#### **Ruth Nettles**

000121A-TP

From:

nicki.garcia@akerman.com

Sent:

Monday, December 14, 2009 3:29 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Adam Teitzman; kip.edenfield@att.com; douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com; gene@penningtonlawfirm.com; vkaufman@kagmlaw.com; dkonuch@fcta.com; de.oroark@verizon.com; tony.mastando@deltacom.com; jerry.watts@deltacom.com; mconquest@nuvox.com; kmudge@covad.com; ebalvin@covad.com; greg.darnell@cbeyond.net; jayna.bell@cbeyond.net; sberlin@nuvox.com; gene.watkins@cbeyond.net;

carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com; kristie.ince@twtelecom.com; nora.torrez@twtelecom.com;

shelly.pedersen@twtelecom.com; julie.mendenhall@twtelecom.com; Jerry Hallenstein; Lisa Harvey;

matthew.feil@akerman.com; robert.culpepper.1@att.com; ebalvin@covad.com;

Richard Brown@accesspointinc.com; Bob.Buerrosse@xo.com; John.Ivanuska@xo.com;

Kris.Shulman@xo.com; Mhring@cavtel.com; Sharyl.Fowler@birch.com; Bill.Atkinson@sprint.com; Tracy

Hatch; tim kagele@cable.comcast.com; michael clancy@cable.comcast.com;

randee\_ryan@cable.comcast.com; richard\_wolfe@cable.comcast.com; rcurry@ststelecom.com

Subject:

Electronic Filing - Docket No. 000121A

Attachments: 20091214162326532.pdf

Attached is an electronic filing for the docket referenced below. If you have any questions, please contact either Matt Feil or Nicki Garcia at the numbers below. Thank you.

## Person Responsible for Filing:

Matthew Feil

#### AKERMAN SENTERFITT

106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 425-1614 (direct) (850) 222-0103 (main)

matt.feil@akerman.com

Docket No. and Name: Docket No. 000121A - Investigation into the establishment of operations support systems permanent performance measures for incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies (AT&T Florida Track).

Filed on behalf of: CompSouth

**Total Number of Pages:** 

Description of Documents: CLEC responses to the Action Item List generated from the December 3rd staff conference

call.

## Nicki Garcia

Office of: Lila A. Jaber Matthew Feil

Akerman Senterfitt 106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (850) 425-1677 Nicki, Garcia@Akerman.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 11931 DEC 148 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

## 12/14/20094:13:04 PM2age 2 of 2



www.akerman.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information contained in this transmission may be privileged and confidential information, and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately reply to the sender that you have received this communication in error and then delete it. Thank you.

CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: To comply with U.S. Treasury Department and IRS regulations, we are required to advise you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this transmittal, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any person for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed in this e-mail or attachment.

I 1931 DEC 148
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK



Dallas
Denver
Fort Lauderdale
Jacksonville
Los Angeles
Madison
Miami
New York
Orlando
Tallahassee
Tampa
Tysons Corner
Washington, DC
West Palm Beach

Suite 1200 106 East College Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32301 www.akerman.com 850 224 9634 tel 850 222 0103 fax

December 14, 2009

## **VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Ms. Ann Cole Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

Re: Docket 000121A -- Investigation into the establishment of operations support systems permanent performance measures for incumbent local exchange telecommunications companies. (AT&T FLORIDA TRACK)

Dear Ms. Cole:

Please find attached for filing the Competitive Local Exchange Carrier ("CLEC") responses to the Action Item List generated from the December 3<sup>rd</sup> staff conference call for the above docket. Please note that this filing represents the consensus of CLECs who participated in the conference call; including Comcast, STS and the members of CompSouth.

Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Matthew Feil

Attachments

11931 DEC 148

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Docket No. 000121A-TP CLEC Responses to December 3, 2009 Workshop Action Items December 14, 2009 Item No. 36 Page 1 of 1

# ITEM No. 36

REQUEST: Review AT&T's proposal to leave P-11 as is in the current SQM Plan, with the

exception of rolling-up disaggregation (resale and UNEs).

RESPONSE: CLECs agree to the AT&T proposal.

