JEFF ATWATER President of the Senate



J.R. Kelly **Public Counsel**

STATE OF FLORIDA OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

c/o THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE 111 WEST MADISON ST. **ROOM 812** TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1400 1-800-342-0222

EMAIL: OPC_WEBSITE@LEG.STATE.FL.US WWW.FLORIDAOPC.GOV



August 19, 2009

Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket Nos. 080677-EI & 090130-EI

Dear Ms. Cole:

I am enclosing for filing and appropriate distribution the Supplemental Testimony Incorporating Corrections of OPC witness Sheree Brown, together with certain revised exhibits.

OPC apprised parties of these corrections during the Prehearing Conference of August 17, 2009, and the changes that Ms. Brown addresses in the enclosed testimony have been reflected in OPC's position statements.

Please indicate the time and date of receipt on the enclosed duplicate of this

COM	Please indicate the time and	date of receipt on the enclosed dup
ECR	letter and return it to our office.	
GCL	<u>a</u>	Sincerely,
\circ PC		Omocrony,
	STRACE STRATEGY THE	a an motto
	<u> </u>	Ja a. Mislothler
SIC	2	Joseph A. McGlothlin
SGA		Associate Public Counsel
ADM		
OT W	ICTEOL	

All parties of record CC:

JAS:bsr

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08669 AUG 198

FPSC-COMMISSION OF FRK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for increase in rates)	Docket No. 080677-EI
by Florida Power & Light Company.)	
In Re: 2009 depreciation and dismantlement		Docket No. 090130-EI
study by Florida Power & Light Company.)	
• • •)	FILED: August 19, 2009

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY TO INCORPORATE CORRECTIONS

OF

SHEREE L. BROWN

ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

COCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

6869 AUG 198

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for increase in rates by Florida Power & Light Company.)	Docket No. 080677-EI
In Re: 2009 depreciation and dismantlement study by Florida Power & Light Company.		Docket No. 090130-EI
2322) 2) 2121.da 20 of a Dight Company.)	FILED: August 19, 2009

SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY TO INCORPORATE CORRECTIONS

OF

SHEREE L. BROWN

ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

EXHIBITS

Uncollectible Accounts Adjustment	SLB-5-REVISED
Uncollectible Accounts Expense	SLB-6-REVISION 2
Load Forecast Analysis	SLB-9-REVISED
Load Forecast Adjustment	SLB-10-REVISED
OPC Consolidated Revenue Impact	SLB-26-REVISION 2

1		SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY TO INCORPORATE CORRECTIONS
2		OF
3		Sheree L. Brown
4		On Behalf of the Office of Public Counsel
5		Before the
6		Florida Public Service Commission
7		Docket Nos. 080677-EI and 090130-EI
8		
9	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, EMPLOYER, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
10	A.	My name is Sheree L. Brown. I am employed by Utility Advisors' Network, Inc.
11		("UAN"). My business address is 530 Mandalay Rd., Orlando, Florida 32809.
12		
13	Q.	DID YOU SUBMIT DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING ON
14		JULY 16, 2009?
15	A.	Yes. On July 16, 2009, I submitted direct testimony on behalf of the citizens of the
16		State of Florida represented by the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC").
17		
18	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
19	A.	The purpose of this supplemental testimony is to correct and modify the load
20		forecast and bad debt adjustments contained in my direct testimony, and to correct
21		an error in the value shown for accumulated depreciation in one of my schedules.
22		
23	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENTS YOU ARE MAKING IN THIS
24		SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY.

1	A.	I am revising my load forecast adjustment to correct an error in the calculation of the
2		re-anchoring percentage in 2008 and an error in the loss factors used to calculate the
3		change in sales due to the revised load forecast.
4		
5		I am also revising my bad debt adjustment to modify my calculation of the impact of
6		remote control switches ("RCS") based on information provided by FPL witness,
7		Marlene Santos, who clarified the purpose of the RCS adjustment to bad debt.
8		Lastly, I am providing a revised consolidated revenue impact of all the OPC
9		witnesses' adjustments incorporating the changes noted herein.
0		
1	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE LOAD FORECAST.
2	A.	In my Exhibit_(SLB-9), page 1 of 3, the adjustment for energy efficiency and
3		wholesale sales in 2008 was taken in error from the 2009 adjustments. This error
14		caused an understatement of the model error, which was used for the re-anchoring
15		adjustment. In addition, there was an error in the application of the loss factors used
16		to derive the adjustment in sales from the change in the load forecast. My
17		corrections of these errors have been reflected in the recalculation of the adjusted
18		revenues and revenue impacts on the following exhibits, which are attached.
19		Exhibit_(SLB-9)-REVISED, Page 1 of 3
20		Exhibit(SLB-9)-REVISED, Page 2 of 3
21		Exhibit(SLB-9)-REVISED, Page 3 of 3
22		Exhibit(SLB-10)-REVISED, Page 1 of 4
23		Exhibit(SLB-10)-REVISED, Page 2 of 4
24		Exhibit(SLB-10)-REVISED, Page 3 of 4
25		Exhibit (SLB-10)-REVISED, Page 4 of 4

1	Q.	HAVE YOU ADJUSTED THE CONSOLIDATED OPC CASE TO REFLECT
2		THIS REVISION?
3	A.	Yes. Although the original consolidated OPC adjustments incorporated the load
4		forecast adjustment without re-anchoring, I have incorporated the re-anchoring
5		adjustment in the revised consolidated OPC adjustment calculations. The revised
6		adjustments have been incorporated into Exhibit_(SLB-26)-Revision 2, pages 1 and
7		2 of 2.
8		
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE REVENUE IMPACT OF THE REVISED LOAD FORECAST
10		ADJUSTMENT WITH RE-ANCHORING?
l 1	A.	As shown on Exhibit(SLB-10)-REVISED, Pages 1 and 3 of 4, the revised revenue
12		impact of this adjustment is a decrease to FPL's revenue requirements of \$36.969
13		million in 2010 and \$30.727 million in 2011.
14		
15	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT TO THE BAD DEBT
16		CALCULATIONS.
17	A.	In preparing my direct testimony, OPC did not have any information from FPL
18		supporting its adjustment to the write-offs associated with "RCS". In her rebuttal
19		testimony, FPL Witness Marlene Santos explained that the adjustment is associated
20		with remote control switches which will enable remote disconnects. She noted that
21		the deployment schedule was based on having the procedures and equipment in
22		place to operate the system. I have modified my RCS adjustment to reflect FPL's
23		deployment schedule and estimated savings percentage applied to the test year write-

offs, rather than the 2007 write-offs used by FPL in its RCS adjustment. The revised

