

**REDACTED**

090009-EI

# EXHIBIT B

CCM \_\_\_\_\_  
ECH   1    
GCL \_\_\_\_\_  
OPC \_\_\_\_\_  
RCP \_\_\_\_\_  
SSC \_\_\_\_\_  
SGA \_\_\_\_\_  
ADM \_\_\_\_\_  
CLK \_\_\_\_\_

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
05207 MAY 26 8  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 8&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gattler  
 Proj Devel: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: TBD  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: TBD  
 QA Lead: Rick Weis

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers
Status Date=>	2/7/08	3/10/08		

**PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT**

1  
2  
3  
4

Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.
Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope for the project is defined; however, details are still being developed.
Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project has yet to develop a detailed execution plan.
Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Project Team has named over 90% of the identified key positions to support its current stage in the project lifecycle. The Team is comprised of new and existing FPL employees and augmented by experienced contractor personnel.

**PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS**

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers
Capital Cost	Yellow	Yellow	=	Mgmt Aprvd Bdg't (\$MM) Forecast (\$MM) Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM) \$0.0 Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been developed. However, FPL provided a forecast range between \$12B-\$18B and this value was shared with the FPSC through the need order filing, filed 10/16/07.
Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	=	COD per Plan 6/30/18, 6/30/20 Forecast COD 6/30/18, 6/30/20 Yellow because a detailed schedule is under development. There are no known items that would indicate COD cannot be achieved. The COLA schedule was recently finalized and has no float for a March 31, 2009 NRC submittal.
Operating Costs	TBD	TBD	=	
Development Budget		Yellow	-	The project budget is equal to \$105.3M through 2012. This includes both the COLA as well as the permitting aspect of the project. PTD actuals costs through 2/08 are \$12.3MM versus a PTD target of \$12.98MM, an underrun of \$0.68M or 5%. The "yellow" status highlights the fact the project is revising the budgets, and preliminary information indicates a potential increase in cost to accommodate additional analysis (multiple water sources, multiple transmission routing, etc.).
Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM) NA Forecast (\$MM) NA Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM) NA Not applicable for FPL Project.
Performance			=	Project is in the development and licensing stage and activities are on schedule.
Reliability/Availability			=	Project is in the development and licensing stage and activities are on schedule.
Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=	All agencies have acknowledged no permits required for site investigation (borings). Efforts to identify and permit fill sites underway, regional market survey for purchased fill beginning. Transmission ROW requires land swap with Dept. of Interior. Integration of Federal level NEPA studies has been determined per agreement between the ACOE and NRC. The result is a linkage of the ACOE permit process to occur no earlier than the Final EIS in the NRC COL process. Projected date for initial site preparation now no earlier than July 2011.
Safety		Yellow	-	RIR Budget 0.0 Proj to Date RIR 0.0 EOP Forecast RIR 0.0 On 20-Feb-08 an incident occurred involving a third tier vendor delivery equipment to the job site. Immediately following the incident, a project stand-down occurred and safety personnel were mobilized to the site both from Bechtel and Mactec. Bechtel and Mactec have implemented a multi-layer approach to mitigate further occurrences including additional oversight. This incident is not considered an OSHA recordable because the vendor was not under direct contract control under OSHA rules. However, the Indicator has been changed to yellow to recognize the incident.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 6&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gettler  
 Proj Devel: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: TBD  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: Steve Reuwer  
 QA Lead: Rick Weis

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers												
Status Date=>	5/8/08	6/9/08														
<b>PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT</b>																
1 Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.												
2 Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope for the project is defined; however, details are still being developed. Construction planning and equipment logistical analyses ongoing.												
3 Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project execution plan is in the development stage.												
4 Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Project has staffed over 78% of the identified key positions to support its current stage in the project lifecycle. Although, the construction director position resource has been identified, the individual has yet to be release causing performance impacts.												
<b>PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS</b>																
5 Pre-Construction Budget				<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>\$823.0</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>\$466.0</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>\$66.0</td> </tr> </table> <p>The current estimate is equal to \$523 through 2011 including LL procurement &amp; eng consistent with the 6/1 CR filing. This includes site selection, COLA, development &amp; permitting, LL procurement, and initial engineering. PTD actuals costs through 5/08 are \$31.5MM versus a PTD target of \$20.6MM, an overrun of \$10.9M or 53%.                  AFUDC is included in the PTD actuals, but are not included in the current estimate.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$823.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$466.0	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$66.0						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$823.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$466.0	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$66.0											
6 Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Prefim Est (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been fully developed and further owing to the recent rise in commodity prices. Due to these recent price increases, preliminary estimates indicate a potential increase in the overall project costs. However, at this time there is insufficient information to change our projection. FPL provided a total project forecast range between \$12B-\$18B to the FPSC through the need order filing.</p>	Prefim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)							
Prefim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)												
7 Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>COD per Plan</td> <td>6/30/18, 6/30/20</td> <td>Forecast COD</td> <td>6/30/18, 6/30/20</td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed construction schedule is under development. There are no known items that would indicate COD cannot be achieved. The COLA schedule has no float for a March 31, 2009 NRC submittal. After NRC completes their sufficiency review, there could be as much as 6 months delay before they would begin reviewing the COLA due to NRC resource constraints. The project continues refining the schedule to clarify any impacts.</p>	COD per Plan	6/30/18, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/18, 6/30/20								
COD per Plan	6/30/18, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/18, 6/30/20													
8 Operating Costs	TBD	Yellow	=	Operating cost estimates were provided in Need Filing, based on current FPL experience. These estimates will be refined with input from technology vendor, NuStart and AP Owner's Group as information becomes firm. [FOM = \$120/kw-yr, Cap Replacement = \$30/kw-yr, VOM = \$0/mwh all in 2020\$]												
9 Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> </table> <p>Not applicable for FPL Project.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA											
10 Performance			=	Project is in the development and licensing stage and activities are on schedule.												
11 Reliability/Availability			=	Project is in the development and licensing stage and activities are on schedule.												
12 Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=	Yellow because in initial (i.e. data collection) stage for state and federal permitting. Water options/feasibility analyses continuing, with conceptual engineering for water supply alternatives begun. Refinement of fill requirements and sources continues based on site plans. Site Certification Application initiated; to be developed in close coordination with COLA. Interagency NEPA agreement results in ACOE permit issuance linked to NRC COL process and investigating options. Transmission ROW alternative analyses ongoing. Projected date for initial site preparation is July 2011.												
13 Safety	Yellow		=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>RIR Budget</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Proj to Date RIR</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>EOP Forecast RIR</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OSHA Recordable # Bdg</td> <td></td> <td>Actual to Date</td> <td></td> <td>EOP Forecast</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>All drilling rigs are off site.</p>	RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD	OSHA Recordable # Bdg		Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	
RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD											
OSHA Recordable # Bdg		Actual to Date		EOP Forecast												