I 1931 DEC 148

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Docket No. 000121A-TP CLEC Responses to December 3, 2009 Workshop Action Items December 14, 2009 Item No. 37 Page 1 of 1

# ITEM No. 37

REQUEST: Review AT&T's proposed changes to this measure (see AT&T's 11/24 response to

Action Item 16).

RESPONSE: CLECs are awaiting data comparison from AT&T.

Docket No. 000121A-TP CLEC Responses to December 3, 2009 Workshop Action Items December 14, 2009 Item No. 38 Page 1 of 1

# ITEM No. 38

REQUEST: Review AT&T's proposed changes to this measure (M&R-2). (See AT&T's 11/24

response to Action Item 16.)

RESPONSE: CLECs are awaiting data comparison from AT&T.

Docket No. 000121A-TP CLEC Responses to December 3, 2009 Workshop Action Items December 14, 2009 Item No.43 Page 1 of 1

## ITEM No. 43

REQUEST: Timeline for joint Change Management Task Force.

RESPONSE: CLECs are continuing to work off a partial red line and will review pages 59-89 on

December 11 and the next section on December 18. CLECs do not have full red line from AT&T and will follow-up for missing sections. A joint call has been established for January 8, 2010, with all parties. We are unable to determine a firm project end

date, but the end of the first quarter appears likely.

Docket No. 000121A-TP CLEC Responses to December 3, 2009 Workshop Action Items December 14, 2009 Item No.44 Page 1 of 1

# ITEM No. 44

REQUEST: Provide SQM redline that incorporates CM-1 and CM-3.

RESPONSE: See attached Appendix.

SQM#	Measure Category Code	Title of the Measure	Change Management	
6M-1	MI	Timeliness of Change Management Nature		
Definition		This report was sures a bother CLEC market required activate release nations on time to propore for BellSouth interference of the CLEC market core as a supported by the market per Elect CLEC and the BellSouth insulintees in the	Definition This report measures whether CLECs received notice/documentation on time to prepare for hardware, and or software changes in the form of Major OSS Release, Minor OSS Releases, Business Rules, Testing Plans, Change Request, System Retirment, and data needs to accurately prioritize and package release content. 12-11-2009  This report measures whether CLECs receive required software release notices on time to prepare for BellSouth interface/system changes so CLEC interfaces are not impaired by change.  The CCP is used by BellSouth and the CLECs to manage requested changes to the BellSouth local interfaces	CLECs: PROPOSAL TO COMBINE CM-1 & CM-3  NOTE: CLECs are expecting a CMP/CCP comparison document and wish to review before working these measures 8-27-2009
		Maximum species that does not time direct transform and sent time direct transform and sent time direct.		CLECs 9-19-2009 – CLECs propose to keep metric as is in current plan and be subject to future review after completion of change management workshops on processes.  AT&T 10/12/09 PROPOSAL/RESPONSE:
Evelutions		Changer to release dates for recommendate treatment among mechanisms for example substance with an extraore weaker alternates (for example problem).  Type to Change Requires (Defects in pedales), as defended by the Change Common Process (CCP).	Exclusions Defects, Type 6 CR and Outages Type 1 CR are covered in CM-5 & CM-6 and are excluded from this measure. 12 11-2009  Type 6 Change Requests (Defects/Expedites), as defined by the Change Control Process (CCP)	