•		
1		bad debt adjustments for 2010 and 2011 are shown in Exhibit_(SLB-5)-REVISED
2		and Exhibit_(SLB-6)-REVISION 2, pages 1 and 2 of 2.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE REVENUE IMPACT OF THE REVISED ADJUSTMENT TO
5		BAD DEBT?
6	A.	As explained in my direct testimony, FPL is requesting that the bad debt associated
7		with clause revenues be removed from base rates. OPC is opposed to moving
8		additional cost into the clauses; therefore, I have calculated the revenue impact of the
9		revised adjustment assuming that the uncollectible accounts expenses will all be
10		collected through base rates. As shown on Exhibit_(SLB-6)-REVISION 2, page 1
11		of 2, the uncollectible accounts expense should be reduced by \$6,573,534 in 2010
12		and \$6,164,229 in 2011. Assuming that the uncollectible accounts expense is all
13		collected through base rates, the adjustment reverses FPL's removal of uncollectible
14		accounts expense, resulting in a net increase to uncollectible accounts expenses
15		recovered through base rates of \$10,319,466 in 2010 and \$7,710,771. The total
16		revenue impact of this adjustment, assuming that clause-related bad debt is
17		recovered through base rates, is an increase of \$9.643 million in 2010 and \$6.978
18		million in 2011. The total revenue impact reflects the associated change in the
19		revenue expansion factor.
20		
21	Q.	HAVE YOU CALCULATED THE REVISED UNCOLLECTIBLE

Q. HAVE YOU CALCULATED THE REVISED UNCOLLECTIBLE

ACCOUNTS EXPENSE, ASSUMING THAT THE COMMISSION ALLOWS

FPL TO TRANSFER A PORTION OF THE UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS

EXPENSE TO CLAUSE RECOVERY?

1	A.	Yes. Exhibit_(SLB-6)-Revision 2, pages 1 and 2 also provides the revised
2		uncollectible accounts expense, assuming transfer of a portion of the uncollectible
3		accounts expense to clause recovery. As shown on Exhibit_(SLB-6)-Revision 2,
4		page 1 of 2, the revised uncollectible accounts expense in base rates would be \$7.229
5		million in 2010 and \$5.689 million in 2011, reflecting reductions of \$2.203 million
6		in 2010 and \$2.166 million in 2011. The total base rate revenue impact of this
7		adjustment, including the associated change in the revenue expansion factor, is a
8		decrease in revenue requirements of \$2.913 million in 2010 and \$2.921 million in
9		2011.
10		
11	Q.	DID YOU MAKE ANY OTHER CORRECTIONS?
12	A.	Yes. In my original calculation of the 2010 consolidated revenue impacts contained
13		in Exhibit(SLB-26), Page 2 of 2, the add-back of the West County Energy Center
14		3 accumulated depreciation was entered as \$1.635 million. The correct amount is
15		\$6.54 million.
16		
17	Q.	HAVE YOU INCORPORATED THESE ADJUSTMENTS INTO THE OPC
18		CONSOLIDATED REVENUE IMPACT EXHIBITS?
19	A.	Yes. Exhibit_(SLB-26)-Revision 2, pages 1 and 2 of 2 are attached. These exhibit
20		incorporate the following adjustments to OPC's original consolidated position filed
21		in my direct testimony on July 16, 2009:
22		• the revised load forecast adjustment is included with the corrections noted
23		herein and with the re-anchoring adjustment, as calculated after consideration
24		of the minimum use accounts adjustment;

1		• the bad debt adjustment as revised to correct the calculation of the impacts of
2		RCS; and
3		• the correction to the add-back of West County Energy Center accumulated
4		depreciation.
5		•
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE REVISED TOTAL REVENUE IMPACT OF THE
7		ADJUSTMENTS PROPOSED BY THE OPC WITNESSES?
8	A.	As shown on Exhibit_(SLB-26)-Revision 2, page 1 of 2, the reduction in the 2010
9		revenue deficiency is \$1.298 billion, resulting in a 2010 total jurisdictional revenue
10		excess of \$254.51 million. When offset by an increase in miscellaneous service fees
11		of \$100.352 million, the net base rate reduction required in 2010 is \$354.862 million
12		or a reduction of \$1.323 billion from the increase proposed by FPL.
13		As shown on Exhibit_(SLB-26)-Revision 2, page 2 of 2, the total jurisdictional
14		revenue impact of the proposed adjustments is \$1.282 billion, resulting in a 2011
15		total jurisdictional revenue deficiency of \$24.835 million. When offset by an
16		increase of \$102.402 million in miscellaneous service fee revenues, the net base rate
17		reduction required in 2011 is \$77.567 million, or a reduction of \$1.308 billion from
18		the increase proposed by FPL.
19		
20	Q.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
21	A.	Yes.

<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u> <u>DOCKET NO. 080677-EI & 090130-EI</u>

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Supplemental Testimony To

Incorporate Corrections of Sheree L. Brown has been furnished by U.S. Mail on the 19th day of August, 2009.

