

**Ruth Nettles**

**From:** Vicki Kaufman [vkaufman@asglegal.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 25, 2008 3:55 PM  
**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** Keino Young; kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us; christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us; miketwomey@talstar.com; Lee Willis; Jim Beasley; swright@yvlaw.net; cecilia.bradley@myfloridalegal.com; Jon Moyle; John W McWhirter  
**Subject:** Docket No. 080317-EI  
**Attachments:** Mosaic's Petition to Intervene 11.25.08.pdf


Electronic Filing:

- a. Person responsible for this filing:

Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
 Anchors Smith Grimsley  
 118 North Gadsden Street  
 Tallahassee, FL 32301

- b. Docket No. 080317-EI – In re: Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric
- c. This document is filed on behalf of the Mosaic Company.
- d. The document has 6 pages.
- e. The document is Mosaic's Petition to Intervene.

Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
 vkaufman@asglegal.com



Anchors Smith Grimsley  
 The Perkins House  
 118 N. Gadsden St.  
 Tallahassee, FL 32301  
 850-681-3828 (Voice)  
 850-681-8788 (Fax)  
 850-218-0454 (Blackberry Cell)

*updated  
 11/25/08  
 R.V.N*

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may be subject to the attorney client privilege or may constitute privileged work product. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the agent or employee responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or return e-mail immediately. Thank you.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
 10952 NOV 25 8  
 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Petition for rate increase by Tampa  
Electric Company.

DOCKET NO. 080317-EI

FILED: November 25, 2008

**THE MOSAIC COMPANY'S PETITION TO INTERVENE**

Pursuant to sections 120.569, .57, Florida Statutes, and rules 25-22.039, 28-106.201 and 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code, The Mosaic Company (Mosaic), through its undersigned counsel, files its Petition to Intervene. In support thereof, Mosaic states

1. Name and address of agency. The affected agency is the Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

2. Name and address of Petitioner. The name and address of the Petitioner is:

The Mosaic Company  
PO Box 2000  
Mulberry, FL 33860-1100  
Telephone: (863) 428-2608  
Facsimile: (863) 428-2694

3. Petitioner's representatives. Copies of all pleadings, notices, and orders in this docket should be provided to:

Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.  
Anchors Smith Grimsley  
118 North Gadsden Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
Telephone; (850) 681-3828  
Facsimile: (850) 681-8788  
[vkaufman@asglegal.com](mailto:vkaufman@asglegal.com)  
[jmoyle@asglegal.com](mailto:jmoyle@asglegal.com)

John W. McWhirter, Jr.

P.O. Box 3350  
Tampa, Florida 33601-3350  
Telephone: (813) 505-8055  
Facsimile: (813) 221-1854  
[jmcwhirter@mac-law.com](mailto:jmcwhirter@mac-law.com)

4. Notice of docket. Petitioner received notice of this docket by a review of the Commission's website.

5. Statement of Substantial Interests. Mosaic is engaged in mining activities in Tampa Electric Company's (TECO) service territory. Mosaic is one of TECO's largest customers and purchases substantial quantities of electricity from TECO to operate its business. The cost of electricity constitutes a significant portion of Mosaic's overall costs of production. Mosaic requires adequate, reasonably-priced electricity in order to compete.

6. In this case, the Commission will consider TECO's requests for: a rate increase in excess of \$228 million increase, significant changes to a well-established cost of service methodology, changes to and elimination of rate classes, a return on equity in the double digits, as well as a myriad of other issues related to TECO's earnings, rates and service offerings. The amount of the increase approved, if any, as well as cost of service methodology and rate class issues will affect Mosaic's substantial interests by increasing its costs of electricity, thus affecting its production costs, its competitive posture, and its levels of employment. Thus, as a customer of TECO, Mosaic's substantial interests will be affected by action the Commission takes in this docket.

7. Mosaic's interests are of the type that this proceeding is designed to protect. *See, Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation*, 406 So.2d 478 (Fla. 2nd DCA 1981). The purpose of the proceeding is to evaluate TECO's requests and determine if any of the requests have merit. Thus, the purpose of the

proceeding coincides with Mosaic's substantial interests, which is to ensure that the rates it pays to TECO are just and reasonable.

