include the following information: "[1] 4.9(f) are of the reporting entity; [2] the date and time of onset of	

			Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
The revisions are out of step with current practices regarding storm outages. Currently, ILECs provide daily reports regarding service restoration in affected areas, but it is not feasible to give an accurate prediction of the duration of such storm-related interruptions. If any reports are required at all under the rules (and they should not be), they should be limited to the daily status reports that ILECs already provide as a matter of course.	The staff proposes to modify this rule to focus on major interruptions as a result of a tropical storm system. A rule of this type is unnecessary because ILECs routinely provide this information to the Commission as well as to the State EOC. Moreover, the Commission has the ability to request any information it deems appropriate relating to service interruptions.	the outage; [3] a brief description of the problem; [4] service effects; [5] the geographic area affected by the outage; and [6] a contact name and telephone number " 47 C.F.R. § 4.11.	ILEC Comments
			Intervenor Comments

		25-4.069 Maintenance of Plant and Equipment. 25-4.069 Maintenance of Plant and Equipment. Each telecommunications company shall adopt and pursue a maintenance program aimed at achieving efficient operation of its system so as to permit Each telecommunications company shall adopt and pursue a maintenance program aimed at achieving efficient operation of its system so as to permit Each telecommunications company shall adopt and pursue a maintenance program aimed at achieving efficient operation of its system so as to permit Each telecommunications company shall adopt and pursue a maintenance program aimed at achieving efficient operation of its system so as to permit Each telecommunications company shall adopt and pursue a maintenance program aimed at achieving efficient operation of its system so as to permit Each telecommunications company shall adopt and pursue a maintenance program aimed at achieving efficient operation of its system so as to permit Each telecommunications company shall adopt and pursue a maintenance program aimed at achieving efficient operation of its system so as to permit Each telecommunications company shall adopt and pursue a maintenance program aimed at achieving efficient operation of its system so as to permit Each telecommunications of the system so as to permit Each telecommunications of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as to permit Each telecommunication of the system so as t
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of	the appropriate section of the report of held applications flied with the optimisation and the propriate section of the report of held applications flied with the performance of the company with respect to the availability of (8) Each company shall report pursuant to Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C. Periodic Reports, the performance of the company with respect to the availability of service requirements as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division service requirements as outlined as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division servi
		(6) Whenever, for any reason, the service installation cannot be made at the time requested by the applicant or within the prescribed interval, the applicant shall be notified promptly of the delay and the reason therefor.  (7) Where facility additions are required to make service available, the applicant shall be further advised as to the circumstances and conditions under which service will be provided and as soon as practicable an estimated date when service will be furnished. With respect to applications aged over six which service will be provided and as soon as practicable an estimated date when service will be original estimated date of service shall be identified in months all service dates that result in a further delay due to the company's inability to meet the original estimated date of the reasons therefor.
		and time the company representative was at the premises.  (5) Each telecommunications company shall establish as its objective the satisfaction of at least 95 percent of all applications for new service in each communications company shall establish as its objective the capability of furnishing service within each of its exchanges to exchange within a 30 day maximum interval and, further, shall have as its objective the capability of furnishing service within each of its exchanges to exchange within 60 days after date of application; except those instances where a later installation date is requested by the applicant or where special applicants within 60 days after date of application; except those instances where a later installation date is requested by the applicant or where special
		purposes.  (4) When an appointment is made in order for the company to gain access to the customer's premises, the mutually agreed upon date will be day three (4) When an appointment is made in order for the company to gain access to the customer's premises during the appointment for measurement purposes. Failure of the customer to be present to afford the company representative is unable to gain admittance to a customer's premises period shall exempt the order for measurement purposes. Whenever a company representative is unable to gain admittance to a customer's premises period shall exempt the order for measurement purposes. Whenever a company representative is unable to gain admittance to a customer's premises period shall exempt the order for measurement purposes.
	If an issue arises that the Commission believes it needs to address, it can do so on a case-by-case basis.	(2) Where central office and outside plant facilities are readily available, at least 90 percent of an explanation of three shall normally be satisfied in each exchange of at least 50,00 lines and quarterly in exchanges of less than 50,000 lines within an interval of three working days after receipt of application when all tariff requirements relating thereto have been complied with, except those instances where a later working days after requested by the applicant or where special equipment or services are involved.  (3) If the applicant requests an installation date beyond three working days, the requested date shall be counted as day three for measurement
	customers can and will switch to competitors. Competitors or wireline providers do not have to meet a similar requirement.  The staff's proposed revision of this rule does not address the Petitioners' concerns.	
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Companies must provide acceptable arrangements to provide service; otherwise,	
	2	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
Intervenor Comments	ILEC Comments	
		TEM 2 - RULES THAT WOULD NOT SELECT

monthly basis. A repeat trouble report is anomed report involving the same series of the	(5) Repeat Trouble: Each telephone company shall establish procedures to insure the prompt investigation and correction of repeat when measured on a such that the percentage of repeat troubles will not exceed 20 percent of the total initial customer reports in each exchange when measured on a such that the percentage of repeat troubles will not exceed 20 percent of the total initial customer reports in each exchange when measured on a such that the percentage of repeat troubles will not exceed 20 percent of the total initial customer reports.	report shall be counted as having met the objective if the requested date is met.  (4) Priority shall be given to service interruptions that affect public health and safety that are reported to and verified by the company and such service interruptions shall be corrected as promptly as possible on an emergency basis.	than 50,000 lines, the results can be aggregated on a quarterly basis. (c) If the customer requests that the service be restored on a particular day beyond the objectives outlined in paragraphs (a) and (b) above, the trouble	periodic report to the Commission.  (b) Service Affecting: Clearing of service affecting trouble reports shall be scheduled to insure at least 95 percent of such reports are cleared within 72 (b) Service Affecting: Clearing of service affecting trouble reports shall be scheduled to insure at least 95 percent of such reports are cleared within 72 hours of the report in each exchanges which contains at least 50,000 lines and will be measured on a monthly basis. For exchanges which contain less hours of the report in each exchange which contains at least 50,000 lines and will be measured on a monthly basis. For exchanges which contain less hours of the report in each exchange which contains at least 50,000 lines and will be measured on a monthly basis.	(3) Service Objectives:  (3) Service Objectives:  (a) Service Interruption: Restoration of interrupted service shall be scheduled to insure at least 95 percent shall be cleared within 24 hours of report in (a) Service Interruption: Restoration of interrupted service shall be scheduled to insure at least 95 percent shall be cleared within 24 hours of report in (a) Service Interruption: Restoration of interrupted service shall be scheduled to insure at least 95 percent shall be cleared within 24 hours of report in (a) Service Interruption: Restoration of interruption interrupted service shall be scheduled to insure at least 95 percent shall be cleared within 24 hours of report in (a) Service Interruption: Restoration of interruption interrupted service shall be scheduled to insure at least 95 percent shall be cleared within 24 hours of report in (a) Service Interruption: Restoration of interruption interrupted service shall be scheduled to insure at least 95 percent shall be cleared within 24 hours of report in (a) Service Interruption: Restoration of interruption inter	for OOS conditions.  (b) Service interruptions occurring on a holiday not contiguous to Sunday will be treated as in paragraph (2)(a) of this rule. For holidays contiguous to (b) Service interruptions occurring on a holiday not contiguous to sunday or another holiday, sufficient repair forces shall be scheduled so that repairs can be made if requested by a subscriber.	(2) Sundays and Holidays:  (a) Except for emergency service providers, such as the military, medical, police, and fire, companies are not required to provide normal repair service (a) Except for emergency service providers, such as the military, medical, police, and fire, companies are not required to provide normal repair service objectives, but not refunds on Sundays. Where any repair action involves a Sunday or holiday, that period shall be excepted when computing service objectives, but not refunds on Sundays.	trouble was reported.  (c) If service is discontinued in error by the telephone company, the service shall be restored without undue delay, and clarification made with the subscriber to verify that service is restored and in satisfactory working condition.	224 hours after being reported to the company, an appropriate adjustment or refund shall be made to the subscriber automatically, pursuant to Kule 224 hours after being reported to the company, an appropriate adjustment or refund shall be made to the subscriber automatically, pursuant to Kule 224 hours after the 4.110, F.A.C. (Customer Billing). Service interruption time will be computed on a continuous basis, Sundays and holidays included. Also, if the 4.110, F.A.C. (Customer Billing). Service interruption time will be computed on a continuous basis, Sundays and holidays included. Also, if the customer Billing). Service interruption time will be computed on a continuous basis, Sundays and holidays included. Also, if the customer Billing).	affecting report; however, a service affecting report shall be upgraded to a service interruption it changing trouble conditions by indicate.  (a) Companies shall make every reasonable attempt to restore service on the same day that the interruption is reported to the serving repair center.  (h) In the event a subscriber's service is interrupted other than by a negligent or willful act of the subscriber and it remains out of service in excess of the sevent a subscriber and it remains.	(1) Each telecommunications company shall make all reasonable entors to minimize the case in the control of service affecting (synonymous with out-of-service or OOS) to service affecting (synonymous with non-out-of-service or non-OOS) basis. Service interruption reports shall not be downgraded to a service or service affecting (synonymous with non-out-of-service or non-OOS) basis. Service interruption reports shall not be downgraded to a service or service affecting (synonymous with non-out-of-service or non-OOS) basis. Service interruption reports shall not be downgraded to a service or service affecting (synonymous with non-out-of-service or non-OOS) basis.	25-4.070 Customer Trouble Reports.	4.69, Amended 4-16-90, 3-10-96.	Killes Not Applicante to competitive markets of the	Dulas Not Amiliable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
	on a	vice	uble	14.72 less	tin the	s to	rice nds	the	The staff's proposed revision to this rule (clarifying that the rule only applies to residential service) does not address the Petitioners' concerns.				has also agreed with the repeal of this rule.  has also agreed with the repeal of this rule.  The property of the presence of	since it is consistent with their view that it is not needed. AARP	THE COMME

(6) The service objectives of this rule shall not apply to subsequent customer reports, (not to be confused with repeat trouble reports), emergency situations, such as unavoidable casualties where at least 10 percent of an exchange is out of service. (7) Reporting Criteria: Each company shall periodically report the data specified in Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., Periodic Reports, on Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185. F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement. Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.01(4), 364.03, 364.15, 364.17, 364.18, 364.183, 364.386 FS. History-Revised 12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, Formerly 25-4.70, Amended 6-24-90, 3-10-96, 4-3-05.	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies	
	ILEC COmmens	TI TO Comments
		Intervenor Comments

	Eyen without this rule, it an issue arises that necessor or addressed, the Commission could address it in a specific review or when a complaint is raised.	
	Solutions (A113). See the A113 website of WWW.manascape the activities of these committees, state rules on transmission quality are not needed.	end to end noise measurements may be made.  Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.01(4), 364.03, 364.15, 364.386 FS. History-New 12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, Formerly 25-4.72, Amended 3-10-96, 4-3-05.
	changed but the ILECs continue to comply with existing industry standards. Further, several forums exist to establish standards regarding transmission requirements, including numerous committees of the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry	(2) Accurate dependable milliwart supplies shall be made a part of each central office. Additionally, for mose central office in the capacity of 1,000 lines or more, the buffered access on a minimum three line rotary group basis shall be a part of the milliwart supply.  (3) Each central office shall be equipped with a minimum of one termination which shall trip ringing and terminate the line on a balanced basis so that
	This rule requires the ILECs to comply with specific ANSI/IEEE standards that were adopted in 1984. The provision of service has	(1) Telecommunications companies shall furnish and maintain the necessary plant, equipment, and incompanies shall furnish and maintain the necessary plant, equipment, and incompanies shall conform to ANSI/IEEE and efficient transmission of communications between customers in their service areas. Transmission parameters shall conform to ANSI/IEEE standard 820 Telephone Loop Performance Characteristics (Adopted 1984) incorporated herein by reference.
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Competitors of competition revisions of not have to meet a similar requirement.	12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, Formerly 25-4.71, Amended 0-24-90, 3-10-90.  25-4.072 Transmission Requirements.
		terminal, centrex or PBX trunks, or equipment where the quantity is controlled by the customer is in use.  Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.01(4), 364.03, 364.15, 364.17, 364.18, 364.183, 364.19, 364.386 FS. History-Revised
		than the non-working number recording section.  (4) Intercept service shall be as outlined in Rule 25-4.074, F.A.C.  (5) A line busy signal (60 impulse per minute tone) shall not be used for any signaling purpose except to denote that a subscriber's line, other valid
		(d) Intra-LATA DDD Calls — 95 percent.  (3) All telephone calls to invalid telephone numbers shall encounter an operator or suitable recorded intercept facility, preferably a recording other
		(b) Inter-office Cails - 95 percent, and (c) Extended Area Calls - 95 percent, and
	when a complaint is raised.	(a) Intra-office Calls – 95 percent,
	Even without this rule, if an issue arises that needs to be addressed, the Commission could address it in a specific review or	ousy containon.  (2) Telephone calls to valid numbers should encounter a ring-back tone, line busy signal, or non-working number intercept facility (operator or recording) after completion of dialing. The call completion standards established for such calls by category of call is as follows:
	Competitors of wireline providers do not have to meet a similar requirement.	
	competition in the telecommunications market. In a competitive environment, companies must provide an acceptable level of service; otherwise, customers can and will switch to competitors.	25-4.071 Adequacy of Service.  (1) Each telecommunications company shall provide switching equipment, trunking, and associated facilities within its operating territory for the
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of	
	TEEC COMMISSION	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
Intervenor Comments	II AC Comments	

