

080000-01

SU785

RECEIVED-FPSC



08 OCT 30 AM 8: 23

October 26, 2008
GAI Proj. # A070144.00 T 054

COMMISSION
CLERK

09 OCT 30 AM 7: 11

Mr. Joseph Squitieri
FDEP Southwest District
Domestic Wastewater Section
13051 N. Telecom Parkway
Temple Terrace, FL 33637

Re: Party Standing – Crooked Lake Park Sewerage Company

Dear Mr. Squitieri:

This letter is provided to state that pursuant to FDEP's request and with appropriate terms and conditions the City of Lake Wales continues to be an interested party in solving the Crooked Lake Park Sewerage Company wastewater situation.

We have notified Mr. Keith Bachmann, P.E. of Key Engineering Associates, Inc., the information as shown in my October 16, 2008 e-mail attached hereto.

I believe the attachment is the same as the discussions that we had earlier this year and since we referred to you in the e-mail we felt that it was appropriate that you would get a copy of this e-mail for your records in the resolution of the environmental activities.

Secondly, to the General Counsel of the Florida Public Service Commission and the Records Clerk we are providing this e-mail such that we have shown interest, discussed the matter with Crooked Lake, and have worked with FDEP to a solution to the problem. If Crooked Lake wishes to avail themselves of this solution we stand ready and willing to accommodate the flow and have immediately available capacity to do so, as well as regional infrastructure within a short distance of this facility.

If the owners determine that abandonment is in their best interest, then we are informing both the FPSC and FDEP that the City of Lake Wales as a responsible environmental protection entity is interested in resolving the problems at that facility in a reasonable fashion over time. We are an interested party in any abandonment that may occur.

Thank you in advance for your time and consideration in this matter.

Very truly yours,

GAI Consultants, Inc.

Gerald C. Hartman, PE, BCEE, ASA
City Engineer
City of Lake Wales

GCH/jev/A070144.00 T 054/corresp/
Squitieri-party standing
Attachment

Cc: Records, FPSC
General Counsel, FPSC

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

10231 OCT 30 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

A070144.00 T054

Gerry Hartman

From: Gerry Hartman
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2008 2:01 PM
To: 'Lisa Shaw'; keyengg@aol.com
Cc: Tony Otte; Ray Creel; Thomas Smith; 'Gerald T. Buhr'
Subject: RE: Emailing: impfee3

*File
Crooked
Lake Task
#*

Keith and City Team:

1. Previous Resolution

We had a conference call with the FDEP (Joe S. in enforcement, and Jeff Hilton in permitting); the City Team; the Crooked Lake Attorney and owner/rep. concerning the facility.

The bottom line in that discussion was that FDEP would allow the Crooked Lake Utility to upgrade and fix its 60,000 gpd wwtp and perc pond system.

The flow would be restricted in an influent structure/weir to 60,000 gpd. Flows in excess of 60,000gpd would be split off away from the existing wwtp and pumped into the regional pump station across US 27 at Oakley which the City owns with the 8-inch force main upto the south side 16-inch force main. A flow meter would be put on the diversion pump station and the maximum 3month average daily flow for the 12 months running average by month would be determined and the Crooked Lake Utility would pay a.) the outside city gallonage charge; b.) that 3momaxADF flow rate divided by 240 gpd/erc. to determine the minimum monthly charge/base monthly facility charge and that amount would only ratchet upwards---no declining of the base charge; and c.) the 3momaxADF flow rate divided by 240 in any fiscal year (10/1—9/30) would generate the number of erc's used and at the end of the fiscal year the Utility would pay that charge for that capacity within 90 days based upon the prevailing impact fee (presently \$4,224/erc.) and the paid number of erc's would ratchet up only and would reflect the number of erc's owned by the Utility.

The above was the deal we tentatively agreed to to solve the environmental problems in the least cost fashion for the Utility and meet FDEP's requirements.

The first step was for the Utility to work with FDEP to determine the upgrades required.

Then the utility was to submit their plan to the city for review.

Then once approved by the city as a connection and meeting the city utility standards, then an agreement would be drafted for the connection to the system.

2. Diverting Pump Station Option as an acquisition

The above was the previous resolution. If the Utility wishes to decommission its wwtp; then the city may consider the purchase of the diverting lift station and meter as a utility acquisition for \$1 and then develop a plan of action which would be quite different than the above. The city has not been interested in the collection system due to the documented difficult inflow and infiltration problems.

3. Development Agreement option

Yes, what you stated would be the standard approach. Of course, since there are flow records, some adjustment would occur.

Keith, I assume you work for the Crooked Lake Utility. Are you doing a study or feasibility report which you can share with the city? Please let us know.

My best--gerry

From: Lisa Shaw [mailto:LSHAW@cityoflakewales.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2008 4:10 PM

To: keyengg@aol.com
Cc: Tony Otte; Ray Creel; Thomas Smith; Gerry Hartman
Subject: RE: Emailing: impfee3

The team will have the City Engineer Mr. Gerald C.Hartman look at it for you.

The city will get back with you as soon as its feasible for Mr. Hartman to assess.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Lisa Shaw
Administrative Assistant
Utilities Department
City of Lake Wales, Florida
lshaw@cityoflakewales.com

"Life is what happens to you when your busy making other plans." John Lennon

From: keyengg@aol.com [mailto:keyengg@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2008 3:47 PM
To: Lisa Shaw
Cc: Tony Otte; Ray Creel; Thomas Smith
Subject: Re: Emailing: impfee3

Please confirm. If the Crooked Lake Sewerage Company, which has 425 fixed and mobile home units, were to pump all of it's wastewater to the City of Lake Wales, the City would require sewer impact fees of 425 x \$4,224 = \$1,795,200? Is that correct?

Keith A. Bachmann, P.E.
Key Engineering Associates, Inc.
Phone: 727-781-1111 Fax: 727-781-1112
Email: keyengg@aol.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Shaw <LSHAW@cityoflakewales.com>
To: Keyengg@aol.com
Cc: Tony Otte <totte@cityoflakewales.com>; Ray Creel <RCreel@cityoflakewales.com>; Thomas Smith <tsmith@cityoflakewales.com>
Sent: Tue, 14 Oct 2008 11:18 am
Subject: Emailing: impfee3

Dear Keith,

The impact fees are located on the City Web site under Departments at the top of the site. You would scroll down to Planning and Development where you will be able to access the impact fees and other interests.

I've emailed them to you as well in the attachment above for your convenience.

If you need anything further please let me know as the team would be happy to help you.

Have a beautiful day Keith.

Respectfully,

Lisa Shaw
Administrative Assistant
Utilities Department
City of Lake Wales
lshaw@cityoflakewales.com

McCain or Obama? Stay updated on coverage of the Presidential race while you browse - [Download Now!](#)