

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for designation as eligible
telecommunications carrier (ETC) by T-Mobile
South LLC.

DOCKET NO. 090510-TP
ORDER NO. PSC-10-0478-PAA-TP
ISSUED: July 29, 2010

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

NANCY ARGENZIANO, Chairman
LISA POLAK EDGAR
NATHAN A. SKOP

NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION
ORDER GRANTING ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER DESIGNATION

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

I. Case Background

T-Mobile South LLC (T-Mobile or Company) is a Commercial Mobile Radio Service (CMRS) provider licensed by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to provide service in the Jacksonville, Tampa-St. Petersburg-Orlando, Miami-Fort Lauderdale, and New Orleans-Baton Rouge Major Trading Areas. On November 12, 2009, T-Mobile filed a petition requesting designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) in the rural areas of CenturyLink, Frontier, Indiantown, NEFCOM, TDS, Smart City, and Windstream in order to be eligible to receive high-cost and low-income federal universal service support.

In a separate filing made on November 10, 2009, T-Mobile filed a petition requesting designation as an ETC in the non-rural areas of BellSouth/AT&T (AT&T) and Verizon Florida (Verizon) ¹

T-Mobile filed separate rural and non-rural petitions for ETC status due to the public interest standards for ETC designations. Historically, public interest standards for ETC designation are higher in rural areas. Since ETCs in rural areas can seek high-cost funding from

¹ Docket 090507-TP, petition by T-Mobile South LLC for designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the non-rural areas of BellSouth/AT&T (AT&T) and Verizon Florida (Verizon).

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06175 JUL 29 0

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

the Federal Universal Service Fund (USF) as they can in non-rural areas, states may consider matters such as the level of federal high-cost per-line support to be received by ETCs. Public interest determinations could be different depending on the specific characteristics of the proposed service area, or whether the area is served by a rural or non-rural carrier. T-Mobile is a facilities-based wireless telecommunications carrier with its own switching, transport, cell sites, and associated telecommunications facilities in its proposed designated ETC service area.

This docket addresses T-Mobile's petition for ETC status in the rural wire centers of CenturyLink, Frontier, Indiantown, NEFCOM, TDS, Smart City, and Windstream, identified in Attachment A for the purpose of receiving federal universal service support. On March 13, 2007, this Commission addressed the issue of whether or not we have jurisdiction to designate CMRS providers as ETCs. By Order No. PSC-07-0288-PAA-TP, issued April 3, 2007, in Docket Nos. 060581-TP and 060582-TP, we found that with the enactment of Section 364.011, Florida Statutes, the Florida Legislature has granted this Commission limited authority over CMRS providers for those matters specifically authorized by federal law. Therefore, pursuant to §214(e)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which authorizes states to designate ETC carriers, we have jurisdiction over CMRS providers for the purpose of considering ETC petitions.

II. Analysis

Pursuant to FCC rules and governing statutes, the state commissions have the primary responsibility to designate providers as ETCs.² Designation as an ETC is required in order for a provider to be eligible to receive monies from the federal USF. Section 254(e)(2) of the Act provides that "only an eligible telecommunications carrier designated under Section 214(e) shall be eligible to receive specific federal universal service support." According to Section 214(e)(1), a common carrier designated as an ETC must offer and advertise the services supported by the federal Universal Service mechanisms throughout a designated service area.

ETC Certification Requirements

The Code of Federal Regulations addresses a state commission's responsibilities related to an ETC designation:³

Upon request and consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, the state commission may, in the case of an area served by a rural telephone company, and shall, in the case of all other areas, designate more than one common carrier as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the state commission, so long as each additional requesting carrier meets the requirements of paragraph (d) of this section. Before designating an additional eligible telecommunications carrier for an area served by a rural telephone company, the state commission shall find that the designation is in the public interest.

² 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2), 47 C.F.R. § 54.201(b).