D. James Bark	Inches of the decision of the Control of the Contro		
Business Rules	The interval herity on the notification date and end; on the		
	software release dote. When project evento-occur exempo-		
	changes analysis information, etc.), the offware release		
	date may change. A revised multication would be enquired		
	and the interrul would restart. Based on relates		
	constraints for defects expedites polification may be less		
	than the agreed upon interval as the CEP for one features.		
'	High his aftern white since on the extraction activities		
i			
1			
l			
l			
l '			
ı			
ı			
	I		
ĺ	I		
i	I		
ĺ	I		
	1		
	1		
	1		
ı			
ı			
ı			
ı			
ı		P	
ı			
ı			
ı			
ı			
ı		ľ	
ı			
El-Annia tratan	Fig. V. and C. St. and C. St. and C. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St		
Calculation	Timeliness of Change Management Notices - (n-b) X		
ı	400		
ı	◆—a — Fixed marsher of Change Management		
	Marifestine and within required timeliance		
	• b - Total number of Change Management	l	
	Piotofication sent		
	Contributions Scho		l
4			
		A	
		-	
Raport Structure	- Bell South - Agent agele		
Raport Structure			
Report Serveture	Grapathic Same		
Report Structure			
Report Structure	Grapathic Same		
	Group of the Source	Major Release Notification Minor Release Notification Rusingse Pulse	Major Release Notice, 99% 60 weeks in advance of Implementation.
EQM Disaggregation	Region SQM Lovel of Disaggregation	Major Release Notification, Minor Release Notification, Business Rules,	Major Release Notice 99% 60 weeks in advance of Implementation, Minor
	Group of the Source	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation, Business Rules
EQM Disaggregation	SQM Lovel of Disaggregation SQM Analog Reschmark	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log for Prioritization, System Retirement Notice, Preliminary Sizing fof	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation, 99% 30 day sprior to production, Coding Matrix/Final Release
EQM Disaggregation	Region SQM Lovel of Disaggregation	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation, 99% 30 day sprior to production, Requirements 99% 110 days in advance of implementation, Testing Plan notices 99% 5 week
SQM-Disaggregation	SQM Lovel of Disaggregation SQM Analog Reschmark	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log for Prioritization, System Retirement Notice, Preliminary Sizing fof	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation, 99% 30 day sprior to production, Requirements 99% 110 days in advance of implementation, Testing Plan notices 99% 5 week
EQM-Disaggregation- Analog Benchmark	SQM Level of Disaggregation SQM Analog Benchmark	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log for Prioritization, System Retirement Notice, Preliminary Sizing fof Release Package, Appendix I-A	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation,  99% 30 day sprior to production,  Requirements 99% 110 days in advance of implementation, Testing Plan notices 99% 5 week
EQM Disaggregation	SQM Level of Disaggregation SQM Analog/Renelmork  - Haring USA on time SEEM The 1 The H	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log for Prioritization, System Retirement Notice, Preliminary Sizing fof Release Package, Appendix I-A SEEM Measure	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation,  99% 30 day sprior to production,  Requirements 99% 110 days in advance of implementation, Testing Plan notices 99% 5 week
EQM-Disaggregation- Analog Benchmark	SQM Level of Disaggregation SQM Analog Benchmark	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log for Prioritization, System Retirement Notice, Preliminary Sizing fof Release Package, Appendix I-A	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation,  99% 30 day sprior to production,  Requirements 99% 110 days in advance of implementation, Testing Plan notices 99% 5 week
EQM-Disaggregation- Analog Benchmark	SQM Level of Disaggregation SQM Analog/Renelmork  - Haring USA on time SEEM The 1 The H	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log for Prioritization, System Retirement Notice, Preliminary Sizing fof Release Package, Appendix I-A  SEEM Measure SEEM Tier I Tier II	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation,  99% 30 day sprior to production,  Requirements 99% 110 days in advance of implementation, Testing Plan notices 99% 5 week
SQM-Disaggregation- Analog Benchmark	SQM Level of Disaggregation SQM Analog/Renelmork  - Haring USA on time SEEM The 1 The H	Final Release Requirements. Testing Plan Notices, Change Request Log for Prioritization, System Retirement Notice, Preliminary Sizing fof Release Package, Appendix I-A SEEM Measure	Release 99% Notification 30 days in advance of Implementation, 99% 30 day sprior to production, Requirements 99% 110 days in advance of implementation, Testing Plan notices 99% 5 week

Docket No. 000121A-TP
CLEC Responses to December 3, 2009
Workshop Action Items
December 14, 2009
Item No.45
Page 1 of 1

#### ITEM No. 45

REQUEST: Review and propose revisions to Item 4 under Audit Policy. (See AT&T's 11/24

response to Action Item 30.)

RESPONSE: The parties have exchanged redlines but as of this writing have not reached agreement.

The core disagreement is that CLECs believe that disputes under the SQM Plan and SEEM plan should be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution language in the CLEC's interconnection agreement (ICA) with AT&T. AT&T believes the ICA should be by-passed and the Commission should resolve disputes. DR language for the SEEM

plan would face a similar disagreement.