1 2 3 4

(3)

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 6&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gettler  
 Proj Devel: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: Steve Robitzski  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: Steve Reuwer  
 QA Lead: Rick Weis

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers
Status Date=>	7/8/08	8/7/08		

**PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT**

1  
2  
3  
4

Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.
Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope is to build (2) Westinghouse AP1000 reactor units; however, specific project details are still being developed.
Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project execution plan is in the development stage.
Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	Filled the Director of Construction Engineering position. Currently there are 4 Construction Engineering, 1 Director of Construction and 1 COLA Engineering Supervisor positions posted and interviews are underway.

**PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS**

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

Pre-Construction Budget				<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>\$623.0</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>\$467.4</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>\$155.6</td> </tr> </table> <p>The current estimate is equal to \$523MM through 2011 for the pre-construction phase of the project. This includes site selection, COLA, development &amp; permitting, Long Lead procurement, engineering, and site civil work under pre-construction. AFUDC is included in the PTD actuals, but are not included in the current estimate.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$623.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$467.4	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$155.6						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$623.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$467.4	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$155.6											
Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Prelim Est (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been fully developed and further owing to the recent rise in commodity prices. Due to these recent price increases, preliminary estimates indicate a potential increase in the overall project costs. The projected total cost range for a two unit 2,200 MW project provided in the need filing was \$128-18B. FPL would anticipate, that if current market prices are maintained over the long term, the project cost estimate would be in the higher end of this range.</p>	Prelim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)							
Prelim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)												
Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	-	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>COD per Plan</td> <td>6/30/18, 6/30/20</td> <td>Forecast COD</td> <td>6/30/18, 6/30/20</td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed construction schedule is under development. There are no known items that would indicate COD cannot be achieved.</p> <p>After NRC completes its sufficiency review, there could be a delay before the NRC would begin reviewing the COLA. This delay could be as much as 6-8 months, if the NRC were subject to budget constraints. The project continues refining the schedule to clarify impacts.</p>	COD per Plan	6/30/18, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/18, 6/30/20								
COD per Plan	6/30/18, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/18, 6/30/20													
Operating Costs	Yellow	Yellow	=	Operating cost estimates were provided in Need Filing, based on current FPL experience. These estimates will be refined with input from technology vendor, NuStart and AP Owner's Group as information becomes firm. (FOM = \$120/kw-yr, Cap Replacement = \$30/kw-yr, VOM = \$0/mwh all in 2020\$)												
Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> </table> <p>Not applicable for FPL Project.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA											
Performance	Yellow	Yellow	=	AP1000 has a net electric output of 1100 MWe.												
Reliability/Availability	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Westinghouse AP1000 is a new plant design. This PWR technology has been proven, but the specific design has not.												
Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=	Yellow because in initial (i.e. data collection) stage for state and federal permitting. Water options/feasibility analyses continuing, with conceptual engineering for water supply alternatives begun. Refinement of fill requirements and sources continues. Site Certification Application initiated; to be developed in close coordination with COLA. Interagency NEPA agreement results in ACOE permit issuance linked to NRC COL process. Transmission ROW alternative analyses ongoing.												
Safety			=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>RIR Budget</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Proj to Date RIR</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>EOP Forecast RIR</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OSHA Recordable #: Bdgt</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Actual to Date</td> <td></td> <td>EOP Forecast</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> </table> <p>Site geological testing is complete and COLA efforts continue. No OSHA recordables to-date.</p>	RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD	OSHA Recordable #: Bdgt	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD
RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD											
OSHA Recordable #: Bdgt	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD											

1    2    3

4

4

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 6&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gettler  
 Proj Dev: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: Steve Robitzski  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: Steve Reuwer  
 QA Lead: Rick Weis