R. Wade Litchfield Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859

Anna Williams
Jean Hartman
Lisa Bennett
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Kenneth L Wiseman, Mark F. Sundback Jennifer L. Spina, Lisa M. Purdy Andrews Kurth LLP 1350 I Street NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq. John T. LaVia, II, Esq. Young van Assenderp, P.A. 225 South Adams Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

John W. McWhirter, Jr.
Florida industrial Power Users Group
c/o McWhirter Law Firm
P.O. Box 3350
Tampa, FL 33601

Vicki Gordon Kaufman Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Keefe Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 John T. Butler Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Thomas Saporito, President Post Office Box 8413 Jupiter, Florida 33468-8413

Bryan S. Anderson Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Robert A. Sugarman D. Marcus Braswell, Jr. Sugarman & Susskind, P.A. 100 Miracle Mile, Suite 300 Coral Gables, FL 33134

Bill McCollum Cecilia Bradley Office of Attorney General The Capitol-PL01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association 6030 Hollywood Blvd. Hollywood, FL 33024 Brian P. Armstrong, Esq. Marlene K. Stern, Esq. Nabors, Giblin & Nickerson, P.A. 1500 Mahan Drive, Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32308

Stephanie Alexander Tripp Scott, P.A. 200 West College Ave., Suite 216 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Barry Richard Greenberg Traurig, P.A. 101 East College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tamela Ivey Perdue, Esq. Associated Industries of Florida 516 North Adams Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Captain Shayla L McNeil AFLOA/JACL-ULT AFCESA 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 Tyndall Air Force Base, FL 32403

Joseph A. McGlothlin
Associate Public Counsel

FPSC Docket 080677-El Uncollectible Accounts Adjustment Exhibit__(SLB-5) -REVISED

Florida Power & Light Company Incremental Write-Off Savings Due to Automatic Bill Payments

Line	Calculation of ABP Increase	<u> 2005</u>	<u> 2006</u>	2007	2008	3	2009	2010 [2]		2011[2]
1	Year end estimated number of ABP Customers [1]	8,676	21,808	50,128	81,013		109,527	128802.0107	1	51469.1168
2	Percent Increase		151.36%	129.86%	61.61%		35.20%	17.60%		17.60%
3	Estimated savings per account per year[1]	\$ 8.97	\$ 18.44	\$ 19.71	\$ 19.71	\$	19.71	\$ 19.71	\$	19.71
4	Estimated total write-off savings	\$77,805	\$402,144	\$ 987,942	\$ 1,596,625	\$2,	158,588	\$ 2,538,688	\$	2,985,456
5	2008 Savings reflected in Write-off regression [3]				\$ 1,064,417			,		,
6	Incremental savings					\$ 1,1	094,171	\$ 1,474,271	\$	1,921,040
7	Incremental Savings used by FPL [1]					\$	561,963	\$ 561,963	\$	561,963
8	Additional savings					\$:	532,208	\$ 912,308	\$	1,359,077
	RCS Avoided Write-offs [4]							<u>2010</u>		2011
9	Write-off before adjustments							24,534,447	2	4.091.925
10	Residential Write-offs avoided (50%)							\$ 12,267,224	\$	12,045,963
11	Deployment Rate							4%		30%
12	RCS Adjustment for Test year							\$ 490,689	\$	3,613,789

Notes:

- [1] Per the response to OPC's Second POD No. 12.
- [2] Increase in ABP customers is assumed to be one-half of the 2009 increase rate.
- [3] Regression used actual data from January through August. Assume 8/12 of the annual savings.
- [4] Per the response to OPC's Second POD No. 12, file "OPC's 2nd POD No 12 FPL 131322 Write_off_with_RCS_in_2010.xls.

FPSC Docket 080677-EI Uncollectible Accounts Expense Exhibit__(SLB-6) -Revision 2 Page 1 of 2

Florida Power & Light Company Revenue Impact of Proposed Adjustments to Uncollectible Accounts Expense

Line	Description	FPL	OPC	Adjustment
1	Uncollectible Accounts Expense [1]	26,325,000	19,751,466	(6,573,534)
2	Remove Uncollectible Accounts Expense to Clause Recovery [2]	(16,893,000)	-	(16,893,000)
3	Uncollectible Accounts Expense in Base Rates	9,432,000	19,751,466	10,319,466
	If Commission allows Uncollectible Accounts Expense to be transferred to clause recovery			
4	Uncollectible Accounts Expense [1]	26,325,000	19,751,466	(6,573,534)
5	Remove Uncollectible Accounts Expense to Clause Recovery [3]	(16,893,000)	(12,522,905)	4,370,095
6	Uncollectible Accounts Expense in Base Rates	9,432,000	7,228,561	(2,203,439)
7	Bad Debt Factor	0.0026	0.001927	
8	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.633424598	1.632322352	
9	Total Revenue Impact of Change in Uncollectible Accounts Expense and Revenue Expansion Factor [4]			\$ 9,642,671
10	Total Revenue Impact of Change in Uncollectible Accounts Expense and Revenue Expansion Factor, assuming Commission allows transfer to clause recovery [5]			\$ (2,913,470)

^[1] Per Exhibit_(SLB-6)-Revision 2, page 2 of 2, Line 19, columns c and d.

^[2] Per Exhibit__(SLB-6)-Revision 2, page 2 of 2, Line 20, columns c and d.

^[3] Per Exhibit (SLB-6)-Revision 2, page 2 of 2, Line 20, columns c and e.

^[4] Per Exhibit_(SLB-6)-Revision 2, Page 2 of 2, Line 41, column d.

^[5] Per Exhibit__(SLB-6)-Revision 2, Page 2 of 2, Line 41, column e.

FPSC Docket 080677-EI **Uncollectible Accounts Expense** Exhibit__(SLB-6)-Revision 2 Page 2 of 2

Florida Power & Light Company Revenue impact of Proposed Adjustments to Uncollectible Accounts Expense