³ 47 C.F.R. § 54.201(c)

To qualify as an ETC, a carrier must provide nine services identified in 47 C.F.R. 54.101. The services are:

- (1) Voice grade access to the public switched network Voice grade access is defined as a functionality that enables a user of telecommunications services to transmit voice communications, including signaling the network that the caller wishes to place a call, and to receive voice communications, including receiving a signal indicating there is an incoming call;
- (2) Local Usage Local usage indicates the amount of minutes of use of exchange service, provided free of charge to end users;
- (3) Dual-tone multi-frequency signaling or its functional equivalent Dual-tone multi-frequency ("DTMF") is a method of signaling that facilitates the transportation of signaling through the network, thus shortening call set-up time;
- (4) Single-party service or its functional equivalent Single-party service is telecommunications service that permits users to have exclusive use of a wireline subscriber loop or access line for each call placed, or in the case of wireless telecommunications carriers which use spectrum shared among users to provide service, a dedicated message path for the length of a user's particular transmission;
- (5) Access to emergency services Access to emergency services includes access to services, such as 911 and enhanced 911, provided by local governments or other public safety organizations;
- (6) Access to operator services Access to operator services is defined as access to any automatic or live assistance to a consumer to arrange for billing and/or completion of a telephone call;
- (7) Access to interexchange service Access to interexchange service is defined as the use of the loop, as well as that portion of the switch that is paid for by the end user, or the functional equivalent of these network elements in the case of a wireless carrier, necessary to access an interexchange carrier's network;
- (8) Access to directory assistance Access to directory assistance is defined as access to a service that includes, but is not limited to, making available to customers, upon request, information contained in directory listings; and
- (9) Toll limitation for qualifying low-income consumers Toll limitation or blocking restricts all direct-dial toll access.

In addition to providing the above services, 47 C.F.R. 54.405(b) specifies that ETCs must publicize the availability of Lifeline service in a manner reasonably designed to reach those likely to qualify for the service.

Additional ETC Certification Requirements

On March 17, 2005, the FCC issued Order No. FCC 05-46 that established additional criteria that all ETC applicants must satisfy in order to be granted ETC status by the FCC. In this Order, the FCC determined that an ETC applicant must also demonstrate:

- 1) a commitment and ability to provide the supported services throughout the designated area;
- 2) the ability to remain functional in emergency situations;
- 3) ability to satisfy consumer protection and service quality standards;
- 4) provision of local usage comparable to that offered by the incumbent LEC; and
- 5) an acknowledgement that the applicant may be required by the FCC to provide equal access if all other ETCs in the designated service area relinquish their designations pursuant to Section 214(e)(4) of the Act.

By this Order, the FCC encouraged states to also adopt these criteria, and we did so in Docket No. 010977-TL, by Order No. PSC-05-0824-FOF-TL, issued August 15, 2005.

We have reviewed T-Mobile's petition for designation as an ETC in certain rural areas in Florida, as well as additional documents filed with this Commission. We have verified that T-Mobile has complied with the above requirements to be eligible as an ETC in Florida. T-Mobile has also demonstrated its ability to provide the nine services identified in 47 C.F.R. 54.101 using its own facilities.

Public Interest Determinations

Under Section 214 of the Act, the FCC and state commissions must determine that granting an ETC designation is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity for rural areas. They also must consider whether an ETC designation serves the public interest consistent with Section 254 of the Act. Congress did not establish specific criteria to be applied for the public interest tests in Section 214 or Section 254. Before designating a carrier as an ETC for an area served by a rural telephone company, we must make an affirmative determination that such designation is in the public interest, in accordance with Section 214(e)(2).

When determining whether it is in the public interest to grant T-Mobile ETC designation in rural telephone company areas, three factors are reviewed: A Cost-Benefit Analysis, The Potential for Creamskimming, and Impact on the USF.⁴

Cost-Benefit Analysis

We consider and balance the factors listed below as part of our overall analysis regarding whether the designation of an ETC will serve the public interest. In determining whether an ETC has satisfied these criteria, the burden of proof is upon the ETC applicant.

⁴ FCC 05-46, CC Docket No. 96-45, IV (B)(1,2,3)

(1) *Consumer Choice*: The benefits of increased consumer choice are taken into account when conducting a public interest analysis. In particular, granting an ETC designation may serve the public interest by providing a choice of service offerings in rural and high-cost areas. The value of increased competition, by itself, is unlikely to satisfy the public interest test.

(2) *Advantages and Disadvantages of Particular Service Offering*: We also consider the particular advantages and disadvantages of an ETC's service offering. For instance, we have examined the benefits of mobility that wireless carriers provide in geographically isolated areas, the possibility that an ETC designation will allow customers to be subject to fewer toll charges, and the potential for customers to obtain services comparable to those provided in urban areas, such as voicemail, numeric paging, call forwarding, three-way calling, call waiting, and other premium services. We also examine the disadvantages such as dropped call rates and poor coverage.

