

Ruth Nettles

080677-EI  
090130-EI

**From:** Lynette Tenace [ltenace@kagmlaw.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 24, 2009 3:19 PM  
**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** Lisa Bennett; Anna Williams; Jean Hartman; Theresa Walsh; Martha Brown; mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us; swright@yvlaw.net; kwiseman@andrewskurth.com; linomendiola@andrewskurth.com; meghangriffiths@andrewskurth.com; jenniferspina@andrewskurth.com; Wade\_litchfield@fpl.com; John.Butler@fpl.com; tperdue@aif.com; barmstrong@ngnlaw.com; Cecilia.bradley@myfloridalegal.com; sda@trippscott.com; sugarman@sugarmansusskind.com; MBraswell@sugarmansusskind.com; shayla.mcneill@tyndall.af.mil; richardb@gtlaw.com; tips@fpscreports.com; Mary.Smallwood@Ruden.com; Jack.leon@fpl.com; jmcwhirter@mac-law.com  
**Subject:** Docket No. 080677-EI and 090130-EI  
**Attachments:** FIPUG Errata to Testimony of Jeffry Pollock 08.24.09.pdf

In accordance with the electronic filing procedures of the Florida Public Service Commission, the following filing is made:

a. The name, address, telephone number and email for the person responsible for the filing is:

Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.  
Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle  
118 North Gadsden Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
(850) 681-3828  
vkaufman@kagmlaw.com  
jmoyle@kagmlaw.com

b. This filing is made in Docket No. 080677-EI, In re: Petition for increase in rates by Florida Power & Light Company; and Docket No. 090130-EI, In re: 2009 depreciation and dismantlement study by Florida Power & Light Company.

c. The document is filed on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

d. The total pages in the document are 5 pages.

e. The attached document is FIPUG's Errata to Testimony of Jeffry Pollock.

Lynette Tenace

NOTE: New E-Mail Address

[ltenace@kagmlaw.com](mailto:ltenace@kagmlaw.com)



Keefe, Anchors, Gordon and Moyle, P.A.  
The Perkins House  
118 N. Gadsden St.  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
850-681-3828 (Voice)  
850-681-8788 (Fax)  
[www.kagmlaw.com](http://www.kagmlaw.com)

COM \_\_\_\_\_  
ECR \_\_\_\_\_  
GCL \_\_\_\_\_  
OPC \_\_\_\_\_  
RCP \_\_\_\_\_  
SSC \_\_\_\_\_  
SGA \_\_\_\_\_  
ADM \_\_\_\_\_  
CLK K. Pena

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and may constitute

8/24/2009

0800-4021  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLEAR

privileged work product. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the agent or employee responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or return e-mail immediately. Thank you.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for increase in rates by Florida  
Power & Light Company.

DOCKET NO. 080677-EI

In re: 2009 depreciation and dismantlement  
Study by Florida Power & Light Company

DOCKET NO. 090130-EI

Filed: August 24, 2009

**NOTICE OF SERVICE OF  
THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S  
ERRATA TO TESTIMONY OF JEFFRY POLLOCK**

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby files revised pages 11 and 60 to the testimony of Jeffry Pollock filed on July 16, 2009 by Electronic Mail and U.S. Mail on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2009.

s/ Vicki Gordon Kaufman

Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.  
Keefe, Anchors, Gordon & Moyle  
118 North Gadsden Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
(850) 681-3828 (Voice)  
(850) 681-8788 (Facsimile)  
[ykaufman@kagmlaw.com](mailto:ykaufman@kagmlaw.com)  
[jmoyle@kagmlaw.com](mailto:jmoyle@kagmlaw.com)

John W. McWhirter, Jr.  
P.O. Box 3350  
Tampa, FL 33601-3350  
(813) 505-8055 (Voice)  
(813) 221-1854 (Facsimile)  
[jmcwhirter@mac-law.com](mailto:jmcwhirter@mac-law.com)

Attorneys for FIPUG

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Errata to Testimony of Jeffry Pollock was served via Electronic Mail and First Class United States Mail this 24<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2009, to the following:

Lisa Bennett, Theresa Farley Walsh  
Anna Williams, Jean Hartman, Martha Brown  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of Legal Services  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850  
[lbennett@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:lbennett@psc.state.fl.us)  
[tfwalsh@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:tfwalsh@psc.state.fl.us)  
[anwillia@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:anwillia@psc.state.fl.us)  
[jhartman@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:jhartman@psc.state.fl.us)  
[mbrown@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:mbrown@psc.state.fl.us)

J.R. Kelly/Joseph McGlothlin  
Office of Public Counsel  
111 West Madison Street  
Room 812  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
[mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us)

Robert Scheffel Wright  
John T. LaVia, III  
Young van Assenderp, P.A.  
225 South Adams Street, Suite 200  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
[swright@yvlaw.net](mailto:swright@yvlaw.net)

Barry Richard  
Greenberg Traurig, P.A.  
101 East College Avenue  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[richardb@gtlaw.com](mailto:richardb@gtlaw.com)

Brian P. Armstrong/Marlene K. Stern  
City of South Daytona  
c/o Nabors Law Firm  
1500 Mahan Drive, Suite 200  
Tallahassee, FL 32308  
[barmstrong@ngnlaw.com](mailto:barmstrong@ngnlaw.com)

K. Wiseman, Lino Mendiola, Meghan  
Griffiths, Jennifer Spina  
Andrews Kurth LLP  
1350 I Street NW  
Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
[kwiseman@andrewskurth.com](mailto:kwiseman@andrewskurth.com)  
[linomendiola@andrewskurth.com](mailto:linomendiola@andrewskurth.com)  
[meghangriffiths@andrewskurth.com](mailto:meghangriffiths@andrewskurth.com)  
[jenniferspina@andrewskurth.com](mailto:jenniferspina@andrewskurth.com)