	Description		2010			2011	
		FPL	12/1/2008	12/1/2008	FPL	12/1/2008	12/1/2008
		11/30/2008	Revenue Factor	Apply Rev Factor	11/30/2008	Revenue Factor	Apply Rev Factor
	i		Move Clause back			Move Clause back	
			to Base Rates			to Base Rates	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1	Rev 12MOE Dec	12,003,993,341	12,003,993,341	12,003,993,341	12,774,402,027	12,774,402,027	12,774,402,02
2	Rev 12MOE Aug	11,834,906,031	11,834,906,031	11,834,906,031	12,505,161,419	12,505,161,419	12,505,161,419
3	Net Write-offs (Regression Frcsts)	28,400,000	24,534,447	24,534,447	25,600,000	24,091,925	24,091,92
4	RCS Bus Case Net WO Savings		-490,689	(490,689)	(2,607,692)	-3,613,789	(3,613,789
5	ABP Savings	(383,506)	-912,308	(912,308)	-	-1,359,077	(1,359,077
6	Net WOs Adj'd for RCS Savings	28,016,494	23,131,450	23,131,450	22,992,308	19,119,060	19,119,06
7	Reg Prov Adjits	(1,600,000)	-1,167,595	(1,167,595)	(1,300,000)	-1,197,920	(1,197,920
8	Other Prov Adj'ts	0	0		٥	0	1
9	UAR	26,416,494	21,963,855	21,963,855	21,692,308	17,921,140	17,921,14
	Net WO Rate Excl RCS Savings						
10	Unlagged Rev	0.237%	0.204%	0.204%	0.200%	0.189%	0.1899
11	Lagged Rev	0.240%	0.207%	0.207%	0.205%	0.193%	0.1939
40	Net WO Rate Adj'd for RC\$ Savings	0.233%	0.193%	0.193%	0.180%	A 45501	0.4500
12 13	Unlagged Rev Lagged Rev	0.233%	บ.าษ3% 0.195%		0.180% 0.184%	0.150% 0.153%	0.1509 0.1539
13	ragged Kev	0.23776	0.193%	0.195%	U. 10470	0.15376	0,1557
14	Adjusted Revenue per Schedule C-4 (440-4	46, 451)			\$ 11,200,662,000		\$ 11,200,662,000
15	Clause Revenues				\$ 7,107,281,000	\$ 7,107,281,000	\$ 7,107,281,000
16	Base Rate Revenues			\$ 3,972,991,000			\$ 4,093,381,000
17	Adjusted net WO rate	0.260%	0.193%	0.193%	0.207%	0.150%	0.1509
18	Revised Net Write-off [1]	28,017,000					\$ 16,763,691
19	Revised UAR [2]	26,325,000	19,751,466		\$ 21,730,000	\$ 15,565,771	\$ 15,565,771
20	Amount allocated to clauses [3]	16,893,000			\$ 13,875,000	\$ -	\$ 9,877,122
21	Amount allocated to Base Rates	9,432,000					\$ 5,688,649
22	Amount allocated to Base Rates per FPL	\$ 9,432,000			\$ 7,855,000		\$ 7,855,000
23	Adjustment		\$ 10,319,466	\$ (2,203,439)	\$ -	\$ 7,710,771	\$ (2,166,351
	Change to Revenue Expansion Factor						
24	Revenue Requirement	1	1	1	1	1	
25	Regulatory Assessment Rate	0.00072	0.00072	0.00072	0.00072	0.00072	0.0007
26	Bad Debt Rate	0.260%	0.193%	0.193%	0.00207	0.00150	0.00150
27	Net before income Taxes	0.99668	0.99735302	0.99735302	0.99721	0.99778333	0.9977833
28	State Income Tax	0.0548174	0.054854416	0.054854416	0,05484655	0,054878083	0.05487808
29	Federal Income Tax	0.32965191	0.329874512	0.329874512	0.329827208	0.330016837	0.33001663
30	Revenue Expansion Factor	0.61221069	0.612624093	0.612624093	0.612536243	0.612888411	0.61288841
31	Net Operating Income Multiplier	1.63342	1.63232	1.63232	1.63256	1.63162	1.6316
	Recalculation of Revenue Deficiency						
32	Net Operating Income after taxes	725,883,909	\$ 719,545,178	727,237,372	\$ 662,776,000	\$ 658,039,659	\$ 664,106,681
33	Rate Base	\$ 17,063,590,000	\$ 17,063,590,000	\$ 17,063,590,001	\$ 17,880,402,000	\$ 17,880,402,000	\$ 17,880,402,000
34	Return on Rate Base	4.25%	4.22%	4.26%	3.71%	3.68%	3.719
	Proposed Return on Rate Base	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	8.18%	8.18%	8,189
35	Defeioner of Decisional Debins	\$ 638,862,019					
35 36	Deficiency at Proposed Return			1.63232	1.63256	1.63162	1,6316
35 36 37	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.63342	1.63232				
35 36 37 38	Revenue Expansion Factor Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return	\$ 1,043,532,936	\$ 1,053,175,607	\$ 1,040,619,466	\$ 1,305,785,402	\$ 1,312,762,993	\$ 1,302,863,928
35 36 37 38 39	Revenue Expansion Factor Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return Less Increase in Miscellaneous Service Fee	\$ 1,043,532,936 \$ 75,328,000	\$ 1,053,175,607 \$ 75,328,000	\$ 1,040,619,466 \$ 75,328,000	\$ 1,305,785,402 \$ 76,367,000	\$ 1,312,762,993 \$ 76,367,000	\$ 1,302,863,928 \$ 76,367,000
35 36 37 38	Revenue Expansion Factor Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return	\$ 1,043,532,936 \$ 75,328,000	\$ 1,053,175,607	\$ 1,040,619,466 \$ 75,328,000	\$ 1,305,785,402	\$ 1,312,762,993 \$ 76,367,000	\$ 1,302,863,928

 ²⁰¹⁰ amounts rounded per Schedule C-11.
 Adjusted per Schedule C-4, page 6 of 12, Lines 30 and 31 for 2010 and 2011.
 Columns c and f from MFR Schedule C-2, page 4 of 4, line 8 for 2010 and 2011. Columns e and h are Line 19 x (Line 15/Line 14).