	and therefore should be employed to meet the needs of customers, not to meet an arbitrary standard.	telephone company in accordance with the following:  (a) Intercept service shall be provided for non-working and changed numbers until assigned, re-assigned, or no longer listed in the directory.
	company may provide an intercept for a long period of time based on a customer's needs. Instituting an intercept is labor-intensive	block by the telephone company.  (4) With the exception of numbers that are changed coincident with the issuance of a new directory, intercept service shall be provided by each
	don't make sense in today's cutynomiciat. The approximation intercept should be driven by customer needs and expectations. Some customers may not want an intercept and in those cases, the try of the required to provide one. In some cases, the	(3) All private branch exchanges and In-Dial Paging Systems, whether provided by the company or customer and which are equipped for direct indialing and installed after the effective date of these rules, shall meet the service requirements outlined herein prior to the assignment of a number
	Most of the specific intercept requirements are antiquated and	immediately following an inter-office transfer with directory) and for vacant or non-working numbers.  (2) Subscriber lines which are temporarily disconnected for nonpayment of bills shall be placed on intercept (preferably operator intercept).
	Inis trile is uniccessary in riotina due to the processor of competition in the telecommunications market. Competitors of wireline providers do not have to meet a similar requirement.	25-4.074 Intercept Service.  (1) Intercept service shall be engineered to provide a 90 percent completion for changed numbers (with the exception of the 30 day period
	The idea to the presence of	and Enforcement.  Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.01(4), 364.03, 364.386, 365.171 FS. History-New 12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, Formerly 25-473. Amended 11-24-92, 4-3-05.
		the telephone number assigned to handle urgent or emergency calls when the business ounce is closed.  (4) Each company shall report, pursuant to Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C. Periodic Reports, the performance of the company with respect to answer time as outlined in Form PSC/CMP 28 (4/05), incorporated into Rule 25-4.0185, F.A.C., by reference and available from the Division of Competitive Markets
		handled as described above, at least the first published business office number will be equipped with a telephone answering device which will notify callers after the normal working hours of the hours of operation for that business office. Where recording devices are used, the message shall include
		determine computance with this rule.  (3) All telecommunications companies are expected to answer their main published telephone number on a 24 hour a day basis. Such answering may be handled by a special operator at the toll center or directory assistance facility when the company offices are closed. Where after hours calls are not be handled by a special operator at the toll center or directory assistance facility when the company offices are closed. Where after hours calls are not
	The staff's proposed revision to this rule does not address the Petitioners' concerns.	waiting on the line. It shall mean that the service representative is ready to render assistance.  (2) Answering time studies using actual data or any statistically valid substitute for actual data shall be made to the extent and frequency necessary to
	Even without this rule, it an issue arises that necus to be addressed, the Commission could address it in a specific review or when a complaint is raised.	transferred by the system to a live attendant. At least 90 percent of the calls shall be answered by the system to a live attendant. At least 90 percent of the calls shall be answered by the system to a live attendant. assistance within 55 seconds of being transferred to the attendant.  (d) The terms "answered" as used in paragraphs (a) and (c) above, shall be construed to mean more than an acknowledgment that the customer is
	answering 80% of calls within 30 seconds (as companies of seconds) requires hiring of roughly eight more people, at a cost of \$400,000. (T. 143).	Unit (IVRU)), at least 95 percent of the calls offered shall be answered within 15 seconds after the last digit is dialed. The initial resoluted presented by the system to the customer shall include the option of transferring to a live attendant within the first 30 seconds of the message presented by the system for twenty seconds, the call shall be (c) For subscribers who either select the option of transferring to a live assistant, or do not interact with the system for twenty seconds, the call shall be
	By way of example regarding costs incurred to comply with this rule, TDS Telecom estimated that meeting the standard of rule, 1DS Telecom estimated that meeting the standard of the telephone of telephone of the telephone of the telephone of te	the last digit is dialed when no menu driven system is utilized.  (b) When a company utilizes a menu driven, automated, interactive answering system (referred to as the system or as an Integrated Voice Response to the company utilizes a menu driven, automated, interactive answering system (referred to as the system or as an Integrated Voice Response to the company utilizes a menu driven, automated, interactive answering system (referred to as the system or as an Integrated Voice Response to the company utilizes a menu driven, automated, interactive answering system (referred to as the system).
	competition in the telecommunications market. This rule is not necessary in a competitive environment as customers can and will change providers if they are not happy with the manner in which calls to the provider are answered and addressed.	25-4.073 Answering Time.  (1) Each telephone utility shall provide equipment designed and engineered on the basis of realistic forecasts of growth, and shall make all reasonable efforts to provide adequate personnel so as to meet the following service criteria under normal operating conditions:  (a) At least 90 percent of all calls directed to repair services and 80 percent of all calls to business offices shall be answered within 30 seconds after
	This mile is unpercessory in Florida due to the presence of	
The Action Communia	ILEC Comments	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
Intervenor Comments	4 50 0	A BALLIA MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO

IIEC Comments  The Comments  To some reason, provision of intercept service to a customer ates an issue that the Commission believes it needs to review, Commission can look at the specific issue through the applaint process or as part of a Commission review.	Amended 3-10-96.	(b) Any 7-digit number (or other number serving a public safety or other emergency agency) when replaced by the universal emergency number "911" shall be intercepted by either a telecommunications company assistance or a public safety agency operator or special recorded announcement for at least one year or until the next directory issue. Also, intercept service for the universal emergency telephone number "911" shall be provided in central offices where the number is inoperable. The intercept service may be automated with a message indicating the "911" emergency number is inoperable in that area and to consult the directory for the appropriate emergency number or if a directory is not available to dial operator for assistance.  Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.01, 364.03, 364.031 FS. History-New 12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, Formerly 25-4.74,	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies	TIEM 2 – RULES THAT WOULD NOT APPLY IF THE CO
Zomments		for some reason, provision of intercept service to a customer rates an issue that the Commission believes it needs to review, Commission can look at the specific issue through the mplaint process or as part of a Commission review.	ILEC Comments Intervenor Comments	MENTITION TEST IS MADE

### ITEM 2 – RULES THAT WOULD NOT APPLY IF THE COMPETITION TEST IS MET DOCKET NO. 080159-TP - SEPTEMBER 10, 2008, WORKSHOP

TEC Comments

### 25-4.083 Preferred Carrier Freeze.

(1) A PC Freeze shall not be imposed or removed on a subscriber's account without the subscriber's authorization and shall not be required as a condition for obtaining service.

Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies

- (2) A PC Freeze shall be implemented or removed at no charge to the subscriber
- (3) The subscriber's authorization shall be obtained for each service for which a PC Freeze is requested. Procedures implemented by local exchange providers must clearly distinguish among telecommunications services (e.g., local, local toll, and toll) subject to a PC Freeze.
- (4) All notification material regarding PC Freezes must include:
- (a) An explanation of what a PC Freeze is and what services are subject to a freeze;
- (b) A description of the specific procedures necessary to lift a PC Freeze and an explanation that the subscriber will be unable to make a change in provider selection unless the subscriber authorizes lifting of the PC Freeze; and
- (5) A local provider shall not solicit, market, or induce subscribers to request a PC Freeze. A local provider is not prohibited, however, from informing (c) An explanation that there are no charges for implementing or removing a PC Freeze.
- an existing or potential new subscriber who expresses concerns about slamming about the availability of a PC Freeze (6) A local exchange provider shall not implement a PC Freeze unless the subscriber's request to impose a freeze has first been confirmed in
- (a) The local exchange provider has obtained the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a form that meets the requirements of accordance with one of the following procedures:
- subscriber's social security number) and the information required in paragraphs (7)(a) through (d). Telecommunications providers electing to confirm to be imposed. The electronic authorization should confirm appropriate verification data (e.g., the subscriber's date of birth or the last four digits of the (b) The local exchange provider has obtained the subscriber's electronic authorization, placed from the telephone number(s) on which the PC Freeze is. automatically recording the originating automatic numbering identification; or connect a subscriber to a voice response unit, or similar mechanism that records the required information regarding the PC Freeze request, including PC Freeze orders electronically shall establish one or more toll-free telephone numbers exclusively for that purpose. Calls to the number(s) will
- (c) An independent third party has obtained the subscriber's oral authorization to submit the PC Freeze and confirmed the appropriate verification data not have any financial incentive to confirm PC Freeze requests for the provider or the provider's marketing agent; and must operate in a location through (d). The independent third party must not be owned, managed, or directly controlled by the provider or the provider's marketing agent; must (e.g., the subscriber's date of birth or the last four digits of the subscriber's social security number) and the information required in paragraphs (7)(a) physically separate from the provider or the provider's marketing agent. The content of the verification must include clear and conspicuous
- confirmation that the subscriber has authorized a PC Freeze. (7) A local exchange provider shall accept a subscriber's written and signed authorization to impose a PC Freeze on a preferred provider selection. A written authorization shall be printed in a readable type of sufficient size to be clearly legible and must contain clear and unambiguous language that
- (a) The subscriber's billing name and address and the telephone number(s) to be covered by the PC Freeze;
- (b) The specific service, (e.g., local, local toll, and toll), separately stated, on which a PC Freeze will be imposed.;
- (c) That the subscriber understands that to make a change in provider selection, the subscriber must lift the PC Freeze; and

freezes. Because section 364.603, F.S., requires the Commission to adopt rules to prevent the unauthorized changing of a this area. See 47 C.F.K. 304.1170. Drumon more into great detail as to what is required, a detailed state level rule is into great detail as to what is required, a detailed state level rule is a rule that incorporates by reference the FCC rule. subscriber's telecommunication service, the PSC should maintain to adopt rules to prevent the unauthorized do not have their own rules regarding preferred carrier (PC) not needed, as apparently recognized by the majority of states that This rule should be revised because the FCC has rules that cover See 47 C.F.R. §64.1190. Because the FCC's rules go

rule, but the only specific item identified has been the fact the Florida rule specifically does not allow a carrier to charge for providers, it is questionable as to whether FCTA members even the only entity pushing to retain the rule does not even have to claims there are differences between the FCC rule and Florida's The Florida Cable Telecommunications Association (FCTA) has taken issue with the elimination or revision of this rule. FCTA have to comply with the FCC's PC Freeze rule. The Commission should not allow a party, such as FCTA, to burden the other dissuade the Commission from mirroring the rule, especially when placing or lifting the PC Freeze. This limitation should not carriers in Florida with duplicate requirements Since the FCTA members are VoIP-type

section 364.603, F.S., does not allow a charge for placing a PC The Petitioners believe this issue should not be of concern because enable carriers to charge for placing and lifting the PC Freeze. applicable charge for lifting a PC freeze requirements a carrier would have to inform the customer of any freeze and in order to comply with the FCC Truth-In-Billing FCTA has expressed concern that mirroring the FCC rule would

appropriate. carriers should be able to recover such costs, if they believe it is There is a cost to remove a freeze on the customer's line and

level of any charge The Commission could review any complaint associated with the refusal of a carrier to lift a freeze on a customer's account or the