The following is AT&T's redline to the CLEC dispute resolution language submitted as CLEC follow up Item No. 31 from last workshop.

This SQM Plan-and the related self-effectuating enforcement mechanisms (SEEM) plan are is not intended to limit any provision or provisions in an Interconnection Agreement between AT&T and a CLEC. If a dispute arises regarding the SQM or related SEEM payments AT&T's performance or obligations pursuant to this Plan, the Parties in dispute shall negotiate in good faith for a period of thirty (30) days to resolve the dispute. If at the conclusion of the 30 day period the Parties are unable to reach a resolution, then the dispute shall be resolved by the Commission-resolve the dispute, either party may seek to have the dispute resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of the Parties' interconnection agreement.

Below is the CLEC counter-proposal to AT&T's redline:

This SQM Plan-and the related self-effectuating enforcement mechanisms (SEEM) plan are is not intended to limit any provision or provisions in an Interconnection Agreement between AT&T and a CLEC. If a dispute arises regarding the SQM or related SEEM payments AT&T's performance as measured by this Plan or obligations pursuant to this Plan, the Parties in dispute shall negotiate in good faith for a period of thirty (30) days to resolve the dispute. If at the conclusion of the 30 day period the Parties are unable to reach a resolution, then the dispute shall be resolved by the Commission resolve the dispute, either party may seek to have the dispute resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of the Parties' interconnection agreement.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by E-mail this 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2009:

Adam Teitzman	E. Earl Edenfield, Jr.
Division of Legal Services	Robert Culpepper
Florida Public Service Commission	c/o Gregory Follensbee
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	AT&T/AT&T Florida
Tallahassee, FL 32399	150 South Monroe Street, Ste 400
ateitzma@psc.state.fl.us	Tallahassee, FL 32301
	kip.edenfield@att.com
Douglas Nelson	Howard E. (Gene) Adams
Sprint Nextel	Pennington, Moore, Wilkinson, Bell &
233 Peachtree Street, NE	Dunbar, P.A.
Suite 2200	PO Box 10095
Atlanta, GA 30303	Tallahassee, FL 32302
douglas.c.nelson@sprint.com	gene@penningtonlawfirm.com
Bill.Atkinson@sprint.com	gene@penningternammeen
Vicki Gordon Kaufman	David Konuch
Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle P.A.	Senior Counsel
The Perkins House	Regulatory Law & Technology
118 North Gadsden Street	Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc.
Tallahassee, FL 32301	246 East 6 <sup>th</sup> Avenue
vkaufman@kagmlaw.com	Tallahassee, FL 32303
	dkonuch@fcta.com
Dulaney O'Roark III	D. Anthony Mastando
Vice President & General Counsel	Vice President-Regulatory Affairs
Southeast Region	DeltaCom
Verizon	7037 Old Madison Pike, Ste 400
5055 North Point Parkway	Huntsville, AL 35806
Alpharetta, GA 30022	tony.mastando@deltacom.com
de.oroark@verizon.com	Jerry.Watts@deltacom.com
Susan J. Berlin	Ma Katharina K Mudaa
NuVox Communications	Ms. Katherine K. Mudge
Two North Main Street	Covad Communications Company 7000 N. MoPac Expressway, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor
Greenville, SC 29601	Austin, TX 78731
sberlin@nuvox.com	kmudge@covad.com
MConquest@nuvox.com	ebalvin@covad.com
MOSTIQUESTO MOX.COM	CDGIVIII GOOVAG.OOTII
	·

Charles E. (Gene) Watkins
Cbeyond Communications, LLC
320 Interstate North Parkway
Suite 30
Atlanta, GA 30339
gene.watkins@cbeyond.net
Greg.Darnell@cbeyond.net
Jayna.Bell@cbeyond.net

Carolyn Ridley
tw telecom
555 Church Street, Ste 2300
Nashville, TN 37219
carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com
Kristie.Ince@twtelecom.com
Nora.Torrez@twtelecom.com
Shelly.Pedersen@twtelecom.com
Julie.Mendenhall@twtelecom.com

Matthew J. Feil Akerman Senterfitt

106 East College Avenue, Suite 1200

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 224-9634

matt.feil@akerman.com