	H	
1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	In the Matter of:	DOCKET NO. 100327-TL
5	PETITION BY VERIZ	
6	FOR WAIVER OF RUL F.A.C.	
7		
8		
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	AGENDA CONFERENCE ITEM NO. 5
11	COMMISSIONERS	
12	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN NANCY ARGENZIANO COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR
13		COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
14		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ
15	DATE:	Tuesday, September 14, 2010
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
17		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
18	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
19		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		PROTEST NO MOTE - PATO

DOOLMENT Nº MOTR-DATE

07796 SEP 17 ≥ FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

PROCEEDINGS

\_

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Okay. Let's move on to our next issue, Issue 5.

Good morning, Mr. Trueblood.

MR. TRUEBLOOD: Good morning, Commissioners.

I'm Frank Trueblood with Commission staff. Item 5 is staff's recommendation --

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Hang on. I don't think we're hearing Mr. Trueblood very well.

Okay. Try it again.

MR. TRUEBLOOD: Okay. I'm Frank Trueblood with Commission staff. Item 5 is staff's recommendation addressing whether the Commission should grant or deny Verizon's permanent waiver request. If granted, Verizon would no longer be required to annually distribute an upfront copy of the residential white page directory to each of its subscribers.

Verizon states that if the waiver is granted, the underlying statutory requirement would be met through alternate means. Verizon also states that subscribers would be notified of the change prior to it taking effect. Staff recommends that the Commission deny Verizon's permanent rule waiver and instead grant a temporary two-year waiver. During the two years, the

Commission should require Verizon and staff to solicit feedback from Florida consumers to determine how they feel about the discontinuance of the upfront distribution of the white residential pages.

Staff is available for questions, and also Mr. De O'Roark from Verizon is available for questions as well.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Hang on.

MR. O'ROARK: Good morning, Madam Chair.
Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Good morning.

MR. O'ROARK: I'm De O'Roark and I represent
Verizon. Verizon had requested a permanent waiver of
the rule requiring the annual distribution of
residential white pages directories. Staff, as you
heard, has recommended that Verizon's request for relief
be granted, but for a two-year period. That is
substantially the same relief that the Commission
awarded AT&T last year.

Staff's recommendation is acceptable to

Verizon. We're confident that with two years'

experience the Commission will conclude that the waiver

is good policy and a good idea, so Verizon supports

staff's recommendation.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: That's nice. Thank you.

Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Well, that was a little unexpected. Again, Mr. O'Roark,

I guess that would reflect a little bit of a change from

Verizon's original position to grant relief on a

permanent basis based on the one-year experience the

Commission has already had with AT&T?

MR. O'ROARK: Commissioner Skop, our -- it doesn't reflect a change in our position. We still think that permanent relief would be appropriate.

Nevertheless, we understand that staff would like two years of experience, and we don't oppose the recommendation.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you.

Madam Chair, to staff. I have a question in relation to that specific point. On page 5 of the staff recommendation Verizon pointed out the two-year temporary waiver granted to AT&T has been in effect since July 2009 and allowed Commission staff the opportunity to evaluate how it impacts Florida consumers over an extended period of time. What has been the experience to date as a result of the waiver that was granted to AT&T from staff's perspective in relation to the Verizon request?

MR. TRUEBLOOD: If I understand, if I

understand the question correctly, our call center have received calls regarding the waiver. Approximately 95 calls have been received. 64 of the callers expressed concern that the waiver should not be granted. We had four callers that were for it and 26 other calls that were relating to something other than the granting or the denying of the waiver.

In addition to the information we got from the call center, I know the Office of Public Counsel (sic.) has also conducted some outreach activities as well, and I think Ms. Muir can address that.

MS. MUIR: The Office of Public Information. Yes, and we did. We used call center recipients that were, had already called the call center and they had landline service. And of those, we had 93 respondents from 676 that were, that we mailed out, and the majority of those did still want to receive a directory. But on top of that, we also have done outreach with AARP, Florida Telecommunications Relay Institute, Florida Association of Counties and the Florida League of Cities, as well as our public libraries and our regular outreach for our customer meetings, and we've got respondents from those and they also overwhelmingly still want a hard copy.

