## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.).

Docket No. 080408-EG

Submitted for Filing: July 7, 2009

## PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO FLORIDA SOLAR COALITION'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 8-12)

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rule 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure in this matter, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to The Florida Solar Coalition's ("FSC") Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 8-12) and states as follows:

## **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

With respect to the "Definitions" in FSC's Second Set of Interrogatories, PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of FSC's definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules. Furthermore, PEF objects to any interrogatory that calls for PEF to create data or information that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

PEF objects to any definition or interrogatory that seeks to encompass persons or entities who are not parties to this action or that are not subject to discovery under applicable rules.

PEF also objects to any Interrogatory or Request for Production that purports to require PEF or its experts to prepare studies, analyses, or to do work for FSC that has not

been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to FSC's second interrogatories to the extent that they call for data or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law.

PEF also objects to any attempt by FSC to evade the numerical limitations set on interrogatories in the Order Establishing Procedure by asking multiple independent questions within single individual questions and subparts.

Finally, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to FSC's second interrogatories if PEF cannot locate the answers immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive information in the course of this proceeding.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to FSC's discovery at the time PEF's response is due.

R. ALEXANDER GLENN General Counsel - Florida

JOHN T. BURNETT

Associate General Counsel – Florida

PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC

299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701

Telephone: (727) 820-5184 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic and U.S. Mail this 7<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2009 to all parties of record as indicated below.

JOHN T. BURNETT

Erik Sayler, Esquire Katherine Fleming, Esquire Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Susan Clark Radey Law Firm 301 South Bronough Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Jeremy Susac Florida Energy & Climate Commission c/o Governor's Energy Office 600 South Calhoun St., Suite 251 Tallahassee, FL 32399

John W. McWhirter, Jr. P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350 E. Leon Jacobs, Jr. Williams & Jacobs, LLC 1720 S. Gadsden St., MS 14 Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Ms. Suzanne Brownless Suzanne Brownless, P.A. 1975 Buford Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32308

George S. Cavros, Esq., P.A. 120 E Oakland Park Blvd., Suite 10 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33334

Vicki Gordon Kaufman Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, PA 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301