T-Mobile's Lifeline offering includes the following components:

- Lifeline customers will receive a discounted or free handset based upon the contract term and type of phone;
- Lifeline customers will be provided with 145 whenever minutes, 500 night minutes, and 500 weekend minutes per month for \$6.49 per month (\$19.99 plan with \$13.50 Lifeline discount applied);
- Minutes will not be rolled over from month to month;
- Lifeline customers must sign a contract with a term of one or two years if they wish to obtain a new discounted handset. If a Lifeline customer does not wish to obtain a discounted handset, the customer need not sign a contract to obtain service;

Participants will pay a one-time \$17.50 service activation fee (half of the standard \$35 customer activation fee). Based on the Cost-Benefit analysis of T-Mobile's Lifeline offering, we find it would be in the public interest to grant T-Mobile ETC status in the requested areas.

Potential for Creamskimming

Rural creamskimming occurs when competitors seek to serve only the low-cost, high revenue customers in a rural telephone company's study area. Generally, a request for ETC designation for an area less than the entire study area of a rural telephone company might raise concerns that the petitioner intends to creamskim in the rural study area. In this case, however, T-Mobile commits to provide universal service throughout its licensed service area. It therefore does not appear that T-Mobile is deliberately seeking to enter only certain portions of these companies' study areas in order to creamskim.

Impact on Universal Service Funds

The USF programs, which are administered by Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC), ensure that consumers in all regions of the nation have access to telecommunications services that are reasonably comparable to those services provided in urban areas and at rates reasonably comparable to those charged in urban areas. Without high-cost support, residents of some areas of the country would have to pay significantly more for telephone services than those living in other areas. Factors such as low-density terrain and small populations, resulting in the high fixed costs of building and operating a telecom network spread over only a few customers may cause telephone service to be cost prohibitive.

According to the petition, T-Mobile is seeking federal high-cost support in rural service areas located within its licensed service area in the state to expand its coverage to include unserved or underserved areas, to increase the service quality and reliability of its network, and to speed the delivery of advanced wireless services to the citizens of rural Florida.

A major concern of the FCC and this Commission, especially in recent years, is the rapid growth of monies needed to support the various universal service programs.⁵ On May 1, 2008, the FCC released an order adopting an interim, emergency cap on the amount of universal service high-cost support that competitive ETCs may receive. Specifically, as of the effective date of this *Interim Cap Order*,⁶ total annual competitive ETC support in each state is capped at the level of support that all competitive ETCs in that state were eligible to receive during March 2008, on an annualized basis. The *Interim Cap Order* became effective on August 1, 2008. Because the FCC placed a cap on the annual competitive ETC support, designation of T-Mobile as an ETC will not increase the size of the Federal Universal Service Fund.

⁵ 12-15-09 Ex Parte Comments of the Florida Public Service Commission - NBP Public Notice #19 - CC Docket No. 96-45; WC Docket No. 05-337; WC Docket No. 03-109; GN Docket Nos. 09-47, 09-51, and 09-137, Regarding the Role of the Universal Service Fund and Intercarrier Compensation (ICC) in the National Broadband Plan. 07-21-2009 Reply Comments in GN Docket No. 09-51 - FCC Notice of Inquiry seeking comments on a national broadband plan for our future.

12-15-2008 Reply Comments in WC Docket No. 05-195 - Comprehensive Review of the Universal Service Fund Management, Administration, and Oversight.

12-02-2008 - Reply Comments in CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 96-98, 99-68, 99-200, 01-92; WC Docket Nos. 03-109, 04-36, 05-337, and 06-122, in response to the FCC Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking seeking comment on universal service and intercarrier compensation reform.

03-24-2008 - Florida Public Service Commission Comments in WC Docket No. 05-337, High-Cost Universal Service Support; CC Docket No. 96-45, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service.

3/5/2008 - Reply Comments in WC Docket No. 08-4, Petition for Waiver of High-Cost Universal Service Support Rules by Hawaiian Telecom, Inc.

⁶ In the Matter of High-Cost Universal Service Support, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, WC Docket No. 05-337, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order FCC 08-122, Adopted: April 29, 2008, Released: May 1, 2008.

Historically, competitive wireless ETCs in Florida, which receive high-cost monies from the USF, have reported poor Lifeline enrollment numbers.⁷ In its petition, T-Mobile provided a sample of its Lifeline advertising for North Carolina, a state in which it has received ETC status. Our staff checked the USAC database to determine the amount of high-cost USF monies T-Mobile received in North Carolina and the amount of low-income reimbursement it received for providing Lifeline service. In 2009, T-Mobile received \$856,721 in High-Cost funds from the USF while receiving \$11 per month reimbursement for providing Lifeline service in North Carolina. The \$11 Lifeline reimbursement per month translates into one Lifeline customer in North Carolina.