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers												
Status Date=>	8/7/08	9/8/08														
<b>PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT</b>																
1 Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.												
2 Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope is to build (2) Westinghouse AP1000 reactor units; however, specific project details are still being developed.												
3 Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project execution plan is in the development stage.												
4 Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	Filled the Engineering Supervisor position with an internal candidate. Currently, there are 4 Construction Engineering, 1 Director of Construction and 1 COLA Engineering positions posted and interviews are underway.												
<b>PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS</b>																
5 Pre-Construction Budget	Yellow		-	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>\$523.0</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>\$467.4</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>\$55.6</td> </tr> </table> <p>Alternative approaches will be evaluated when a formal cash flow is presented. The current estimate is equal to \$523MM through 2011 for the pre-construction phase of the project. This includes site selection, COLA, development &amp; permitting, Long Lead procurement, engineering, and site civil work under pre-construction.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$467.4	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$55.6						
				Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$467.4	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$55.6							
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Prelim Est (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been fully developed and further owing to the recent rise in commodity prices. Due to these recent price increases, preliminary estimates indicate a potential increase in the overall project costs. The projected total cost range for a two unit 2,200 MW project provided in the need filing was \$12B-18B. FPL would anticipate, that if current market prices are maintained over the long term, the project cost estimate would be in the higher end of this range.</p>	Prelim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)											
Prelim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)												
6 Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>COD per Plan</td> <td>8/30/10, 8/30/20</td> <td>Forecast COD</td> <td>8/30/10, 8/30/20</td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed construction schedule is under development. There are no known items which indicates COD cannot be achieved. The COLA schedule has no float for a March 31, 2009 NRC submittal.</p>	COD per Plan	8/30/10, 8/30/20	Forecast COD	8/30/10, 8/30/20								
				COD per Plan	8/30/10, 8/30/20	Forecast COD	8/30/10, 8/30/20									
<p>The SCA submittal date has been extended by two months to April 2009. SCA is not critical path. After NRC completes its sufficiency review, there could be a 6-8 month delay before the NRC would begin reviewing the COLA.</p>																
7 Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	-													
8 Operating Costs	Yellow	Yellow	=	Operating cost estimates were provided in Need Filing, based on current FPL experience. These estimates will be refined with input from technology vendor, NuStart and AP Owner's Group as information becomes firm. [FOM = \$120/kw-yr, Cap Replacement = \$30/kw-yr, VOM = \$0/mwh all in 2020\$]												
9 Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> </table> <p>Not applicable for FPL Project.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA											
10 Performance	Yellow	Yellow	=	AP1000 has a nominal net electric output of 1100 Mwe, but has not been built and proven.												
11 Reliability/Availability	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Westinghouse AP1000 is a new plant design. This PWR technology has been proven, but the specific design has not.												
12 Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=	Yellow because in initial (i.e. data collection) stage for state and federal permitting. Water options/feasibility analyses continuing, with conceptual engineering for water supply alternatives begun. Refinement of fill requirements and sources continues. Site Certification Application initiated; to be developed in close coordination with COLA. Interagency NEPA agreement results in ACOE permit issuance linked to NRC COL process. Transmission ROW alternative analyses ongoing.												
13 Safety			=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>RIR Budget</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Proj to Date RIR</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>EOP Forecast RIR</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OSHA Recordable # Bgd</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Actual to Date</td> <td></td> <td>EOP Forecast</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> </table>	RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD	OSHA Recordable # Bgd	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD
				RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD							
OSHA Recordable # Bgd	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD											
				Site geological testing is complete and COLA efforts continue. No OSHA recordables to-date.												

1 2 3

4

5

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 6&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gettler  
 Proj Devel: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: Steve Robitzski  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: Steve Reuwer  
 QA Lead: Rick Wels

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers
Status Date=>	9/8/08	10/7/08		

**PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT**

1	Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.
2	Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope is to build (2) Westinghouse AP1000 reactor units; however, specific project details are still being developed.
3	Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project execution plan is in the development stage.
4	Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	There are currently 8 positions open and approved; 4 Construction Engineering, 1 Director of Construction, 1 Development Project Manager, 1 Training Manager and 1 COLA Engineering positions posted and interviews are underway. Outside consultant support has been requested for communication activities.

**PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS**

					Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$472.9	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$50.1
5	Pre-Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	The current estimate is equal to \$523MM through 2011 for the pre-construction phase of the project. This includes site selection, COLA, development & permitting, Long Lead procurement, engineering, and site civil work.					
					Prelim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	
6	Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been fully developed and further owing to the recent rise in commodity prices. Due to these recent price increases, preliminary estimates indicate a potential increase in the overall project costs. The projected total cost range for a two unit 2,200 MW project provided in the need filing was \$12B-18B. FPL would anticipate, that if current market prices are maintained over the long term, the project cost estimate would be in the higher end of this range.					
					COD per Plan	6/30/16, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/16, 6/30/20		
7	Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	-	Yellow because a detailed construction schedule has not yet been developed. However, a preliminary construction schedule is in the process of being developed. There are no known items which indicates COD cannot be achieved. The COLA schedule has no float for a March 31, 2009 NRC submittal. ACOE Permit will be submitted with the COLA. The SCA submittal date has been extended to May 2009. SCA is not critical path. After NRC completes its sufficiency review, there could be a 6-8 month delay before the NRC would begin reviewing the COLA. This potential delay is becoming more probable due to Continuing Resolution funding levels.					
8	Operating Costs	Yellow	Yellow	=	Operating cost estimates were provided in Need Filing, based on current FPL experience. These estimates will be refined with input from technology vendor, NuStart and AP Owner's Group as information becomes firm. [FOM = \$120/kw-yr, Cap Replacement = \$30/kw-yr, VOM = \$0/mwh all in 2020\$]					
9	Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA
	Performance	Yellow	Yellow	=	AP1000 has a nominal net electric output of 1100 Mwe, but has not been built and proven.					
10	Reliability/Availability	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Westinghouse AP1000 is a new plant design. This PWR technology has been proven, but the specific design has not.					
11	Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=	Yellow because in initial (i.e. data collection) stage for state and federal permitting. Water options/feasibility analyses continuing, with conceptual engineering for water supply alternatives begun. Refinement of fill requirements and sources continues. Site Certification Application initiated; to be developed in close coordination with COLA. Interagency NEPA agreement results in ACOE permit issuance linked to NRC COL process. Transmission ROW alternative analyses ongoing.					
12	Safety			=	RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD
					OSHA Recordable #: Bdg	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD
					Site geological testing is complete and COLA efforts continue. No OSHA recordables to-date.					