We've also, if you've looked on our website

under "Hot Topics," it's the very first little hot topic 1 2 there, and it's interesting that the online respondents 3 is different. They would prefer not to have a directory 4 mailed and they are fine with online search engines. 5 That's the gist of our outreach so far. We're 6 continuing to do it. 7 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Madam Chair, two more 8 questions. 9 CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Commissioner Skop. 10 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Trueblood, with respect to the AT&T portion, the experience the Commission has gained, has that experience been well received or has the Commission received complaints from consumers with respect to the waiver currently in effect?

MR. TRUEBLOOD: Commissioner Skop, I'm not aware of any complaints other than the 95 that I would say that was logged into the call center where they expressed concern that they would like to continue to receive the residential white pages.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: And that, and that's for Verizon or for AT&T?

MR. TRUEBLOOD: That was regarding the AT&T outreach. That's correct.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. Thank

you. All right. So that clarifies that.

All right, Madam Chair, just one final question. On page 6 of the staff recommendation in the sentence just before the conclusion section, Verizon stated that the residential white page directory might not be eliminated in some markets with a small residential white page listing. I wanted to relate that back to pages 8 and 9 of the staff recommendation to the language in the draft paragraphs in Attachment A and Attachment B.

In that it states, "Verizon directories delivered in Florida will not include residential white page listings." And then on Page 9, "Most directories in Florida will not include residential white page listings." So it seems to be a little bit of a disconnect on Attachment A versus Attachment B versus the language on page 6. And should -- I guess what I'm asking is should the language in the draft of Attachment A be clarified to list those areas that will continue to receive the white page listings?

MR. TRUEBLOOD: Commissioner Skop, I agree. I think it should be clarified. And in a data request that we sent to them, some of that information has been clarified already. We have that information for our use. However, the attachments we have here were

actually received prior to the Commission center data request getting some clarification on those two, two points.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Just a question. I was just thinking if the white pages were to go in -- it sounds like they would stay in rural areas where there are small white pages. But if the white pages were to be eliminated except online, would there still be a charge for people who do not want to have their names published and numbers published?

MR. TRUEBLOOD: I'm not really sure if there would be a charge, but I would think that there wouldn't be a charge. The process would continue the way it is as best as I can understand. I know Mr. O'Roark, again, is here from Verizon. He would probably address that.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Well, I would -- thank you. I would just think that, that since there's not the production or the need to produce the white pages anymore, that that cost would either be eliminated or reduced.

MR. O'ROARK: Commissioner Argenziano, that's an interesting question. Bear in mind though that even in those places where we would not automatically distribute the white pages, they would still be

published and would still be online, would be distributed upon request or either in the form of --

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Right. But -- and I understand that.

MR. O'ROARK: Okay.

reduced, eliminated or reduced. Because I would think that the bulk of the charge goes to the printing of the white pages. I mean, it's hard for people to understand. They go, well, because I don't want my number published I have to pay for that. And now if it's not going to be published in a printed text, in a printed telephone book, I would think that there's not that much charge or cost to put it online if you're still going to have it online.

MR. O'ROARK: Madam Chair.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Just a, just a thought for the future. And I would like to know if it's been thought out because as a paying customer I would ask that question. It may not be a lot of money, but why am I still paying not to have my number printed in a book that is no longer printed?

MR. O'ROARK: Madam Chair, I'm not aware of any plan to change for charges for non-listed, non-published numbers.

1 CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: I'd like staff to think about that, how that would work. It may be small, but 2 3 it's, it means something. 4 MR. TRUEBLOOD: And we will -- as a matter of fact, I was just making a note to that effect so we can 5 go back and look into that situation. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Thank you. 8 MR. TRUEBLOOD: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Commissioner Skop. 10 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair. 11 If there's no additional questions, I'd like to be 12 recognized for a motion. 13 CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: You're recognized. Any 14 other questions? 15 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I did have a question. 16 CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Commissioner Edgar. 17 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. And this has been 18 covered, but I want to make sure that, that I understand 19 it correctly, which is under the temporary waiver that 20 was granted to AT&T, if a customer still wants to 21 receive a hard copy, they make that request and one is 22 delivered at no charge; is that correct? 23 MR. TRUEBLOOD: That's correct, Commissioner 24 Edgar. 25 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And that is the way this

recommended temporary waiver is constructed for Verizon that is before us.