8. Disputed Issues of Material Fact. Disputed issues of material fact include, but are not limited to,<sup>1</sup> the following:

- a. Is TECO's requested rate increase just and reasonable?
- b. Is TECO's proposed return on equity reasonable, particularly in light of current economic conditions?
- c. What is the appropriate return on equity for TECO?
- d. Should TECO's request to eliminate the interruptible rate schedule be approved?
- e. Should the Commission continue to use the approved 12CP and 1/13 AD cost of service methodology?
- f. Is it appropriate to have one rate schedule for all demand billed customers?
- g. Is it appropriate for all customers to be on a firm rate?
- h. Does TECO's rate design proposal correctly assess the value of interruptible service?
- i. Has TECO properly valued the GSLM-1 and GSLM-2 credit?
- j. Should the Commission approve TECO's request for a Transmission Base Rate Adjustment (TBRA)?
- k. Should items currently recovered through adjustment clauses be moved to base rates?

9. Disputed Legal Issues. Disputed legal issues include, but are not limited to, the following:

---

<sup>1</sup> In a rate case, issues are generally delineated and refined in a number of issue identification meetings. The first such meeting is scheduled for December 4<sup>th</sup>. Further, Mosaic is still in the process of receiving and reviewing appropriate documentation regarding TECO's filing and anticipates that there will be additional numerous disputed issues of material fact which the Commission will be required to resolve.

- a. Has TECO carried its burden of proof as to the return on equity it has requested?
- b. Has TECO carried its burden of proof to justify a change from the Commission's approved cost of service methodology?
- c. Has TECO carried its burden of proof regarding its request to eliminate the interruptible class of service?

10. Statement of Ultimate Facts Alleged. Ultimate facts include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. The rate increase TECO seeks is unreasonable and should not be approved.
- b. The interruptible class of service should not be eliminated.
- c. All demand customers should not be included in one rate class.
- d. The appropriate cost of service methodology for TECO is the 12 CP and 1/13<sup>th</sup> methodology.

11. Rules and statutes justifying relief. The rules and statutes that entitle Mosaic to intervene and participate in this case include, but are not limited to:

- a. Section 120.569, Florida Statutes;
- b. Section 120.57, Florida Statutes;
- c. Section 366.041, Florida Statutes;
- d. Section 366.06, Florida Statutes;
- e. Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code;
- f. Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code;
- g. Rule 28-106.205, Florida Administrative Code.

12. Relief. Mosaic requests that it be permitted to intervene as a full party in this docket.

**WHEREFORE**, Mosaic requests that the Commission enter an order allowing it to intervene and participate as a full party in this docket.

s/ Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.  
Anchors Smith Grimsley  
118 North Gadsden Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
Telephone:(850)681-3828  
Facsimile: (850)681-8788  
[vkaufman@asglegal.com](mailto:vkaufman@asglegal.com)  
[jmoyle@asglegal.com](mailto:jmoyle@asglegal.com)

John W. McWhirter, Jr.  
P.O. Box 3350  
Tampa, Florida 33601-3350  
Telephone: (813) 224-0866  
Facsimile: (813) 221-1854  
[jmcwhirter@mac-law.com](mailto:jmcwhirter@mac-law.com)

Attorneys for The Mosaic Company

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of The Mosaic Company's  
Petition to Intervene has been furnished by electronic mail and U.S. Mail this 25<sup>th</sup> day of  
November, 2008, to the following:

Keino Young  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Office of the General Counsel  
2540 Shumard Oak Drive  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

J.R. Kelly  
Patricia Christensen  
Public Counsel  
c/o The Florida Legislature  
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mike Twomey  
P. O. Box 5256  
Tallahassee, FL 32314-5256

Lee Willis  
James Beasley  
Ausley Law Firm  
Post Office Box 391  
Tallahassee, FL 32302

R. Scheffel Wright  
Young Law Firm  
225 S. Adams Street  
Suite 200  
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Cecilia Bradley  
Office of the Attorney General  
400 S. Monroe St # PL-01  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-6536

s/ Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
Vicki Gordon Kaufman