FPSC Docket 080677-EI Load Forecast Analysis Exhibit__(SLB-9)- REVISED Page 1 of 3

Florida Power & Light Company Load Forecast Analysis Recalculation of Minimum Use Customer and Re-anchoring Adjustments

Year (a)	Customers[1] (b)	Min Use Customers[1] (c)	Customers	Incremental Min Use Customers [2] (e)=([d-fn[2])*(b))	iost kWh Sales [3] {f}=(e)*fn[3}*12	Lost NEL[4] (g)=[f]/(1-fn[4])	NEL Before Adjustment[5] (h)	Min Use Adjustment % (i)×(g)/(h)	Revised NEL before NEPACT and wholesale (j)=(h)-(g)		Revised NEL before Re-anchoring (1)=(J)+(k)	Actual NEL[5] (m)	Error	Revised MEL with Re-anchoring {a)=(I}*(1+n)
20081: 20091: 20101: 20111:	2 3,993,641 2 4,010,837	347,000 359,000	8.69% 8.95%	50,619 61,34	9 666,670,478	711,146,277	114,205,884,474	-0.62% -0.75%	113,494,738,197 114,096,390,857	(2,270,684,789) (2,009,402,523)	111,829,257,091 111,224,053,408 112,086,988,335 113,633,626,793		-0.702% -0.702% -0.702% -0.702%	110,442,783,444 111,299,656,865

Assumptions

[1] Information provided in the response to OPC's 3rd set of interrogatories, question 175. Customers are averaged for the year. Minimum Use Customers were shown as 12 months ending

[2] Percent of residential customers with minimum use (Aug 2003-Dec 2007 12 month averages) 7.42%

[3] Average Use per customer for customers greater than 200 kWhs 1,200

Average use per customers less than 200 kWhs

	empty_homes_history file	200812	Avg Use	Total
	0-50 kWh	77,231	25	1,930,765
	51-100 kWh	91,035	75	6,827,613
	101-150 kWh	91,289	125	11,411,177
	151-200 kWh	88,572	175	15,500,173
		348,127		35,669,727
	Average Use for Customers < 200 kWh			102.46
	kWh sales lost if Customer shifts from average use > 200 kWh to minimum use			1,097.54
[4]	Residential loss factor from E-19c			6.25%

^[5] Per the response to OPC's Second Request for Production of Documents, file *OPC's 2nd Request for Production of Documents No 14.

FPSC Docket 080677-Et Load Forecast Analysis Exhibit__(SLB-9) -REVISED Page 2 of 3

Florida Power & Light Company Load Forecast Analysis Revenue Calculations - Minimum Use Correction Only

		Losis		FPL NEL		Change	Avg Base
		Factors		with revised	Adjusted Sales	in	Energy Rate
Month	FPL NEL	OPC3-166	Sales	Minimum Use	Level	Sales \$	0.03631
January-09	7,970,298	5.53%	7,529,541	8,043,081	7,598,299	68,758 \$	2,496,60
February-09	7,225,408	5.92%	6,797,664	7,291,567	6,859,906	62,242 \$	2,260,00
March-09	8,038,802	524%	7,617,569	8,112,313	7,687,227	69,659 \$	2,529,30
April-09	8,450,611	6.76%	7,879,350	8,528,097	7,951,597	72,247 \$	2,623,30
May-09	9,338,175	6.56%	8,725,591	9,423,778	8,805,578	79,987 \$	2,904,32
June-09	10,368,933	6.22%	9,723,985	10,464,106	9,813,239	89,254 \$	3,240,80
July-09	10,780,185	6.99%	10,026,650	10,879,156	10,118,703	92,053 \$	3,342,43
August-09	10,984,756	5.98%	10,327,868	11,085,679	10,422,755	94,887 \$	3,445,35
September-09	10,634,838	7.41%	9,846,796	10,732,491	9,937,214	90,417 \$	3,283,04
October-09	9,446,372	7.11%	8,774,735	9,533,072	8,855,270	80,536 \$	2,924,25
November-09	8,265,202	5.43%	7,816,402	8,340,919	7,888,007	71,606 \$	2,600,00
December-09	7,936,121	624%	7,440,907	8,008,525	7,508,793	67,886 \$	2,464,94
	109,439,702		102,507,057	110,442,783	103,446,589	939,532 \$	34,114,39
January-10	7,981,273	5.:53%	7,539,909	8,059,704	7,614,002	74.093 \$	2,690,33
February-10	7,264,759	5.92%	6,834,685	7,336,389	6,902,074	67,389 \$	2,446,89
March-10	8,094,355	5.24%	7,670,211	8,174,032	7,745,713	75,501 \$	2,741,4
April-10	8,506,223	6.76%	7,931,203	8,590,373	8,009,664	78,461 S	2,848,97
May 10	9,381,556	6.56%	8,766,126	9,474,547	8,853,017	86,891 \$	3,155,01
June-10	10,401,196	6.22%	9,754,242	10,504,992	9,851,581	97,340 \$	3,534,40
July-10	10,834,489	6.99%	10,077,159	10,942,705	10,177,810	100,652 \$	3,654,65
August-10	11,041,400	5.98%	10,381,125	11,151,754	10,484,879	103,755 \$	3,767,33
September-10	10,701,546	7.41%	9,908,562	10,808,366	10.007,466	98,904 \$	3,591,21
October-10	9,547,070	7.11%	8,868,273	9,641,764	8,956,235	87,961 S	3,193,88
November-10	8,383,508	5.43%	7,928,283	8,466,334	8,006,612	78,329 \$	2,844,13
December-10	8,069,565	6.24%	7,566,024	8,148,697	7,640,218	74,194 \$	2,693,99
	110,206,941	 -	103,225,801	111,299,657	104,249,272	1,023,471 \$	37,162,23
January-11	8,094,504	5.53%	7,646,878	8,159,360	7,708,147	61,269 \$	2,224,68
February-11	7,400,257	5.:92%	6,962,162	7,459,846	7,018,223	56,061 \$	2,035,59
March-11	8,244,310	5.24%	7,812,308	8,310,536	7,875,064	62,756 \$	2,278,65
April-11	8,654,0 6 5	6.76%	8,069,050	8,724,094	8,134,346	65,295 \$	2,370,87
May-11	9,524,024	6.56%	8,899,248	9,601,397	8,971,546	72,297 \$	2,625,12
June-11	10,540,303	6.22%	9,884,697	10,626,719	9,965,737	81,040 \$	2,942,56
july-11	10,975,031	6.99%	10,207,877	11,065,144	10,291,690	83,813 \$	3,043,26
August-11	11,189,308	5.98%	10,520,187	11,281,277	10,606,657	86,469 \$	3,139,70
September-11	10,846,535	7.41%	10,042,806	10,935,526	10,125,203	82,397 \$	2,991,8
October-11	9,685,122	7.11%	8,996,510	9,763,880	9,069,668	73,158 \$	2,656,38
November-11	8,544,317	5.43%	8,080,361	8,613,323	8,145,620	65,259 \$	2,369,54
December-11	8,228,558	6.24%	7,715,096	8,294,330	7,776,763	61,667 \$	2,239,13
-	111,926,335		104,837,180	112,835,431	105,688,664	851,483 \$	30,917,36

