Diamond Williams

000121B-TP

From:

Kelly, Tamela D [Tamela.Kelly@CenturyLink.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, July 06, 2010 3:56 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Masterton, Susan S

Subject:

000121B-TP, CenturyLink's RCA Rpt - May 2010

Attachments: 000121B-TP, Embarq's RCA Rpt - May 2010.pdf

Filed on Behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton

Senior Counsel

Embarq Florida, Inc . d/b/a CenturyLink

315 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500

Tallahassee, FL 32301

Telephone: 850/599-1560

Fax: 850/224-0794

Email: susan.masterton@centurylink.com

Docket No. 000121B-TP

Title of filing: CenturyLink's RCA Rpt. - May 2010

Filed on behalf of: Embarg Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

Number Pages: 6 pages

Description: CenturyLink's Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Rpt - May 2010

Tamela Kelly

Regulatory/Government Affairs Specialist

CenturyLink

Voice: 850.599.1029 | Fax: 850.224.0794 | Email: tamela.kelly@centurylink.com

DOCUMENT WITHFLE CATE

0.5503 JUL-S €



Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel FLTLHZ0501-507 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel: 850.599.1560

July 6, 2010

Ms. Ann Cole Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink is CenturyLink's May 2010 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report. This report is being provided as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by CenturyLink, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of January 2010 through March 2010 as published in the February, March and April reports.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Susan S. Masterton

Swas nothing

Enclosures

ce: David Rich Jerry Hallenstein Lisa Harvey

5503 JUL-62

FPSC-CULLINGSICH CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to all known parties of record this 6th day of July, 2010.

Adam Teitzman
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. David A. Konuch 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 dkonuch@fcta.com

Pennington Law Firm
Peter Dunbar
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32301
pete@penningtonlawfirm.com

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Ms. Carolyn Ridley Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069-4002 carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com

AT&T Florida/TCG South Florida, Inc.

E. Edenfield/T. Hatch c/o Mr. Gregory Follensbee ** 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1561 greg.follensbee@att.com

Covad Communications Company
Ms. Lael Atkinson
7000 North MoPac Expressway, Floor 2
Austin, TX 78731-3045
latkinson@covad.com

Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel

^{***} Requested RCA report not be sent via email.

ATT will access from FPSC website if needed.



May 2010 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects March 2010 data, published April 2010) Florida Public Service Commission

Background

If there is non-compliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Embarq shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Embarq's root cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.01: All Electronic – Residential POTS								
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan			
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.			

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.02: All Electronic - Business POTS					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	4Q2009				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.11: All Electronic - UNE Loops Non-designed							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.		

DOOLMER # HELE DATE



Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.16: All Electronic - LNP					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	4Q2009				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.03.01: Electronic/Manual Mix – Residential POTS							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.		

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.03.11: All Electronic - UNE Loops Non-designed								
Description of Issue	Start Date		Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan			
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.			

Measure 3: Average Reject Notice Interval Submeasure 03.03.02.01: Electronic/Manual Mix - Content Errors (other edits) - Resale Orders								
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan			
On an aggregate level the center/system did not provide within time limitations a rejected notice. The aggregate result was 7.17 hours compared to a benchmark of 6 hours.					Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders with less rejects now that EASE has been implemented.			



Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 07.02.02: Business POTS - No Field Work							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
The increase in porting orders and the way in which they are closed out (CLEC has 10 days after DD) is causing non-compliance.	2Q2008				This issue is being investigated to see if it is a CLEC training issue or a system/analyst problem which can be corrected with training. Once this is determined proper course of action will be taken. Embarq will continue to monitor this measure to ensure parity is maintained.		

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval								
Submeasure 07.11.01; UNE Loops Non-designed - Field Work								
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan			
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date				
Of the 8 orders that were non-compliant, all 8 were	2Q2008		2%	Ongoing	Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion			
delayed in service provisioning because facilities were					issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Dispatching			
not properly identified before order was sent to the field.					centers continue efforts to balance workload with resources to			
					ensure orders are completed in a timely manner. In addition,			
					assignment is working to improve accuracy of initial assignments.			

Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submeasure 11.02.01: Business POTS - Field Work					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the 17 orders that were non-compliant, 11 or 65% were missed because of system issues attempting to close orders, 4 or 24% were due to failed pair selection while processing DCOPs (cd errors). 1 or 6% was missed because or an ordering issue, 1 or 6% was missed because of a processing error.	1Q2010		11%		Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Dispatching centers continue efforts to balance workload with resources to ensure orders are completed in a timely manner. In addition, assignment is working to improve accuracy of initial assignments.

Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submeasure 11.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed Field Work								
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan			
Of the 20 orders that were non-complaint all 20 were missed because of provisioning issues having to do with missing information on the service order after it was sent to Network to be completed.	1Q2008		3%		Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Dispatching centers continue efforts to balance workload with resources to ensure orders are completed in a timely manner. In addition, assignment is working to improve accuracy of initial assignments.			



Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate Submeasure 19.147: EELS Description of Issue Start Projected Estimated Improvement Plan End Improvement Date Impact Date 9 trouble tickets reported 1Q2009 All issues repaired or corrected Ongoing 4 Defective Cable Pair 2 Defective NIU 2 Mis-optioned MUX 1 Defective CO card