(A) That there will be no charge to the subscriber for a PC Freeze.	(d) That there will be no charge to the subscriber for a PC Freeze.  (8) All local exchange providers shall, at a minimum, offer subscribers the following procedures for lifting a PC Freeze:  (8) All local exchange providers shall, at a minimum, offer subscribers the following procedures for lifting a PC Freeze:  (a) Acceptance of a subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization; and  (b) Acceptance of a subscriber's oral authorization along with a mechanism that allows the submitting provider to conduct a three-way conference call between the provider administering the PC Freeze and the subscriber. The provider administering the PC Freeze shall confirm appropriate verification between the provider administering the PC social security number) and the subscriber's intent to lift a specific PC	Freeze. (9) Information obtained under subsection (6) and paragraph (8)(a) shall be retained by the provider for a period of one year. (10) A PC Freeze shall not prohibit a local provider from changing wholesale services when serving the same end user. (11) Local providers shall make available an indicator on the customer service record that identifies whether the subscriber currently has a PC Freeze	in place.  (12) Local providers shall make available the ability for the subscriber's new local provider to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service (12) Local providers shall make available the ability for the subscriber's new local provider to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service request. Specific Authority 350.127, 364.01, 364.603 FS. Law Implemented 364.01, 364.603 FS. History-New 9-9-04.	(d) That there will be no charge to the subscriber for a PC Freeze.  (8) All local exchange providers shall, at a minimum, offer subscribers the following procedures for lifting a PC Freeze:  (a) Acceptance of a subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization; and  (b) Acceptance of a subscriber's oral authorization along with a mechanism that allows the submitting provider to conduct a three-way conference call between the provider administering the PC Freeze and the subscriber. The provider administering the PC Freeze shall confirm appropriate verification data (e.g., the subscriber's date of birth or the last four digits of the subscriber's social security number) and the subscriber's intent to lift a specific PC Freeze.  (9) Information obtained under subsection (6) and paragraph (8)(a) shall be retained by the provider for a period of one year.  (10) A PC Freeze shall not prohibit a local provider from changing wholesale services when serving the same end user.  (11) Local providers shall make available an indicator on the customer service record that identifies whether the subscriber currently has a PC Freeze in place.  (12) Local providers shall make available the ability for the subscriber's new local provider to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to initiate a local PC Freeze using the local service to the provider of the subscriber's new	
---	---	---	---	---	--

approval, the Commission will consider the Program's benefits to the customers and whether the Program is in the public interest. The Commission requirement of each service standard addressed in the approved Service Guarantee Program. When evaluating a Service Guarantee Program for (2) At the earliest time practicable, the company shall provide to that customer the billing cycle and approximate date he may expect to receive his customer service representatives to the Division of Competitive Competitive Markets and Enforcement for prior approval measured service, if offered in his exchange. Local exchange telecommunications companies shall submit copies of the information provided to identified specifically, and the price of each service shall be given. Such person shall also be informed of the availability of and rates for local of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Consumer Services. In any discussion of enhanced or optional services, each service shall be request, the person shall also be given an 800 number to call to receive information on the "No Sales Solicitation" list offered through the Department initial contact and shall include, but not be limited to, information on rate amounts and installment time periods and procedures. Upon customer the availability of the company's installment plan for the payment of service connection charges. The information will be provided at the time of service available to him unless he requests specific equipment or services. Each company shall inform all persons applying for residential service of applying for or inquiring about residential or single line business service of the rate for the least expensive one party basic local exchange telephone adequate to his communications needs. At the time of initial contact, each local exchange telecommunications company shall advise the person (1) Each company shall provide such information and assistance as is reasonable to assist any customer or applicant in obtaining telephone service shall have the right to enforce the provisions of the Service Guarantee Plan. Such application shall be considered as notice to the utility that the applicant desires service and upon compliance by the applicant with such other utility, provided that the policy adopted by the utility for the initiation of service shall have uniform application and shall be set forth in its filed tariff. Any applicant for telephone service may be required to make application in writing in accordance with standard practices and forms prescribed by the 4mended 11-30-86, 11-28-89, 3-31-91, 10-30-91 2.b. CUSTOMER RELATIONS RULES Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.01, 364.01(4), 364.03, 364.035, 364.036, 364.386 FS. History-New 6-14-05 A company may petition the Commission for approval of a Service Guarantee Program, which would relieve the company from the rule 25-4.107 Information to Customers. 25-4.085 Service Guarantee Program. Specific Authority 350.127(2), 364.14(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.025, 364.03, 364.04, 364.051, 364.15, 350.127 FS. History-New 7-5-79, 25-4.108 Initiation of Service. Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies This rule provides an aitemative to voneyment a competitive rules and since such service rules are not needed in a competitive method of compliance is The rule specifically requires the ILECs to provide information to customers concerning least cost option, installment billing, 800 number to call for "No Sales Solicitation," and the customer's billing cycle and bill date. Competitors of ILECs do not have to comply with this rule. competition in the telecommunications market. This rule is not necessary in a competitive environment as customers can and will change providers if a provider does not provide telecommunications service ademiate to a more This rule provides an alternative to compliance with other service competition in the telecommunications market Further, this rule is not needed for residential customers because section 364.3382(1), F.S., requires the ILEC, when a residential customer initially requests service, to "advise each residential telecommunications company's service guarantee program may remain in effect until such orders expire or are revised by the PSC. relevant orders, Commission orders in effect regarding a particular likewise not needed. market, it follows that an alternative method of compliance This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence customer of the least-cost service available to that customer." The competition rule requires ILECs to provide the least cost option to business as well as residential customers, which goes beyond the statute and is The Petitioners understand that, depending on the language of the Competitors of wireline providers do not have to meet a similar requirement This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of is not needed in a competitive environment. Ħ the telecommunications market. ILEC Comments Such a e, Intervenor Comments

Specific Authority 350.127(2)

rather than rules.

Requirements for initiation of service should be governed by tariff

If an issue arises that needs to be addressed, the Commission

charge a monthly service fee of \$1.00 to applicants who elect to pay the service connection charge in installments.

provisions governing utility service as may be in effect, the utility shall undertake to initiate service without unreasonable delay. Each company shall

permit residential customers to pay service connection charges in equal monthly installments over a period of at least 3 months. A company may

	A B ALLIA M AND COLORS	TEC Comments Intervenor Comments
the utility elects not to refund such deposit after 23 months.  (b) The deposit interest shall be simple interest in all cases and settlement shall be made annually, either in cash or by credit on the current bill. This (b) The deposit that any company paying a higher rate of interest than required by this rule. No customer depositor shall be entitled to receive interest from the day of the commencement of the customer relationship and the deposit have been in existence for a continuous period of six months. Then he or she shall prohibit a company from rethering at any time a deposit with an accuraed interest.  (6) Record of deposits. Each company having on hand deposits from customers or hereafter receiving deposits from them shall be customer when the deposit;  (b) The name of each each eactsomer when the deposit was made;  (c) The date and amount of deposit such as interest payment, interest receiving deposits from them shall keep records to show:  (d) Each transaction concerning the deposit such as interest payment, interest credited or similar transactions.  (d) Each transaction concerning the deposit such as interest payment, interest credited or similar transactions.  (a) Record for deposit A non-transactarehle certificate of deposit shall be issued to each customer and means provided so that the customer may claim of deposit over and above an amount equal to one month's local service prote two months' sorrage toll service provided by or billed by the LEC.  (a) Redund of deposit when service is discontinued Upon termination of service, the deposit and accound interest may be credited against the final account of the LEC and the balance, if any, shall be returned promptly to the customer but in no event later than forty-five (45) days after service is	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies	
account of the comment of the maj terms	any shall pay an interest rate of 7 percent per annum on deposits of nonresidential customers qualifying under subsection (4) when it to refund such deposit after 23 months.  Be to receive interest in all cases and settlement shall be made annually, either in cash or by credit on the current bill. This and unless a customer relationship and the deposit have been in existence for a continuous period of six months. Then he or she and unless a customer relationship and the deposit have been in existence for a continuous period of six months. Then he or she are receive interest from the day of the commencement of the customer relationship and the placement of deposit. Nothing in this rule repair receive interest payment interest.  Be company from refunding at any time a deposit with an accrued interest.  Be company having on hand deposit with an accrued interest.  Be company having the deposit;  Coupied by the customer when the deposit was made;  Be considered by the customer when the deposit was made;  Be considered by the customer when the deposit was made;  Be considered by the customer may claim posit. A non-transferable certificate of deposit shall be issued to each customer and means provided so that the customer may claim posit. A non-transferable certificate of deposit shall be issued to each customer and means provided so that the customer may claim posit. A non-transferable certificate of deposit shall be issued to each customer and means provided so that the customer may claim posit. A non-transferable certificate of deposit shall be issued to each customer and means provided by or billed by the LEC.  Be considered interest may be credited against the final posit when service is deposited against the final posit when service is a scheduler of the customer but in no event later than forty-five (45) days after service is	

(1) Each company shall issue bills monthly or may offer customers a choice of billing intervals that includes a monthly billing interval number must be that of the customer service agent and must be displayed with the service provider's heading or with the customer service agent's provider is defined as the company which provided the service to the end user. If the service provider has a customer service agent, the toll-free immediately below the heading, or immediately following the list of charges for the service provider. For purposes of this subparagraph, the service (b) The toll-free customer service number for the service provider or its customer service agent must be conspicuously displayed in the heading, be shown. If the originating party has more than one certificated name, the name appearing in the heading must be the name used to market the conspicuously indicate the originating party's name. If the originating party is a certificated telecommunications company, the certificated name must (a) There shall be a heading for each originating party which is billing to that customer account for that billing period. The heading shall clearly and (2) Each billing party shall set forth on the bill all charges, fees, and taxes which are due and payable. The terminology for Federal Regulated Service Taxes, Fees, and Surcharges must be consistent with all FCC required terminology. (d)1. Taxes, fees, and surcharges related to an originating party heading shall be shown immediately below the charges described under that heading. (c) Each charge shall be described under the applicable originating party heading. terms of a written agreement. The scope of such agency shall be limited to the terms of such written agreement. heading, if any. For purposes of this subparagraph, a customer service agent is a person or entity that acts for any originating party pursuant to the billing available upon request." surcharge consistent with the customer's concern. The billing party or customer service agent shall provide this information to the customer in writing items which comprise the assessment base for each percentage based tax, fee, and surcharge; or the rate of each state, federal, or local tax, fee, and tax, fee or surcharge, the billing party shall provide an explanation of the state, federal, or local authority for each tax, fee, and surcharge; the line or customer service agent with a billing question and expresses difficulty in understanding the bill after discussion with a service representative The billing party shall either: (b) To every customer at least once each twelve months. (a) With the first bill rendered after local exchange service to a customer is initiated or changed; and (3) Each LEC shall provide an itemized bill for local service: (e) If each recurring charge due and payable is not itemized, each bill shall contain the following statement: "Further written itemization of local upon the customer's request. approval. The itemized bill provided to residential customers and to business customers with less than ten access lines per service location shall be in charges on the itemized bill. This bill stuffer shall be submitted to the Commission's Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement for prior (4) The annual itemized bill shall be accompanied by a bill stuffer which explains the itemization and advises the customer to verify the items and fee, and surcharge, or 25-4.110 Customer Billing for Local Exchange Telecommunications Companies. b.(i) Provide a plain language explanation of any line item and applicable tax, fee, and surcharge to any customer who contacts the billing party a. Identify Florida taxes and fees applicable to charges on the customer's bill and identify the assessment base and rate for each percentage based (ii) If the customer requests or continues to express difficulty in understanding the explanation of the authority, assessment base or rate of any Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies This rule not only adds another unnecessary level of regulation, but also results in unduly lengthy and complex bills, which can be confusing to customers. The Petitioners' competitors do not have is not needed. Indeed, many states now have rules that simply adequately address customer billing such that a separate state rule a more understandable and straightforward bill 64.2401. Together, the FCC's rule and section 364.604, F.S., in-Billing requirements cover this area. See 47 C.F.R. §§64.2400to comply with this rule, giving them the competitive advantage of only minimal additional requirements. refer to the FCC's rule, that mirror the FCC's rule, or that have competition in the telecommunications market. This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to LEC Comments the presence of The FCC's Truth-Intervenor Comments

easily understood language. The itemized bill provided to business customers with ten or more access lines per service location may be stated in

X 3 3 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		Tatamanar Cammente
A Advisor of Streemlined Reculation Companies	ILEC Comments	THE TAKE COMMISSION
Kills introduce of American		
service order code, provided that it contains a statement that, upon request, an easily understood translation is available in written form without		
charge. An itemized bill shall include, but not be limited to the following information, separately stated:		
(a) Number and types of access lines;		
(b) Charges for access to the system, by type of line;		
(c) Touch tone service charges;		
(d) Charges for custom calling features, separated by feature;		
(e) Unlisted number charges;		
(f) Local directory assistance charges;		
(g) Other tariff charges; and		
(h) Other nontariffed, regulated charges contained in the bill.		
(5) All bills rendered by a local exchange company snall clearly state the company of all such penalties or discounts to appear on the bill, (a) Any discount or penalty. The originating party is responsible for informing the billing party of all such penalties or discounts to appear on the bill,		
in a form usable by the billing party;		
(b) Past due balance; (c) Items for which nonpayment will result in disconnection of the customer's basic local service, including a statement of the consequences of		
nonpayment;		
(d) Long-distance monthly or minimum charges, it included in the bill:		
(e) Long-based local charges if included in the bill:		
(I) Usage-baset locat charges, it moved in merchange, per subsection 25-4.160(3), F.A.C.; (g) Telecommunications Access System Surcharge, per subsection 25-4.160(3), F.A.C.;		
(h) "911" fee per Section 365.171(13), F.S.; and		
(i) Delinquent date.  (ii) Delinquent date.  (iii) Delinquent date.  (iii) Delinquent date.		
or willful act, and remains out of order in excess of 24 hours after the subscriber notifies the company of the interruption. The following the interruption and facilities rendered useless or inoperative:		
shall be the pro rata part of the month's charge for the period of days and that pornion of the service and the subscriber does not provide		
except that the retund shall not be approximated for such restoration work. The refund may be accomplished by a credit on a subsequent bill for telephone service.		
(7)(a) Bills shall not be considered delinquent prior to the expiration of 15 days from the date of mailing or delivery by the company. However, the		
company may demand immediate payment under the following circumstances:		
1. Where service is terminated or abandoned;		
2. Where toll service is two times greater than the subscriber's average usage as reflected on the mounth, where the toll service is twice the estimated		
current bill, or, in the case of a new customer who has occur receiving service for		
monthly toll service; or		
autorities.		

