## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for increase in water and DOCKET NO. 080121-WS wastewater rates in Alachua, Brevard, DeSoto, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Palm FILED: October 27, 2008 Beach, Pasco, Polk, Putnam, Seminole, Sumter, Volusia, and Washington Counties by Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc.



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Direct Testimony of Patricia Carrico on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission, has been furnished by LLS. Mail, on this 27<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2008, to the following.

Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc. Ms. Kimberly A. Joyce 762 West Lancaster Avenue Bryn Mawr, PA 19010-3402 Holland & Knight Law Firm Bruce May/Gigi Rollini P.O. Drawer 810 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810

Office of Public Counsel J.R. Kelly/Charlie Beck/S. C. Reilly c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Office of the Attorney General Bill McCollum/Cecilia Bradley The Capitol – PL01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

| СОМ        | 5+ |
|------------|----|
| <b>ECR</b> |    |
| GCL        |    |
| OPC        |    |
| RCP        |    |
| SSC        |    |
| <b>SGA</b> |    |
| ADM        |    |
| CLK        |    |

RALPH/R. JAEGE/R, SENIOR ATTORNEY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Telephone: (850) 413-6234

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10137 OCT 27 g

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

DOCKET NO.: 080121-WS - Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Alachua, Brevard, DeSoto, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Polk, Putnam, Seminole, Sumter, Volusia and Washington Counties by Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc.

WITNESS: Direct Testimony of Patricia Carrico, Volusia County Health Department Appearing on Behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission.

DATE FILED: October 27, 2008

10137 OCT 27 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

## 1 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PATRICIA CARRICO Q. 2 Please state your name and business address. 3 A. Patricia Carrico, Volusia County Health Department, 1845 Holsonback Drive, Daytona 4 Beach, FL, 32117. 5 Q. Please provide a brief description of your educational background and experience. 6 A. I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Environmental Health (Chemistry-Minor) 7 from Utah State University. Prior to my current employment with the Volusia County 8 Health Department (VCHD), I worked for 12 years at the City of Daytona Beach 9 Drinking Water Plant and Laboratory and five years at Amoco Oil Company (Whiting, 10 In.) in the Environmental/Quality Control Laboratory. I have been employed by 11 VCHD since February 2001, as an Environmental Specialist II. 12 Q. What are your general responsibilities at the VCHD? I am responsible for ensuring that public water systems in Volusia County are in 13 Α. 14 compliance with federal and state Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) regulations. My 15 job duties include performing site inspections, reviewing laboratory and monthly 16 operational reports, entering the results into the state's SDWA database, and initiating 17 appropriate enforcement action, when necessary. 18 Are you familiar with the Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc. (Aqua) Jungle Den, Tomoka Q. 19 View, and Twin Rivers water systems in Volusia County? 20 A. Yes. Has Aqua been the subject of any VCHD enforcement action within the past three 21 Q. 22 years? 23 Twin Rivers and Jungle Den have not been the subject of any enforcement action in A. 24 the past three years. However, Aqua has been issued several warning letters and a consent order as a result of the Tomoka View water system exceeding the maximum pocument NUMBER-UATEMENT NUMBE 25

- contaminant levels (MCLs) for total trihalothemane (THM), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Odor, and Total Coliform.
- Q. Please describe the violation regarding THM.

- A. The Tomoka View water system has exceeded the MCL for THM since monitoring for this contaminant was initiated in 2004 through the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of 2007. Corrective action taken by Aqua to reduce THM concentrations included modifying of the chlorine feed rate, changing the chlorine injection location, increasing system-wide flushing, and installing a new variable speed, flow-based chlorinator. Subsequently, in October 2006 the reported THM concentrations in individual distribution samples dropped below 80 ppb THM. Since the THM MCL is based on a 4-quarter running annual average, the system still incurred an MCL violation during the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of 2007, even though the individual quarterly THM results were below the 80 ppb MCL in the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2006 and the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of 2007. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2007, the Tomoka View THM annual average dropped to 76 ppb, which is below the MCL, and the system has been in compliance with the THM MCL since then.
- Q. Please describe the violations regarding TDS and Odor.
- A. The secondary MCL for TDS and Odor were exceeded in monitoring conducted in 2006 and during the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> quarters of 2007. In the most recent samples collected, the TDS concentration was just slightly over the MCL and the reported Odor concentration was below the MCL. Since secondary MCLs are set for aesthetic purposes and are not based on health effects, no additional treatment is warranted at this time. The system requested and was granted a suspension of quarterly monitoring for these two parameters in September of 2007, after completing four consecutive quarters of monitoring. The next testing will occur in 2009.
- 25 Q. Please describe the violation regarding Total Coliform.

A. Two routine distribution samples were positive for Total Coliform bacteria during

September 2007. The system conducted the required follow-up monitoring and the six

subsequent 'repeat' samples collected within 48 hours were all negative for Total

Coliforms. No further corrective action was required.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

A.

Is the overall maintenance of the treatment plant and distribution facilities satisfactory? Yes. Jungle Den does not have a treatment plant. It is a "consecutive system" consisting only of a water distribution system. The water is purchased from St. John's River Utility. I last inspected the Jungle Den system on July 15, 2008, and found the overall maintenance of the distribution system to be satisfactory. The Tomoka View and Twin Rivers water systems were also inspected on July 15, 2008, and two minor deficiencies cited at that time remain unresolved. At the Twin Rivers water plant; I noted a small hole in the roof over the ground storage tank. A temporary tarp had been installed over the roof that effectively sealed the opening and prevented any contamination of this tank; however, a permanent roof repair is still pending as of this date. According to correspondence received on September 25, 2008, the repair work has been bid out and is scheduled for completion by no later than November 25, 2008. At the Tomoka View water plant, I noted some damp areas on the outer walls of the concrete storage tank where water was "seeping" through. This tank was inspected and cleaned on September 2, 2008. Correspondence received on September 25, 2008 from Aqua indicated that this tank's water seepage problem will be corrected by no later than October 31, 2008. In regards to the Tomoka View water distribution system, poor valve maintenance was cited as a deficiency in my December 17, 2007 inspection report. Since then, Aqua has initiated a program of replacing shut-off valves on the system's water distribution lines in order to individually isolate each street in the event

of a water line break and alleviating the need to shut down the entire water system as

was being done previously. These new valves and an improved valve maintenance program should significantly reduce the number of system-wide water outages and Boil Water Notices experienced by customers of the Tomoka View water system. It is not uncommon to find a number of relatively minor deficiencies at water treatment plants and at water distribution systems. In general, the utility is doing a good job of maintaining these three water systems.

- Q. Are the plant and distribution systems otherwise in compliance with all environmental requirements?
- A. Yes.
- Do you have anything further to add? Q.
  - A. No