1 2 3

4

6



**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 6&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gettler  
 Proj Devel: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: Steve Roblitzki  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: Steve Reuver  
 QA Lead: Rick Wels

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers
Status Date=>	10/7/08	11/7/08		

**PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT**

1	Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.
2	Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope is to build (2) Westinghouse AP1000 reactor units; however, specific project details are still being developed.
3	Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project execution plan is in the development stage.
4	Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Project is actively interviewing potential candidates to fill approved open positions. The Project has experienced minor difficulty in locating the right resources for key leadership roles, but to-date no adverse project impacts have resulted.

**PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS**

					Mgmt Apprd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$493.6	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$69.6
5	Pre-Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	The current estimate is equal to \$523MM through 2011 for the pre-construction phase of the project. This includes site selection, COLA, development & permitting, Long Lead procurement, engineering, and site civil work.					
6	Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	PreIm Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	
					Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been fully developed and further owing to the uncertainty in construction material and commodity prices. The projected total cost range for a two unit 2,200 MW project provided in the need filing was \$12B-18B.					
7	Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	-	COD per Plan	6/30/10, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/10, 6/30/20		
					Yellow because a detailed construction schedule has not yet been developed. A preliminary construction schedule is in the process of being developed. The trend remains negative; the COLA schedule which had zero float for a March 31, 2009 NRC submittal has been extended to June 30, 2009. This revision incorporates the hydrological pump testing results and Westinghouse Design Change Document (DCD) rev. 17 and reference COL rev. 1 revisions. The project is evaluating the potential impact of this delay on COD which is dependent on the NRC review period. The SCA submittal date has been extended to June 2009. The ACOE is critical path and is expected to be submitted with the SCA.					
8	Operating Costs	Yellow	Yellow	=	Operating cost estimates were provided in Need Filing, based on current FPL experience. These estimates will be refined with input from technology vendor, NuStart and AP Owner's Group as information becomes firm. [FOM = \$120/kw-yr, Cap Replacement = \$30/kw-yr, VOM = \$0/mwh all in 2020\$]					
9	Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	Mgmt Apprd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA
					Not applicable for FPL Project.					
10	Performance	Yellow	Yellow	=	AP1000 has a nominal net electric output of 1100 Mwe, but has not been built and proven.					
11	Reliability/Availability	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Westinghouse AP1000 is a new plant design. This PWR technology has been proven, but the specific design has not.					
12	Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=	Yellow because in initial (i.e. data collection) stage for state and federal permitting. Water options/feasibility analyses continuing, with conceptual engineering for water supply alternatives begun. Refinement of fill requirements and sources continues. Site Certification Application initiated; to be developed in close coordination with COLA. Transmission ROW alternative analyses ongoing.					
13	Safety			=	RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD
					OSHA Recordable # Bdg	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD
					Site geological testing is complete and COLA efforts continue. No OSHA recordables to-date.					

1 2 3

4

7





**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 6&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gettler  
 Proj Devel: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: Steve Roblitzki  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: Steve Reuwer  
 QA Lead: Rick Wels

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	T r a n d	Status Drivers
Status Date=>	12/8/08	1/8/09		

**PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT**

1	Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.
2	Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope is to build (2) Westinghouse AP1000 reactor units; however, specific project details are still being developed.
3	Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project execution plan is in the development stage.
4	Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Project is actively interviewing potential candidates to fill approved open positions. The Project has experienced minor difficulty in locating the right resources for key leadership roles, but to-date no adverse project impacts have resulted.

**PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS**

					Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$467.0	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$56.0
5	Pre-Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=						The current estimate is equal to \$523MM through 2011 for the pre-construction phase of the project. This includes site selection, COLA, development & permitting, Long Lead procurement, engineering, and site civil work.
6	Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	Pre'm Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	
										Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been fully developed and further owing to the uncertainty in construction material and commodity prices. The projected total cost range for a two unit 2,200 MW project provided in the need filing was \$12B-18B.
7	Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	-	COD per Plan	6/30/18, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/18, 6/30/20		Yellow because a detailed construction schedule has not yet been developed. A preliminary construction schedule is in the process of being developed. The trend remains negative based on schedule performance. The COLA NRC submittal date is Jun-09. The project is evaluating the potential impact of the change to the COLA submittal date on COD. The SCA and ACOE submittal date remains 5-Jun-09.
8	Operating Costs	Yellow	Yellow	=						Operating cost estimates were provided in the Need Filing, based on current FPL experience. These estimates will be refined with input from technology vendor, NuStart and AP Owner's Group as information becomes firm. [FOM = \$120/kw-yr, Cap Replacement = \$30/kw-yr, VOM = \$0/mwh all in 2020\$]
9	Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA
										Not applicable for FPL Project.
10	Performance	Yellow	Yellow	=						AP1000 has a nominal net electric output of 1100 Mwe, but has not been built and proven.
11	Reliability/Availability	Yellow	Yellow	=						The Westinghouse AP1000 is a new plant design. This PWR technology has been proven, but the specific design has not.
12	Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=						Yellow because the project is in the data collection stage for state and federal permitting. Water options/feasibility analyses continues, with conceptual engineering for water supply alternatives underway. Refinement of fill requirements and sources continues. Site Certification Application initiated and is being developed in close coordination with the COLA. Transmission ROW alternative analyses ongoing.
13	Safety			=	RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD
					OSHA Recordable # Bdg	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD

1 2 3 4

(9)

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 6&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gettler  
 Proj Devel: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: Steve Roblitzki  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: Steve Reuwer  
 QA Lead: Rick Wels

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers												
Status Date=> 1/8/09 2/8/09																
<b>PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT</b>																
1 Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.												
2 Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope is to build (2) Westinghouse AP1000 reactor units; however, specific project details are still being developed.												
3 Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project execution plan is in the development stage.												
4 Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Project is interviewing potential candidates to fill approved open positions. The Project has experienced minor difficulty in locating the right resources for key leadership roles, but to-date no adverse project impacts have resulted.												
<b>PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS</b>																
5 Pre-Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>\$523.0</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>\$417.0</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>\$86.0</td> </tr> </table> <p>The current estimate is equal to \$523MM through 2011 for the pre-construction phase of the project. This includes site selection, COLA, development &amp; permitting, Long Lead procurement, engineering, and site civil work.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$417.0	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$86.0						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.0	Forecast (\$MM)	\$417.0	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$86.0											
6 Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>PreSm Est (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been fully developed and further owing to the uncertainty in construction material and commodity prices. Project team evaluating pricing for impacts to project total cost. The projected total cost range for a two unit 2,200 MW project provided in the need filing was \$12B-18B.</p>	PreSm Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)							
PreSm Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)												
7 Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	-	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>COD per Plan</td> <td>6/30/10, 6/30/20</td> <td>Forecast COD</td> <td>6/30/10, 6/30/20</td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed construction schedule has not yet been developed. A preliminary site construction schedule is in the process of being developed. The trend remains negative based on the licensing and permitting emerging issues. The COLA and SCA/ACOE submittal dates are Jun-09.</p>	COD per Plan	6/30/10, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/10, 6/30/20								
COD per Plan	6/30/10, 6/30/20	Forecast COD	6/30/10, 6/30/20													
8 Operating Costs	Yellow	Yellow	=	Operating cost estimates were provided in the Need Filing, based on current FPL experience. These estimates will be refined with input from technology vendor, NuStart and AP Owner's Group as information becomes firm. [FOM = \$120/kw-yr, Cap Replacement = \$30/kw-yr, VOM = \$0/mwh all in 2020\$]												
9 Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> </table> <p>Not applicable for FPL Project.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA											
10 Performance	Yellow	Yellow	=	AP1000 has a nominal net electric output of 1100 Mwe, but has not been built and proven.												
11 Reliability/Availability	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Westinghouse AP1000 is a new plant design. This PWR technology has been proven, but the specific design has not.												
12 Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=	Yellow because the project is in the data collection stage for state and federal permitting. Water options/feasibility analyses continues, with conceptual engineering for water supply alternatives underway. Refinement of fill requirements and sources continues. Site Certification Application Initiated and is being developed in close coordination with the COLA. Transmission ROW alternative analyses ongoing.												
13 Safety			=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>RIR Budget</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Proj to Date RIR</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>EOP Forecast RIR</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OSHA Recordable #: Bdg</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Actual to Date</td> <td></td> <td>EOP Forecast</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> </table>	RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD	OSHA Recordable #: Bdg	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD
RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD											
OSHA Recordable #: Bdg	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD											

1 2 3

4

10

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Project: PTN 6&7, Florida  
 Proj Mgr: Marty Gettler  
 Proj Devel: Steve Scroggs