T-Mobile has also received ETC designation in Puerto Rico. For 2009, T-Mobile received \$14,479,632 in high-cost funds from the USF while receiving between \$22,961 and \$23,879 per month reimbursement for providing Lifeline service in Puerto Rico. That translates into between 2,296 and 2,387 Lifeline customers per month. Our staff shall review T-Mobile's commitment to Florida's Lifeline program during T-Mobile's annual certification for High-Cost Universal Service Funds.

Additional ETC Requirements

Transitional Lifeline

Transitional Lifeline requires that ETCs offer discounted residential basic local telecommunications service at 70 percent of the residential local telecommunication service rate for any Lifeline subscriber who no longer qualifies for Lifeline. A Lifeline subscriber who requests such service receives the discounted price for a period of one year after the date the subscriber ceases to be qualified for Lifeline. To comply with the requirement of Transitional Lifeline, T-Mobile stated that it will provide a 30 percent monthly discount off its non-discounted Lifeline rate plan for 12 months to customers no longer qualifying for Lifeline.

Lifeline Advertising

T-Mobile states it will advertise the availability and rates for the services described above in its Florida service areas using media of general distribution as required by Section 214(e)(1)(B) of the Act.⁸ T-Mobile will target locations where consumers receive benefits that make them eligible for Lifeline services, including various state and local social service agencies, to inform customers of the availability of its Lifeline services. T-Mobile will coordinate its efforts with public and private assistance agencies to ensure the availability of Lifeline services reaches the broadest audience possible. T-Mobile also will develop outreach efforts for non-English speakers, and increase awareness of the Lifeline program at existing third-party retail outlets through distribution of brochures. Finally, T-Mobile will promote its

⁷ For 2009, ALLTEL Communications n/k/a Verizon Wireless, received \$4,502,000 in high-cost USF funds and only averaged a total of 15 Lifeline customers per month. In 2009, Sprint-Nextel received \$6,965,899 in high-cost USF funds and only averaged a total of 14 Lifeline customers per month.

⁸ See 47 U.S.C. Section 214(e)(1)(B)

Lifeline offerings to its existing customers, many of whom will qualify for Lifeline, through emails and text messaging campaigns.

Facilities Requirement

In accordance with 47 C.F.R. 54.201(d)(1), a company must offer the services that are supported by the federal universal support mechanisms either using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services. T-Mobile is a facilities-based wireless telecommunications carrier with its own switching, transport, cell sites, and associated telecommunications facilities in its proposed designated ETC service area. T-Mobile intends to use its own facilities to meet its universal service obligations. As an ETC, T-Mobile asserts it will use universal service support to support and enhance its network facilities in its proposed designated rural service area.

Conclusion

T-Mobile states that it will pass through all applicable state and federal service discounts and mandated service support to its Lifeline and Link-Up customers, thus reducing the price of access to telecommunications services for its Lifeline and Link-Up customers in Florida. T-Mobile asserts it is able to provide all services and functionalities supported by the universal service program, detailed in CFR Section 54.101(a). T-Mobile has signed an applicant certification attesting that it will follow all Florida Statutes, Florida Administrative Rules, Florida PSC Orders, FCC rules, and FCC Orders regarding Universal Service, ETCs, Link-Up and Lifeline, and the provision of toll limitation service. (See Attachment B certification). T-Mobile also understands that we have the authority to both grant and revoke ETC designation if T-Mobile is non-compliant.

Based on our review, along with T-Mobile's commitment to abide by both state and federal rules and procedures, we find that T-Mobile's petition to be designated as an ETC is in the public interest and shall be approved. Our staff shall continue the necessary oversight to ensure that T-Mobile, along with all other ETCs in Florida, are upholding these principles and attaining the goals and objectives of both the state and federal universal service programs. Therefore, we hereby approve T-Mobile's petition for ETC designation in the rural wire centers of CenturyLink, Frontier, Indiantown, NEFCOM, TDS, Smart City, and Windstream, identified in Attachment A of this Order. Our staff shall review T-Mobile's commitment to Florida's Lifeline program and the amount of high cost support being invested in infrastructure within the State of Florida during T-Mobile's annual certification for High-Cost Universal Service Funds.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that T-Mobile South LLC is hereby granted eligible telecommunications carrier designation status in the rural wire centers of CenturyLink, Frontier, Indiantown, NEFCOM, TDS, Smart City, and Windstream, identified in Attachment A of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that T-Mobile South LLC shall demonstrate during its annual certification of eligible telecommunications status the amount of high cost support invested in infrastructure within the State of Florida. It is further

ORDERED that if no person, whose substantial interests are affected, files a protest to the Commission's Proposed Agency Action within 21 days of the issuance of this Order, this docket shall be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 29th day of July, 2010.