subscriber.

etween an end use rivices provided by	defined as switched telecommunications services between locations within the control of the end user/customer. Pay Per Call services include 976 services provided by customer and an information provider's program at a per call charge to the end user/customer. Pay Per Call services include 976 services provided by a function of the control of the con
etween an end use ervices provided by	defined as switched telecommunications services between to between the said need-normal ne
er Call services are	(15) This section applies to LECs that provide transmission services or bill and collect on behalf of Pay Per Call providers. Pay Per Call services are
	(c) A toll-free customer service number.
	(a) The name of the centricate company,  (b) Type of service provided, i.e., local, local toll, or toll; and
	be the customer's presubscribed provider for local, local foil, or foil service.
mpany claiming to	of the payment, if any, shall be applied to nonregulated charges.  (14) All bills produced shall clearly and conspicuously display the following information for each service billed in regard to each company claiming to
remaining portion	(b) A local exchange company may not incorporate any potential of the company may not incorporate any potential payment of an end user/customer bill first towards satisfying any unpaid regulated charges. The remaining portion (13) Each LEC shall apply partial payment of an end user/customer bill first towards satisfying any unpaid regulated charges. The remaining portion
vice.	tax imposed by Chapter 202, F.S., and any local option sales tax.
ions services sales	(12) State Communications Services Tax.  (a) The State Communications Services Tax is comprised of the Gross Receipts Tax imposed by Chapter 203, F.S., the communications services sales
VICG.	(c) A local exchange company may not incorporate any portion of the Local Communications Services Tax into its other rates for service.
	collect that tax only from its subscribers receiving service within that municipality or county.
nge company may	municipality and county authorized by Chapter 202, r.S.  (A) When a municipality or county levies the Local Communications Services Tax authorized by Chapter 202, F.S., the local exchange company may
authority of each	(a) The Local Communications Services Tax is comprised of the discretionary communications services tax levied by the governing authority of each
	may the company recursed an a natural processing and the company recursed an a natural processing Sentings That
I 12 MONUNS, IVUI	(10) Where any undercharge in billing of a customer is the result of a company mistake, the company may not backbill in excess of 12 monus. Not
£ 10 months Nor	the 800 number to contact to receive more information.
ner Services, and	(9) Annually, each telephone company shall include a bill insert advising each residential subscriber of the "No Sales Solicitation" list maintained by the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Consumer Services, and
nhsoriber's name	surname of the subscriber. The notice shall be included in the billing cycle closest to 60 days preceding the directory closing date.
one number, and	any error or make changes as the subscriber declina necessary in the recovery of environment of environments and environments are environments and environments
arge and upon the	(8) Each telephone company shall include a bill insert advising each subscriber of the directory closing date and the subscriber of the closing date. It shall also state that at no additional charge and upon the
retunity to correct	may refuse payment until an itemized bill is presented.
customer that he	(c) If the company cannot present an itemized bil, it may present a summanized bill is presented. The company shall inform the customer that he amount due. However, a customer may refuse to make payment until an itemized bill is presented. The company shall inform the customer that he
ess and the total	is made orally, an itemized bill shall be mailed or delivered to the customer within three days after the demand is made.
or, if the demand	(b) The demand for immediate payment shall be accompanied by a bill which itemizes the charges for which payment is demanded, or, if the demand
LLAC Comments	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
II HC Comments Intervenor Comments	II BALL - NOLLES THAT HOODE :: 3

	billing arrangement.  (c) Pay Per Call (900 and 976) Blocking. Each LEC shall provide blocking where technically feasible of Pay Per Call service (900 and 976), at the request of the end user/customer at no charge. Each LEC or IXC must implement a bill adjustment tracking system to aid its efforts in adjusting and	billing arrangement.  (c) Pay Per Call (900 and 976) Blocking. Each LEC shall provide blocking request of the end user/customer at no charge. Each LEC or IXC must in
	shall be equally as clear; 7. Provides on Pay Per Call services that involve sales of products or merchandise clear preamble notification of the price that will be incurred if the end user/customer stays on the line, and a local or toll free number for consumer complaints; and 8. Meets internal standards established by the LEC or IXC as defined in the applicable tariffs or contractual agreement between the LEC/IXC and the Pay Per Call (900 or 976) provider which when violated, would result in the termination of a transmission or	shall be equally as clear;  7. Provides on Pay Per Call services that involve sales of products or merchandise clear preamber the end user/customer stays on the line, and a local or toll free number for consumer complaints; and 8. Meets internal standards established by the LEC or IXC as defined in the applicable tariffs o IXC; or between the LEC/IXC and the Pay Per Call (900 or 976) provider which when violated, w
	directly or indirectly; 6. In all advertising and promotional materials, displays charges immediately above, below, or next to the Pay Per Call number, in type size that can be seen as clearly and conspicuously at a glance as the Pay Per Call number. Broadcast television advertising charges, in Arabic numerals, must be shown on the screen for the same duration as the Pay Per Call number is shown, each time the Pay Per Call number is shown. Oral representations	directly or indirectly;  6. In all advertising and promotional materials, displays charges immed can be seen as clearly and conspicuously at a glance as the Pay Per Call number is shown on the screen for the same duration as the Pay Per Call number is
	in excess of \$5.00 per call, and shall not include the enticement of a gift or premium;  4. Promotes its services without the use of an autodialer or broadcasting of tones that dial a Pay Per Call (900 or 976) number;  5. Prominently discloses the additional cost per minute or per call for any other telephone number that an end user/customer is referred to either	rates in excess of \$5.00 per call, and shall not include the enticement of a gift or premium;  4. Promotes its services without the use of an autodialer or broadcasting of tones that dial a Pay Per Call (900 or 976) number;  5. Prominently discloses the additional cost per minute or per call for any other telephone number that an end user/customer
	user/customer to affirmatively bypass a preamble;  3. Provides on each program promotion targeted at children (defined as younger than 18 years of age) clear and conspicuous notification, in language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children and the parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental consent language understandable to children and the parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental permission before placing or continuing with the call. The parental permission before placing or continuing with the call.	user/customer to affirmatively bypass a preamble;  3. Provides on each program promotion targeted at children (define language understandable to children, of the requirement to obtain parental notification shall appear prominently in all advertising and promotional n
	2. Provides an 18 second billing grace period in which the end user/customer can disconnect the call without incurring a charge; from the time the is answered at the Pay Per Call provider's premises, the presamble message must be no longer than 15 seconds. The program may allow an end	2. Provides an 18 second billing grace period in which the end user/customer can disconnect the call without incurring a charge; from the time the call is answered at the Pay Per Call provider's premises, the preamble message must be no longer than 15 seconds. The program may allow an end
	ms where there is a potential for minors to be attracted to the program; sted to children must be in language easily understandable to children; and except as provided in subparagraph (11)(b)3.;	parental notification requirement is announced on preambles for all programs where there is a potential for minors to be attracted to the program, child's parental notification requirement in any preamble to a program targeted to children must be in language easily understandable to children; and programs that do not exceed \$3.00 in total charges may omit the preamble, except as provided in subparagraph (11)(b)3.;
	not provide Pay Per Call transmission service or billing services, unless the provider does each of the following: 1. Provides a preamble to the program which states the per minute and total minimum charges for the Pay Per Call service (900 and 976); child's	shall not provide Pay Per Call transmission service or billing services, unless the provider does each of the following:  1. Provides a preamble to the program which states the per minute and total minimum charges for the Pay Per C
	5. The Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) program name. Pay Per Call Service (900 and 976) Billing, LECs and IXCs who have a tariff or contractual relationship with a Pay Per Call (900 or 976) provider	5. The Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) program name.  (h) Pay Per Call Service (900 and 976) Billing, LECs and IXCs who have:
	e charges;	<ol> <li>The local or toll-free number the end user/customer can call to dispute charges;</li> <li>The name of the IXC providing 900 service; and</li> </ol>
	sult in disconnection of local service; (900 or 976) from the LEC;	<ol> <li>Nonpayment of Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) charges will not result in disconnection of local service;</li> <li>End users/customers can obtain free blocking of Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) from the LEC;</li> </ol>
	harges for regular long distance or local charges by appearing separately and conspicuously a charges." The following information shall be clearly and conspicuously 976) charges:	(a) Charges for Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) shall be segregated from charges for regular long distance or local charges by appearing separately under a heading that reads as follows: 'Pay Per Call (900 or 976) nonregulated charges.' The following information shall be clearly and conspicuously disclosed on each section of the bill containing Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) charges:
	ts or Streamlined Regulation Companies LLEC Collinicias	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
Intervenor Comments		

Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies ILEC Comments	18 Intervenior Commens
The Part Abarrae moon the end meet's/customer's stated lack	
sustaining Pay Per Call charges. The LEC or IXC will adjust the IRSt oil comanning it by the Court of knowledge that Pay Per Call service (900 and 976) has a charge. A second adjustment will be made if necessary to reflect calls billed in the of knowledge that Pay Per Call service (900 and 976) has a charge. A second adjustment will be made if necessary to reflect calls billed in the	
free blocking of Pay Per Call service (900 and 976).  (d) Dispute resolution for Pay Per Call service (900 and 976). Charges for Pay Per Call service (900 and 976) shall be automatically adjusted upon	
complaint that:  1. The end user/customer did not receive a price advertisement, the price of the call was misrepresented to the consumer, or the price	
advertisement received by the consumer was false, misleading, or deceptive;  2. The end user/customer was misled, deceived, or confused by the Pay Per Call (900 or 976) advertisement;  3. The Pay Per Call (900 or 976) program was incomplete, garbled, or of such quality as to render it inaudible or unintelligible, or the end	
user/customer was disconnected or cut off from the service;  4. The Pay Per Call (900 and/or 976) service provided out-of-date information; or  5. The end reserving terminated the call during the preamble described in subparagraph 25-4.110(11)(b)2., F.A.C., but was charged for the	
Pay Per Call service (900 or 976).  (e) If the end user/customer refuses to pay a disputed Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) charge which is subsequently determined by the LEC to be	
valid, the LEC or IXC may implement Pay Per Call (900 and 976) blocking on that line.  (f) Credit and Collection. LECs and IXCs billing Pay Per Call (900 and 976) charges to an end user/customer in Florida shall not:  1. Collect or attempt to collect Pay Per Call service (900 or 976) charges which are being disputed or which have been removed from an end	
user's/customer's bill; or  2. Report the end user/customer to a credit bureau or collection agency solely for non-payment of Pay Per Call (900 or 976) charges.  (g) LECs and IXCs billing Pay Per Call service (900 and 976) charges to end users/customers in Florida shall implement safeguards to prevent the	
disconnection of phone service for non-payment of Pay Per Call (900 or 976) charges.  (16) Companies that bill for local service must provide notification with the customer's first bill or via letter, and annually thereafter that a PC Freeze is available.	
is available. Existing customers must be given notice on the first or second page of the customer's next bill in conspicuous bold face type when the customer's [17]. The customer must be given notice on the first or second page of the customer's next bill in conspicuous bold face type when the customer's	
presubscribed provider of local, local toll, or toll service has changed.  (18) If a customer notifies a billing party that they did not order an item appearing on their bill or that they were not provided a service appearing on their bill, the billing party shall promptly provide the customer a credit for the item and remove the item from the customer's bill, with the exception their bill, the billing party shall promptly provide the customer a credit for the item and remove the item from the customer's bill, with the exception	
of the following:	
(a) Charges that originate from:  1. Billing party or its affiliates;	
2. A governmental agency;  3. A customer's presubscribed intraLATA or interLATA interexchange carrier; and	
(b) Charges associated with the following types of calls:	
1. Cultiva Galas	

)	ILEC Comments	Intervenor Comments
Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies		
3. Customer dialed calls for, and		
4. Calls using a 10-10-xxx calling pattern.		
(19)(a) Upon request from any customer, a billing party must restrict charges in its outs to only.		
1. Those charges that originate from the following:		
a. Billing party or its affiliates;		
b. A governmental agency;  A governmental agency;  a A governmental agency;  b. A governmental agency;  a A governmental agency;		
2. Those charges associated with the following types of calls:		
a. Collect calls;		
b. Third party calls;		
c. Customer dialed calls; and		
d. Calls using a 10-10-xxx calling pattern.  (h) Customers must be notified of this right by billing parties annually and at each time a customer notifies a billing party that the customer's bill		
contained charges for products or services that the customer did not order or that were not provided to the customer.  (c) Small local exchange telecommunications companies as defined in Section 364.052(1), F.S., are exempted from this subsection.		
(20) Nothing prohibits originating parties from pilming casculars access of the prohibits originating parties from pilming casculars access of the prohibits originating parties from pilming casculars and a solid soli		
11-11-91, 3-10-96, 12-28-98, 7-5-00.		