FPSC Docket 080677-EI Load Forecast Analysis Exhibit_(SLB-9)-REVISED Page 3 of 3

Florida Power & Light Company Load Forecast Analysis Revenue Calculations - Minimum Use Correction and Remove Re-anchoring Adjustment

		Loss Factors		FPL NEL with revised Minimum Use	Adjusted Sales	Change in	Avg Base Energy Rate
Month	FPL NEL	OPC3-166	Sales	and No Reanchoring	Level	Sales \$	0.036310
	# A7A 3AA	+ 15.70/					
January-09	7,970,298	5,53%	7,529,541	8,099,978	7,652,049	122,508 \$	4,448,278
February-09	7,225,408	5.92%	6,797,664	7,343,147	6,908,433	110,769 \$	4,022,015
March-09	8,038,802	5.24%	7,617,569	8,169,699	7,741,607	124,038 \$	4,503,813
April-09	8,450,611	6.76%	7,879,350	8,588,424	8,007,847	128,497 \$	4,665,717
May-09	9,338,175	6.56%	8,725,591	9,490,441	8,867,868	142,277 \$	5,166,091
June-09	10,368,933	6.22%	9,723,985	10,538,129	9,882,658	158,672 \$	5,761,397
July-09	10,780,185	6.99%	10,026,650	10,956,114	10,190,282	163,632 \$	5,941,487
August-09	10,984,756	5.98%	10,327,868	11,164,099	10,496,486	168,618 \$	6,122,505
September-09	10,634,838	7.41%	9,846,796	10,808,413	10,007,509	160,713 \$	5,8 35,484
October-09	9,446,372	7. 11%	8,774,735	9,600,509	8,917,912	143,178 \$	5,198,788
November-09	8,265,202	5.43%	7,816,402	8,399,923	7,943,807	127,405 \$	4,626,085
December-09	7,936,121	6.24%	7,440,907	8,065,177	7,561,910	121,003 \$	4,393,628
	109,439,702	6,:33%	102,507,057	111,224,053	104,178,368	1,671,311 \$	60,685,290
			3.55				
January-10	7,981,273	5.:53%	7,539,909	8,116,718	7,667,863	127,955 \$	4,646,037
February-10	7,264,759	5,:92%	6,834,685	7,388,286	6,950,899	116,214 \$	4,219,736
March-10	8,094,355	5.24%	7,670,211	8,231,855	7,800,506	130,294 \$	4,730,992
April-10	8,506,223	6.76%	7,931,203	8,651,141	8,066,324	135,121 \$	4,906,258
May-10	9,381,556	6.56%	8,766,126	9,541,570	8,915,643	149,517 \$	5,428,966
June-10	10,401,196	6.22%	9,754,242	10,579,304	9,921,271	167,030 \$	6,064,843
July-10	10,834,489	6.99%	10,077,159	11,020,114	10,249,808	172,649 \$	6,268,892
August-10	11,041,400	5.98%	10,381,125	11,230,641	10,559,049	177,924 \$	6,460,438
September-10	10,701,546	7.41%	9,908,562	10,884,824	10,078,258	169,697 \$	6,161,691
October-10	9,547,070	7.11%	8,868,273	9,709,970	9,019,591	151,318 \$	5,494,346
November-10	8,383,508	5.43%	7,928,283	8,526,225	8,063,251	134,968 \$	4,900,682
December-10	8,069,565	6. 24%	7,566,024	8,206,341	7,694,265	128,241 \$	4,656,428
	110,206,941	633%	103,225,801	112,086,988	104,986,729	1,760,928 \$	63,939,309
January-11	8,094,504	5.:53%	7,646,878	8,217,079	7,762,674	115,796 \$	4,204,569
February-11	7,400,257	5.92%	6,962,162	7,512,617	7,067,870	105,708 \$	3,838,264
March-11	8,244,310	5.24%	7,812,308	8,369,324	7,930,772	118,464 \$	4,301,418
April-11	8,654,065	6.76%	8,069,050	8,785,808	8,191,888	122,838 \$	4,460,230
May-11	9,524,024	6.56%	8,899,248	9,669,317	9,035,010	135,762 \$	4,929,518
June-11	10,540,303	6.22%	9,884,697	10,701,892	10,036,234	151,538 \$	5,502,330
July-11	10,975,031	6.99%	10,207,877	11,143,418	10,364,493	156,617 \$	5,686,750
August-11	11,189,308	5.98%	10,520,187	11,361,081	10,681,688	161,501 \$	5,864,090
September-11	10,846,535	7.41%	10,042,806	11,012,883	10,196,829	154,022 \$	5,592,556
October-11	9,685,122	7. 11%	8,996,510	9,832,950	9,133,827	137,317 \$	4,985,984
November-11	8,544,317	5.43%	8,080,361	8,674,254	8,203,242	122,881 \$	4,461,797
December-11	8,228,558	6.24%	7,715,096	8,353,003	7,831,776	116,680 \$	4,236,642
_	111,926,335	633%	104,837,180	113,633,627	106,436,303	1,599,123 \$	58,064,149

FPSC Docket 080677-EI Load Forecast Adjustment Exhibit__(SLB-10)-REVISED Page 1 of 4

Florida Power & Light Company Load Forecast Adjustment 2010 Revenue Impact of Correcting the Minimum Use Adjustment

