Diamond Williams

000121B-TP

From:	Kelly, Tamela D [Tamela.Kelly@CenturyLink.com]
Sent:	Friday, September 17, 2010 9:17 AM
То:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc:	Masterton, Susan S
Subject:	000121B-TP, CenturyLink's RCA Rpt - August 2010
Attachments:	000121B-TP, Embarg's RCA RptAugust, 2010.pdf

Filed on Behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel Embarq Florida, Inc . d/b/a CenturyLink 315 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Telephone: 850/599-1560 Fax: 850/224-0794 Email: susan.masterton@centurylink.com

Docket No. 000121B-TP

Title of filing: CenturyLink's RCA Rpt. - August 2010

Filed on behalf of: Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

Number Pages: 7 pages

Description: CenturyLink's Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Rpt - August 2010

Tamela Kelly Regulatory/Government Affairs Specialist Century**Link** Voice: 850.599.1029 | Fax: 850.224.0794 | Email: <u>tamela.kelly@centurylink.com</u>

> DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE 07788 SEP 17 2 FPSC-COMMISSION CLUB

> >

Century**Link****

Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel FLTLHZ0501-507 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel: 850.599.1560

September 17, 2010

Ms. Ann Cole Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink is CenturyLink's August 2010 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report. This report is being provided as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by CenturyLink, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of April 2010 through June 2010 as published in the May, June and July reports.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Elvars hotin

Susan S. Masterton

Enclosures

cc: David Rich Jerry Hallenstein Lisa Harvey

> DOCLMENT REMPERATION UT788 SEP 17 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLERN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to all known parties of record this 17th day of Septmeber, 2010.

Adam Teitzman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. David A. Konuch 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 <u>dkonuch@fcta.com</u>

Pennington Law Firm Peter Dunbar P.O. Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32301 pete@penningtonlawfirm.com Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Ms. Carolyn Ridley Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069-4002 carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com

AT&T Florida/TCG South Florida, Inc. E. Edenfield/T. Hatch c/o Mr. Gregory Follensbee ** 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1561 greg.follensbee@att.com

Covad Communications Company

Ms. Lael Atkinson 7000 North MoPac Expressway, Floor 2 Austin, TX 78731-3045 <u>latkinson@covad.com</u>

Shors heter

Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel

** Requested RCA report not be sent via email. ATT will access from FPSC website if needed.



August 2010 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects June 2010 data, published July 2010)

Florida Public Service Commission

Background

If there is non-compliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Embarq shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Embarq's root cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.01: All Electronic - Residential PO	TS				
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.02: All Electronic - Business POTS	L				
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.101: All Electronic - UNE Loops xl	DSL Provi	sioned			
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	2Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

FPSC-COMMISSION OF FEIL



Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.11: All Electronic - UNE Loops No	n-designed	1			
Description of Issue	Start	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.16: All Electronic - LNP					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 07.02.02: Business POTS - No Field Wor	·k				
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
The increase in porting orders and the way in which they are closed out (CLEC has 10 days after DD) is causing non-compliance.	2Q2008				This issue is being investigated to see if it is a CLEC training issue or a system/analyst problem which can be corrected with training. Once this is determined proper course of action will be taken. Embarq will continue to monitor this measure to ensure parity is maintained.

.



Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
Of the 27 non-compliant orders 18 or 67% missed their	1Q2010		22%		The appropriate management has been notified of the importance of
due dates because the related orders were not completed					timely error correction and prevention. Management is working to
prior to their due dates. 5 or 19% missed due dates					address provisioning and exhaustion issues to allow for timelier
waiting on facilities to be completed/available. 1 or 4%					processing of orders Dispatching centers continue efforts to
had an order error which wasn't corrected prior to the					balance workload with resources to ensure orders are completed in
due date. 1 or 4% was delayed because the CLEC		•]		a timely manner.
provided incorrect information and 1 or 4% was closed					
from the past due order report.					

Submeasure 11.11.01: UNE Loops Non-Designed Fiel	d Work				
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
Of the 7 non-compliant orders six or 86% missed their	1Q2008		29%		The appropriate management has been advised of the importance of
initial due dates because orders weren't assigned until					adding the \CIRAS COMP fid when the CIRAS order closes.
after the due date and \CIRAS COMP fids were either		{			Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion
omitted or added after the due date. 1 or 14% wasn't					issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Management has
assigned until after the due date.					been notified of the importance of timely error correction and
					prevention.

Measure 17A: Percentage of Troubles within 5 days f Submeasure 17A.01: Residential POTS	or New O	rders			
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the 8 orders followed by tickets,2 or 25% repaired NIDs, 2 or 25% were needed to repair damaged cable, 1 or 13% was needed because the order wasn't dispatched, 1 or 13% was to replace a jumper and 1 or 13% was issued to remove a bridge tap.	2Q2008		5%		The appropriate management continues to coach order technicians on the importance of completing all related work and following proper installation procedures when completing a service order.



Measure 18: Average Completion Notice Interval Submeasure 18.01: All Electronic					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the 3 orders non-compliant, all were missed because they were held up in the system before an analyst found them and cleared them for completion.	1Q2008				The issue with closing dates not being received from ARC into EASE is being addressed by IT. Management responsible for clearing errors is coaching associates on error resolution process. The NEAC has been notified of the importance of correcting errors as soon as they happen rather than correcting them all at once before month end.

Description of Issue	Start		Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	
1 – Replaced Bad Rise	1Q2009	1		Ongoing	All issues repaired or corrected
1 – Repaired Wet Cable					
1 - Mis-optioned MUX					
I Blown Amp Connector		1			
2 – Removed Bridge Tap					
2 – Defective DS3 Card					
– Missing Jumper					
- Defective Protection Modules			1		
- Defective CO Card					
1 – Defective CA/PR					
25 – Defective NIU - Lightning					

Measure 19: Customer Trouble Report Rate Submeasure 19.147: EELS					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
 2 - Defective CA/PR 1 - Defective Jumper - Field 1 - Defective Doubler - Lightning 2 - CO Wiring Issues 5 - Defective NIU - Lightning 	1Q2009			Ongoing	All issues repaired or corrected



Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
We were 91.5% complete compared to 93.5 on the	21Q2009	[Ongoing	We are coaching our analyst on the proper way to coded billing
LEC side. The non-complete charges have to do with					charges during system clean ups so that they wont impact reporting
system clean up that is happening in preparation of					
billing system migrations that are coming. The analysts					
doing the clean up improperly coded the records and the	1				
records were not excluded from reporting. We are					
compliant for this measure next month.					