MR. TRUEBLOOD: That's correct.

the outreach efforts that our staff, our offices have done and also from those contacts that we've received at the call center, has there been for AT&T, while that waiver has been in effect, has there been any complaints or concerns expressed that a request was made and one was not received?

MR. TRUEBLOOD: We did not receive any information indicating that.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. All right. Thank you.

that first point that Commissioner Edgar made. When the temporary waiver, if the temporary waiver ceases to exist and there is a waiver that goes into place that the Commission grants, will a customer be charged then after that point if they do require or ask for a white pages?

MR. TRUEBLOOD: Again, no. We have discussed that, and based on the way the statute is right now, we think they would not be charged. But, again, I would like to hear from Verizon.

MR. O'ROARK: Madam Chair, as we stated in our petition, we will not charge someone who requests a directory. We will deliver it for free.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Okay. And that's for Verizon. We don't -- do we know the other companies, if they have the same -- or maybe we can find out. That would --

MS. KING: I believe that's the same circumstance for AT&T.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Okay.

MS. KING: If the waiver goes permanent, the rule is still there that requires a directory. They're just -- what they were waiving was the upfront delivery, not now they get to start charging. So that was the intent.

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Are we, are we letting people know in the, online? Because I've read through it and I've heard from people too because they've seen it online. Are we letting them know that if, if it's granted, if this Commission decides at some point to waive that delivery, that they can receive one without charge or have we not said that yet? Because that may make a difference in their response.

MS. MUIR: Well, the survey is designed so that they, just to find out how much they use the white

pages. We really did not address that in the survey, but we can.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Okay. That's the question I get from people is, when they talk about that, and I've gotten a few here and there because of our website and because some of the people have been told that they will not get yellow pages and white pages and they're not sure which one they're not getting anymore, that if they could get one, if they so desired, they want to -- the next question was, "Do I have to pay for it?" So it may be good if the companies are saying, and I don't know that they are, all of them are saying they're not going to charge, it may be good to let people know that they may not or may be a charge. Because they may all feel, you know, the ones who were opposed to it may feel, well, if I can get it anyway and I don't have to be charged, maybe that's okay, and it might help down the line to eliminate, you know, the opposition that would be there if they know they can call and get it without a charge.

MS. MUIR: Chairman, if I may. Question four on the survey does address the charge. "If your telecommunications company offered to deliver the residential white pages on request for no charge, would you request one?"

1	CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Okay. And that's the
2	question I was asking, the responses that you're
3	getting. Are there any that were to the charge?
4	Because that's what I've, I've gotten, and I looked at
5	that and
6	MS. MUIR: Well, again, the online responses,
7	they don't, they
8	CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: They don't go towards
9	the okay.
10	MS. MUIR: prefer not to have one
1	regardless. The other two groups, they would still want
L2	one.
13	CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: They did. Even if
4	okay. All right. Thank you.
L5	Mr. O'Roark.
.6	MR. O'ROARK: Madam Chair, just to be clear,
L7	our notices state that distribution is free, or delivery
L8	of the directory is free. So there's no question about
L9	that.
20	CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Very good. Thank you.
21	Commissioner Skop.
22	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair.
23	At this point is a motion appropriate?
24	CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Any other questions? I
25	don't see any.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. Thank With respect to the disposition of Item 5 before the Commission, I'd respectfully move staff recommendation on Issues 1 and 2, with the understanding that staff will work with Verizon to clarify the language in Attachment A and Attachment B as shown on pages 8 and 9 of the staff recommendation. CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: Do I have a second? COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Second. CHAIRMAN ARGENZIANO: All those in favor, aye. (Unanimous vote.) Opposed? Show that passing. Thank you. (Agenda item concluded.) 

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )  CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
8	transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes
9	of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
11	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the action.  DATED THIS 1745 day of September,
13	2010.
14	
15	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
16	FPSC Official Commission Reporter
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	