reason for such refusal or discontinuance.  (3) Service shall be initiated or restored when the cause for refusal or discontinuance has been satisfactorily adjusted.  (4) The following shall not constitute sufficient cause for refusal or discontinuance of service to an applicant or customer:  (4) The following shall not constitute sufficient cause for refusal or discontinuance of service to an applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer occupied the premises at the line of the premises of the current applicant or customer.	to others.  (i) Without notice in the event of hazardous conditions or tampering with the equipment furnished and owned by the company.  (j) Without notice in the event of unauthorized or fraudulent use of service. Whenever service is discontinued for fraudulent use of service, the company may, before restoring service, require the customer to make, at his own expense, all changes in facilities or equipment necessary to eliminate company may, before restoring service, require the customer to make, at his own expense, all changes in facilities or equipment necessary to eliminate company may, before restoring service, require the customer to make, at his own expense, all changes in facilities or equipment necessary to eliminate company may an amount reasonably estimated as the loss in revenues resulting from such fraudulent use.  (2) In case of refusal to establish service, or whenever service is discontinued, the company shall notify the applicant or customer in writing of the	closed or on a day precenting a usy the business office is open and the U.S. Mail is (g) For purposes of paragraphs (e) and (f), "working day" means any day on which the company's business office is open and the U.S. Mail is delivered.  (h) Without notice in the event of customer use of equipment in such manner as to adversely affect the company's equipment or the company's service.	cases. The written notice shall be separate and apart from the regular month, or discontinue a customer's Lifeline local service if the service for nonpayment of a dishonored check service charge imposed by the company, nor discontinue a customer's Lifeline local service if the service for nonpayment of a dishonored check service charge imposed by the company, nor discontinue a customer's Lifeline local service are charges, taxes, and fees applicable to dial tone, local usage, dual tone multifrequency dialing, emergency services such as "911," and relay service are charges, taxes, and fees applicable to dial tone, local usage, dual tone multifrequency dialing, emergency services such as "911," and relay service are charges in the service to any customer for the initial nonpayment of the current bill on a day the company's business office is paid. No company shall discontinue service to any customer for the initial nonpayment of the current bill on a day the company's business office is	provided 5 working days' written notice is given before termination.  (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, and (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunications access system surcharge referred to in subsection 25-4.160(3), (f) For nonpayment of bills for telephone service, including the telecommunication access to the first service access to the first service access to the firs	company.  (e) For noncompliance with or violation of the Commission's regulations or the company's rules and regulations on file with the Commission,	(b) For the use of telephone service for any other property or purpose than that described in the application.  (c) For failure or refusal to provide the company with a deposit to insure payment of bills in accordance with the company's regulations.  (d) For neglect or refusal to provide reasonable access to the company for the purpose of inspection and maintenance of equipment owned by the	(1) As applicable, the company may refuse or discontinue telephone service under the rollowing continuous provides and allowed a reasonable time to comply with any rule or remedy any deficiency: customer shall be given notice and allowed a reasonable time to comply with any rule or remedy any deficiency:  (a) For non-compliance with or violation of any state or municipal law, ordinance, or regulation pertaining to telephone service.	25-4.113 Refusal or Discontinuance of Service by Company.	customer may be held responsible for charges for telephone service.  Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.03, 364.19 FS. History-New 12-1-68.	25-4.112 Termination of Service by Customer.  Any customer may be required to give reasonable notice of his intention to discontinue service. Until the telephone utility shall be notified, the	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
ine	he te	is ce	· ॐ ७ ७	0 0 5				This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Although this rule competition in the telecommunications market is competitive in a competitive		This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Although this rule favors the provider, it is not necessary in a competitive environment.	ILEC COMMENS

Intervenor Comments

		unless the Commission specifically authorizes some other type of security such as placing the moltey in escitow, approving a corporate successional and a corporate succession and a co
		refund for less that \$1.00 will be made to these customers.  (6) Security for Money Collected Subject to Refund. In the case of money being collected subject to refund, the money shall be secured by a bond
		negative balance must be sent to the customer within ten (10) days of the request.  For customers entitled to a refund but no longer on the system, the company shall mail a refund check to the last known billing address except that no
		amount than the bill, the remainder of the credit shall be carried forward until the refund is completed. If the customer so requests, a check for any
		(e) Commission staff shall provide applicable interest rate figures and assistance in calculations under this Rule upon request of the affected utility.  (c) Mathod of Refund Distribution. For those customers still on the system, a credit shall be made on the bill. In the event the refund is for a greater
		refundable to all customers, including interest, by the total amount of the refund, excluding interest. For the purpose of calculating me interest, the utility may, upon approval by the Commission, estimate the monthly refundable amount.
		refund in lieu of a monthly calculation of the interest for each customer. The interest multiplier shall be calculated by dividing the total amount
		current month's ending balance divided by two (2) to accomplish a compounding effect.
		(c) The average monthly interest rate shall be applied to the sum of the previous month's ending balance (including monthly interest accruals) and the
		2. The average monthly interest rate for the month prior to distribution shall be the same as the last calculated average monthly interest rate.
		1. By adding the published interest rate in effect for the last business day of the month prior to each mount or the recent period interest rate;
		(b) This average monthly interest rate shall be calculated for each month of the refund period:
		corporations in multiples of \$1,000 as regularly published in the Wall Street Journal.
		customers account shall be based on the thirty (30) day commercial paper rate for high grade, unsecured notes sold through dealers by major
		(4) Interest.  (a) In the case of refunds which the Commission orders to be made with interest, the average monthly interest rate until the refund is posted to the
		refers to a refund to every customer receiving service as of a date specified by the Commission.
		basis of access lines. Per customer refund refers to a refund to every customer receiving service during the return period. Customer of recoil a refund to every customer receiving service during the return period.
		overearnings, the refund shall be made to customers of record as of a date specified by the Commission. In such case, refunds shall be made on the
		customer basis, that will be the basis of the refund. However, where the refund is not related to specific rate changes, such as a refund for
		(3) Basis of Refund. Where the refund is the result of a specific rate change, including interim rate increases, and the refund can be computed on a per
	environment.	date of the order disposing of any motion for reconsideration. This rule does not authorize any motion for reconsideration.
	leaving it in place and are agreeable to removing it from the list of leaving it in place and are agreeable to removing it from the list of rules that the Peltitoners believe are unnecessary in a competitive	will not delay the timing of the refund. In the event that a stay is granted pending reconsideration, the timing of the refund shall commence from the
	However, because this rule is only applicable when the leftioners do not object to	(2) Infinity of Averages, a stay has been requested in writing and granted by the Commission, a motion for reconsideration of an order requiring a refund
	requirements	this Rule, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.  On Therefore, Defined, Paginda must be made within ninety (90) days of the Commission's order unless a different time frame is prescribed by the
	wireline providers do not have to comply with a similar	(1) Applicability. With the exception of deposit refunds, all refunds ordered by the Commission shall be made in accordance with the provisions of
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Competitors of	25-4.114 Refunds.
The venot Comments	ILEC Comments	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
T-t		

		T_ta=:san Comments
Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies	ILEC Comments	Tilei kettor Commicina
providing a letter of credit. The Commission may require the company to provide a report by the 10th of each month indicating the monthly and total		
amount of money subject to refund as of the end of the preceding month. The report shall also indicate the status of whatever security is being used to		
guarantee repayment of the money.		
(7) Refund Reports. During the processing of the refund, monthly reports on the status of the refund shall be made by the 10th of the following month.		
In addition, a preliminary report shall be made within thirty (30) days after the date the refund is completed and again 90 days thereafter. A man		
report shall be made after all administrative aspects of the refund are completed. The above reports shall specify the following:		
(a) The amount of money to be refunded and how that amount was computed;		
(b) The amount of money actually refunded;		
(c) The amount of any unclaimed refunds; and		
(d) The status of any unclaimed amounts.		
(8) With the last report under subsection (7) of this rule, the company shall suggest a method for disposing of any unclaimed amounts. The		
Commission shall then order a method of disposing of the unclaimed funds.		
Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.05(4), 364.055(2), 364.07, 364.08, 364.19 FS. History-New 8-18-83.		

		2.c. TARIFF RULES:
	appear to relate to the billing or collecting of charges for 800 service.  However, the Petitioners have no objections to staff's proposed revisions to this rule.	
·	competition in the telecommunications market. At the May control of the communications market workshop, Staff asked if the ILECs would bill for 800 service if this rule did not apply. Participants also discussed whether FCC regulations precluded such billing. The FCC defines a "Toll Free Number" as "[a] telephone number for which the toll charges for completed calls are paid by the toll free subscriber. The toll free subscriber's specific geographic location has no bearing on what foll free number it can obtain from the SMS [Service Management System] database." 47 C.F.R. § 52.101(d). Federal law therefore prohibits billing to the originating caller for toll free numbers such as 800, 888 and 877 and no state rule is required to prevent such billing.	25.4.117 800 Service.  Telephone companies are prohibited from billing to or collecting from the originating caller any charges for calls to an 800 service subscriber.  Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.03, 364.04, 364.051 FS. History-New 3-5-90.
		(a) There shall be no charge for directory assistance calls from lines or trunks serving individuals with disabilities. As used in this rule, "disability" means, with respect to an individual – A physical or mental impairment that prohibits a customer from using the telephone directory.  (b) The same charge shall apply for calls within a local calling area and calls within an HNPA.  (c) The tariff shall state the number of calls per billing month per individual line or trunk to the number designated for local directory assistance (i.e., 411, 311 or 611) for which no charges will apply. The local exchange company shall charge for each local directory assistance call in excess of this allowance. The charge shall not apply for calls from pay stations.  (d) The local exchange company shall apply the charge for each call to the number designated for long distance directory assistance within the customer's HNPA (i.e., 1 + (850) 555-1212). Specific Authority 350.127 FS. Law Implemented 364.02, 364.03, 364.04, 364.07, 364.08 FS. History, New 6.12-86. Amended 6-3-90, 5-31-93, 11-21-95, 5-8-05.
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The staff's proposal to amend this rule to eliminate all rule language except that prohibiting directory assistance charges to individuals with disabilities is acceptable to the Petitioners.	25-4.115 Directory Assistance.  (1) Directory assistance service provided by any telephone company shall be subject to the following: (1) Directory assistance service provided by any telephone company shall be subject to the following: (a) Charges for directory assistance shall be reflected in tariffs filed with the Commission and shall apply to the end-user. (b) The tariff shall state the number of telephone numbers that may be requested by a customer per directory assistance call. (c) Charges for calls within a local calling area or within a customer's Home Numbering Plan Area (HNPA) shall be at rates prescribed in the general call the latest to the following:
		Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
Intervenor Comments	II.EC Comments	

		Itom 2
		Repromulgated 1-8-75, 10-22-75, Amended 1-18-82, 8-8-85, Formerly 25-9.05, Amended 5-24-94.
		No. 13678, issued September 13, 1984. Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law implemented 304.03, 304.03
		(6) The provisions of paragraph (1)(b) and subsections (2) and (3) shall not apply to telephone interexchange carriers granted exculptions by Cross (6) The provisions of paragraph (1)(b) and subsections (2) and (3) shall not apply to telephone interexchange carriers granted exculptions by Cross
		inserting and underlining new words; words to be deleted shall be lined through with hyphens.
		(5) The company shall provide a coded copy of each tariff sheet filed showing changes to the existing tariff sheet filed sheet filed showing changes to the existing tariff sheet filed sheet fi
		and, if determinable, the estimated annual revenue to be derived therefrom and the estimated number of customers when he indicated by
		above need not be furnished. In lieu thereof, a statement shall be filed stating the purpose and reason for the secured thereby
		(4) Whenever a new or additional service classification or schedule
		6. The anticipated effect of the change on the company's race or return.
		5. A statement as to why each above-cost or perow-cost outstrouted the recommendation of the statement as to why each above-cost or perow-cost of the statement as to what statement as the statement as to what statement as the statement as t
		4. The proposed community above or server and control of the proposed community and the proposed commu
		A The amonged contribution shows or helpow direct cost, stated in both dollars and percent;
		2. The past of manifilm the service or equipment
		2. The cost study number, if assigned;
		1. All underlying assumptions;
		information statement containing a summary of the cost study performed, including:
		(b) Where the change involves a rate or charge and the electric, gas, or the photos unity states to the change involves a rate or charge and the electric, gas, or the photos unity states to the change involves a rate or charge and the electric, gas, or the photos unity states to the change involves a rate or charge and the electric, gas, or the photos unity states to the change involves a rate or charge and the electric, gas, or the photos unity states to the change involves a rate or charge and the electric gas, or the photos unity states to the change involves a rate or charge and the electric gas, or the photos unity states to the change involves a rate or charge and the electric gas, or the photos unity states to the change involves a rate or charge and the electric gas a
		the service are not below that local exclusing company a motion and the service are not below that local exclusing company and the service are not below that local exclusing company and the service are not below that local exclusions and the service are not below that local exclusions are not below that local exclusi
		ΦΙΟυ, Ουθένου την επιστή του
		erion on on on the start for a new service, it shall provide incremental cost data, if available, or otherwise demonstrate that the proposed rates for
		heless incremental cost. When a local exchange telephone company whose annual revenues from regulated telecommunications services are less than
		files a tariff to introduce a new service, incremental cost data shall be filed sufficient to demonstrate that the proposed rates for the service are not
		(3)(a) When a local exchange telephone company whose annual revenues from regulated telecommunications operations are accommunications of the company whose annual revenues from regulated telecommunications operations are accommunications.
		Enforcement, respectively, will dispose of any such request. A company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of a definition of the company may request Commission review of the company may request company may request the company may request company may request the company may req
		inordinately burdensome or unnecessary for analysis of its filing. The directors of the Divisions of Economic Assumption and Conference of the Conference of
		(d) A company may request a waiver of any of the requirements of this subsection upon a waiver upon and Commeltitive Markets and
		customers.
		(c) If a service or type of equipment is proposed to be limited or discontinuous, a description of other service or experience of the service of type of equipment is proposed to be limited or discontinuous, a description of other services.
		(b) A statement of the justification for the change and documentum supporting the justification for the continuous available to
		(a) A description of the service or equipment and a successful conception that inetification:
	including this rule, to LECs.	(2) in addition to the total plants abstract configuration to the total plants abstract configuration to the total plants abstract configuration to the total plants are total plants abstract configuration to the total plants are total plants ar
	9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C.,	(D) The estimated gloss incomes of commercial Flooring willities and eas utilities shall provide the following:
	The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-	proposed these, regions for decrease in annual revenues resulting therefrom, if ascertainable.
		reproperly takes together with the differences expressed in dollars and in percent;
	was repealed.	(a) As applicable, a tabulation in typical bill form setting forth, at representative consumption levels, the charges applicable under the present
	service. The remoners recognize man some even if this rule	of transmittal shall be accompanied by the following items in connection with each service classification in which any crange is proposed.
	has to be available but is not required to be tired, or or an emerge that some cost requirements.	(1) Except in the case of schedules published under authority of an order of the Commission trat sets rates, countries of continuous of the continuous of th
	competition in the telecommunications market. Cost information	25-9,005 Information to Accompany Filings.
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of	
		Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets of Streammics regulation compension
THE POST COMMENTS	ILEC Comments	1. 11 to Compatition Markets of Streamlined Repulation Companies
Intervenor Comments		

