

**Ruth Nettles**

080677-EI

**From:** Thomas Saporito [support@renewableelectricsystems.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 27, 2009 4:05 PM  
**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** 'Wade Litchfield'; Anna Williams; 'Barry Richard'; 'Brian P. Armstrong, Esq.'; 'Cecilia Bardley'; 'D. Marcus Braswell, Jr., Esq.'; 'J.R. Kelly, Esq.'; Jean Hartman; 'Jennifer L. Spina, Esq.'; 'John T. Butler'; 'John T. LaVia, III, Esq.'; 'John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq.'; 'Jon C. Moyle, Jr.'; 'Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq.'; 'Kenneth L. Wiseman, Esq.'; Lisa Bennett; 'Lisa M. Purdy, Esq.'; 'Mark F. Sundback, Esq.'; Martha Brown; 'Mary F. Smallwood'; 'Robert A. Sugarman, Esq.'; 'Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq.'; 'Shayla L. McNeill'; 'Stephanie Alexander'; 'Tamela Ivey Perdue'; 'Vicki Gordon Kaufam'; Office of Commissioner Skop; Office of the Chairman; Office of Commissioner McMurrian; Office Of Commissioner Edgar; Office of Commissioner Argenziano  
**Subject:** <<< NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING IN FPL RATE CASE IN DOCKET NO. 080677-EI >>>  
**Attachments:** 2009-09-27 Letter to Chairman Carter.pdf

Electronic Filing on this 27<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2009.

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

Thomas Saporito  
Post Office Box 8413  
Jupiter, Florida 33468-8413  
Tel: 561-247-6404

b. Docket No. 080677-EI

In re: Petition for rate increase by Florida Power & Light Company in Docket No. 080677-EI.

c. Document being filed on behalf of Thomas Saporito.

d. There is a total of 1 page.

e. The document attached for electronic filing is *Response to September 25th, 2009, Letter From R. Wade Litchfield, Related to the FPL Rate Case in Docket No. 080677-EI*

Thank you for your attention and cooperation to this request.

Thomas Saporito, Executive Director  
**RenewableElectricSystems.com**  
Post Office Box 8413, Jupiter, Florida 33468-8413  
Voice: 561-247-6404 Fax: 561-952-4810  
Email: [Support@RenewableElectricSystems.com](mailto:Support@RenewableElectricSystems.com)  
Web: <http://RenewableElectricSystems.com>

NOTICE: This email message and any attachments to it may contain confidential information. The information contained in this transmission is intended solely for the individual(s) or entities to which the email is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you are prohibited from reviewing, retransmitting, converting to hard copy, copying, disseminating, or otherwise using in any manner this email or any attachments to it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by replying to this message and delete it from your computer.

9/28/2009

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
09959 SEP 28 8  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



RenewableElectricSystems.com  
Not-For-Profit Educational Organization

Post Office Box 8413, Jupiter, Florida 33468-8413  
Voice: (561) 247-6404 Fax: (561) 952-4810  
Email: [Support@RenewableElectricSystems.com](mailto:Support@RenewableElectricSystems.com)  
Website: [RenewableElectricSystems.com](http://RenewableElectricSystems.com)

The Hon. Matthew M. Carter, Chairman  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

*In re: Response to September 25th, 2009, Letter From R. Wade Litchfield, Related to the FPL Rate Case in Docket No. 080677-EI*

Dear Chairman Carter:

I am writing in response to the Florida Power and Light Company (FPL) letter dated September 25, 2009, authored by FPL attorney R. Wade Litchfield in the above-captioned matter. Mr. Litchfield states, in relevant part, that:

“ . . . without rate relief FPL’s 2010 return on equity is projected to decline to a grossly inadequate 4.69% . . .

*Id.* at 2. Hence, FPL’s main thrust behind its request to increase its customers’ base-rate for electric power by \$1.3-billion dollars is clearly to enrich FPL stockholders which is well-beyond the authority and jurisdiction of the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) in the instant action.

I remind the Commission that its decision in the above-captioned FPL rate-case must be based on FPL’s proof through witness testimony and exhibits that its request for a \$1.3-billion dollar increase is reasonable and prudent in expending customer funds for the construction of two additional nuclear power plants and 16-billion dollars in build-out of associated infra-structure and high-voltage distribution systems and not simply because FPL wants to provide its stockholders and potential investors with a 12.5% rate of return (ROI).

Clearly, the Office of Public Counsel (OPC) has made a strong case why the Commission should reject and deny FPL’s request to raise its customers’ base-rate for electric power and instead Order FPL to lower its existing base-rate for electric power charged to its 4.5 million customers and to further require FPL to encourage its customers to lessen and conserve their use of electric power and thereby further eliminate FPL’s need for additional electric power generation.

The undersigned wholly supports OPC’s position and would further request that the Commission Order FPL to finance lease-to-own solar-voltaic electric generating systems for its 4.5 million customers. This action would guarantee that FPL’s base-load electric demand would be significantly reduced and completely eliminate FPL’s need to construct two additional nuclear power plants and 16-billion dollars in associated infra-structure including a new high-voltage overhead distribution system.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas Saporito  
Executive Director