ANN COLE
Commission Clerk

(S E A L)

AJT

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

ORDER NO. PSC-10-0478-PAA-TP

DOCKET NO. 090510-TP

PAGE 10

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on August 19, 2010.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this/these docket(s) before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

T-Mobile USA, Inc.
 Florida ETC Application
 Exhibit "A"

**T-Mobile
 Rural ETC Area**

October 2009
 Page 1 of 5

Telco Type	Telco Name and CLLI Code
Rural	EMBARQ FLORIDA
	ALFRFLXA
	BAKRFLXA
	BNFYFLXA
	CFVFLXA
	CHLKFLXA
	CRVWFLXA
	CTDLFLXA
	DESTFLXA
	DFSPFLXA
	FRPTFLXA
	FTWBFLXA
	FTWBFLXB
	FTWBFLXC
	GDRGFLXA
	GLDLFLXA
	GNVFLXA
	GNWDFLXA
	KGLKFLXA
	LEE FLXA
	LWTYFLXA
	MALNFLXA
	MDSNFLXA
	MNTIFLXA
	MRNNFLXA
	PANCFLXA
	PNLNFLXA
	RYHLFLXA
	SGBHFLXA
	SHLMFLXA
	SNDSFLXA
	SNRSFLXA
	SPCPFLXA
	STMKFLXA
	STRKFLXA
	TLHSFLXA
	TLHSFLXB
	TLHSFLXC
	TLHSFLXD
	TLHSFLXE
	TLHSFLXF
	TLHSFLXG
	TLHSFLXH
	VLPRFLXA
	VLPRFLXB
	WSTVFLXA

T-Mobile USA, Inc.
Florida ETC Application
Exhibit "A"

**T-Mobile
Rural ETC Area**

October 2009
Page 2 of 5

Rural	EMBARQ FLORIDA, INC.
	ALSPFLXA
	ALVAFLXA
	APPKFLXA
	ARCDFLXA
	ASTRFLXA
	AVPKFLXA
	BCGRFLXA
	BLVWFLXA
	BNSPFLXA
	BSHNFLXA
	BVHLFLXA
	BWLGFLXA
	CHSWFLXA
	CLMTFLXA
	CLTNFLXA
	CPCRFLXA
	CPCRFLXB
	CPHZFLXA
	CRRVFLXA
	CSLBFLXA
	CYLKFLXA
	CYLKFLXB
	DDCYFLXA
	ESTSFLXA
	EVRGFLXA
	FTMBFLXA
	FTMDFLXA
	FTMYFLXA
	FTMYFLXB
	FTMYFLXC
	GLGCFLXA
	GLRDFLXA
	GVLDFLXA
	HMSFLXA
	HOWYFLXA
	IMKLFLXA
	INVRFLXA
	IONAFLXA
	KNVFLXA
	KSSMFLXA
	KSSMFLXB
	KSSMFLXD
	LBLFLXA
	LDLKFLXA
	LHACFLXA
	LKBRFLXA
	LKHFLXA

T-Mobile USA, Inc.
 Florida ETC Application
 Exhibit "A"

**T-Mobile
 Rural ETC Area**

October 2009
 Page 3 of 5

		LKHLFLXA
		LSBGFLXA
		MOISFLXA
		MRDCLXA
		MRHNFLXA
		MTDRFLXA
		MTLDFLXA
		MTVRFLXA
		NFMYFLXA
		NFMYFLXB
		NNPLFLXA
		NPLSFLXC
		NPLSFLXD
		OCALFLXA
		OCALFLXB
		OCALFLXC
		OCALFLXJ
		OCNFFLXA
		OKCBFLXA
		OKLWFLXA
		ORCYFLXA
		ORCYFLXC
		PNGRFLXA
		PNISFLXA
		PTCTFLXA
		SBNGFLXA
		SCPKFLXA
		SLHLFLXA
		SNANFLXA
		SNISFLXA
		SSPRFLXA
		STCDFLXA
		SVSPFLXA
		SVSSFLXA
		TLCHFLXA
		TVRSFLXA
		UMTLFLXA
		WCHLFLXA
		WLSTFLXA
		WLWDFLXA
		WNDRFLXA
		WNGRFLXA
		WNPKFLXA
		ZLSPFLXA
Rural	FRONTIER COMM-SOUTH	
		MOLNFLXA
		WLHLFLXA
Rural	INDIANTOWN TELEPHONE SYSTEM	