This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Several other rules from Chapter 25-9 have also been included for that same reason.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.			Item 2
This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Several other reason.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, Fr.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, Fr.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.		This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.	25-9.025 Technical Terms and Abbreviations.  This section shall contain full and concise information as to the meaning of all technical and special terms and abbreviations and of all reference.
This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Several other rules from Chapter 25-9 have also been included for that same reason.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.		This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9,024 Miscellaneous.  There should be placed in this section any information or data of a general nature which the utility believes pertinent or informative and which does not belong under any of the specified captioned sections.  Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repronulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.24.
This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Several other rules from Chapter 25-9 have also been included for that same reason.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.		the presence revise Rule pter 25-9, F.A	25-9,023 Description of Territory Served. (1) A brief, general description and/or map (8 1/2" × 11" inches) of the territory served by the utility shall be provided in this section. (1) A brief, general description and/or map (8 1/2" × 11" inches) of the territory served by the utility shall be provided in this section. (2) Where the brevity of the description permits, this data may be placed on the title page (Rule 25-9.021, F.A.C., above) in which case this section may be omitted.  Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.23.
This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Several other rules from Chapter 25-9 have also been included for that same reason.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.		This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9.022 Table of Contents.  (1) In rate books of less than thirty (30) sheets, the table of contents may serve as a detailed subject index for the entire volume or for all sections the size of which does not require an individual index.  (2) In the larger rate books the major sections will be individually indexed in accordance with Rules 25-9.007 and 25-9.008, F.A.C. In these larger rate books the table of contents will serve as an index or guide to the separate sections as set out in said two rules.  Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, 12 or
This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Several other rules from Chapter 25-9 have also been included for that same reason.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.			25-9.021 Title Page.  The title sheet shall be a repetition of the front cover except that it shall be Sheet No. 1 of the rate book (upper right-hand comer) and shall have thereon the general information required by Rule 25-9.009, F.A.C., of these regulations.  Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History—Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.21.
TIEC Odiminais		This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Several other rules from Chapter 25-9 have also been included for that same reason.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9,020 Front Cover.  The front cover shall adequately identify the volume as the rate book or tariff filed by the particular utility with the Florida Public Service Commission governing the sale of the specific utility service provided.  Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.20.
T HO Comments	Intervenor Comments	ILEC Comments	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies

		Item ?
		(c) Rates and services outside the base rate area but within the exchange service area.  (d) Miscellaneous local rates and services if not shown in or if they differ from the general rates and services otherwise applicable.  (e) Map and/or written description of base rate area.
	competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9.032 Telephone Utility Exchange Schedules.  (1) Local rates for no more than one exchange area shall appear on a single sheet.  (2) Local exchange schedules shall be arranged alphabetically and the sequence of arrangement of information for each schedule shall be as follows:  (a) Application of and exceptions to general regulations and rates shall be clearly stated.  (b) Rates and services within the base rate area.
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9.030 Rate Schedules - General.  (1) All standard rate schedules governing service to customers shall be placed in and made a part of this section, except special contracts.  (2) In case all the information pertaining to an individual rate schedule cannot be placed on one sheet, place the note "Continued to Sheet No" at the bottom of the sheet and "Continued from Sheet No" at the top of the next sheet. Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.30.
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9.029 Index of Rate or Exchange Schedules.  (1) This section shall provide an index to facilitate prompt reference to any particular rate schedule or to any given exchange.  (2) In cases where the rate sections for which this index is provided contain less than twelve (12) sheets, this section may be omitted. Specific Authority 350.127(2), 365.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.29.
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9.027 Rules and Regulations.  (1) This section shall include all rules, regulations, practices, services, classifications, exceptions and conditions made or observed relative to the utility service furnished which are general and apply to all or many of the rate schedules or exchange areas served.  (2) The regulations shall be lettered or numbered and titled so that convenient reference can be made to them.  (3) If a general regulation does not apply to a particular schedule, classification or exchange, that fact should be clearly stated. Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1) FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.27.
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9.026 Index of Rules and Regulations.  There shall be set forth in this section a detailed index of the utility's rules and regulations to facilitate ready reference to any particular rule.  Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.26.
	The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	marks used in the regulations or rate schedules. Specific Authority 350.127(2), 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.25.
Intervenor Comments	ILEC Comments	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies

Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies	ILEC Comments	Intervenor Comments
vanea tori tori torina a annaquia a massimi a sun a di sa sun a su		
(f) Map and/or written description of exchange service area. Specific Authority 350.127(2), FS. Law Implemented 364.04 FS. History-Repromulgated		
1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.32.		

		Τ,
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. However, section 364.052(2)(b), F.S., explicitly requires the Commission to develop streamlined rules for small LECs. Therefore, elimination of this rule could contradict statutory requirements. TDS Telecom and Windstream will continue to work with staff to resolve the proposed rule changes specific to streamlined regulation for small	25-4.200 Application and Scope.  The purpose of this part is to adopt streamlined procedures for regulating small local exchange companies as required by Section 364.052, F.S. This part shall apply to all small local exchange companies, except as otherwise noted. Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.052 FS. History-New 3-10-96.
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. Competitors of wireline providers are generally not required to meet such requirements. Section 364.183, F.S., would continue to apply to assure PSC access to books and records, even if this rule was repealed. This rule adds little substance to the statute. Section 364.183, F.S., provides the PSC with broad authority to obtain records by specifying, "The commission shall have access to all records of a telecommunications company that are reasonably necessary for the disposition of matters within the commission's jurisdiction." Section 364.183(1), F.S. The statute specifies that the PSC shall have access to the records of a company's affiliated companies and can request that the company "file records, reports or other data directly related to matters within the commission's jurisdiction in the form specified by the commission " Id. In other words, carriers are required to provide whatever the PSC requests and in the form specified. The statute also provides that certain documents shall be kept confidential. Id. In short, the rule is unnecessary for conducting audits. If Staff wants to outline in greater detail the process to be used for an audit, it could be described in the letters sent to companies initiating an audit or could be added to Staff's Administrative Procedures Manual.  The Commission does not have similar rules for CLECs, yet the comply with the provisions of the statute.	25.4.0201 Andit Access to Records.  This rule addresses the reasonable access to utility and affiliate records provided by Section 364.183(1), F.S., for the purposes of management and financial audits.  (1) The audit scope, audit program and objectives, and audit requests are not constrained by relevancy standards narrower than those provided by the auditor. In establishing a due date, the auditor shall consider the location of the records, the volume of information requested, the number of pending requests, the amount of independent analysis required, and reasonable time for the utility to review its response for possible claims of confidentiality or privilege.  (3) In those instances where the utility disagrees with the auditor's assessment of a reasonable response time to the request, the utility shall discuss the rehearing Officer. If the audit is related to an undocketed case, the Chairman shall make the decision.  (4) The utility and its affiliates shall have the opportunity to safeguard their records by copying them or logging them out, provided, however, that safeguard measures shall not be used to prevent reasonable access to personnel to obtain testimonial evidence in response to inquiries or through interviews.  (5) Reasonable access to records includes reasonable access to personnel to obtain testimonial evidence in response to inquiries or through interviews.  (6) Nothing in this rule shall preclude Commission auditors from making copies or taking notes. In the event these notes relate to documents for which the company has asserted confidential status, such notes shall also be given confidential status.  (7) Form PSC/RCA, 6-R (2)95), entitled "Audit Document and Record Request/Notice of Intent" is incorporated by reference into this rule. This form is used by auditors when requests are formalized. This form documents audit requests, the due dates for responses, and all Notices of Intent to Seek Confidential Classification.
		2.d. SMALL LECs, ACCOUNTING & AUDIT ACCESS RULES:
	This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  The Petitioners agree with staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs.	25-9.045 Withdrawal of Tariffs.  Every public utility desiring to withdraw or cancel any tariff or any provision of a tariff which is considered no longer effective or necessary shall file with the Commission an informal application setting forth its reasons for desiring to withdraw or cancel such tariff or tariff provision, and requesting permission to withdraw same. Specific Authority 364.20, 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.05 FS. History-Repronulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.45.
Tilica kelibi Commicina	ILEC Comments	Rules Not Applicable to Competitive Markets or Streamlined Regulation Companies
Intervenor Comments	AL ELU Community	

LECs.	
This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of	
364.052, F.S., explicitly requires the Commission to develop	
streamlined rules for small LECs. Therefore, elimination of this	
rule could contradict statutory requirements. TDS Telecom and	
Windstream will continue to work with staff to resolve the	
LECs.	
This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of	
Section 364.052. F.S., explicitly requires the Commission to	
develop streamlined rules for small LECs. Therefore, elimination	
of this rule could contradict statutory requirements. TDS Telecom	
and Windstream will continue to work with start to resolve the	
LECs.	
This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of	
Since this rule only applies to rate-of-return regulated companies,	
however, the Petitioners are agreeable to removing it from their	
list of rules to be repealed.	
등 등 또 요 다 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그	LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market. However, section 364.052, F.S., explicitly requires the Commission to develop streamlined rules for small LECs. Therefore, elimination of this rule could contradict statutory requirements. TDS Telecom and Windstream will continue to work with staff to resolve the proposed rule changes specific to streamlined regulation for small LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  Section 364.052, F.S., explicitly requirements. TDS Telecom and Windstream will continue to work with staff to resolve the proposed rule changes specific to streamlined regulation for small LECs. Therefore, elimination of this rule could contradict statutory requirements. TDS Telecom and Windstream will continue to work with staff to resolve the proposed rule changes specific to streamlined regulation for small LECs.  This rule is unnecessary in Florida due to the presence of competition in the telecommunications market.  Since this rule only applies to rate-of-return regulated companies, however, the Petitioners are agreeable to removing it from their list of rules to be repealed.

History-New 3-10-96.