Line	Summary (\$000s)	Total Jurisdiction
1	Revenues	4,151,889
2	Less Expenses	3,145,693
3	Net Operating income before taxes	1,006,196
4	Less Taxes	257,593
5	Net Operating Income after taxes	748,603
6	Rate Base	17,064,691
7	Return on Rate Base	4.39%
8	Proposed Return on Rate Base	8.00%
9	Deficiency at Proposed Return	616,231
10	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.63342
11	Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return	1,006,566
12	Less Increase in Miscellaneous Service Fees	75,328
13	Revenue Deficiency to be collected from Sales Rev	931,238
14	Revenue Deficiency per FPL Base Case	968,207
15	Revenue Impact of Adjustments	(36,969)

FPSC Docket 080677-EI Load Forecast Adjustment Exhibit__(SLB-10)-REVISED Page 2 of 4

Florida Power & Light Company Load Forecast Adjustment 2010 Revenue Impact of Correcting the Minimum Use Adjustment and Removing Re-anchoring

		Total Jurisdiction
1	Revenues	4,178,668
2	Less Expenses	3,145,829
3	Net Operating income before taxes	1,032,839
4	Less Taxes	267,878
5	Net Operating Income after taxes	764,961
6	Rate Base	17,065,465
7	Return on Rate Base	4.48%
8	Proposed Return on Rate Base	8.00%
9	Deficiency at Proposed Return	599,935
10	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.63342
11	Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return	979,948
12	Less Increase in Miscellaneous Service Fees	75,328
13	Revenue Deficiency to be collected from Sales Reve	904,620
14	Revenue Deficiency per FPL Base Case	968,207
15	Revenue Impact of Adjustments	(63,587)

FPSC Docket 080677-EI Load Forecast Adjustment Exhibit__(SLB-10) -REVISED Page 3 of 4

Florida Power & Light Company Load Forecast Adjustment 2011 Revenue Impact of Correcting the Minimum Use Adjustment

Line	Summary (\$000s)	Total Jurisdiction
, 1	Sales of Electricity	4.005.006
2	Other Operating Revenues	4,005,826
3	Total Operating Revenues	200,117
,	Total Operating nevertibes	4,205,943
	Expenses	
4	Operating and Maintenance Expenses	1,810,291
5	Depreciation and Amortization	1,139,719
6	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	393,045
7	Amortization of Property Losses	(697)
8	Gain or Loss on Sale of Plant	(951)
9	Total Expenses before Income Taxes	3,341,407
10	Net Operating income before taxes	864,536
11	Less Taxes	182,870
12	Net Operating Income after taxes	681,666
	Rate Base	
13	Plant in Service	29,601,510
14	Accumulated Depreciation	(13,307,786)
15	Net Plant in Service	16,293,724
16	Plant Held for Future Use	71,455
17	Construction Work in Progress	772,532
18	Net Nuclear Fuel	408,163
19	Working Capital-assets	3,473,634
20	Working Capital-liabilities	(3,138,181)
21	Total Rate Base	17,881,327
22	Return on Rate Base	3.81%
23	Proposed Return on Rate Base	8.18%
24	Deficiency at Proposed Return	781,384
25	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.63256
26	Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return	1,275,654
27	Less Increase in Miscellaneous Service Fees	76,367
28	Revenue Deficiency to be collected from Sales Revenues	1,199,287
29	Revenue Deficiency per Base Case [1]	1,230,014
30	Revenue Impact of Adjustments	(30,727)

NOTES:

^[1] The revenue deficiency per Schedule E-1 is \$1,229,876. This number was adjusted to remove rounding differences between Exhibit_(SLB-2) and FPL's Schedule E-1.

Florida Power & Light Company Load Forecast Adjustment

2011 Revenue Impact of Correcting the Minimum Use Adjustment and Removing Re-Anchoring

	Summary	Total Jurisdictional
1	Sales of Electricity	4,032,973
2	Other Operating Revenues	200,118
3	Total Operating Revenues	4,233,091
	Expenses	
4	Operating and Maintenance Expenses	1,810,380
5	Depreciation and Amortization	1,139,775
6	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	393,048
7	Amortization of Property Losses	(697)
8	Gain or Loss on Sale of Plant	(951)
9	Total Expenses before Income Taxes	3,341,555
10	Net Operating income before taxes	891,536
11	Less Taxes	193,279
12	Net Operating Income after taxes	698,257
	Rate Base	
13	Plant in Service	29,602,846
14	Accumulated Depreciation	(13,308,480)
15	Net Plant in Service	16,294,366
16	Plant Heid for Future Use	71,457
17	Construction Work in Progress	772,572
18	Net Nuclear Fuel	408,196
19	Working Capital-assets	3,473,778
20	Working Capital-liabilities	(3,138,248)
21	Total Rate Base	17,882,121
22	Return on Rate Base	3.90%
23	Proposed Return on Rate Base	8.18%
24	Deficiency at Proposed Return	764,858
25	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.63256
26	Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return	1,248,675
27	Less Increase in Miscellaneous Service Fees	76,367
28	Revenue Deficiency to be collected from Sales Revenues	1,172,308
29	Revenue Deficiency per Base Case [1]	1,230,014
30	Revenue Impact of Adjustments	(57,706)

NOTES:

^[1] The revenue deficiency per Schedule E-1 is \$1,229,876. This number was adjusted to remove rounding differences between Exhibit_(SLB-2) and FPL's Schedule E-1.

Florida Power & Light Company Revenue Impact of OPC's Consolidated Adjustments - 2010

Line	Cost Of Capital	Jurisdictional	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate - FPL Base	Jurisdictional	Adjustment	Adj Jurisdiction	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighte d Cost Rate
1	Long Term Debt	5,377,787	5.55%	1.7476%	6,991,554	-	6,991,554	33.51%	5.14%	1.7227%
2	Customer Deposits	564,65.2	5.98%	0.1979%	626,383	-	626,383	3,00%		0.1796%
3	Common Equity	8,178,980	12.50%	5.9915%	9,103,999	=	9,103,999	43.64%		4.1459%
4	Short Term Debt	161,857	2.96%	0.0281%	529,647	-	629,647	3.02%		0.0685%
5	Deferred Inc Tax	2,723,327	0.00%	0.0000%	3,351,931	93,598	3,445,529	16,52%		0.0000%
6	ITC	56,983	9.74%	0.0325%	63,939	_ -	63,939	0.31%		0.0227%
7	Total	17,063,586		7.9980%	20,767,453	93,598	20,861,051			6.1390%