T-Mobile USA, Inc.
 Florida ETC Application
 Exhibit "A"

**T-Mobile
 Rural ETC Area**

October 2009
 Page 4 of 5

	INDIANTOWN TELEPHONE SYSTEM	
Rural	NORTHEAST FLORIDA TELEPHONE CO., INC.	
		MCLNFLXA
		SNSNFLXA
Rural	QUINCY TELEPHONE CO.	
		GNBFLXA
		GRETFLXA
		QNCYFLXA
Rural	SMART CITY TELECOM LLC DBA SMART CITY TELECOM	
		LKBNFLXB
Rural	WINDSTREAM FLORIDA, INC.	
		ALCHFLXA
		BORAFSLXA
		BRFRFLXA
		BRKRFLXA
		CITRFLXA
		CLHNFLXA
		CRCYFLXA
		DWPKFLXA
		FLRHFLXA
		FTWHFLXA
		HGSPFLXA
		HLRDFLXA
		HSNGFLXA
		INTRFLXA
		JNGSFLXA
		JSPRFLXA
		LKBTFLXA
		LRVLFLXA
		LVOKFLXA
		MAYOFLXA
		MCINFLXA
		MLRSFLXA
		ORSPFLXA
		RAFRFLXA
		WALDFLXA
		WHSPFLXA
		WLBRFLXA

APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

State of Washington
County of King

My name is Harlie Cornett, I am employed by T-Mobile USA, Inc. (Company), located at 1290 SE 38th Street, Bellevue, WA 98006, as its Vice President of Tax. I am an officer of the Company and am authorized to provide the following certifications on behalf of the Company. This certification is being given to support the Eligible Telecommunications Carrier petitions filed by my Company, 090507-TP and 09-0510-TP, with the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) which both seek approval to receive both high cost and low income support (Link-Up and Lifeline Programs) under the federal Universal Service Fund in certain requested areas within the state of Florida.

Company hereby certifies the following:

1. Company will follow all Florida Statutes, Florida Administrative Rules, and Florida PSC Orders relating to Universal Service, Eligible Telecommunications Carriers, and the Florida Link-Up and Lifeline Program.
2. Company will follow all FCC rules, FCC Orders, and regulations contained in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 regarding Universal Service, ETCs, High Cost, Link-Up and Lifeline, and toll limitation service.
3. Company agrees that the Florida PSC may revoke a carrier's ETC status for good cause after notice and opportunity for hearing, for violations of any applicable Florida Statutes, Florida Administrative Rules, Florida PSC Orders, failure to fulfill requirements of Sections 214 or 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, or if the PSC determines that it is no longer in the public interest for the company to retain ETC status.
4. Company understands that if its petitions for ETC status are approved, it will be for ETC status to provide Link-Up, Lifelines, and toll-limitation service, and the Company will be eligible to receive both high cost and low-income support from the Universal Service Fund.
5. Company understands that it may receive reimbursement from the Universal Service Administrative company (USAC) for active customer Link-Up and Lifeline access lines which are provided using its own facilities; and reimbursement from USAC for High Cost support upon meeting and maintaining the applicable requirements under 47 C.F.R. § 54.


DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10868 FEB-8 2

FPC-COMMISSION CLERK

6. Company understands that the PSC shall have access to all books of account, records and property of all eligible telecommunications carriers.
7. Company understands that low income support reimbursed by USAC for toll limitation service is available only for the incremental costs that are associated exclusively with toll limitation service.
8. Company agrees that upon request, it will submit to the PSC a copy of Form 497 forms filed with USAC to:
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Regulatory Analysis
2540 Shumard Oak Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
9. Company understands that in accordance with the Florida Lifeline program, eligible customers will receive a \$13.50 monthly discount on their phone bill, \$3.50 of which is provided by the ETC, and \$10.00 of which is reimbursable from the Federal Universal Service Fund.

I am aware that, pursuant to Section 837.06, F.S., whoever knowingly makes a false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his or her official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree.



Signature

2/4/10
Date

Harlie Cornett

Business Address:
T-Mobile USA Inc.
1290 SE 38th Street
Bellevue, WA 98006