ILEC Proposed Revisions to Rule

Intervenor Comments

		ŀ	
	and expressed concern that the proposed rule revision	means of contact by a representative of the company. Specific Authority 350.127(2),	contact by a representative of the company. Specific Authority 350.127(2),
	a company, should be kept in accordance with this rule	(2) Each signed letter of complaint shall be ackno	(2) Each signed letter of complaint shall be acknowledged in writing or by
	regardless of the means by which they were transmitted to	date	and the date (and for reported trouble, the time) of such disposition.
	Office of Public Counsel has stated that all "complaints,"		result of any investigation, the disposition of the complaint or service problem,
	for a minimum of three and two years, respectively. The		trouble, the time) received, the nature of the complaint or trouble reported, the
	25-22.032(1), F.A.C., already require a		and/or address of the subscriber or complainant, the date (and for reported
	are now stored electronically. Rules 25-4.020(3)(a) and	trouble of service interruption that is reported to repair service.	interruption that is reported to repair service. This record shall include the name
	requested revisions also reflect the fact that many records	subscribers regarding service or errors in billing, as wen as a reco	or errors in billing, as well as a record of each case of trouble or service
-	switch providers if a provider is not responsive to		record of all signed written complaints made by its subscribers regarding service
	competitive environment because customers will simply		(1) Each telephone company shall maintain for at least six (6) months a
	"trouble" or service interruption is not needed in today's	,	25-4,022 Complaint - Trouble Reports, Etc.
	This rule should be revised. Maintenance of records of		12-1-68, Amended 5-4-81, Formerly 25-4.19.
	A Report of the	364.386 FS. History-Revised 12-1-68, Amended 5-4-81, Formerly 25-4.19.	350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.18, 364.183, 364.386 FS. History-Revised
			accommodations provided the company's outside auditors. Specific Authority
		elimate, and comparable with the accommodations provided the	consistent with the prevailing conditions and climate, and comparable with the
			the staff member(s) with adequate and comfortable working and filing space,
			written authority to inspect records. During such visits the company shall provide
			Commission staff members will present Commission identification cards as the
		may be necessary in the discharge of Commission duties. Commission	company which may be necessary in the discharge of Commission duties.
			without the State and may inspect any accounts, books, records, and papers of the
			personal visits to the company offices or other places of business within or
			(3) Upon notification to the utility, members may, at reasonable times, make
		determined at any time:	be determined at any time.
		be separated in such manner that the results of the telephone operation may be	must be separated in such manner that the results of the telephone operation may
		(2) Where a telephone company is operated with another enterprise, records must	(2) Where a telephone company is operated with another enterprise, records
			to the Commission.
	The staff also recommends repeal of this rule.	and reconcilable with the utility's annual report to the Commission:	specified, shall be consistent with and reconcilable with the utility's annual report
		II be consistent with	Commission may reasonably request and require. All such data, unless otherwise
	is needed to address issues for which it regulates.		with any information concerning the utility's facilities or operations which the
	has the ability to request whatever information it believes		summaries of any required records. The utility shall also furnish the Commission
	they would still be required to provide information in		form as the Commission may require, the results of any required tests and
	Petitioners understand that even if the rule were repealed,		(1) Each utility shall furnish to the Commission at such times and in such
	little to Sections 364.18, 364.183 and 364.185, F.S. The		25-4.019 Records and Reports in General.
	This plant has been all of the not necessary as it aids		

			Dusiness offices, available for public inspection upon reduces, a copy or the second
	Ihs rule should be revised to detect subsection (2), which is obsolete and unnecessary. Companies do not have business offices to the extent they did 10-20 years ago and records are now routinely stored electronically. Customers can request a copy of a tariff and a copy will be printed and provided in accordance with Section 364.04(1), F.S.  The Petitioners will continue to provide customers with reasonable access to or copies of information regarding their services, including tariffs, if desired. Petitioners have ample incentive to comply with such requests, given the competitive pressures they face.  The Petitioners are reviewing staff's proposed change to this rule and will be prepared to discuss the proposed change at the October 10, 2008, workshop.	(1) Each telecommunications company shall maintain on file with the Commission tariffs which set forth all rates and charges for customer services, the classes and grades of service available to subscribers, the conditions and circumstances under which service will be furnished, and all general rules and regulations governing the relation of customer and utility. Tariff filings shall be in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., of the Commission rules entitled "Construction and Filing of Tariffs by Public Utilities."  (2) Each company shall file, as an integral part of its tariff, maps defining the exchange service areas. These maps shall delineate the boundaries in sufficient detail that they may be located in the field and shall embrace all territory included in the certificate of convenience and necessity.  (3) Each telecommunications—company—shall maintain—on file in each—of—its	25-4.034 Tariffs.  (1) Each telecommunications company shall maintain on file with the Commission tariffs which set forth all rates and charges for customer services, the classes and grades of service available to subscribers, the conditions and circumstances under which service will be furnished, and all general rules and regulations governing the relation of customer and utility. Tariff filings shall be in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., of the Commission rules entitled "Construction and Filing of Tariffs by Public Utilities."  (2) Each company shall file, as an integral part of its tariff, maps defining the exchange service areas. These maps shall delineate the boundaries in sufficient detail that they may be located in the field and shall embrace all territory included in the certificate of convenience and necessity.  (3) Each telecommunications company shall maintain on file in each of its
	The Petitioners have no objections to staff's proposed revisions to this rule.		
	Section 364.20, F.S., referenced in the "Law Implemented" section, was repealed effective July 1, 1980.		
	"trouble tracker." The Petitioners also have internal record retention policies requiring this information to be maintained. The Petitioners' proposed revisions do not mean that the information on trouble reports would not be captured or maintained, but clarify that the rule's requirements would apply to signed, written complaints and that other tracking and retention processes would be used for other complaints received.		
	current practices. This rule focuses on the requirements associated with maintaining signed, written complaints. The Petitioners currently track trouble reports electronically, generally entering them into the notes field on a customer's account or as part of a company's		
All and a second se	would require only signed, without companies to be maintained and tracked by a company. This concern appears to be based on a misunderstanding of the purpose of Peritioner's surgested changes and of Peritioners'	364.17 FS. Law Implemented 364.051, 364.17, 364.183 <del>, 364.20</del> FS. History–Revised 12-1-68, Formerly 25-4.22	364.17 FS. Law Implemented 364.051, 364.17, 364.183, 364.20 FS. History-Revised 12-1-68, Formerly 25-4.22
	in the complete to be		
Attice Passal Control	ILEC Commicus	ILEC Proposed Revisions to Rule	Existing Rule
Intervenor Comments	II EC Commente		

Existing Kuie	THE A PROPERTY AND PROPERTY AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	
exchange tariff for exchanges under the administration of that office, its general	exchange tariff for exchanges under the administration of that office, its general exchange tariff for exchanges under the administration of that office, its general	
exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall	exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates. Each business office shall exchange tariff, and its schedule of intrastate toll rates.	
Service Commission Rules and Regulations for public inspection upon request.	Commission Rules and Regulations for public inspection upon request:	
Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 364.163 FS.	Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented 364.04, 364.163 FS. History-	
History-New 3-31-76, Amended 11-29-82, Formerly 25-4.34, Amended 9-13-88,	History-New 3-31-76, Amended 11-29-82, Formerly 25-4.34, Amended 9-13-88, New 3-31-76, Amended 11-29-82, Formerly 25-4.34, Amended 9-13-88, 4-16-90, 3-10-	
4-16-90, 3-10-96,	96.	

		- 1	significant customer or revenue impact a imminis or resistance
			significant quetomer or revenue impact a parrative or flowchart indicating the
			a rate restructure of an existing service is being proposed that may have either
	discrimination as it relates to the briefly or on the		(e) For new services which may have a significant revenue impact or where
			describe and provide support for the method of apportionment used, and
	Commission the chility to address processible division and		to uncorty assigned to marriage out to the state of the s
	renealed As noted above the statute gives the	and provide support for the method of apportionment used; and	to directly assigned) to individual services shall be identified and the LEC shall
			<ul> <li>(d) The amount and types of costs that are causally apportioned (as opposed</li> </ul>
	The Petitioners object to staff's proposal to revise this		causally attributable to any specific service,
		(d) The amount and types of costs that are causally apportioned (as opposed to	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי
	discovery process.	spec	costs are those costs related to the provision of a group of services but not
	cost information is usually provided as part of the normal		identified but not added into the results for an individual service. Group-specific
	proceeding before the Collinission. In these cases, the		(v)
	unit is provided when there is a complaint or once	but not added into the results for an individual service. Group-specific costs are those	(c) Where identifiable, the amount of any group-specific costs shall be
	Older No. 1 50-50-6012-1 Or 12 (2mm, 1, 1550). (-) Com	(c) where identifiable, the amount of any group specific costs simil be identifiable	5 days' notice.
	O-1 No Dec 06 0019-EOE-TT (Jan 4 1996) (2) Cost	Company of the state of the sta	papers snowing the defivation of an factors used in the study situation provides on
	364 05166) Florida Statutes. Docket No. 951159-TL.		The state of all factors used in the stady shall be arounded on
	exchange telephone companies pursuant to Chapter	factors. At Commission staff's request, supporting work papers snowing the derivation	supporting structures factors. At Commission staff's request, supporting work
	categories of non-basic services provided by local		limited to, utilization factors, annual charge factors, expense factors and
	basic services tariff. See In re: Investigation to determine		(0) 11 1131 Of all thought min men.
	with tariff filings for price cap LECs when illing non-	(b) A list of all factors and their values used in the study including, but not limited	(h) A list of all factors and their values used in the study including, but not
	Commission established what information should be filed	during the planning houzon.	provisioned during the planning horizon.
	inese cases, this rule does not apply occause the		4. A discussion demonstrating the manner in which the service
	to it wild think prome is come to the	4 A discussion domonstrating the manner in which the service will be provisioned	in a second description is a subject the comice will be
	for it when teriff filing pricing is heing reviewed. In	and	horizon; and
	numoses. (1) Cost data is provided when the staff asks	TAPEMENTS THE ASSUMPTION PROJECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	regarding the conditions projected to be in effect during the study's planning
	staff. Typically, the ILECs provide cost data for two	regarding the conditions projected to be in effect during the study's planning horizon;	it is a second to be in affect distinct the chidy's planning
	information has not been provided when requested by	3. A discussion demonstrating the reasonableness of the assumptions made	<ol><li>A discussion demonstrating the reasonableness of the assumptions made</li></ol>
	The Petitioners are not aware of any instance where cost	comports with accepton recollening ment, referring mentions and	employed comports with accepted economic theory regarding incremental cost,
		compared with accepted economic theory respecting incremental cost	
	bioceening in which the cam is necessi-	2. A discussion which demonstrates that the cost study methodology employed	<ol><li>A discussion which demonstrates that the cost study methodology</li></ol>
	are consider in which the data is needed	COST HICKORY MICH & SHITTING OF THE STORY OF	of all cost models used, and a summary of the cost study results,
	appropriately on a complaint basis or as part of the	cost models used, and a summary of the cost study results:	full and and and an arrangement of the cost study results.
	practices."). Thus, if the issue arises, it can be handled	1. An overview of the incremental cost study(1es) performed, a description of an	<ol> <li>An overview of the incremental cost study(ies) performed, a description</li> </ol>
	upon complaint or its own motion, allegations of such	(a) 7m executive outilitial y triat includes, at a minimum.	(a) An executive summary that includes, at a minimum:
	similar anticompetitive behavior and may investigate,	(2) An avocation common that includes of a minimum.	His Lake Sumi provide.
	over cross-subsidization, predatory pricing, or outer	provide:	the TEC shall provide
	commission shall have continuing oversight juristicity	for a LEC and the LEC submits incremental cost data based on the study, the LEC share	by or for a LEC and the LEC submits incremental cost data based on the study,
	must comply. See Section 504.5561(5), 1.5. ( 1.6.	(2) 1 of click out the state of	(2) For each service for which an incremental cost study has been performed
	uic ruic, sum can make a request to 1381/3) FS ("The	(2) For each gravior for which an incremental cost study has been performed by or	outsitions of the first of the
	the rule staff can make a request for data and companies	exchange companies (LECs) to the Commission:	submitted by local exchange companies (LECs) to the Commission.
	of this information if a complaint arises and even without	services. This rule sets for it requirements for incremental cost data submitted by leven	individual services. This rule sets forth requirements for incremental cost data
	Section 364.3381. F.S., covers the issue of the availability	The first control of the parameter land data submitted by local	(1) Illefeffelliat cost lietas are appropriate bises vices we besself
		(1) Incremental cost violds the appropriate-price floor for pricing of individual	(1) Incompared each wields the appropriate price floor for pricing of
	Commission.		Companies.
	addressed on a complaint basis or when requested by the	25-4,046 Incremental Cost Data Submitted by Local Exchange Companies.	25-4,046 Incremental Cost Data Submitted by Local Exchange
	This rule should be reneated and the issue should be		
		TAILE TACKORY VALIDARY OF SOME	Existing Kule
Intervenor Comments	ILEC Comments	ILEC Proposed Revisions to Rule	7.1.1.1

Frieting Rule	ILEC Proposed Revisions to Kille	TEDO COMME	
sequence of analyses performed leading to the cost results shall be provided. At request, all relevant work papers supporting the cost study shall be provided.	t request, all relevant work papers supporting the cost study shall be provided on 5 days?		
Commission staff's request, all relevant work papers supporting the cost study   notice-	y notice:		
shall be provided on 5 days' notice.	(3) For each service for which a LEC submits incremental cost data not based on		
(3) For each service for which a LEC submits incremental cost data no	(3) For each service for which a LEC submits incremental cost data not an incremental cost study performed by or for that LEC, the LEC shall provide a	,	
based on an incremental cost study performed by or for that LEC, the LEC shall	based on an incremental cost study performed by or for that LEC, the LEC shall   discussion demonstrating the reasonableness of using the surrogate cost data as the		
provide a discussion demonstrating the reasonableness of using the surrogate cost   price floor for its service.—Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS.	st price-floor for its-service.—Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law Implemented		***************************************
data as the price floor for its service. Specific Authority 350.127(2) FS. Law 364.3381 FS. History-New 5-24-95.	364.3381 FS. History-New 5-24-95.		
Implemented 364.3381 FS. History-New 5-24-95.			