		Total Jurisindiction	Total Jurisiseliction -	OPC
	Summery	FPL Base	OPC Adj	Adjustments
8	Sales of Electricity	3,920,872	3,958,034	37,162
9	Other Operating Revenues	193,854	160,250	(33,604)
10	Total Operating Revenues	4,114,726	4,118,284	3,558
	Expenses			
11,	Operating and Maintenance Expenses	1,721,872	1,508,754	(213,118)
12	Depreciation and Amortization	1,075,371	513,530	(561,841)
13	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	350,371	350,217	(154)
14	Amortization of Property Losses	(1,107)	(1,107)	`- '
15	Gain or Loss on Sale of Plant	(1,002)	(1,002)	
16	Total Expenses before Income Taxes	3,145,505	2,370,392	(775,113)
17	Net Operating income before taxes	969,221	1,747,892	778,671
18	Less Taxes	243,337	545,476	302,139
19	Net Operating Income after taxes	725,884	1,202,417	476,533
	Rate Base			
20	Plant in Service	28,288,078	27,914,655	(373,423)
21	Accumulated Depreciation	(12,590,520)	(12,175,597)	414,923
22	Net Plant in Service	15,697,558	15,739,058	41,500
23	Plant Held for Future Use	74,503	70,432	(4,071)
24	Construction Work in Progress	707,531	692,754	(14,777)
25	Net Nuclear Fuel	374,733	374,772	39
26	Working Capital-assets	3,393,194	3,386,442	(6,752)
27	Working Capital-liabilities	(3,183,925)	(3,218,940)	(35,015)
28	Total Rate Base	17,063,594	17,044,518	(19,076)
29	Return on Rate Base	4.25%	7.05%	
30	Proposed Return on Rate Base	8.00%	6.14%	
31	Deficiency at Proposed Return	638,862	(156,054)	(794,916)
32	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.63342	1.63091	
33	Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return	1,043,533	(254,510)	(1,298,043)
34	Less Increase in Miscellaneous Service Fees	75,328	100,352	25,024
35	Revenue Deficiency to be collected from Sales Revenues	968,205	(354,862)	(1,323,067)
36	Revenue Deficiency per Base Case	968,207	968,207	
37	Revenue Impact of Adjustments	(2)	(1,323,069)	(1,323,067)

Florida Power & Light Company Revenue Impact of OPC's Consolidated Adjustments - 2011

Line	Cost Of Capital	Jurisdictional	Cost Rosse	Weighted Cost Rate - FPL Base	Jurisdictional	Adjustment	Adj Jurisdiction	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost Rate
1 2 3 4 5 6	Long Term Debt Customer Deposits Common Equity Short Term Debt Deferred Inc Tax	5,888,206 558,660 8,547,017 70,127 2,655,102 161,290	5.81% 5.98% 12.50% 4.61% 0.00% 9.77%	1.9133% 0.1869% 5.9751% 0.0181% 0.0000% 0.0881%	7,670,689 656,855 9,559,882 582,762 3,417,608 191,748	319,741	7,670,689 556,855 9,559,882 582,762 3,737,349 191,748	34.25% 2.93% 42.68% 2.60% 16.69% 0,86%	5.14% 5.98% 9.50% 2.27% 0.00% 7.40%	1.7602% 0.1754% 4.0545% 0.0591% 0.0000% 0.0633%
7	Tota!	17,880,402		8.1820%	22,079,544	319,741	22,399,285			6.1130%

	Summery	Total sursalization - FPL T. Base	otal Jurisdiction - OPC Adj	OPC Adjustments
8	Sales of Electricity	3,974,909	4.005.826	30,917
9	Other Operating Revenues	200,116	165,485	(34,631
10	Total Operating Revenues	4,175,025	4,171,311	(3,714
	Expenses			
11	Operating and Maintenance Expenses	1,810,193	1,594,688	(215,505
12	Depreciation and Amortization	1,139,655	570,369	
1.3	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	393,042	392,887	(569,286
14	Amortization of Property Losses	(697)	392,687 (697)	(155
15	Gain or Loss on Sale of Plant	(951)	(951)	-
16	Total Expenses before Income Taxes	3,341,242	2,556,296	(784,946
17	Net Operating income before taxes	833,783	1,615,015	781,232
18	Less Taxes	171.014	476,151	, –
19	Net Operating Income after taxes	662,769	1,138,864	305,137 476,095
	Rate Base			
20	Plant In Service	29,599,964	29,667,845	67.881
21	Accumulated Depreciation	(13,306,981)	(12,321,306)	985.675
22	Net Plant in Service	16,292,983	17,346,539	1,053,556
23	Plant Held for Future Use	71.453	67,725	(3,728)
24	Construction Work in Progress	772,484	750,081	(22,403)
25	Net Nuclear Fuel	408.125	408,163	38
26	Working Capital-assets	3,473,468	3,466,572	(6,896)
27	Working Capital-liabilities	(3,138,102)	(3,159,667)	(21,565)
28	Total Rate Base	17,880,411	18,879,413	999,002
29	Return on Rate Base	3.71%	6.03%	
30	Proposed Return on Rate Base	8.18%	6.11%	
31	Deficiency at Proposed Return	800,206	15,234	(784,972)
32	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.63256	1.63020	(101,072)
33	Revenue Deficiency at Proposed Return	1,306,381	24,835	(1,281,546)
34	Less Increase in Miscellaneous Service Fees	76,367	102,402	26,035
35	Revenue Deficiency to be collected from Sales Revenues	1,230.014	(77,567)	(1,307,581)
36	Revenue Deficiency per Base Case [1]	1,230,014	1,230,014	(1,001,001)
37	Revenue Impact of Adjustments	0	(1,307,581)	(1,307,581)

<u>NOTES:</u> [1]

The revenue deficiency per Schedule E-1 is \$1,229,876. This number was adjusted to remove rounding differences between Exhibit_[SLB-2] and FPL's Schedule E-1.