

VOTE SHEET

July 14, 2009

Docket No. 080317-EI – Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company.

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant the Intervenors' Request for Oral Argument and TECO's Conditional Request for Oral Argument?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should grant oral argument on the Intervenors' Motion for Reconsideration, with fifteen minutes allotted to each side.

APPROVED

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant the Intervenors' Motion for Reconsideration?

Recommendation: No. The Intervenors' motion for reconsideration should be denied, however, staff recommends that the Commission correct a scrivener's error and clarify that parties will have a point of entry to contest the continuing need for the CTs and revision of the revenue requirement for the CTs and Rail Facility. Except for the scrivener's error, the Intervenors have not identified a point of fact or law that was overlooked or which the Commission failed to consider when it made its decision in the first instance.

APPROVED; *Commissioner Argenziano dissented. (also includes correction of scrivener's error, as discussed at the Commission conference.)*

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

no a. d.

Katrina J. McParrison

[Signature]

Jan Edgar

Mary Argenziano by Jay N. King
ISSUES 1, 3, 4, 5, 6

Mary Argenziano by Jay N. King
ISSUES 2, 7

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS: Commissioner Argenziano participated in the conference by telephone. She will sign the vote sheet upon her return to the office.

Commissioner Argenziano dissented on Issues 2 and 7.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07102 JUL 14 8

Vote Sheet

July 14, 2009

Docket No. 080317-EI – Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 3: Should the Commission grant TECO's Motion for Reconsideration requesting recalculation of TECO's weighted average cost of capital?

Recommendation: Yes. The appropriate weighted average cost of capital for TECO should be revised from 8.11 percent to 8.29 percent.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Should the annual base rate revenue increase and the step increase granted in Order No. PSC-09-0283-FOF-EI be revised to reflect the revised weighted average cost of capital?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that the approved annual base rate revenue increase should be increased from \$104,268,536 to \$113,604,121, a \$9,335,585 increase, to reflect the revised weighted average cost of capital. In addition, the approved 2010 step increase should be increased from \$33,561,370 to \$34,077,079, a \$515,709 increase.

APPROVED

Issue 5: How should the revised annual base revenue increase be distributed among the rate classes?

Recommendation: If the Commission approves a revised annual base revenue increase in Issue 4, the increase should be allocated to each rate class consistent with the cost of service methodology approved in the Final Order to retain the relative class relationships.

APPROVED, *with recognition that this is consistent with prior decisions.*

Vote Sheet

July 14, 2009

Docket No. 080317-EI – Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 6: What is the appropriate effective date for TECO's revised rates and charges?

Recommendation: If the Commission approves the revised annual base rate revenues recommended increase in Issue 4, the revised rates and charges should become effective for meter readings on or after 30 days following the date of the Commission vote. TECO should file revised tariffs to reflect the revised annual base rate increase approved in Issue 4 for administrative approval. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.0406(8), F.A.C., customers should be notified of the revised rates in their first bill containing the new rates. A copy of the notice should be submitted to staff for approval prior to its use.

APPROVED

Issue 7: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed upon the expiration of the time for appeal.

APPROVED; *Commissioner Argemiano dissented.*