Eng Lead: Steve Robitzski  
 PC Lead: Don Fleetwood  
 ISC Lead: Kelly Shaw

Lic Lead: Bill Maher  
 Constr Lead: Steve Reuwer  
 QA Lead: Rick Wels

Indicator	Prior Status	Current Status	Trend	Status Drivers												
Status Date=>	2/6/09	3/6/09														
<b>PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT</b>																
1 Job Complexity	Yellow	Yellow	=	New nuclear construction has not been accomplished in over 20 years and the process is yet to be defined. Furthermore, the licensing process is under new regulation and not previously tested.												
2 Scope Definition	Yellow	Yellow	=	The high level scope is to build (2) Westinghouse AP1000 reactor units; however, specific project details are still being developed.												
3 Execution Plan	Yellow	Yellow	=	The project execution plan is in the development stage.												
4 Resources	Yellow	Yellow	=	Project staffing levels are commensurate with the current stage of the project.												
<b>PROJECT INDICATOR STATUS</b>																
5 Pre-Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>\$523.9</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>\$467.0</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>\$56.0</td> </tr> </table> <p>FPL continues to analyze contract strategies which will minimize early cash outlay. The current estimate is equal to \$523MM through 2011 for the pre-construction phase of the project which agrees with the need order value. This includes site selection, COLA, development &amp; permitting, Long Lead procurement, engineering, and site civil work.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.9	Forecast (\$MM)	\$467.0	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$56.0						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	\$523.9	Forecast (\$MM)	\$467.0	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	\$56.0											
6 Construction Budget	Yellow	Yellow	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Prelim Est (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Yellow because a detailed project estimate has not yet been fully developed and further owing to the uncertainty in construction material and commodity prices. Scope and contract terms are still under discussion. The projected total cost range for a two unit 2,200 MW project provided in the need filing was \$12B-16B. Current trends indicate a total project cost consistent with the high end of the range.</p>	Prelim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)							
Prelim Est (\$MM)	TBD	Forecast (\$MM)	TBD	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)												
7 Schedule	Yellow	Yellow	-	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>COD per Plan (6/30/18, 6/30/20)</td> <td></td> <td>Forecast COD (6/30/18, 6/30/20)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>Schedule remains yellow because a detailed construction schedule has not yet been developed. A preliminary site construction schedule is in the process of being developed. Schedule performance is being addressed by additional COLA and SCA/ACOE submittal dates remain on schedule for Jun-09.</p>	COD per Plan (6/30/18, 6/30/20)		Forecast COD (6/30/18, 6/30/20)									
COD per Plan (6/30/18, 6/30/20)		Forecast COD (6/30/18, 6/30/20)														
8 Operating Costs	Yellow	Yellow	=	Operating cost estimates were provided in the Need Filing, based on current FPL experience. These estimates will be refined with input from technology vendor, NuStart and AP Owner's Group as information becomes firm. [FOM = \$120/kw-yr, Cap Replacement = \$30/kw-yr, VOM = \$0/mwh all in 2020\$]												
9 Capital Minus Revenue	NA	NA	=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Forecast (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)</td> <td>NA</td> </tr> </table> <p>Not applicable for FPL Project.</p>	Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA						
Mgmt Aprvd Plan (\$MM)	NA	Forecast (\$MM)	NA	Fav/(Unfav) Variance (\$MM)	NA											
10 Performance	Yellow	Yellow	=	AP1000 has a nominal net electric output of 1100 Mwe, but has not been built and proven.												
11 Reliability/Availability	Yellow	Yellow	=	The Westinghouse AP1000 is a new plant design. This PWR technology has been proven, but the specific design has not.												
12 Environmental/Permitting Compliance	Yellow	Yellow	=	Yellow because the project is in the data collection stage for state and federal permitting. Water options/feasibility analyses continues, with conceptual engineering for water supply alternatives underway. Refinement of fill requirements and sources continues. Site Certification Application initiated and is being developed in close coordination with the COLA. Transmission ROW alternative analyses ongoing.												
13 Safety			=	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>RIR Budget</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Proj to Date RIR</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>EOP Forecast RIR</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OSHA Recordable #: Bdg</td> <td>TBD</td> <td>Actual to Date</td> <td></td> <td>EOP Forecast</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> </table> <p>Island and point aquifer pump tests are underway with no OSHA recordable incidents to-date.</p>	RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD	OSHA Recordable #: Bdg	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD
RIR Budget	TBD	Proj to Date RIR	0.0	EOP Forecast RIR	TBD											
OSHA Recordable #: Bdg	TBD	Actual to Date		EOP Forecast	TBD											

1 2 3

4

11

## Project Deviation Log PSL EPU Project

Contractor Cost Center	Short Description	Deviation Type/Scope Trend/Contract/Other	Budgeted Cost	Deviation Estimate Cost	Contract Amount	Contract Balance	Schedule Impact Yes/No	Written Date/By	Management Approve/Disapprove Date/By	Notes	Status				
WESTINGHOUSE	PROJECTED TOTAL COST	TREND									MONTH END AUG 2008 FORECAST				
LONG LEAD MATERIAL	PSL1 HEATER DRAIN PUMPS (2) HAVE BEEN EVALUATED AND NEED TO BE REPLACED TO SUPPORT EPU	SCOPE									TBD	R SIPOS	JAN 2009	APPROVED	3
LONG LEAD MATERIAL	PSL2 HEATER DRAIN PUMPS (2) HAVE BEEN EVALUATED AND NEED TO BE REPLACED TO SUPPORT EPU	SCOPE									TBD	R SIPOS	JAN 2009	APPROVED	3
PLANT	PSL1 TURBINE GANTRY CRANE	SCOPE									NO	T DYSERT	JAN 2009	APPROVED	3
PLANT	PSL2 TURBINE GANTRY CRANE	SCOPE									NO	T DYSERT	JAN 2009	APPROVED	3
EPC	PROJECTED TOTAL COST	TREND									NO	N/A	OCT 2008	BEICHEM / BYRDEN FORECAST	MONTH END OCT 2008 FORECAST
PLANT CRAFT SUPPORT	PROJECTED TOTAL COST	TREND									NO	N/A	OCT 2008	BEICHEM / BYRDEN FORECAST	MONTH END OCT 2008 FORECAST
BAW	PROJECTED TOTAL COST	TREND									NO	N/A	NOV 2008	BEICHEM / BYRDEN FORECAST	MONTH END NOV 2008 FORECAST
WESTINGHOUSE	ROD CONTROL SYSTEM	SCOPE									TBD	T BEICHEM	MARCH 2009	IN APPROVAL PROCESS - MATERIAL ONLY	1
WESTINGHOUSE	ROD CONTROL SYSTEM	SCOPE									TBD	T BEICHEM	MARCH 2009	IN APPROVAL PROCESS - MATERIAL ONLY	1
SHAW / SWEC	PROJECTED TOTAL COST	TREND									NO	N/A	NOV 2008	BEICHEM / BYRDEN FORECAST	MONTH END NOV 2008 FORECAST
LONG LEAD MATERIALS	PROJECTED TOTAL COST OF THE LONG LEAD MATERIALS TO BE PURCHASED BY FPL	TREND									NO	N/A	NOV 2008	BEICHEM / BYRDEN FORECAST BASED UPON CURRENT DATA FROM ISC	MONTH END NOV 2008 FORECAST
LONG LEAD MATERIALS	CIRC WATER PUMP 1A1 REFURB	SCOPE									TBD	R BROWN	JAN 2009	APPROVED	3
LONG LEAD MATERIALS	CIRC WATER PUMP 2A1 REFURB	SCOPE									TBD	R BROWN	JAN 2009	APPROVED	3
AREVA	PROJECTED TOTAL COST	TREND									NO	N/A	FEB 2009	FORECAST	MONTH END FEB 2009 FORECAST
FPL ENGINEERING	PROJECTED TOTAL COST	TREND									NO	N/A	FEB 2009	FORECAST	MONTH END FEB 2009 FORECAST
FPL PROJECT MANAGEMENT	PROJECTED TOTAL COST	TREND									NO	N/A	FEB 2009	FORECAST	MONTH END FEB 2009 FORECAST
MULTIPLE	SUPPORT OF WESTINGHOUSE CONTROL ROD SCOPE	TREND									NO	T BEICHEM	MARCH 2009	ALLOWANCE ADDED TO THE CONTROL ROD SCOPE FOR SUPPORT OF WESTINGHOUSE SCOPE - \$1M PER OUTAGE	MONTH END FEB 2009 FORECAST
MULTIPLE	SUPPORT OF WESTINGHOUSE CONTROL ROD SCOPE	TREND									NO	T BEICHEM	MARCH 2009	ALLOWANCE ADDED TO THE CONTROL ROD SCOPE FOR SUPPORT OF WESTINGHOUSE SCOPE - \$1M PER OUTAGE	MONTH END FEB 2009 FORECAST