25-4.067 Extension of Facilities - Contributions in Aid of Construction. Existing Rule 25-4.067 Extension of Facilities - Contributions in Aid of Construction. ILEC Proposed Revisions to Rule This rule should be revised to reflect that the revenue to be considered in determining whether CIAC is required is ILEC Comments Intervenor Comments

under which its facilities will be extended to serve applicants for service within statement of its standard extension policy setting forth the terms and conditions its lines and service and shall include in its tariffs filed with the Commission a (1) Each telecommunications company shall make reasonable extensions to

- serving the immediate applicant shall be not less than five times the annual provide the proportion of construction expense to be borne by the utility in exchange revenue of the applicants. (2) This line extension policy shall have uniform application and shall
- on or along any public road or highway and is to be used to serve subscribers in portion of construction shall be assessed to the applicant for the provision of new amount which the utility is required to bear, the excess cost may be distributed initially served. If the estimated cost of the proposed extension exceeds the proposed extension, the utility shall construct it without cost to the subscribers above (or has provided in its tariff) equals or exceeds the estimated cost of the over a period of five years or such lesser period as the subscriber and company constructed by other than the normal serving method. The company's tariffs shall plant where the new plant parallels and reinforces existing plant or is constructed equitably among all subscribers initially served by the extension. However, no may mutually agree upon. provide that such excess may be paid in cash in a lump sum or as a surcharge general except in those instances where the applicant requests that facilities be (3) If the cost which the servicing utility must bear under subsection (2)
- that all subscribers served by a line extension during the first five years after it is constructed shall pay their pro rata share of the costs assignable to them. (4) Line extension tariffs shall also contain provisions designed to require
- excess construction costs as though the service were provided without the use of of new construction costs, the company may charge the subscriber the expense or the applicant or group of applicants. Where pole attachments may be made in lieu rental charges for such attachments, provided that the applicant may elect to pay the right-of-way necessary for the construction of line extension is provided by (5) No company shall be required to extend facilities for new service unless

- its standard extension policy setting forth the terms and conditions under which its facilities will be extended to serve applicants for service within its certificated area, to lines and service and shall include in its tariff filed with the Commission a statement of the extent such tariffs are required to be filed with the Commission. (1) Each telecommunications company shall make reasonable extensions to its
- applicant shall be not less than five times the annual exchange revenue that would be proportion of construction expense to be borne by the utility in serving the immediate generated by providing basic local telecommunications service of the applicants. (2) This line extension policy shall have uniform application and shall provide the
- as-a surcharge over-a period-of five years or such lesser period as-the subscriber and requests that facilities be constructed by other than the normal serving method. The to the applicant for the provision of new plant where the new plant parallels and initially served by the extension. However, no portion of construction shall be assessed required to bear, the excess cost may be distributed equitably among all subscribers the estimated cost of the proposed extension exceeds the amount which the utility is has provided in its tariff) equals or exceeds the estimated cost of the proposed company may mutually agree uponcompany's tariffs shall provide that such excess may be paid in each in a lump sum or to be used to serve subscribers in general except in those instances where the applicant reinforces existing plant or is constructed on or along any public road or highway and is extension, the utility shall construct it without cost to the subscribers initially served. If (3) If the cost which the servicing utility must bear under subsection (2) above (or
- shall-pay their pro-rata share of the costs assignable to them. subscribers-served by a line extension during the first five years after it is constructed (4) Line extension tariffs shall also contain provisions designed to require that all
- construction costs, the company may charge the subscriber the expense or rental applicant or group of applicants. Where pole attachments may be made in lieu of new right-of-way necessary for the construction of line extension is provided by the construction costs as though the service were provided without the use of attachments. charges for such attachments, provided that the applicant may elect to pay excess (35) No company shall be required to extend facilities for new service unless the

the revenue from the provision of basic local service. Subsections (3) and (4) should be deleted as they are more properly covered in tariffs or in published terms and conditions. The Petitioners propose elimination of some details about application of the line extension policy, which are administratively burdensome, such as a five year period. These changes allow the Petitioners to spreading a pro rata share of costs to new customers over basic service versus ancillary services a customer want and desire. requirements that are not critical in today's competitive continue to have an extension policy, but to streamline The idea is to focus on the provision of

The Petitioners' object to staff's proposed changes to Rule 25-4.002, F.A.C., to apply this rule to business customers as well as residential customers. This rule has not been applied to business customers since April 2005 and the Commission should not go backwards by increasing regulation on the ILECs. Typically, business customers have not been adversely impacted since the area, thus the need for a line extension policy intent of the rule is to provide service where the cost to warrant a company providing services unnecessary since the potential revenue would already Businesses are generally established in a more populous provider service is high such as in the middle of a forest.

Existing Rule	ILEC Proposed Revisions to Rule	ILEC Comments	Intervenor Comments
attachments.	(46) Except as provided in filed tariffs, the ownership of all facilities constructed		•
(6) Except as provided in filed tariffs, the ownership of all facilities	as herein provided shall be vested in the telecommunications company and no portion		
constructed as herein provided shall be vested in the telecommunications			
company and no portion of the expense assessed against the applicant shall be			
refundable by the company.	(57) Nothing in this rule shall be construed as prohibiting any utility from		
(7) Nothing in this rule shall be construed as prohibiting any utility from	(7) Nothing in this rule shall be construed as prohibiting any utility from establishing an extension policy more favorable to customers as long as no undue		
establishing an extension policy more favorable to customers as long as no undue	establishing an extension policy more favorable to customers as long as no undue discrimination is practiced between customers under the same or substantially the same		
discrimination is practiced between customers under the same or substantially the	circumstances and conditions.		
same circumstances and conditions.	(68) In the event that a company and applicant are unable to agree in regard to an		
(8) In the event that a company and applicant are unable to agree in regard to	extension, either party may appeal to the Commission for a review.		
an extension, either party may appeal to the Commission for a review.	Specific Authority 350.127(2), 364.10 FS. Law Implemented 364.025, 364.03,		
Specific Authority 350.127(2), 364.10 FS. Law Implemented 364.025,	Specific Authority 350.127(2), 364.10 FS. Law Implemented 364.025, 364.07, 364.08, 364.15 FS. History-Revised 12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, Formerly 25-		
364.03, 364.07, 364.08, 364.15 FS. History-Revised 12-1-68, Amended 3-31-76, 4.67, Amended 3-10-96.	4.67, Amended 3-10-96.		
Formerly 25-4.67, Amended 3-10-96.			

Intervenor Comments

remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs is consistent with the Petitioners' position.		authorized to change by the Commission), and shall, within ten (10) days, issue and file a notice adopting, ratifying, and making its own all rates, rules, classifications and regulations of the former operating utility on file with the Commission and effective at the time of such change of ownership or control.  (2) New utility. Within thirty (30) days after the filing of such adoption notice by a public utility which then had no tariff on file with the Commission, said utility shall issue and file in its own name the tariff of the predecessor utility then in effect and adopted by it, or make application to the Commission for such
This rule should be revised as noted to indicate that as to telecommunications companies, this rule applies only to rate-of-return regulated local exchange telecommunications companies.  The staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9.001, F.A.C., to		25-9,044 Change of Ownership.  (1) In case of change of ownership or control of a utility which places the operation under a different or new utility, or when its name is changed, the company which will thereafter operate the utility business must adopt and use the rates classifications and resultations of the former operating commany (unless
		(2) Each utility shall make provision to file with the Commission a conformed copy of all such special contracts which are currently in effect and which have not been previously filed. (3) If the number and size of such special contracts warrant, they may be placed in a separate binder. Specific Authority 366.05(1), 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 366.05(1), 367.041(2) FS. History-Amended 6-27-73, Repropulled 1-8-75. Formerly 25-9.34.
makes it consistent with the Commission's order.  The staff's proposal to revise Rule 25-9,001, F.A.C., to remove the application of Chapter 25-9, F.A.C., including this rule, to LECs is consistent with the Petitioners' position.	Commission before its effective date.  The provisions of this rule shall not apply to contracts or agreements <u>entered into by telecommunications companies or agreements</u> governing the sale or interchange of commodity or product by or between a public utility and a municipality or R. E. A. cooperative, but shall otherwise have application.	conformed copy of the contract shall be placed on file with the Commission before its effective date.  The provisions of this rule shall not apply to contracts or agreements governing the sale or interchange of commodity or product by or between a public utility and a municipality or R. E. A. cooperative, but shall otherwise have application.
This rule should be revised. As is clear from the citations in the "Law Implemented" section, this rule was never intended to apply to telecommunications companies. The PSC at one time required ILECs to file quarterly Contract Service Arrangement Reports, but lifted that requirement in 2001. See In re: Elimination of certain reporting requirements for incumbent local exchange reducemmunications companies, Docket No. 010634-TL, Order No. PSC-01-1588-PAA-TL (July 31, 2001). The proposed change clarifies the rule's intended scope and	25-9.034 Contracts and Agreements.  (1) Wherever a special contract is entered into by a utility for the sale of its product or services in a manner or subject to the provisions not specifically covered by its filed regulations and standard approved rate schedules, such contract must be approved by the Commission prior to its execution. Accompanying each contract shall be completed and detailed justification for the deviation from the utility's filed regulations and standard approved rate schedules. If such special contracts are approved by the Commission, a conformed copy of the contract shall be placed on file with the	25-9.034 Contracts and Agreements.  (1) Wherever a special contract is entered into by a utility for the sale of its product or services in a manner or subject to the provisions not specifically covered by its filed regulations and standard approved rate schedules, such contract must be approved by the Commission prior to its execution. Accompanying each contract shall be completed and detailed justification for the deviation from the utility's filed regulations and standard approved rate schedules. If such special contracts are approved by the Commission, a

ILEC Proposed Revisions to Rule	Intervenor Comments
(3) Utility already in business. Within thirty (30) days after the filing of such	
adoption notice by a public utility which then had a tariff on file with the adoption notice by a public utility which then had a tariff on file with the Commission,	
Commission, said utility shall issue and file in its own name rate schedules and said utility shall issue and file in its own name rate schedules and regulations on	
regulations on additional or revised sheets of its existing tariff, or by a complete   additional or revised sheets of its existing tariff, or by a complete reissue of its existing	
ons of the predecessor utility then in	
predecessor utility then in effect and adopted by it, or make application to the effect and adopted by it, or make application to the Commission for such other rates	
Commission for such other rates and regulations as it may propose to put into and regulations as it may propose to put into effect in lieu thereof.	
(4) Regarding public utilities that are telecommunications companies, this rule	
shall apply only to rate-of-return regulated local exchange telecommunications	
Specific Authority 350.127(2), 364.335, 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04   Specific Authority 350.127(2), 364.335, 367.121 FS. Law Implemented 364.04 FS. FS. History-Repromulented 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.44.  History-Repromulented 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.44.	
propose to put into effect in lieu thereof.  (3) Utility already in business. Within thirt adoption notice by a public utility which then had said utility shall issue and file in its own name additional or revised sheets of its existing tariff, or tariff, which shall set out the rates and regulation of effect and adopted by it, or make application to and regulations as it may propose to put into effect (4) Regarding public utilities that are telecushall apply only to rate-of-return regulated ompanies.  Specific Authority 350.127(2), 364.335, 367.121 History-Repromulgated 1-8-75, Formerly 25-9.44.	(3) Utility already in business. Within thirty (30) days after the filing of such adoption notice by a public utility which then had a tariff on file with the Commission, said utility shall issue and file in its own name rate schedules and regulations on additional or revised sheets of its existing tariff, or by a complete predecessor utility then in effect and adopted by it, or make application to the effect in lieu thereof.  Commission for such other rates and regulations as it may propose to put into effect in lieu thereof.  (3) Utility already in business. Within thirty (30) days after the filing of such adoption notice by a public utility which then had a tariff on file with the said utility which then had a tariff on file with the said utility which then had a tariff on file with the said utility which then had a tariff on file with the said utility which then had a tariff on file with the said utility which then had a tariff on file with the commission, additional or revised sheets of its existing tariff, or by a complete reissue of its existing tariff, or by a complete reissue of its existing tariff, or by a complete reissue of the rates and regulations on effect and adopted by it, or make application to the Commission for such other rates and regulations as it may propose to put into effect and adopted by it, or make application to the Commission for such other rates and regulations as it may propose to put into effect and adopted by it, or make application to the Commission for such other rates and regulations on the rates and regulations on the file in its own name rate schedules and regulations on the rates and regulations on the commission.  (3) Utility shall issue and file in its own name rate schedules and regulations on the commission.  (3) Utility shall issue and file in its own name rate schedules and regulations on the rates and regulations on the rates and regulations on the file in the rates and regulatio