Wade Litchfield  
Florida Power & Light Company  
215 South Monroe Street  
Suite 810  
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859  
[Wade\\_litchfield@fpl.com](mailto:Wade_litchfield@fpl.com)

John T. Butler  
Florida Power & Light Company  
700 Universe Blvd.  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420  
[John.Butler@fpl.com](mailto:John.Butler@fpl.com)

Robert A. Sugarman  
I.B.E.W. System Council U-4  
c/o Sugarman Law Firm  
100 Miracle Mile  
Suite 300  
Coral Gables, FL 33134  
[sugarman@sugarmansusskind.com](mailto:sugarman@sugarmansusskind.com)

Bill McCollum/Cecilia Bradley  
The Capitol – PL01  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050  
[Cecilia.bradley@myfloridalegal.com](mailto:Cecilia.bradley@myfloridalegal.com)

Stephanie Alexander  
Tripp Scott, P.A.  
200 West College Avenue, Suite 216  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[sda@trippscott.com](mailto:sda@trippscott.com)

Tamela Ivey Perdue, Esq.  
Associated Industries of Florida  
516 North Adams Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[tperdue@aif.com](mailto:tperdue@aif.com)

Captain Shayla L. McNeill  
AFLOA/JACL-ULT  
AFCESA  
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1  
Tyndall Air Force Base, FL 32403  
[Shayla.mcneill@tyndall.af.mil](mailto:Shayla.mcneill@tyndall.af.mil)

Mary Smallwood, Esq.  
Ruden, McClosky, Smith, Schuster &  
Russell, P.A.  
215 South Monroe Street  
Suite 815  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[Mary.Smallwood@Ruden.com](mailto:Mary.Smallwood@Ruden.com)

Stephen Stewart  
P.O. Box 12878  
Tallahassee, FL 32317  
[tips@fpscreports.com](mailto:tips@fpscreports.com)

s/Vicki Gordon Kaufman  
Vicki Gordon Kaufman

1 Q WHAT LIFE SPAN DOES FPL ASSUME FOR ITS COAL UNITS?

2 A FPL jointly owns Plant Scherer Unit No. 4 and St. John's River Power Park  
3 (SJRPP) station. According to Exhibit CRC-1, FPL assumes these facilities will  
4 be retired in 2029 and 2028, respectively. This translates into life spans of 40  
5 years and 41 years, respectively.

6 Q HAS FPL PROVIDED ANY JUSTIFICATION FOR THE PROPOSED LIFE  
7 SPANS?

8 A No. The Company has not indicated when it will retire these units (*FPL's 2009*  
9 *Ten Year Site Plan*, Schedule 1).

10 Q ARE 40-41 YEAR LIFE SPANS REASONABLE FOR COAL UNITS?

11 A No. FPL's proposed life spans are considerably shorter than the average lives of  
12 coal-fired plants as determined in proceedings. For example:

- 13 • 60 years for Indiana-Michigan Power company's Tanner Creek  
14 Units 1 through 4 and for its Rockport Unit 1 (Indiana Utility  
15 Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43231, *Interim Order*,  
16 6/13/2007);
- 17 • 55 years for coal plants operated by Southwestern Public Service  
18 Company (New Mexico Public Regulatory Commission, Case No.  
19 07-00319-UT, *Order*, August 26, 2008);
- 20 • 60 to 63 years for coal units owned by AmerenUE (Missouri Public  
21 Service Commission, Cause No. ER-2007-0002, *Order*, May 22,  
22 2007);
- 23 • 61 years for coal units owned by Rocky Mountain Power  
24 (Wyoming Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-257-  
25 EA-6, *Record No. 10794*, June 12, 2008);
- 26 • 60 years for Public Service Company of Oklahoma (Oklahoma  
27 Corporation Commission, Cause No. PUD 200600285, *Order No.*  
28 *545168*, October 9, 2007); and
- 29 • 55 years for Georgia Power Company's Plant Scherer Units 1-3  
30 (Georgia Public Service Commission, Docket No. 25060-U  
31 Document 103566, 2007 Rate Case).

1 And finally, the proposed Rate CILC energy charges are also above cost, as  
 2 shown below. However, as explained later, this is the result of a different rate  
 3 design issue.

| Rate   | Non-Fuel<br>Energy<br>Costs | Non-Fuel<br>Energy<br>Charge |
|--------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| CILC-D | 0.710¢                      | 1.267¢                       |
| CILC-T | 0.688¢                      | 1.018¢                       |

4 Q HAS FPL EXPLAINED WHY THE NON-FUEL ENERGY CHARGES ARE  
 5 MUCH HIGHER THAN ACTUAL ENERGY COSTS?

6 A No.

7 Q HOW SHOULD THE GENERAL SERVICE DEMAND RATES BE DESIGNED?

8 A The proposed CILC non-fuel energy charges would exceed unit costs.  
 9 Accordingly, they should be scaled back to reflect cost, while the Demand  
 10 charges should be correspondingly increased to recover the target revenues  
 11 assigned to the CILC class.

12 Q DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONCERNS WITH THE PROPOSED GENERAL  
 13 SERVICE RATE DESIGN?

14 A Yes. The HLFT rates were designed for higher load factor customers. The  
 15 average load factors for HLFT customers are about 80% as compared to only  
 16 64% for GSLDT customers. However, the proposed rates would make HLFT  
 17 more expensive than GSLDT unless the customer can achieve load factors  
 18 above 84% for HLFT-2 and over 100% for HLFT-3. The latter requirement is  
 19 impractical, and it would result in customers migrating back to Rate GSLDT-2.