CONFIDENTIAL

1                      2                      3                      4                      5                      6                      7                      8                      9                      10                      11                      12

①



2008 PROJECT DEVIATION LOG

Deviation Log Number	SCM Number	Contract Cost Center	Cost Code	Contract PO Number	Estimate Budget Line Item Number	Short Description	Deviation Type Scope/Trend/Contingency	Original Cost	Deviation Estimated Cost	Change Amount	Schedule Impact Year/s	Written Date/By	Date Written	Management Approve/Disapprove By	Date Approved/ Disapproved	Notes	Status
001	EPU-BV-08-PTN-001	Proto Power	0960-03-185-005-014 1185-03-185-005-014	115488	N/A	Turbine Test Pressure Taps	Scope Change				Yes	Richard French	6/2/08	William Labbe Jr.	08/11/08	Reference replacement document EPU-BV-08-PTN-012	5
002	EPU-FV-08-PTN-002	Bechtel	0960-03-183-005-014 1185-03-183-005-014	117808	20	AST Containment Stamp Buffering Rod (NATS Basin)	Trend/Forecast Variance				Yes	David Kruegel	10/8/08	William Labbe Jr.	10/23/08	Approved - Escalation due to moving SOW among outages	3
003	EPU-FV-08-PTN-003	Bechtel	0960-03-149-005-014 1185-03-149-005-014	117809	823	Reschedule the Upgrade of the PG Duct Cooling system	Trend/Forecast Variance				Yes	Genald King	10/7/08	William Labbe Jr.	03/18/09	Approved - Advanced scheduling into earlier outages	3
004	EPU-BV-08-PTN-004	Bechtel	0960-03-148-005-014 1185-03-148-005-014	117810	843	Reschedule the Upgrade of the Main Transformer (GSU) Cooling System, 3R26 to 3R25, 4R27 to 4R26	Scope Change				Yes	Genald King	10/7/08	George Gram	01/10/09	Reference replacement document EPU-BV-08-004 Rev. 1	5
004 Rev. 1	EPU-BV-08-PTN-004 Rev. 1	Bechtel	0960-03-148-005-014 1185-03-148-005-014	117810	843	Reschedule the Upgrade of the Main Transformer (GSU) Cooling System, 3R26 to 3R25, 4R27 to 4R26	Scope Change				Yes	Currently in revision with Tom Perryman & Mike Aumain	3/23/09			Upgrade transformer cooling vs. transformer replacement	1
005	EPU-FV-08-PTN-005	Westinghouse	0991-03-435-003-014 0982-03-435-003-014	119078	44	WEC Contract Increase for the EPU Steam Generator Consultation	Trend/Forecast Variance				None	David Kruegel	10/16/08	Bill Labbe	10/22/08	Costs are within budget based off of unit modification.	3
006	EPU-BV-08-PTN-006	Siemens	0927-03-215-005-014 1183-03-215-005-014	118751	N/A	MSR Crossover Piping Analysis for MSR replacement	Scope Change				None	David Kruegel	10/23/08	William Labbe Jr. Raj Kundaliker approved SSJ	10/22/08	Costs are within budget based off of unit modification.	3
007	EPU-FV-08-PTN-007	Westinghouse	0991-03-435-003-014 0982-03-435-003-014	104980	42	NSSS Critical Path Activities	Trend				None	Tom Perryman	3/24/2009	N/A	N/A	No Action Required	N/A
008	EPU-FV-08-PTN-008	SHAW	1444-03-431-002-014 1444-03-432-002-014 1445-03-431-002-014 1445-03-432-002-014	112177	42	LAR Prep	Trend				Continuously behind schedule in 4th Quarter 2008	Tom Perryman	3/24/2009	N/A	N/A	Letter to SHAW RFP EPU-08-0188 Placing on notice	N/A
009	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID				VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	VOID	
010	EPU-FV-08-PTN-010	Bechtel	0960-03-170-005-014 1185-03-170-005-014	117809	5	Change Condensate Pump Replacement from "on-line" to Outage Scope for 2012 Outages	Trend/Forecast Variance				Yes	Dave Kruegel	12/8/08	Bill Jefferson	01/10/09	Increased scope to 2012 Outages - In review with CSE	2
011	EPU-FV-08-PTN-011	NAI	0991-03-439-002-014 0982-03-439-002-014	112987	20	Emergency Containment Filter (ECF) Removal, scope to later outages	Trend/Forecast Variance				Yes	Dave Kruegel	10/23/08	William Labbe Jr.	12/18/08	3R25 to 3R26 4R26 to 4R27	3
012	EPU-BV-08-PTN-012	Proto Power	0960-03-185-005-014 1185-03-185-005-014	115489	N/A	Turbine Test Pressure Taps Scope Increase	Scope				None	Richard French	11/5/08			IN REVIEW WITH CCB	1
013	EPU-FV-08-PTN-013	Siemens	0784-03-126-005-014	118751	36	Unit 4 Generator Upgrade Options Additions	Trend/Forecast Variance				Yes	Richard French	12/17/08	Raj Kundaliker	01/23/09	NO COPY AT PTN WITH RAJ SIGNATURE - RESUBMITTED TO John Edgar	2
014	EPU-FV-08-PTN-014	Siemens	0783-03-126-005-014	118751	36	Unit 3 Generator Upgrade Options Additions	Trend/Forecast Variance				Yes	Richard French	12/17/08	Raj Kundaliker	01/23/09	Approved	3
015	EPU-FV-08-PTN-015	Bechtel	0960-03-184-005-014 1185-03-184-005-014	117809	23	PCV Modifications Pressurizer Safety Valve Mods	Trend/Forecast Variance				Yes	David Kruegel	12/23/08	George Gram	03/19/09	Currently with Bill Labbe	1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18  
 2008 TOTALS TOTAL

STATUS KEY  
 1 = Change written and in house review  
 2 = Change in review for Approval  
 3 = Change returned and approved  
 4 = Change returned and disapproved  
 5 = Void

CONFIDENTIAL

(2)



2009 PROJECT DEVIATION LOG

Deviation Log Number	SCM Number	Customer / Cost Center	Cost Code	Contract ID Number	Estimate Line Item Number	Short Description	Deviation Type (Scope/Hours/Contract/Other)	Original Cost	Adjusted Original Cost	Change Amount	Schedule Impact (Months)	Written Date/By	Date Written	Management Approve/Class/Approve Date/By	Date Approved/Disapproved	Notes	Status	
1	016	EPU-FV-09-PTN-016	Cameron	0927-93-210-005-914 1183-93-210-005-914	110796	02	Move LEFM installation to earlier outages for each unit. 3R26 to 3R25, 4R27 to 4R26	Trend/Forecast Variance				Yes	James Nelson	1/8/09	DJH Jefferson	1/23/09	3R26 to 3R25 4R27 to 4R26 Currently in CCB Folder	2
2	017	EPU-FV-09-PTN-017	TBD	0885-93-610-005-914 0886-93-610-005-914	N/A	N/A	Accelerated construction of Warehouse & Fab Shop buildings.	Trend/Forecast Variance			No Mod Schedule not affected	Nick Macgiacomo	1/20/09	George Gram	02/03/09	Accelerated construction - Currently with Bill Labbe	2	
3	018	EPU-FV-09-PTN-018	Siemens	0783-93-124-005-914 0784-93-124-005-914	116090	3G	Generator Stator Core Inspection Unit 3, scope increase	Trend/Forecast Variance			No Mod Schedule is not affected	Rich French	2/2/09	George Gram	02/14/09	Siemens work will not exceed outage - Currently with Bill Labbe	2	
4	019	EPU-BV-09-PTN-019	TBD	TBD	N/A	NA	Control Room Emergency Ventilation or other modification/Outside Air Intake Relocation	Scope Change			No. Schedule doesn't exist so there is no impact.	Mike Jermain	2/6/09	George Gram	02/09/09	Currently with Bill Labbe	2	
5	020	EPU-FV-09-PTN-020	Cameron	0927-93-210000914 1183-93-210000914	110790	N/A	Cameron LEFM Document Distribution Change Request	Trend/Forecast Variance			No	James Nelson	2/26/09			Currently in CCB review folder	2	
6	021	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	
7	022	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	
8	023	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	
9	024	EPU-FV-09-PTN-024	NAI	0991-93-439-002-914 0992-93-439-002-914	112987	2D	Alternative Source Term Radiological Consequence Analysis Additional Scope	Scope Change			No	Dave Krugel	3/11/09			Currently in CCB review folder	2	
10	025	EPU-FV-09-PTN-025	Proto Power	0903-93-165-005-914 1183-93-165-005-914	115488	N/A	Turbine Taps	Trend/Forecast Variance			No	Richard French	3/11/09	Alan Katz for George Gram	3/18/09	Currently with Bill Labbe	2	
11	026	EPU-BV-09-PTN-026	Holtech	0927-93-210-006-914 1183-93-210-006-914			Metamic Inserts	Scope Change			No	Dave Krugel	3/23/09			Currently with Rich Libensperger	1	
12	027	EPU-BV-09-PTN-027	TBD	1028-93-464-002-914 1029-93-464-002			Independent Review	Scope Change			No	Mike Jermain						

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

2009 TOTALS

TOTAL



CONFIDENTIAL

FPL 001729  
NCT-00

3